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GRENADA DOCUMENTS: AN OVERVIEW AND SELECTION
INTRODUCTION
BY MICHAEL LEDEEN AND HERBERT ROMERSTEIN

The military action by the United States and the members of the Organization of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS) in October 1983 brought to a close the four-year rule of the New Jewel Movement (NJM) on the island of Grenada. During their stay on Grenada, the combined forces found a considerable body of documents, constituting an extensive archive of the NJM regime. There were roughly 35,000 pounds of material, ranging from official government treaties, orders, minutes, and correspondence to personal diaries, telexes to and from many foreign countries, and bank documents concerning the finances of government and party leaders. Rarely has such a complete documentary picture of a Communist state been available to Western students. The entire lot is being made available to scholars and other interested parties through the National Archives in Washington.

Pending completion of the Grenada archive, we were asked to assemble a cross-section of documents to give a preliminary picture of Grenada during the rule of Maurice Bishop and the NJM, from April 1979 to October 1983. It was a daunting task, for the richness of the material made selection difficult. The collection presented here could easily have been replaced almost in its entirety by other, equally significant material. Nonetheless, we have striven to provide representative samples from areas likely to be of interest to students of communism and of international relations. We expect that in the future other scholars will produce more detailed studies using the substantial documentation in the archive. We judged the area we selected to be most important for a first look at the Grenada documents. To these we added some material dealing with life on the island during the NJM period, particularly documents illustrating human rights abuses by the Bishop regime. These include reports of treatment of prisoners and legal proceedings, as well as the attempts by the regime—-with the help of its international allies—-to deal with political opponents, particularly the churches.

Finally, while this work was authorized and paid for by the Government of the United States [Ledeen worked as a consultant to the Department of State; Romerstein is an official of the United States Information Agency], we are entirely responsible for the selection of the documents and for the introductory material. We did our work without any pressure from anyone, except insofar as we were urged to work as fast as was reasonably possible. We are grateful for freedom to make the selections we deemed most representative and for the patient support throughout the many months we worked on the documents. We are grateful above all to the two senior officials who authorized the project: the then Undersecretary of State for Political Affairs, Lawrence Eagleburger, and the Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, Fred Ikle.
We believe that the documents in this collection give an accurate albeit incomplete picture of Grenada under the NJM. In choosing a few hundred pages out of tens of thousands, the best that can be hoped for is that the selection was done fairly, with an eye to understanding. We believe that we have done this.
THE NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT

The revolution that overthrew the Gairy regime in Grenada in April 1979 was designed to create a Communist society and to bring Grenada into the Soviet orbit. While the leaders of the New Jewel Movement recognized that they needed to feign respect for political pluralism and a desire for good relations with all neighboring countries (above all the United States), the actual direction that Maurice Bishop and his NJM colleagues wished to take was clear from the outset. The close working relations the regime established with the Government of Cuba--both in Grenada and in Cuba itself--showed that Bishop intended to model his revolution on that of the Soviet Union and, more immediately, of Cuba. The attention shown to delegations from the Soviet bloc and from such radical regimes as Qaddafi's Libya and Kim-il Sung's North Korea, along with the lack of exchanges with traditional friends such as Great Britain, indicated the NJM's real intentions.

By September 1982, Bishop could give an extended "now it can be told" speech to the leaders of the Party and the Government, aptly entitled "Line of March for the Party" (Document 1). In that key presentation, Bishop flatly stated that the goal of the NJM was to "ensure the leading role of the working class through its Marxist/Leninist Party backed by some form of the dictatorship of the proletariat." Copies of the "Line of March" were closely held; each copy was marked confidential and consecutively numbered by hand. Many had been read, marked, and dog-eared, then returned. The minutes of the NJM Political Bureau reflect the use of the "Line of March" document in study classes attended by every member and candidate for membership.

The "Line of March" contains Bishop's reflections on the tactics he adopted shortly after seizing power. People from all social strata were included in the original ruling council and, according to Bishop, "this was done deliberately so that imperialism won't get too excited and would say 'well they have some nice fellas in that thing; everything allright.'" This little deception was abandoned by the time of Bishop's speech, when the NJM was in complete control, and Bishop was quite explicit about the way in which control was exercised:

Consider how people get detained in this country. We don't go and call for no votes. You get detained when I sign an order after discussing it with the National Security Committee of the Party or with a higher Party body. Once I sign it--like it or don't like it--it's up the hill for them.

Candidates for "the hill" were identified through a clandestine system that monitored the population and foreign visitors (even the predominantly American student body at the medical school). The Special Branch (Secret Police) divided the island into regions for
surveillance; potential opponents of the regime were identified (generally on a class or religious basis) and closely watched. In addition, major institutions were targeted for surveillance: the government, the trade union, the police, the medical school, and the churches. That this represented a departure from previous practices is indicated by a statement made by the head of the Special Branch, Michael Roberts, in a May 1980 report to the Prime Minister and the Minister of National Security (Document 9): "the old MI 5 (British counterintelligence) methods of work, after experimentation, have proven to be not effective enough."

THE CHURCHES

Church leaders were subjected to particularly close surveillance, and the Grenadians received considerable help from the Cubans and Nicaraguans in countering religious activities. In a document outlining the basic counterintelligence operations of the Interior Ministry (Document 9), the scope of the NJM's concern with the churches is evident from a list of duties of the Special Branch, which included:

--"Monitoring all sermons by the various parish priests and preachers in the society;
--The controlling of all hierarchy [sic] meeting of the church in particular the Catholic and Anglicans;
--Controlling all elements of the society that pay visits to the hierarchy [sic];
--Tapping of the Hierarchy [sic] of all the leading counter churches phones."

("Counter" was used as shorthand for "counterrevolutionary" by virtually all Grenadans.)

The NJM took these security measures against church leaders because they believed that all major religious institutions on the island were opposed to the revolution. In a top secret report written in March 1983 (Document 4), Michael Roberts of the Special Branch stated that the Roman Catholic, Anglican, Methodist, and Seventh Day Adventist churches were all hostile to the NJM, and that the Catholic Church was the most important because of its size and internal discipline. Roberts was concerned about the Catholics' use of the Jerusalem Bible because "this bible is written as a novel and is very easy to understand not being written in old English...This means that the Church in understanding the struggle...has 'revolutionized' is [sic] main ideological weapon--the bible."

Moreover, the Church distributed the Pope's New Year's message, and Roberts considered it to be "the Church's foreign policy document and no doubt will be used to criticize our foreign policy."

Similar concerns were expressed about the other churches, to the point where, by July 1983, Interior Minister Keith Roberts could write that "in the medium term, if serious measures are not taken,
we can find ourselves faced with a Poland situation....we see the Church in the immediate period as being the most dangerous sector for the development of internal counter revolution" (Document 5).

But perhaps most indicative of the great concern about the churches was the interest shown by the Cuban Communist Party (PCC). The Americas Department of the Cuban Communist Party prepared an extensive analysis of "the religious situation in the country, and the contacts for further cooperation between the PCC and the NJM regarding the question" (Document 2).

The Cubans concurred that the churches were "in harmony with the campaigns carried out by the reactionary governments in the Caribbean" and were distressed at the lack of effective action by the NJM. For example, the Cubans lamented that the Grenadans had not infiltrated the churches ("there are no signs of systematic progressive projections within the Grenadian clergy"), and, as of the time of the report (August 1982), the Grenadans had not even appointed a person to take charge of religious questions. This was remedied forthwith, as Selwyn Strachan was named to this position, and was supposed to spend nearly three weeks training in Cuba before starting work. The Cubans foresaw that Strachan's job would "basically include the information work at the beginning and regular contacts with collaborators from Christian organizations." In other words, he would place agents inside the churches, and then attempt to manipulate them from within.

The other main Cuban suggestion was to bring Grenadan religious leaders and laypersons into contact with Nicaraguan church figures "and other Latin American circles linked to the theology of liberation and, in general, to the idea of a church committed to the revolutionary positions."

THE SOVIET CONNECTION

From the beginning, Bishop and the other NJM leaders sought to bring Grenada into the Soviet orbit, and there are thousands of documents showing the intimate relationship that developed between the USSR and Grenada. Sometimes relations were embodied in formal treaties between Grenada and Soviet bloc countries, and such Soviet proxies as Cuba, Vietnam, and North Korea. On other occasions there were secret agreements, such as those for providing counter-intelligence or surveillance equipment, training for agents, and so forth. We have included several of the treaties and party-to-party agreements that gave Grenada a vast quantity of armaments as well as military and political training. Thousands of weapons, far more than could have been required for the security requirements of the tiny island, were shipped by the Soviet Union and Communist-bloc countries. Overall, the documents (samples of which can be found in
this book) showed that the Soviet, Cuban, North Korean, and Czechoslovakian agreements included the following items, which were to have been delivered by 1986:

- Approximately 10,000 assault and other rifles;
- More than 4,500 submachine guns and machine guns;
- More than 11.5 million rounds of 7.62 mm ammunition;
- 294 portable rocket launchers with more than 16,000 rockets;
- 84 82 mm mortars with more than 4,800 mortar shells;
- 12 75 mm cannon with 600 cannon shells;
- 15,000 hand grenades, 7,000 land mines, 60 armored personnel carriers and patrol vehicles;
- More than 150 radio transmitters, 160 field telephone sets, approximately 23,000 uniforms, and tents for about 7,700 persons.

By U.S. Department of Defense estimates, equipment found on the island (not all of it had arrived) would have been sufficient to equip a fighting force of roughly 10,000 men. Furthermore, there evidently were some plans for special forces, since the Soviets promised to provide an airplane capable of transporting 39 paratroopers, as well as other special equipment.

All of this made Grenada a real military threat to its neighbors, most of whom had only local constabularies rather than standing armies. And there was little question that the airport was going to be used for military purposes, since General Hudson Austin's deputy, Liam James, reported in his notebook on March 22, 1980, "The Revo has been able to crush Counter-Revolution internationally, airport will be used for Cuban and Soviet military" (Document 23). This apparently reflected a decision of the NJM leadership.

The Soviets appreciated the geopolitical significance of acquiring another proxy in the Western Hemisphere, as can be seen from the picturesque account of a meeting between Major Einstein Louison, Chief of Staff of the Grenadan Army (who had gone to Moscow for military training), and his Soviet counterpart, Marshal N.V. Ogarkov. According to the Grenadan notes on the meeting (Document 24), Ogarkov told Louison, "over two decades ago, there was only Cuba in Latin America, today there are Nicaragua, Grenada and a serious battle is going on in El Salvador." The Grenadans saw themselves as Soviet proxies. Their Ambassador to Moscow, W. Richard Jacobs, reminded his comrades in Grenada that their importance to the Soviets would eventually depend on their success in exporting revolution: "To the extent that we can take credit for bringing any other country into the progressive fold, our prestige and influence would be greatly enhanced [sic]" (Document 26). Jacobs felt that the first such project should be Suriname.
There was no lack of Soviet support for Grenadan intelligence and counterintelligence operations. A draft letter dated February 17, 1982, from General Hudson Austin to Yuri Andropov, then the chief of the KGB, requested training courses for three Grenadans in counterintelligence and one in intelligence work. Austin thanked Andropov for the "tremendous assistance which our armed forces have received from your party and government in the past" (Document 27).

Perhaps the most intensive Soviet assistance to Grenada was in the field of indoctrination, for it was necessary to train a new, Communist generation on the island. The Soviets participated in some of the "ideological crash courses" that are referred to in the minutes of several meetings of the Politbureau and the Central Committee, and they also invited Grenada to send students to the highest level Soviet training school for foreign Communists, the Lenin School in Moscow. The Lenin School, in operation since the 1920s, has trained the leading Communists of almost every country of the world. The NJM students there reported on their training, including courses in "social psychology and propaganda" and "party organization--intelligence/security" (Document 28). The Cubans also assisted in courses in mass manipulation, offering training in journalism, crowd control, propaganda, billboard painting, newspaper and cartoon writing and drawing. A secret agreement between the Cuban Communist Party (PCC) and the NJM provided for training of Grenadans in Cuba and Grenada (Document 17). The document was signed for Cuba by Manuel Pineiro, the former head of Cuban intelligence (the DGI), and currently the head of the Americas Department of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of Cuba, the covert action arm of the Castro regime.

Twenty Grenadans were invited to Vietnam to study anti-chemical warfare, anti-radioactivity warfare, "reeducation of anti-social and counterrevolutionary elements," and "yankee tactics and the weapons used in Vietnam" (Document 18). Others were invited to Czechoslovakia, Libya, East Germany, and North Korea. We do not know whether the invitations were accepted, but the proposals show how thoroughly Grenada was integrated into the Soviet world.

RELATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES

The leaders of the Grenadan Government and the New Jewel Movement consistently regarded the United States with hostility. There were several contacts between the NJM and the Communist Party U.S.A., both to raise money for Grenada and to coordinate propaganda and public relations strategies in the United States. There was also guidance from the Cubans provided by Gail Reed Rizo, the U.S.-born wife of the Cuban Ambassador to Grenada, Julian Torres Rizo. Gail Reed had been active in American radical organizations, including the Venceremos Brigade, during the 1970s. Prior to the
trip of Prime Minister Bishop to the United States in 1983, Mrs. Rizo gave him detailed suggestions on how he should conduct himself in his contacts with American officials, and recommendations on which Americans he should meet (Document 31). She also reminded him that Sanchez Parodi of the Cuban Interests Section in Washington would be available to him if needed.

Most helpful to an understanding of the NJM's attitude toward the United States are the handwritten notes, evidently written by a Grenadan participant in the conversation, describing Bishop's meeting with National Security Adviser William Clark (Document 32). The notes reveal the NJM perception of American concern about the ideological direction of the Bishop regime (Clark at one point purportedly stressed the U.S. desire that Grenada remain within a Western legal framework), and that the main obstacle to better relations between the two countries was not political, but geopolitical. According to the notes, Washington was worried about the large numbers of Cubans and Russians on Grenada. Clark, Deputy Secretary of State Kenneth Dam, and U.S. Ambassador to the Organization of American States William Middendorf stressed that the U.S. Government wanted actions, not mere declarations of good intentions from the Grenadans. The notes indicate that Bishop was "encouraged by [Judge Clark's] response."

In their efforts to persuade the United States to switch from perceived hostility to support, the Grenadans exerted considerable effort to create a lobby in Washington and to organize a propaganda network throughout the country. They carefully monitored the American media (with help from the Cubans, especially Ambassador Julian Torres Rizo and Gail Reed Rizo), and responded vigorously to criticisms, attempted to identify correspondents and television producers sympathetic to their point of view, and even discussed with the Communist Party U.S.A. the possibility of starting a new radio station in New York City. (These themes are found throughout the minutes of the Politbureau and the Central Committee. See, for example, minutes for Politbureau of 13 May 1982, for their preoccupation with a CBS broadcast they did not like.) A public relations firm in New York was hired to monitor less important publications.

Finally, Grenada coordinated its efforts with those of Soviet-bloc countries and international Soviet-front organizations in supporting and encouraging a worldwide "peace" movement, and in turning against U.S. policy. In April 1981, an NJM representative attended a World Peace Council Congress in Havana, and met with his counterparts from the USSR, Bulgaria, East Germany, Hungary, and the National Committee of Quebec. He reported that assistance would soon be forthcoming from the Soviets, the Hungarians, and the East Germans (Document 45).
THE SOCIALIST INTERNATIONAL

The Declaration of the Socialist International (SI) adopted in Oslo in June 1962, states that the Communist "one-party dictatorships represent in fact tyranny, denying those freedoms of speech, religion, criticism, voluntary organization and contacts with the outside world which are the essence of a democratic society." (See Declarations of the Socialist International, London, 1978, p. 13.) The SI was therefore a natural target for the Communists, and some of the Grenada documents show that "Active Measures" were conducted against the SI by Grenadans and others acting under the direction of the Americas Department of the Central Committee of the Cuban Communist Party. To further the objective of subverting the SI, a "Secret Regional Caucus" was formed by the Nicaraguan Sandinistas, the Grenadan NJM, and some parties in the Latin American Committee of the SI (Document 39). The NJM had sent observers to SI meetings even before its successful revolution, and in late 1979 Bishop applied for membership in the Socialist International. The application was accepted in November 1980 at the SI Congress in Madrid.

The Grenadans, taking their cue from the Cubans and from the Soviets, viewed the Socialist International as a potential enemy, and one unsigned document (Document 38)—apparently from the 1980-81 period—defended the decision to join the SI, but made clear that it was not because of belief in the SI's principles. Membership was supported on two grounds:

**"Active Measures" is an expression used by the Soviets for their influence operations. Soviet Active Measures are coordinated by the International Department of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (ID), which engages in both overt and semi-overt activities. The KGB conducts the covert Active Measures in coordination with the ID.**

Covert Active Measures include forgeries, agents of influence, placement of false stories in the press, and so forth.

Some Soviet Active Measures are carried out through surrogates (usually the intelligence service of another Communist-bloc country). In the Western Hemisphere, the Cuban Communist Party's Americas Department conducts Active Measures on behalf of the Soviet Union. The Americas Department combines both overt and covert Active Measures by having officers of the Cuban Intelligence Service (the DGI) operate on behalf of the Department. For more details, see hearings of the U.S. House of Representatives, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, Soviet Covert Action, 1980 and Soviet Active Measures, 1982.
1. It provided access to international movements which could be persuaded to support New Jewel Movement policies.

2. The New Jewel Movement could use its membership in the SI to express organized support for the progressive struggles in Southern Africa, the Western Sahara, Palestine, El Salvador, Nicaragua, and other parts of Latin America, etc.

There was evidently some concern within the government of Grenada that the NJM might be violating its own principles by being a member of a social democratic organization. The author of the document responded that the Socialist International was sufficiently flexible to permit dissent [sic] and therefore membership in the SI should be retained. It has proved useful and if Grenada's foreign policy initiatives are strengthened it can prove even more useful in the future.

The most detailed documents concerning the Socialist International were apparently not written by Grenadans, but rather by Cubans. Two documents found stapled together appear to have been written by a Cuban and then translated into English. (The language is stilted and the author writes from a vantage point outside the SI. We believe they were written by the same person who wrote Document 37A, definitely a Cuban.) They contain sophisticated analyses of the SI from a Marxist/Leninist perspective. One of them—Document 35—is a report on the 15th Congress of the Socialist International held in Madrid in November 1980. The report refers to international documents that had been circulated confidentially among the members of the SI Bureau. These confidential documents showed that there were internal conflicts on a number of questions. The author of the report complained that the "rightist and conservative sectors of the International" (who, as we shall see, included persons who are now the heads of government in Italy, Spain, and Portugal) had succeeded in including in a draft resolution references to "the Afghan problem; events in Poland...[and] the USSR's alleged arms-race policy."

The nature of the conflict between communism and democratic socialism was spelled out in detail in the other document (Document 36): "in the main contradiction of our times between capitalism and socialism, led by U.S. imperialism and the USSR respectively, Social Democrats as a whole are on the imperialist side up to now." Thus the social democrats were enemies of the Communists, and efforts by the SI to exert influence on Latin America were to be resisted:

We see a dual nature in the projection of social democracy in Latin America and the Caribbean. On the one hand, it does represent a permanent enemy of the essential objectives of the communist and left movements in that this trend intends to prevent the triumph of socialist revolutions and the
materialization of the communist ideal. On the other hand, it is obvious that certain political positions of the social democracy can be used by the revolutionary and progressive forces of the continent at given junctures of the struggle against a repressive and fascist military regime and of the confrontation with U.S. imperialism. Hence, in our view, while ideological struggle is necessary, we should implement ways and methods of case-by-case treatment of the parties related to social democracy whose positions coincide with certain tactical objectives [sic] of the Latin American revolutionary movement (Document 36, page 14).

DEMOCRATIC SOCIALISTS VERSUS THE MARXIST/LENINISTS

Unison Whiteman, minister of external relations of the Bishop Government, attended a two-day emergency SI meeting on Latin America and the Caribbean in Panama on February 28 and March 1, 1981. Whiteman had a dispute over El Salvador with former President Carlos Andres Perez of the Venezuelan Democratic Action Party, who insisted that if the SI meeting was going to condemn the United States for supplying arms to the Salvadoran Government, the Cubans and the Soviets should also be condemned for arming the guerrillas. Whiteman responded that "the U.S. supply of arms to the Junta is a notorious fact, that the U.S. officially and publicly stated this; that SI should not speculate on where the freedom fighters are getting arms from; that in any event we should not equate arms for the oppressors with weapons to defend the people in their just struggle." Whiteman worked for a compromise resolution that named no names, and he implied that the tone of the resolution was hostile only to the United States (Document 41).

Whiteman's position was that of the Cubans. In this regard, an illuminating document is a Spanish-language report on an SI committee meeting held in Nicaragua on June 25, 1981 (Document 33). The report was signed by Manuel Pineiro Losada, head of the Americas Department of the Cuban Communist Party. In this document, Pineiro complained of efforts by the democratic socialists to urge the Nicaraguan regime to move in a more moderate direction. Pineiro was particularly upset with the actions of Carlos Andres Perez and Felipe Gonzales, the head of the Spanish Socialist Party (PSOE) and now the Prime Minister of Spain. Attached to Pineiro's report were two documents: an account in Spanish of the discussion between Bayardo Arce (a member of the Sandinista National Directorate in Nicaragua) and Felipe Gonzales and Carlos Andres Perez for the SI; and an apparently intercepted telex from Hans Eberhard of the German Social Democratic Party to Walter Hacker, the International Secretary of the Austrian Social Democratic
Party. There was also a poor English translation (we have made a fresh translation, included here as Document 33A). Evidently, the Cubans' distrust of the Social Democrats was so intense that they carefully watched the behavior of their principal enemies within the SI.

Conflicts between the democrats and the Communists within the Socialist International emerged at virtually every meeting of which the Grenadans had a record. At a meeting in Bonn on April 1 and 2, 1982 (Document 37), for example, NJM representative Fennis Augustine found that while there was considerable support for Grenada within SI ranks, "some have reservations on what they see as a Marxist thrust of the NJM. I believe that close relationship with Cuba will continue. Nicaragua's position is a little more difficult, although there was a great degree of understanding and sympathy for them by the time the meeting was finished" (Document 37). Augustine noted that some of the social democratic parties were worried about the actions of the Sandinistas, and cited in particular Carlos Andres Perez' party's criticisms of the Nicaraguans. Augustine was also disturbed to encounter SI support for greater democracy in Nicaragua, including elections, a two-party system, human rights, freedom of religion, and freedom of speech and press.

The same meeting was the subject of another report, this one unsigned (Document 37a). Internal evidence (a reference to Cuba as "us") suggests that it was written by a Cuban, and it is quite similar to Documents 35 and 36. It would not be surprising to have a Cuban report of an SI meeting, since the Cubans were almost always present at the site of such meetings, even though they were not permitted to attend. But they gave instructions to the Grenadans (and perhaps also to the Nicaraguans), and were thus able to get detailed reports on what transpired. In any event, the author of the report, while disturbed that the democratic socialists were attempting to neutralize the "revolutionary" countries in the region so as to limit Cuban influence, boasted that the "right-wing" forces within the SI (identified as Felipe Gonzales of Spain, Mario Soares of Portugal, and Carlos Andres Perez of Venezuela, two of whom are now prime ministers and one a former president of their respective countries) were effectively neutralized.

But Cuban and Grenadan optimism turned out to be misplaced. At a meeting of the Socialist International European Bureau in Basle, Switzerland, on November 3 and 4, 1982, there was outspoken criticism of both the NJM and the Sandinista regime in Nicaragua. According to a report of the meeting submitted by Chris DeRiggs, a member of the NJM Central Committee and Minister of Health, there was strong opposition to a resolution expressing solidarity with Grenada and Nicaragua. Leading critics included Mario Soares, Bettino Craxi of the Italian Socialist Party, and Rita Freedman of Social Democrats USA. According to DeRiggs, "their major line of attack was that Grenada was a one-party state and, therefore, could not be considered a democracy" (Document 40, page 4).
Both DeRiggs and Paul Miller of the Peoples National Party (PNP) of Jamaica tried to justify the lack of an opposition party in Grenada, but apparently their rhetoric was not convincing, and so they pondered ways in which the Socialist International could be turned to their own purposes. DeRiggs suggested that the forces of the Latin American left within the SI could be used to lobby the European Socialists, and he observed that Guillermo Ungo of the Salvadoran National Revolutionary Movement (MNR)—affiliated with the Revolutionary Democratic Front (FDR), the political wing of the guerrilla movement—had achieved a certain degree of success along those lines. Thus, in DeRiggs's words, "it is felt that similar efforts from other SI members in the region can help to exploit contradictions existing even within the membership of SI parties like the Socialist Party of Portugal" (Document 40, page 8). In other words, it was necessary to work within the member parties to produce a shift in outlook. By January of 1983, these ideas had taken a more concrete form.

THE "SECRET REGIONAL CAUCUS"

January 6-7, 1983, a Secret Regional Caucus was held in Managua, consisting of five parties affiliated with the Socialist International, and the Communist Party of Cuba (See Document 39). The five SI parties were:

--The FSLN of Nicaragua, represented by Antonio Jarquin (misspelled as Marguin and Harguin in the document), the chairman of the meeting;

--The Salvadoran MNR, represented by Hector Oqueli (this party is one of the groups composing the guerrilla movement, and Oqueli is the Secretary of the Socialist International Committee for Latin America and the Caribbean, which has given a patina of respectability to the Salvadoran insurgents);

--The Chilean Radical Party, represented by "Freda" (the leadership of the party later denied that it had sent a representative);

--The Jamaican PNP, represented by Paul Miller;

--The New Jewel Movement, represented by Chris DeRiggs.

The Socialist International was the main topic of the meeting. In DeRiggs's words, they considered "initiatives to neutralize forces within SI that are against us." And what were these forces? "Our principal enemies are to be found among the parties of Soares and Horgo [sic, Pietro Longo, the leader of the Italian Social Democratic Party] in Portugal and Italy respectively—the Social Democrats of the USA are also our sworn enemies." DeRiggs boasted that of the 14 members of the SI Committee for Latin America and the Caribbean, "there are seven parties that are generally progressive and some within a Marxist-Leninist trend." The Secret Regional Caucus report shows that a resolution on Latin America and the
Caribbean drafted by Hector Oqueli of the Salvadorean MNR, subsequently submitted to the SI, was actually based on guidelines laid down at the meeting. A decision was made to maintain the Secret Regional Caucus, and to "review membership in the future."

This document shows that the NJM was fundamentally opposed to the democratic ideals of the Socialist International, that the Grenadans, along with others in the region, worked in lockstep with the Cubans to undermine the SI's effectiveness, and that the Grenadans' greatest objection to the SI was its insistence on democratic institutions and democratic elections. In fact, on February 3, 1982, Benny Langaigne, the permanent secretary in Maurice Bishop's office, showed the Prime Minister a draft letter addressed to the official magazine of the SI, Socialist Affairs (Document 42). The letter protested a story in the magazine stating that Grenada would have elections in the near future. In fact, the NJM had no such intention.

CONCLUSION

The documents selected for this volume constitute, in our opinion, a representative sample of the total archive. We believe that those who take the time to study them will find a remarkable consistency, a single-minded dedication to the NJM's objective of creating, over time, a Communist society on the Soviet model.

Bishop and his colleagues not only wished to establish communism in Grenada; they wanted to be active members of the Soviet Empire. To this end, they sought ways to curry favor with the Soviets and other bloc countries, and loyally followed the instructions that came to them through Cubans. Thus, on both the domestic and international levels, the Grenadans emulated the USSR and tied their destiny to the Kremlin.

Nonetheless, and despite considerable assistance from the USSR and its proxies, the People's Revolutionary Government was a failure, and the failure led to intense internal conflict, and eventually to the overthrow and murder of Bishop.

The several documents that recount the internal crisis that led to the fall and murder of Maurice Bishop in the autumn of 1983 do not indicate any strong divergence of views between Bishop and those who replaced him; rather, the struggle appears to have been almost exclusively personal. The complaints against Bishop involved inefficiency, insufficient ideological coherence, and lack of strong leadership and guidance, not political deviation or betrayal of the goals of the revolution. We saw no evidence that Bishop was removed because the Cubans or the Soviets were dissatisfied with his political orientation; as far as we have been able to discover,
there is no reason to think that his conversation with Judge Clark
and other American officials earlier in the year led his colleagues
to believe that he was "soft on imperialism."

An archive of the dimensions and richness of this one from
Grenada will provide scholars with a wealth of information about
Communist activities in the Caribbean, Soviet and Cuban foreign
policy, and the problems encountered by Soviet-oriented Communists
in their attempts to mold a new generation of Grenadans who had had
little contact with Marxism/Leninism before the NJM took power.
Of particular interest is the material related to international
organizations, including negotiations with the International
Monetary Fund and attempts to manipulate the Socialist
International. We have given here only a brief overview of a few of
the themes that most interested us. We will be pleased if this
serves to whet the appetites of a wide audience for this collection
of documents, and for the many documents that will be made
accessible to the public with the opening of the Grenada archive.

Washington, D.C.
September 1984
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18. Note from Grenadan Ambassador to Cuba on Vietnamese assistance
20. Agreement between Grenada and North Korea, April 1983
21. Notes on Meeting between Bishop and Soviet Ambassador, May 1983
22. Bill of lading on shipment of rocket warheads from Prague to Grenada via Havana, Cuba
23. Page from notebook of Liam James referring to military use of airport
24. Louison-Ogarkov meeting in Moscow, March 1983
25. Louison's graduation certificate from Soviet Defense Ministry
26. Report from Grenadan Embassy in Moscow on relations with USSR
27. Draft letter from General Austin to Yuri Andropov, head of KGB
28. Report from NJM Collective, at the Lenin School in Moscow, Nov. 1982 to May 1983
29. Report to Bishop from Moscow Embassy, June 1982
31. Letter to Bishop from Gail Reed Rizo, wife of Cuban Ambassador
32. Notes on Bishop's meeting in Washington with Judge Clark, et al.
33. Cuban document on Socialist International written by Manuel Pineiro
33A. English translation of #33
34. Report by an NJM delegate to a Congress in Libya, June 1982
35. Analysis of the 1980 Socialist International Congress in Madrid (probably written by a Cuban)
36. Analysis of Social Democracy in Latin American and the Caribbean (probably written by a Cuban)
37. Report on Socialist International meeting in Bonn, April 1982
37A. Report by a Cuban on the same meeting
38. Grenadan analysis of Socialist International
40. Report on Socialist International Bureau meeting in Basle, Nov. 1982
41. Report on SI meeting in Panama, March 1981
42. Draft letter to SI magazine Socialist Affairs
43. Report on SI meeting in the Dominican Republic, Feb. 1983
44. Report on SI meeting, Aug. 1983

SECTION THREE: MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU and CENTRAL COMMITTEE

Political Bureau

46. Dec. 8, 1980
47. Apr. 22, 1981
48. Apr. 25, 1981
49. Apr. 29, 1981
50. May 6, 1981 (2 sessions)
51. May 13, 1981
52. May 20, 1981
53. May 27, 1981
54. June 3, 1981
55. June 10, 1981
56. June 17, 1981
57. June 24, 1981
58. July 1, 1981
59. July 8, 1981
60. July 29, 1981
62. Aug. 12, 1981
63. Aug. 19, 1981
64. Aug. 26, 1981
66. Sept. 9, 1981
68. Sept. 30, 1981
70. Oct. 21, 1981
71. Report to Political Bureau on meeting of World Conference of Women and Women's International Democratic Federation (WIDF), Oct. 3-30, 1981
72. Nov. 4, 1981
73. Nov. 11, 1981
74. Nov. 18, 1981
75. Dec. 9, 1981
76. Dec. 28, 1981
77. Apr. 7, 1982
78. Apr. 14, 1982
79. Report from Women's Committee, May 11, 1982
80. Sept. 15, 1982
81. Sept. 22, 1982
82. Sept. 29, 1982
83. Oct. 27, 1982
84. Nov. 3, 1982
85. Nov. 17, 1982
86. Dec. 22, 1982
87. Dec. 29, 1982
88. Jan. 5, 1983
89. Jan. 12, 1983
90. Feb. 23, 1983
91. Apr. 20, 1983
92. Apr. 27, 1983
93. May 4, 1983

Central Committee

94. Apr. 26, 1981
95. May 27, 1981
96. Resolutions of Central Committee
97. June 24, 1981
98. Resolution to Central Committee from study groups
100. Aug. 19, 1981
102. Apr. 21, 1982
103. June 26, 1982
104. Aug. 27, 1982
106. Foreign Relations Report to Central Committee
107. List of countries with which Grenada does not wish to develop close relations
108. Grenada's membership in international and regional organizations
109. Draft Resolution, Mar. 21, 1983
111. Emergency Meeting, Aug. 26, 1983
113. Extra-ordinary General Meeting, Sept. 25, 1983
NOTE: The material relating to the international crisis of the NJM and the People's Revolutionary Government, including the fall and death of Maurice Bishop, is found in Oct. 1982 to Sept. 1983 documents covering Central Committee meetings.
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Comrades of the Political Bureau and Central Committee of the Party, Comrades of the Party.

I will like to join with Comrade Strachan to say on behalf of the Central Committee that we are very happy to have all the comrades here this afternoon. As Comrade Selwyn has pointed out, essentially what we want to do today is to deal with the proposed line of march as examined by the C.C. of the Party in the last few weeks.

In our view the line of march needs to take into account four specific features:-

Firstly, the present character and stage of the Revolution. We regard that as fundamentally important. We must decide what exactly is a correct characterisation of the present stage of the Revolution.

Secondly, the line of march must address in a serious way the question of the main tasks facing the Party and Revolution at this time.

Thirdly, we must determine a correct prioritisation of those tasks; we must establish priorities bearing in mind particularly, the comments, criticisms, suggestions, proposals etc. which have been made by Party members and, of course, taking into account the totality of the objective and subjective situation.

The fourth and final factor is the need to emphasise the further development of the subjective factor, the need to place great emphasis and importance on the further development of the subjective factor, that is to say, the Party. In other words, we must look at the Party itself, review the history of the Party very briefly and deal with the question of criteria for membership into the Party and for remaining as members of the Party.
Comrades, in terms of the character of the Revolution, the first aspect to the line of march, we believe it is important for us to look at this question at this time for several reasons.

Firstly and obviously, because we must as a Party know where we are. As Party members, candidate members and applicants we have to face the broad masses out there; we have to answer questions about where we are, what we are trying to do and so on and therefore we must be able to answer those questions in precise terms.

We believe further that there is some confusion on this question, that it has not been sufficiently dealt with in the past and therefore we want today to look at it that much more carefully. It is extremely important for us to get a better understanding of where we are, of what we are trying to build and of how we will be able to build it. That is why we feel that this whole question of what exactly is the present stage is so important.

Before looking at that, a few words on the question of where we have come from, in other words, the inheritance of the Revolution. All comrades know of course that we inherited a backward, undeveloped economy, with a very low level - one can say in fact, a primitive level, of technological and economic development in the country. There was a very low level, and there is still a low level of development of the productive forces, that is, of living human labour, objects of labour and instruments of labour. This low level of development of the productive forces in turn resulted in very underdeveloped class formations.
What we have in Grenada primarily of course, is a very large petit bourgeoisie, particularly a large peasantry—
the rural petit bourgeoisie—small farmers who own small means of production and who must therefore work as they cannot live off their own plot of land alone. Some of them employ labour; some do not. So a large peasantry or bulk of our rural petit bourgeoisie.

Then there is the urban petit bourgeoisie in terms of shopkeepers, garage owners, craftsmen, small restaurant owners and such like. The whole range of the petit bourgeoisie in our country. That of course is by far the largest class formation in the country.

We also have a working class which is very small and made up of agricultural workers based mainly in the rural areas, transport and communication workers on the docks, in telephone, electricity, etc., manufacturing and industrial workers (the smallest section of all) who produce garments, cokes, beer, that sort of thing. Some sections of the working class are employed by Government—garbagemen, the lowest clerical workers, the daily paid workers and so on. And of course we also have the commercial workers.

Some of these comrades of the working class are also small owners of the means of production, but do not rely on that to support themselves—at least not as their main means of support.

In terms of the inheritance I also want to emphasise the low cultural level of our population at large as part of that inheritance and in particular the lack of technical skills and technical expertise of the working people. We must emphasise also the 15th century type of capitalist that we have in the country, capitalists
engaged primarily in comprador activity, in other words largely in the importation and thereafter distribution of goods. This is a particularly parasitic type of capitalist in the full time service of international capitalism on which they must depend for the manufactured goods which give them their profits. They produce nothing and the vast majority of them engage in no form of manufacturing or industrial activity at all.

As part of the inheritance too, we must also note the very low level of infrastructural development of our country. Further, very backward agricultural development is also part of our inheritance and has relevance to the present stage of the Revolution. This inheritance of ours does have negative implications for the road that we are travelling on, for our objective to build Socialism in our country.

First of all, having a small working class is a very very serious disadvantage because only the working class can build Socialism. We know this is so because the working class is the class that is always growing; in fact, it has been historically, and it still is part of capitalist development that the working class gets larger and larger. Again, it is the working class that is most prepared for organisation and discipline because of having to work every day, having to arrive on time, having to engage in collective organisation and collective bargaining in their trade unions and so on. The working class too owns no means of production, in fact owns nothing except their labour and therefore they are the ones who most of all have to fight to end the oppression that comes about as a result of the private ownership of the means of production which of course.....
course enslaves them and ensures that their own development is stultified and, finally the working class does have the key role in building socialism because of their role in production.

This inheritance is a problem also because of the large bourgeoisie that it has left us. We of course have that number of petit bourgeoisie in our country precisely because of economic under-development, precisely because capitalist production was so undeveloped that they did not need much labour and therefore people were by large forced to try to make a living however they could and wherever they could. But because the petit bourgeoisie is a vacating class it is more difficult to build Socialism when there is such a large amount of petit bourgeoisie in the country, precisely because they are in the middle and you have to fight hard to win them. Many of them of course have bourgeois aspirations, many more are deluded and prey by bourgeois ideology and propaganda and therefore the struggle to win the petit bourgeoisie has historically been a very serious intense struggle in all countries that have embarked upon a path of Socialist transformation.

The question we must now pose comrades is whether a society such as ours with their primitiveness, with so little infrastructure, with so little development of productive forces, with such a small working class can really build socialism. This is a question that many other countries before us have posed and many other countries in the future will continue to pose. Of course, this question arises because socialism requires a good level of development of the productive forces, it requires infrastructural development, it
requires agricultural development, it requires industrialisation, it requires a high level of cultural development of the people, it requires an even higher level of political development and political consciousness, it requires central planning of the economy and society as a whole, it requires a serious Marxist Leninist vanguard Party leading, guiding and directing the whole process. All of these things are pre-requisites for the building of Socialism, and, of course, the vast majority of these either do not exist at all or are at a very low level of development, at this time. Nonetheless, the answer is yes, it is possible for a country like ours to build Socialism. That of course we all know. It is possible, but the question is how and we think that this can be seen if we examine some of the possibilities or models for economic development in our country.

We believe that there are four main possibilities for economic development of Grenada and countries like Grenada. The first of these is a total private sector free enterprise system of economic development, your Seaga of Jamaica or your Fuerto Rico model of development, where free enterprise is given full rein, where the private sector is able to rule uncontrolled. The second model is a total state sector approach where just about anything important is owned by the State, where the State owns virtually everything that matters. The third type is a mixed economy, but with a private sector dominant, and of course, that is the model that we have chosen in Grenada, the mixed economy – state sector dominant type model. Put even after having said that, there are still questions of why
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we have chosen that form and the question of precisely how will that form assist us to build socialism are two such questions that come to mind. Obviously, if we are speaking of building Socialism and we are, then it is clear that our objective as Marxist-Leninist must in the first instance be to construct socialism as rapidly, but scientifically as possible. That being so, clearly we cannot choose the path of capitalism. We cannot choose the path of a total private sector free enterprise model because that will be inconsistent with what we believe in and what we have been and are struggling for. We could not likewise choose that path of the mixed economy, with the private sector dominant because that will have tremendous dangers for the successful construction of Socialism and will have us without the effective possibility of guiding and regulating economic development through the imposition of taxes, the granting of credits and concessions and the use of all arms of the State apparatus. This must necessarily be so because it is, as we know, the objective material basis of the economy that determines and directs the political, social and cultural development of the society as a whole.

Equally, we cannot opt for the total state sector model as the state does not have the necessary material of financial resources, management and skills resources, access to markets, international contacts and so on. All of this should be obvious, but for those who have any doubts, please reflect on the tremendous difficulties that we have in finding the dollars necessary to pay the downpayment to the British Company - Flessey's - that will be installing the
radar, communications and navigational equipment for our new international airport, or reflect on how difficult it has been to find guaranteed markets for our primary products and our agro-industrial products, or how difficult it is to find engineers or architects or science teachers or managers — and note I did not even say good managers, I just said managers. No, it would be impossible at this time for the state on its own to build Grenada.

That, of course, means that an alliance is necessary, an alliance in the first place between the working class and the petty bourgeoisie, in particular the rural peasantry, and in the second place an alliance with those elements of the upper petty bourgeoisie and the national bourgeoisie who, for different reasons, are willing to be involved in building the economy and the country at this time.
DEFINITION OF PRESENT STAGE OF GRENADA REVOLUTION

And this leads me at long last to the answer to the question – what is a correct characterisation of the present stage of development of the Grenada Revolution? And the answer, of course, as we all know, is that the Grenada Revolution is a national-democratic, anti-imperialist Revolution, involving the alliance of many classes including sections of the small bourgeoisie but under the leadership and with the dominant role being played by the working people and particularly the working class, through their vanguard Party the NJM.

That is how we define the present stage of the Grenada Revolution today. Obviously National Democratic, anti-imperialist means what it says. I did not say a socialist revolution as some comrades like to keep pretending that we have. Obviously we do not have a socialist revolution and it is not socialist precisely because:

1. The low level of development of the productive forces. You cannot have a socialist revolution with this low level development.

2. Our working class is too small and too politically underdeveloped.

For these primary reasons we cannot proceed straight away to the building of socialism but must first pass through a stage where we lay the basis, where we create the conditions, including the socio-economic and political conditions, for the building of socialism and the creation of the socialist revolution, that is, for the full coming
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to power of the working class. In other words, comrades, what we are into now (this national democratic stage) really means two things. What we are speaking about now is not socialist construction, not the socialist revolution, we are speaking about the national democratic revolution, we are speaking about socialist orientation. So the important things to contradistinguish here are socialist construction the second stage versus socialist orientation the first stage, which is the stage we are in at this time.

Comrades, these two things are completely different and it is very important for us to grasp that difference, because the experience of the C.C. and study guides in the party is that it is an area of tremendous confusion and an area that has proven hard to grasp. In some countries around the world it is of course possible to go straight to socialism. That would have been possible; for example the French in 1789 at the time of their bourgeois-democratic revolution. They could have gone straight to socialism because the necessary objective material bases and conditions were present. Or if tomorrow morning a revolution takes place in the United States or one of the industrialised countries of Western Europe they too can go straight to socialism, because they have a large working class, because the objective material basis in terms of infrastructure, high economic development, high level of development of the productive forces etc, etc are present, so once there is a correct scientific political leadership it is possible for them to proceed to socialism straight away, but for us it is impossible. It really is important for this first concept to be fully grasped.
On Saturday when we were doing the same presentation for the Applicants, there was a particular example I gave which I want to repeat for the Members and Candidate Members and ask for the apologies of the promoted Applicants.

What we gave for — e... while there are two different people in Grenada who owned two separate plots of lands; let us say one person owning land in Grand Anse and another in Grenville, St. Andrew's. Both of them own plots of land, both of them want to build a house on their respective plots of lands. In the case of the man in Grand Anse, his land is flat, his land already has the necessary attachments for telephone, water, electricity, he has a concrete base, there is already some kind of access road to his plot of land — therefore all he has to do is put up his house.

In the case of the man in Grenville, what he has is a rough piece of land, the land is hilly, the land has a lot of bush, a lot of trees, a lot of stone. There are no water pipes near to this man's plot of land; there are no telephone poles near to this man's plot of land, no electricity poles, no access road — he has to go through a dirt track. This second man cannot just go and put up his house. First of all he has to cut a piece of road to the house to get the materials there; then he has to level the land and he has to do all the necessary earth work; and civil works; he has to put down his concrete base and only then can he begin to talk about building his house.

The first man, the man in St. George's, he is ready for socialist construction.

The second man, the one in Grenville with the rough hilly land who has to do all the necessary preliminary works is the kind of man like us here in Grenada who can't go straight to socialism. He has to first lay the basis
and the foundations. We have to cut the land, cut the road, make sure the telephone poles are laid, the pipe borne water is available and so on before we can build the house.

That is the difference, comrades, between socialist orientation and socialist construction and that is the stage we are at, the first stage - the stage of socialist orientation.

Comrades, we speak of the national democratic anti-imperialist revolution and each of those words, of course, has a meaning. The national democratic anti-imperialist revolution is national because it arose from a national liberation struggle that was aimed to do away with the political, economic and ideological domination of an oppressive ruling elite that of Gairy, imperialism and their allies. It is national because it involved, and still involves, a vast majority of the people - that is why the national democratic Revolution is national.

It is democratic because it aims to give or restore rights and freedoms to the majority of the people. Under the Gairy dictatorship of course, many of the rights of the working people were taken away. The February 1976 Essential Services Act took away the right to strike from eleven of the most important categories of workers. The Public Order Act, 1974, prohibited political parties and even individuals from using loudspeakers, without police permission. The 1975 Newspaper Act made it impossible to publish a newspaper that was political and opposed to Gairy. These rights, as comrades know, have been restored. We have all created new rights because part of this national democratic path is the need to readily expand
democracy and the democratic participation of the poor and working people in the country. That is why we have brought more democratic rights through the establishment of zonal councils, workers parish councils, farmers, women and youth councils - all of the organs of popular democracy.

The Revolution is also anti-imperialist because it is opposed to foreign domination and the exploitation of our country and its resources by the transnational co-operations. Of course, this looks only at the economic essence of imperialism for that is what I am trying to focus on at this time. The political, cultural and ideological aspects of imperialism are not what we are dealing with here, though they will also be struggles at this anti-imperialist stage.

We want to point out too, comrades, that the national democratic anti-imperialist stage can be led not just by the working class, not just by the petty bourgeoisie, but even by the bourgeoisie. It can be led by the bourgeoisie, petty bourgeoisie or the working class - any of these class forces can lead the Revolution. If it is led by the bourgeoisie, obviously, it could never go on to build socialism - that will be an impossibility; no bourgeois can build socialism. If it is led by the petty bourgeoisie, the only basis on which it can build socialism is if the petty bourgeoisie leadership in the course of the class struggle is transformed into a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist leadership and therefore develops a Marxist-Leninist Party that then guides and directs the process. Without that transformation, it would also be impossible.

Therefore, obviously it is only the working class that can build socialism. It is only under the leadership
of the working class, led by a Marxist-Leninist vanguard Party that the process can be completed and we can go on to socialist construction. That is the only time it is possible.

That again, comrades, needs to be understood by us because of its tremendous relevance to the nature of the alliance we have and what we need to do from here on.

This national democratic stage of the revolution has, broadly speaking, two main components - a political aspect and an economic aspect.

**POLITICAL ESSENCE OF THE NATIONAL - DEMOCRATIC PARTY**

In terms of the political aspect, the essence of that political aspect is the dictatorship of the working people, dictatorship of rule of the working people - that is the essence. This essence implies a change in the balance of forces that presently exists, a change in the balance of forces that will usually be involved in the anti-imperialist struggle of the national liberation movements. In other words, in your Angolas, Mozambiques, etc., what you would normally find happening is that there is a class alliance involved in the fight to end colonialism. And that class alliance will involve the bourgeoisie, the petty-bourgeoisie and the proletariat (the working class) - all three.

And in countries like ours, after independence, just like in Grenada today, what you usually find happening is that state power is wielded by an alliance of the bourgeoisie, the petty-bourgeoisie and the working class through a particular Party or combination of Parties, and usually comrades, as you know, the situation is that it is the bourgeoisie and the petty-
bourgeoisie that is pre-dominant, the combined bourgeoisie and petty bourgeoisie that is pre-dominant, and the working class is the minority influence. That is the usual situation in countries like ours even after independence. That is what is happening right now. Right through the English-speaking Caribbean - in all of them - you can see that the bourgeoisie and petty-bourgeoisie are ruling and that working class representation is very small.

But when countries start to move to develop this essence I was talking about - the dictatorship (or rule) of the working people - is that in the course of class struggle, the bourgeoisie begins to become subordinated and the influence of the petty-bourgeoisie and working class together becomes pre-dominant. In other words a drift begins to take place, at first imperceptible, but gradually observable and at a certain moment when quantity becomes quality, that shift becomes very clear and very noticeable. And at that time, the bourgeoisie becomes the minority force and the petty bourgeoisie and proletariat begin to rule. And when that happens, it becomes the first time at which it is possible to shift the country away from the path of capitalist development, because a combination of bourgeoisie and petty bourgeoisie pre-dominant necessarily means that the emphasis will be on capitalist development. And equally, once the shift takes place then the potential is there for the first time to begin to move along the path of socialist orientation and away from the path of capitalist development. That comrades, is our situation in Grenada, and that was the situation when we took power on March 13th, 1979.

When we took power on March 13th 1979, as comrades know, we did not take power as an alliance - we took power as
N.J.M. But within the first few hours of taking power, we tried to build an alliance and we begun to build that alliance for two main reasons:— Firstly to hold on to power in the first few seconds, minutes, hours, days and weeks. And the second reason was to defeat imperialism, in the months and years thereafter, because defeating imperialism is a complex process, that requires a political orientation and an economic transformation that involves crushing the rule of the monopolies and of big business in your country, that involves taking control of the commanding heights of the economy and so on. And we cannot do that on our own and that is why the alliance was and is needed.

But comrades, we have to be very clear that it was the Party and the Party alone that took power. GNP didn't help us; there was no UPP, there was no alliance with any upper petty-bourgeoisie or any national bourgeoisie in seizing power. In fact, most of these elements might have run from the prospect of having to go down to True Blue barr to take power. N.J.M took power on its own, but N.J.M then decided — correctly — that an alliance was needed to hold power. We understood the reasons for that because we knew we could not do it on our own. The leadership of the Party and the Party itself had a working class ideology and therefore an understanding of what was required to ensure that the working class will eventually take power. But we were way ahead and we still are way, way ahead ideologically of the masses of our people in general and also of our national bourgeoisie. We are much more politically and ideologically developed than them, we have a much deeper class consciousness then them.

So we have the objective to build socialism. We know that objective could only be achieved if we built an 17/ alliance......
alliance but not all of our masses know that and in fact the vast majority still have no scientific understanding of this need for an alliance. So what we did, we did in their interest and acting in their name, even though they did not necessarily understand why we were doing what we were doing. And it is very significant Comrades that from the start, from the very first second of the Grenada Revolution (let us say 4.30 a.m. on March 13th 1979) from the very first second of the Grenada Revolution, what was established was a dictatorship of the entire working people. In its operationalisation; in its initial concretisation; it took the form of the anti-Gairy poor and working people, in other words, those people who were opposed to Gairy were the ones who appeared to be in the vanguard. And that is true, they were in the vanguard. But right from the start, the effective dictatorship was not only of the anti-Gairy working people. It was a dictatorship of the entire working people with the anti-Gairy working people initially being in the operational vanguard of that dictatorship. It is a very important concept for us to grasp and to agree on.

As the Revolution deepened and strengthened and consolidated, as the Gairyite working people came to see that the Revolution was also in their interest, that we were not victimising them, that, in fact, we were bringing benefits to them, then they too joined the Revolution, and today, a majority of them support the Revolution. But right from the start the rule that was established by the NJM on behalf of the working class, was rule of the entire working people. It's a very important conceptual point.
From the start too, comrades, we had an alliance with sections of the upper petty bourgeoisie and national bourgeoisie right from the word GO. Within the first few hours of the Revolution, we began to put that alliance in place. I can remember all of us making phone calls to different sections of the Petty-bourgeoisie and the National bourgeoisie, inviting them to come down to Radio Free Grenada and in some cases beginning to feel them out as to whether or not they were willing to serve on the ruling council of the People's Revolutionary Government.

I can remember very well that the first set of names we announced for the ruling council was fourteen (14), not twenty-three (23). And these fourteen names were made up mainly (outside of the immediate leadership), of the petty-bourgeoisie, the upper petty-bourgeoisie and the national bourgeoisie. You remember that? Simon Charles and Sydney Ambrose - peasantry, Bernard Gittens - professional middle strata, Lloyd Noel - professional middle strata; Palm Buxo and Norris Bain - middle capitalists; Lyden Ramdhanny - big capitalist; that is who the People's Revolutionary Government was. And this was done deliberately so that imperialism won't get too excited and would say "well they have some nice fellas in that thing; everything alright." And as a result wouldn't think about sending in troops.

That was the mistake, for example, the comrades in Gambia made a few months ago. Remember the Gambia Coup R'tat a few months ago? What was the first thing those comrades did? They say "we are Marxist-Leninists and we have just had a Marxist-Leninist Revolution and we go wipe out the bourgeoisie." The same day they
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overthrow them - same day, they didn't even give them three days. So fortunately, NJM had a little more sense than that. And like I said comrades, the first fourteen names were bourgeoisie, big capitalist, petty-bourgeoisie, middle capitalist, peasantry and professional middle strata - that is who made up the People's Revolutionary Government. It is only after about a week and a half (if I recall correctly), when we held the Party General meeting in Radio Free Grenada's studio (and some comrades here would have been present at that meeting) that we finally got around to pulling some more Party comrades. You all remember that meeting down in Radio Free Grenada studio. It was then we chose nine more comrades to make up the twenty-three. But the first set of names were Lyden, Pam Buxo, Norris Bain, Lloyd Noel and so on. That is what I mean by saying the alliance began from the first few hours and the first few days. And that alliance was and is extremely important.

From our point of view comrades, why do we need the alliance?

We need the alliance firstly, as we pointed out already, to hold power in the first few days and weeks.

We need the alliance, secondly, to consolidate and build the revolution and to ensure the defeat of imperialism. this time we can't do this effectively without the alliance.

We need the alliance, comrades, because we don't have enough managers, because we don't have enough capital, because we don't have enough international contacts, because we don't have enough markets. For all of these reasons, we need the alliance.
If we were the State that owned the flour mill in Tempe and not Geddes Grant, we wouldn't be able to sell that flour so easily to Jamaica and the others in Caricom. If it were the State that owned the Garment Factory in True Blue and not Hadeed, we wouldn't be able to sell garments to Barbados and Trinidad so easily. The capitalist prefers to deal with the capitalist and capitalist Governments allows other capitalists to come in, even when their Government is a socialist oriented Government like our Government in Grenada. It is very important for us to see that.

And why does the bourgeoisie need the alliance?

They need the alliance first of all because they have contradictions with imperialism. Imperialism especially at this time because of the deep capitalist crisis, has been putting the squeeze on the national bourgeoisie. They can't always get letters of credit, every month the banks make the terms for the letters of credit more difficult instead of three month repayment, it becomes one month. Sometimes they get not even one day. Also they can't get the kinds of loans they want. And when they do get loans, they have to pay high interest rates. And overall, of course, the fact of the recession - the capitalist crisis makes it more difficult for them to develop in the way in which they would like. This is so because the capitalist crisis affects Grenada and helps to squeeze our economy because their demand for our goods - cocoa, banana, nutmegs - has fallen. And when we can't sell cocoa, banana, nutmegs, then it means the standard of living falls because there is less money floating around. So a lot of the capitalists are vexed with imperialism. That is the first point.

21........
The second point is that they have been watching the Revolution and they are now convinced that the Revolution gives them new possibilities for making profits, new possibilities for expanding their businesses and moving on. They have watched the growth of infrastructure - the new International Airport, the coming Sandino Plant, the Emulsion Plant, the Quarry and Asphalt Plant, the new East Coast Road, the Feeder Roads, the West Coast road to come, the new Telephone system to come, the new Electricity system to come, the new Storage Tank Farm to come, the 40% more water in people's homes. They have watched all of that and understand that it represents the necessary basis for them to develop their business and to make profits. In other words they see the Revolution as providing them with the possibility of developing and expanding.

And don't forget that we have been encouraging them through holding many consultations with them. When we have a National Conference on the Economy with the mass organisations (as we did on 29th January), two weeks later, we held a National Conference with the Private Sector, and we are sitting down with them just like we did with the masses.

Now we are settling with their participation a Tourism Code and an Investment Code. And Cde Coard, as the Minister of Finance, has been meeting with them very regularly and giving them incentives, giving them concessions, helping them to develop the confidence that the state is not going to crush them. And all of this has now had a qualitative effect on the National Bourgeoisie.

A third factor, comrades, and it is a factor we sometimes forget, is that the National Bourgeoisie has
Here is the un-patriotic capitalist and there is the patriotic one, and it is the patriotic ones who will form the alliance with us. The un-patriotic ones will sell out and go abroad or will try to engage in sabotage. So the reality of patriotism is something that must not be overlooked.

And fourthly and finally, it is important to remember that part of the reason we can form the alliance with them is because of their own low level of class consciousness. Therefore, they don't really fully understand what it is we are doing. It comes over in a million things they say from time to time. They are not really... and they are still hoping that what we are building is not socialist... but one of them puts it socialist capitalism or capitalist socialism - whatever that means.

So, there is that area of confusion. But comrades a few more words on the nature of the alliance that we have with these sections. First of all, it is important to understand that the alliance we have gives full, total complete control to the Party and the working people. The party and the working people have hegemony. A monopoly will mean total power, hegemony will mean total control, and that is the distinction we are drawing between hegemony and monopoly.

But there is absolutely no doubt that we have a hegemonic control on power and over all the capital areas of the State. We can see this in several different ways. If you consider the question Cabinet. The Cabinet of our country has ten (10) ministers and nine of these ten ministers are members of the Party; the only non-member of the Party is Morris Bain. If you look at the ruling
council of the People's Revolutionary Government, you will see it no longer has twenty-three people because Lloyd Noel is in detention, Pam Buxo is out of the country, Lyle Bullen is no longer involved. These are three people who are out, there are now twenty (20) people who are in the P.R.G. And if you look at the Party and Cabinet and you analyse them carefully, you will discover an over 50% direct control by the Party of the ruling council of the P.R.G. and Cabinet.

Secondly, to see further this hegemony or control I am talking about comrades, look at the composition of our army and militia. We don't have any upper petty-bourgeoisie or bourgeoisie in our army or militia. When you look at the officers in the army it is Working Class comrades or petty-bourgeois revolutionary democrats or communists who are the officers in the army - that's the situation in our army.

Thirdly comrades, consider our Zonal Councils and our Workers Councils and so on. The bourgeoisie is not invited deliberately and consciously, so they don't have the opportunity to come and try to confuse people inside the councils. When we're having a Zonal Council in this building or a Workers Parish Council, we send out the invitations, we decide who we want to invite and we live the bourgeoisie out deliberately and consciously.

Consider the trade unions in our country, five of the eight leading trade unions are under the direct leadership and control of full members, candidate members and applicants of our Party. There is no doubt about it; what we have is hegemony; we have full control.
I want to think of another area. Just consider, comrades, how laws are made in this country. Laws are made in this country when Cabinet agrees and when I sign a document on behalf of Cabinet. And then that is what everybody in the country - like it or don't like it - has to follow. Or consider how people get detained in this country. We don't go and call for no votes. You get detained when I sign an order after discussing it with the National Security Committee of the Party or with a higher Party body. Once I sign it - like it or don't like it - it's up the hill for them.

It is also important to note comrades, that while we are in an alliance with sections of the bourgeoisie and upper petty-bourgeoisie, they are not part of our dictatorship. They are not part of our rule and control - they are not part of it. We bring them in for what we want to bring them in for. They are not part of our dictatorship because when they try to hold public meetings and we don't want that, the masses shut down the meeting. When we want to hold Zonal Councils and we don't want them there, we keep them out. When they want to put out newspaper and we don't want that, we close it down. When they want freedom of expression to attack the Government or to link up with the CIA and we don't want that, we crush them and jail them. They are not part of the dictatorship. In fact, if the truth is told, they have been repressed by the dictatorship. They have lost some of the rights they used to have. Now it is the working people who have these rights, not the bourgeoisie. When the working people want to hold a public meeting, we don't stop them. When the working people want to go and hold a picket, we don't stop them. When they want to Picket Bata, that is good, but if Bata want to picket workers we jail Bata. The workers could Picket Bata, but Bata
cannot picket no workers. When Torchlight workers want to take over the company, we support them, not publicly and through making noise because that would not be in our interest. We pretend we don't know what's happening and let the trade unionists do it. But if the Torchlight owners try to crush the workers, we jail the Torchlight owners.

The point is all rights are not for them, all freedoms are not for them, but all rights and freedoms are now for the majority who are no longer oppressed and repressed by a tiny minority. That is very important to understand because that is what dictatorship or rule means. And that is how every state operates. That is why the state came about in the first case; so that there would be a dictatorship and a minority, in the case of the capitalist state, would crush and oppress the majority. In the case of the Socialist State, the majority will crush, oppress and repress the recalcitrant minority. That is what it is, and that is what the nature of the dictatorship is, so they are not part of that. And that is very important for us to understand.

Comrades, as we see it, this political essence - this dictatorship of the working people - is what we have to continue to develop and to build rapidly if we are to make substantial progress in building the national democratic anti-imperialist phase of the Revolution. And I would say, there are six (6) things to watch and to emphasise in terms of the political essence.

First, it means control by the Party and the working people. So we have to be guided by that at all times. The Party and the working people; the Party acting in the name of the working people and particularly, of course, the working class must control, guide and direct the process - must rule.
Secondly, it means an alliance has to continue to be maintained, firstly, with the peasantry and other elements of the petty-bourgeoisie, and secondly with sections of the upper petty-bourgeoisie and the national bourgeoisie. That also means comrades, when we do work plans when we have particular actions we want to take or are about to take, we always have to be conscious of this alliance. In other words, comrades in St. Andrew's area for example, who historically have given extra ordinary trouble in their dealings with Norris Bain, Minister of Housing and member of the ruling FRG Council must be very careful. Over and over again, there would be activities, where there is no reason why the comrade can not be present, and they won't invite him. So we have him coming to us and complaining about all kinds of unnecessary problems because of stupidity. On the way in which some comrades choose to relate to, let's say a Lyden Ramdhanny or a Bernard Gittens. The fact is that we have an alliance and the alliance is important. And the same applies to the patriotic businessmen with whom we are developing joint ventures and whom we are encouraging to invest. We can't meet them and curse them or get on arrogantly with them for no good reason. Obviously, a trade union struggle is one kind of thing. But what I am saying is that for as long as the alliance is there, it calls for a certain kind of political maturity at the level of our behaviour in dealing with those with whom we are building an alliance. That is very important for us to watch.

The third thing, comrades, the question of our people; their education (political and academic); the development of further democratic mechanisms and organisations and means and methods of getting them to be involved and to participate and so on; The need for greater training in democracy for them. In other words, the
preparation for them to rule. That, of course, primarily refers to the working class but it applies in general to the working people and also to the broad masses in terms of the development of democracy, in terms of the involvement in mass organisations, in terms of participation in the organs of popular power.

The fourth point, the necessary emphasis we have to give at all times to the working class' (we are going to come back to that so I don't want to say too much on it). But for this political section, it has to be emphasised.

And the fifth point, the building of the Party, because again it is the Party that has to be at the head of this process, acting as representatives of the working people and in particular the working class. That is the only way it can be because the working class does not have the ideological development or experience to build socialism on its own. The Party has to be there to ensure that the necessary steps and measures are taken. And it is our primary responsibility to prepare and train the working class for what their historic mission will be later on down the road. That is why the Party has to be built and built rapidly, through bringing in the first sons and daughters of the working class.

And finally comrades, the need always for firmness and inflexibility on political questions that affect the building of socialism. On the economic front, you can have a lot of flexibility; on the political front the flexibility must be very little. We have to be firm because we are walking a real tight rope. On the one hand, you have to give encouragements and incentives and build the confidence of the bourgeoisie. But on the other hand, when they step out of line, we still have to crush them. So it's that kind of tightrope that has to be walked.
I want to come corades, to the economic essence in the non-capitalist path, or more precisely the path of socialist orientation. That is what the economic essence of this national democratic business is - the non-capitalist path of economic development, the path of socialist orientation. That involves in particular building the state sector along particular lines which I now want to describe quickly.

Firstly, the state sector must be built to be the dominant sector. As comrades know, that's happening already. Last year over 50% of all investments in this country were by the public sector, by the state and at this time the controls about a quarter of the total economy.

Building the state sector to be the dominant sector means a number of things:-

(a) We must assume total control of all financial institutions over a period of time. I did not say total control tomorrow morning or next year, but equally over a period - that must happen:

(b) We must assume total control of all foreign trade and also of some aspects of internal trade. The MNIB, of course, is helping us in that area already. This year, the MNIB will have a turnover of $20m. Right now MNIB has $35m in stocks (quite a staggering figure). Right now, MNIB is buying over 70 agricultural items from the farmers in Grenada. Right now, one in every ten farmers is selling his produce to MNIB. Right now, the three main depots for the MNIB (Young Street, Hillsborough Carriacou, and Petit Martinique), in January, February, March of this year together, sold something like 300,000 lbs of produce. And I'll give you something that's
even more staggering than that which was told to me by the Manager of the MNIB depot in Petit Martinique - Linus Belmar. Belmar told us that the Petit Martinique depot has a monthly turnover of $60,000 - a quite staggering figure. The role of the MNIB, both in the area of imports and exports, will have to be stepped up in the coming period.

(c) We must assume total control of all Public Utilities - electricity, telephone, water, National Transport Service. And here again, as comrades know, we already in fact control those four. The missing one for us now is Cable and Wireless and the Satellite Dish from the Soviet Union will be one aspect of the timing in relation to Cable and Wireless.

(d) We must continue the building of the infrastructure - air port, sea ports, roads etc. - all aspects of infrastructure.

(e) We must ensure the further development of tourism, of the manufacturing and industrial sectors; of the agricultural sector; of the agro-industrial sector; of fisheries. In other words, all of the main pillars of the economy - agriculture, agro-industries, fisheries, tourism, manufacturing and light industry.

(f) We must develop central planning mechanisms for the economy and the society as a whole. But first of all we must start with the economy. In terms of the development of the economy comrades, over the next 10 - 15 years; as we see it, the next 5 years - emphasis will undoubtedly be tourism. That is not to say that we like tourism, That is because we have no choice. Tourism is
the sector that has the greatest potential for giving us the profits to invest in the areas we really want to invest in - agriculture, agro industries, fisheries, and non-agro industrialisation generally. That's really where we will like to go, but those cannot produce the money at this time, while tourism can. We estimate that we will spend about $350m in just tourism alone over the next couple of years, including the cost of the New International Air-port.

The question is how to control that tourist development? And the plan there as you know is the Tourism Code, the Investment Code in general, and of course, a very, very careful policy and the development of careful guidelines at every stage to ensure that the negative social effects of tourism are at all times curbed. For example, take prostitution, if you catch local prostitutes - lock them up and rehabilitate them. If you catch foreign prostitutes coming in - deport them. So we will have to develop a very careful set of rules and guidelines to ensure that tourism doesn't get out of hand. But at the same time, unfortunately for us is the way I will put it, tourism has to be the key for the immediate short term period.

For one thing, there is no way we can ever pay back for that International Air-port in a short or medium term if we don't have tourism developed. So that's where it's at for the next five years or so. The next five years after that - agriculture with a lot more emphasis then too on Agro-Industries and Fisheries. But of course, in this first five-year period we also have to continue to do a lot of work on agriculture, agro industries and fisheries, so don't misunderstand what I am saying. I am talking about emphasis and where the dollar bills will have to go because we
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don't have many dollars. But the fact of the matter is that all of these phases I am describing we will have to continue to work on all fronts. But we are not going to be able to make the kind of returns we need on Agro, Agriculture or Fisheries in the upcoming period. Hence the importance of tourism.

And in terms of agriculture comrades, the Youth Employment Programme assumes predominant emphasis right now in this first period.

In the third five year period (that is in ten years time), light industry, especially non-agro based industry and manufacturing will become more and more predominant, more and more important.

It is important to observe comrades that all of this lays the basis for the development of capitalism. And that of course is a major problem because it means that if we are not careful capitalism rather than socialism will be the the end product, just like when Lenin had formulated NEP right after the Great October Socialist Revolution, the Bolsheviks too had that same problem and concern.

Simultaneously we will be nurturing the shoots of capitalism and the shoots of socialism and the question is which one becomes predominant and how you control and ensure that socialism is what comes out and not capitalism. We have the same problem as the young Soviet State faced but a million times more difficult, because our state sector is much smaller and does not have the potential in this immediate period for providing the profits to build the economy and the country. And of course, we have a much smaller and
less ideologically developed working class. On top of that we have this massive petty bourgeoisie; you have this low level of development of class consciousness; you have this total backwardness and primitiveness in the economy. In other words comrades, we have a tight rope that we have to monitor very carefully as we walk it - every single day, understanding clearly that all of this infrastructural development, and all of this activity we are describing not only can build socialism but also capitalism.

What this means is that our primary task must be to sink the ideas of Marxism/Leninism amongst the working people so that their own ideological level can advance and they can begin to better understand what we are trying to do and why their class consciousness can be raised in this way. Secondly, of course we can control the development of capitalism through the use of laws and regulations; because one thing we do have is political control (and we have that firmly) so we can decide on how much taxes to charge, we can decide who get credits, we can decide who gets concessions and pioneer incentives, we can decide what of Laws to pass and when, we can decide who to "manners" and when. In other words, we can use the apparatus of the State in order to effect those controls. But it is a tight rope and we just need to be careful and understand what we are involved in.

**TASKS OF THE NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC STAGE**

Comrades, the tasks of this national democratic stage can perhaps be summarised in ten points; and I want to just quickly list them.

1. Ensure the leading role of the working class through its Marxist/Leninist Party backed by
some form of the dictatorship of the proletariat. But please note that I said some form of the dictatorship of the proletariat, because obviously at this stage we cannot have the dictatorship of the proletariat or the working class, but the form we would have at this first stage is the dictatorship of the working people.

(2) Build the alliance between the working class and mass of the working people; in other words, the alliance between the working class and the urban and rural bourgeoisie. At the same time, we must also build an alliance with those patriotic sections of the upper petty bourgeoisie and national bourgeoisie who are willing to help develop the country.

(3) Ensure over a period, public ownership of the means of production. In other words, build the state sector.

(4) Work towards the gradual transformation of agriculture along socialist lines through development of voluntary co-operative farms and state farms.

(5) Plan the development of the economy in order to lay the basis for the building of socialism and to raise living standards.

(6) Begin the implementation of the cultural revolution. And this cultural revolution, as all of us know, is one of the four revolutions we are building at the same time - the political, economic, scientific and technological and the cultural. And in the context of the cultural revolution, I want to emphasise three main points - the spreading of the socialist ideology, the wiping out of illiteracy and the building of a new patriotic and revolutionary-
Build the defence capacity of the country so as to protect it and to protect the revolution from internal and external enemies. Comrades, the applicants on Saturday in one of the six workshops came back reporting that they were very concerned about the fact that there were so many non-party comrades who were leading the militia; and that is an area of concern that we share very strongly. We have to get more party comrades into the leadership of the militia. Just in terms of the means that we have here right now, we are short by over one fifth of the comrades that we need to operate them. Just in terms of what we have, I am not talking about what is to come. So if comrades are not prepared to come out and learn to use those means, then it means that other comrades out there, hopefully supporters and strong sympathisers would be the ones using them, which means that at the appropriate time we won't even have the guarantee that the guns can't be turned back on us. So I really hope comrades will take that comment from the workshop seriously.

Develop proletarian internationalism. As representatives of the working class in Grenada, we have to ensure that our working class and the working people always demonstrate maximum solidarity with all international working class struggles. That is a fundamental responsibility.

Develop equal and friendly relations with all governments in the world, except the fascist military dictatorship and apartheid types. That is why comrades, we have been making trips to different countries in Latin America like Mexico,
Venezuela, Ecuador, Panama and so on. That is why in a few days time we leave for France to another state visit. We must develop relations with all different kinds of countries - some of them revolutionary-democratic, some of them social-democratic, some of them, like in the case of many in CARICOM, straight pro-capitalist and pro-imperialist in outlook.

(10) Build rapidly our links with the Socialist World, especially the Soviet Union. And here I should hardly need to say more; we have just come back from an important visit to the land of Lenin, the Soviets in the last two days have arrived, nine of them including the Ambassador and their Embassy is about to be opened and so on. So these links and relations are building reasonably satisfactory.

FOR THE PRESENT PERIOD

Coming out of all of this comrades, what are the tasks as seen by the Central Committee?

The first task is sinking the ideas of Marxism/Leninism among the working class and the working people. The main vehicle for this comrades is socialism classes. The Central Committee feels very strongly that this is the Number One task. And of course, there can be only one Number One task, and this the Central Committee regards as Number One - sinking the ideas of Marxism - Leninism among the working class and the working people. The fact of the matter is that a national democratic revolution can be turned back easily. For example in the case of Nasser's Egypt, not withstanding the years of hard work put in by Nasser and his party into trying to build the national democratic revolution in Egypt, After his death it took only a few years to roll back all that....
all that had been accomplished. And there were several reasons. One, the party was not in fact built along Leninist vanguard lines and secondly, because the ideas of Marxism/Leninism had not taken root, there was no deep class consciousness in Egypt. We know that in many of these national democratic revolutions - in Iraq, Somalia, Algeria and so on - the fact is that the ideas of Marxism/Leninism were and are not being spread. And therefore, with the ideological work being weak, at a certain point it becomes easy for forces opposed to revolutionary transformation to overturn what had been accomplished.

2. The second task, comrades, the organisation of the working class and the working people through their trade unions, their organs for popular power, their mass organisations and through sports and culture - the Organisation of the working class and the working people.

3. Thirdly, comrades, strengthening the Leninist character of the party by bringing in the best elements of the working people and in particular the working class, and through building the internal organisation of the Party.

4. Fourthly comrades, building the economy along the path of socialist orientation, thus providing more material benefits for the masses and laying the basis for the construction of socialism.

5. The fifth task, developing the defence capacity of the country through building the militia both quantitatively and qualitatively by strengthening the influence of the Party in the militia.

We believe very firmly, comrades, that the tasks have been put in the correct order of priority by the Central Committee. Unless the party as a whole feels very strongly 1 - 37
about this, and we decide to change this prioritisation; these priorities in the order outlined will stand as 1.2.3.4. and 5. You can have two number ones and you can't have three number twos. One is one and two is two. So we have to look at our workplans and committees and look at the programmes that we are into and revise them to make sure that they are in line with the line of march set by the Central Committee. One is one, Two is two, Three is three, Four is four and Five is five. Because, comrades, as you know another historic weakness of ours has been to set priorities one day and then the very next day to break the priorities that we have set; so we really need this time to take a very strong and firm position on this question.

THE SUBJECTIVE FACTOR - THE PARTY

Comrades I want to close, but what I want to close by saying will take another fifteen minutes or so. I want to close by going into the question of the subjective factor, in other words the party, a very brief history of the party's development and the criteria for Party membership at this time.

Over the past nine and a half years, our Party has passed through many stages of development; all of us know that. We have analysed recently that there have been six major stages that the Party has gone through. The first stage began on the 11th March, 1973, with the merger, when came NJM out of JEWEL and MAP led by Illigantsoa and rural petty-bourgeoisie. The Strategy adopted was one of mass mobilisation with seizure of power coming through mass mobilisation, general strike, street marches and thereafter insurrection. Mistakes were made, a deep class approach was not taken, no attempt was made to build a Leninist Party......
a Leninist Party, there was an over-reliance on spontaneity and the possibilities of crowd politics. That period, comrades, March '73 to April '74 is the period of mass mobilisation in action. Using the issue of Gairy's incorrect approach to the question of independence as a base we went around the country agitating the masses for popular insurrection. During that same period in fact, within the first two months of the Party being formed, we liberated 51 rifles from Gairy. It is true we did not hold them for as long as we would have liked; we had them for one year and then Belmar took them back, but in fact we stole 51 rifles as part of that preparation.

During this period of mass mobilisation, we held the People's Convention on Independence and the massively attended People's Congress where five historic, but nonetheless ultra-leftist, decisions were taken. You remember the decisions? Firstly, we tried Gairy, found him guilty of 27 crimes and gave him two weeks to resign. We suggested that a National Unity Council should be elected and a National Unity Council was elected and we said it would have the task of supervising the orderly transition to power of the new regime. We also decided that the people would take steps to remove the Gairy dictatorship if he did not resign within 2 weeks. So undoubtedly, this was ultra-leftism in action. Nonetheless the major weakness of this period was the subjective factor; the fact that a Leninist approach to party building and to strategy and tactics were not adopted; and this is notwithstanding the notable achievements of the period, including the publication of our Manifesto.

After the defeat in January '74 the Party held its first major evaluation in April '74, we were then
exactly one year and one month old. We spent a few
days, a whole week-end, looking at the Party and
trying to decide where we went wrong and what corrective
action was needed. That is when we decided in theory
and in principle that we should build a Leninist Party.
That decision was taken in April '74 but in practice
that decision was not implemented for many years. In
fact, there was a constant struggle within the Party
to get Leninist principles, in practice and in a
concrete way going but it was always an uphill struggle,
particularly on the need for collective study. In
this second period, that is from April '74 to June '77,
the Party was very much going through its period of
early childhood, though our contesting of the 1976
General Elections and our policy on Alliances were
evidence of a developing political and ideological
maturity.

In the time period, July '77 to August '78, the party
did make a qualitative leap forward in terms of Leninist
standards and principles. That is the period when we
stepped up our work among the working class, the work
was not sufficiently deep, but at least it was starting.
We tried to organise the agricultural working class
but did not get very far because of Gairy's use of
repression in protecting his base among the agricultural
working class. But we did some work during that period
with the urban working class and with sections of the
rural petty bourgeoisie - the farmers and the fishermen.
That is the period too when the Organising Committee of
the Party was formed, thus taking some of the strain
off the Political Bureau and leaving matters of
discipline, party organisation and so on, for the
Organising Committee to handle - a critical step
forward.
And then we came to the next period, the fourth period from August '78 to March '79, when the Party really moved into top gear. The timing was fortuitous for us because at the exact moment that a revolutionary situation was developing a number of key work committees of the Party began to function. Inner party democracy was also being strengthened; party study was going on, and a mass scientific approach to organisation was beginning to develop.

And from March '79 to this period, the fifth (5th) we have had a lot of mass activity. It is the period when broadened and deepened our links with the working people and the masses in general, through the mass organisations - women, youth, pioneers; through the organs of popular power - workers, parish and zonal councils; through the socialism classes, very critically and also through our greatly expanded work in the trade unions. In this period, we have considerably deepened, broadened and expanded our links with the working people and the broad masses in general. This is the period too when the Party began to develop a number of critical new structures and committees, including the C.C. itself, PCP's and a Committee on the economy for the first time. They have not all done well as we would have liked but the fact is that important new structures have been set up and have begun to function.

During this period too, the Party has also been involved in supervising many aspects of the State Apparatus and in running the state generally. The party is involved in all the key programmes of the Revolution, the Centre for Popular Education Programme, the Land Reform Programme, Youth Employment Programme, and recently in the

41/discussions......
discussions around the Budget and the Economy. So this is a period that has seen a number of new mechanisms, new structures and new work committees and greatly expanded work in dozens of different areas at the same time.

But we believe very strongly, comrades, that as from now, September '82 the Party is definitely entering a new stage of the revolution and of our Party's development. We feel that because of the growing internal and external complexity of this period, because of the growing quantity of work required of the Party and in order to cope with the new complexities that once again we have to change gears and step up the pace. This year we have a major role to play in the development of the Economy because this is the essential basis for progressing on our path of socialist orientation. Furthermore, the question of the Armed Forces, especially the militia and of the Party assuming leadership of the key positions there is something that we have to be involved in a lot more this year. The question of increasing the quantity and quality of our socialism classes, as our priorities demand is also something that we must take much more seriously. The CP Programme, in its second phase, must also get a lot of attention this year. The Youth Employment Programme and the Land Reform Programme are central to agricultural and overall Economic development.

In other words, we are required to work on a dozen critical fronts at the same time, and that is going to require a lot more application of Leninist standards of discipline, consistency and seriousness. All of this, comrades, means that our ideological and organisational level as a Party will have to rise considerably. It is clear that if we had not insisted
higher standards we would not have reached where we have reached. But it is equally clear, comrades, that holding power is much more difficult than taking power. There is no doubt that the Party can be built more rapidly on the basis of lower standards but this will mean that the tasks we have set ourselves, including our historical task of building socialism, would not be accomplished. As Lenin told us a long time ago "better fewer but better", Immortal words that we must never forget.

During the Party's history, there are members who have dropped out; some for opportunist reasons; others because they were not willing to make the sacrifices required in the particular period; in other cases, as the Party's ideological outlook developed, they came to realise that they did not share the desire to develop socialism; some others just could not take the level of discipline, of organisation, of strain, of hard work, of sacrifice. But no one is a member for life in the serious Leninist Party and, at this point, the Central Committee would like to enumerate the criteria for party membership and to go into some details as to the qualities required for promotion in the Party.

**REQUIREMENTS FOR MEMBERSHIP**

The four basic requirements for party membership are:-

(1) Regular collective ideological study organised by the Party.

(2) Engage in consistent political work under the guidance of an organ of the Party.

(3) Consistent payment of party dues fixed at 5% of gross salary. And comrades, gross means gross, it doesn't mean net - after you pay tax, or after you take out money to give to some member of the family.
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So, if your salary is $800 per month and you're paying $60 tax, that is not the Party's business; it is 5% of the $800, so you have to pay $40. Unemployed comrades and students must pay $1.00 a month. I don't know how many unemployed comrades the Party has left, but we certainly have students.

(4) Understanding, accepting and implementing the principles and programme of the Party. This last requirement includes a complete willingness to accept Party discipline in many, many areas of one's personal life, not just political life. To fully accept and really implement the principles and programme of the party requires you to let the Party decide when you can get vacation and sometimes even what kind of activities you can be involved in. In other words, even our personal life is under security to some extent.

POTENTIAL APPLICANTS

Comrades, we developed a new category called Potential Applicants after the Revolution, so as to ensure that opportunists, careerists, self-seekers and other elements like that who want to come into the Party because it seems easy, or because the new conditions mean that they don't have to get "bull-pistle" if they join the Party now or because the Party seems like a badge and passport to fame and prestige, are not allowed to enter the Party as applicants before they go through a tight screening process. And what we have been trying to do in choosing Potential Applicants is essentially to look for people with four main qualities and characteristics. Firstly, comrades with a genuinely revolutionary democratic outlook; secondly, comrades who engage in disciplined political work in a particular area; thirdly, comrades who are basically honest and not opportunist in character; and fourthly...
Comrades whose relations to private property do not involve them in the exploitation of labour.

POTENTIAL APPLICANTS TO APPLICANTS

From our experience, comrades, these are the people who are most likely to move to Marxism/Leninism. These comrades are then invited to join classes where they are tested to see whether they are hostile to, or accepting of the ideas of Marxism Leninism. If they are not anti-communist, and if they continue to work well and show an honest approach, they are admitted as Applicants. And as all comrades know the period of applicancy is one year. That gives both the Party and the applicant the time to judge whether the applicant really accepts the science of Marxism/Leninism and is willing to make the sacrifices necessary to become a Party member.

APPLICANTS TO CANDIDATE MEMBERS

When assessing an applicant for promotion to Candidate Member the following five points are looked at:

(1) Whether the applicant accepts the principles of Marxism Leninism and shows willingness to continue to develop.

(2) Whether the applicant has been working consistently and effectively in his/her field of political work and developing in terms of organisational skills.

(3) Whether the applicant has in practice accepted party discipline, in practice.

(4) Whether the applicant has good relations with the masses, including party members and non-party members with whom he/she works in his Union, Mass Organisation, Army, Workplace etc.
(5) Whether the Applicant continues to be of good character so as to present an example to the masses he/she comes in contact with; whether certain petty bourgeois traits such as individualism, hostility to criticism, arrogance, indiscipline and so on are being eroded; and whether proletarian qualities such as respect for the working class, co-operativeness and co-operation, discipline, modesty, self criticism are being built. If accepted as a Candidate member, another year would now elapse before the comrade is eligible for consideration for full membership.

CANDIDATE MEMBERS TO MEMBERS

At this stage, the stage of moving from Candidate Member to Member, the Party looks for the fullest possible development of six factors.

(1) Ideological development as seen in a development of the ability to analyse and cope well with many different situations and to correctly apply strategy and tactics - the essence of correct Marxism/Leninism Leadership.

(2) The development of correct leadership. A professional approach to his/her political work, expressing Leninist organisational standards in all aspects of the work.

(3) The development of an ability to supervise and guide the work of junior party comrades.

(4) The removal of petty bourgeois character faults and the development of a character which provides
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an outstanding example to other party comrades and the masses alike.

(5) The development of very good relations with the masses and other party comrades.

(6) The development of the technical and professional skills needed by the comrade in his or her job.

Comrades, some comrades feel that it takes too long to become a full member in our Party. Some comrades feel it is rough enough to have applicants, then candidate members and then members so that on top of that to have Potential Applicants is really just pushing the pace too much. And yet the truth is that some comrades in the Party are right now proposing another new category of not just Potential Applicants but of Prospective Potential Applicant, to make it even more difficult to gain entry. The fact is, comrades, that we feel it is correct in our situation for us to have this long process of what, at one level, can be seen as probation before comrades can become full members. We think it is important not because at the level of party leadership we want to keep the number down; in fact at the level of the Central Committee of the Party, our anxiety and concern is to see the Party lifted in terms of quantity and quality in the shortest possible time. But we also know from experience that this whole question of coming to accept full membership in the party and really internationalism and operationalising in a serious way party discipline and party duties is something that does take time to really sink home. Sometimes comrades might last two or three years but then on a certain issue when the class struggle is really heightened they break and then leave the Party.

The truth is that it is not really a case of the Party Leadership laying down harsh conditions; it is real
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life and the demand of the struggle that make it necessary for us to have these difficult conditions and for us to ensure that comrades who are full members, and also candidate members, are truly the finest representatives of the working class and the most steeled in struggle, in discipline, in dedication, in commitment and in total commitment for the working class and their interests. That is not the C.C. laying laws down, that is real life laying the laws down. And that is why comrades, we feel very strongly that these criteria are critical and necessary.

We believe it must become more and more difficult for comrades to become full members and candidate members and it must become more difficult for new comrades to remain as members and candidate members; and those who are unwilling to live up to the demands of this membership would have to be moved. We believe comrades that this stage of our process requires this. Being a Communist, comrades means becoming a different kind of person. Our society is deeply petty bourgeois and this means the majority of our people are deeply individualistic, ill-disciplined, disorganised, un-proletarian, hostile to criticism and so on. Many in the middle strata and intelligentsia often find it difficult to relate as equals with the working people while at the same time many working people lack confidence in dealing with certain types of people. It takes time for a new proletarian person to be built. It takes time for a Communist to be built. So in reality, comrades, promotion is not decided on by the Party but by the development of comrades themselves.

On behalf of the Central Committee of our Party, I want to congratulate all the comrades who have been.
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recently promoted from applicants to candidate members who are here with us today for the first time in that capacity, and who as a result of that new status have assumed new rights, duties and responsibilities. I also want to congratulate in advance those comrades in this room who will shortly be promoted from candidate members to full members. Comrades now know the basis on which they have been promoted. Those comrades who have not been promoted at this time will also, we hope, understand and accept the reasons why they have not been promoted.

We believe comrades, that this line of march will equip us to go into the field and to move rapidly to ensure that this first stage of the path we are on - the socialist orientation stage - is rapidly built. We believe that we have correctly defined the new tasks required to handle the new situation that has developed. We believe that as Party, individually and collectively, we must now develop ourselves into becoming more professional, more disciplined, more Leninist so that we would be able to meet the demands of this period. We also believe firmly that the path we have chosen is the ONLY correct one. We believe that this path would certainly bring us to our second major historical objective to seeing socialism, of seeing socialist construction achieved in our country, thus ensuring that the working class in Grenada would assume their rightful role and become fully emancipated for the first time.

LONG LIVE THE NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT!
LONG LIVE THE MEMBERS, CANDIDATE MEMBERS AND APPLICANTS OF OUR PARTY!
LONG LIVE THE REVOLUTIONARY HISTORY OF OUR PARTY!
LONG LIVE THE WORKING CLASS OF GRENADA!
LONG LIVE THE INTERNATIONAL WORKING CLASS!
LONG LIVE PROLETARIAN INTERNATIONALISM!
LONG LIVE THE GRENADA REVOLUTION!
FORWARD FROM SOCIALIST ORIENTATION TO SOCIALIST CONSTRUCTION!

FORWARD EVER! BACKWARD NEVER!

**** ****

1 - 49

DELEGATION: Cde. Aurelio Alonso Tejada
DATE: August 13-24, 1982
This report was handed in on October 14, 1982,
"YEAR 24 OF THE REVOLUTION"
INTRODUCTORY NOTE

This report is the result of an initial ten days stay which, as it coincided with holidays, could not be used to the greatest advantage.

Two more difficulties must be taken into account: firstly, the total lack of informative or unofficial documentation by the NJM comrades, which resulted in the need to find sources through our visit to the public library, the national museum, and religious bookstores; secondly, the absence of Judy Williams, the most important person among the Christian lay people that actively cooperate with the NJM who, in addition to her personal testimonies, should have arranged our contacts with other positive elements within the laity. This situation caused our interviews to be limited to representatives of the ecclesiastical institutions.

In spite of these difficulties, it can be considered that the work showed useful results so that the thought-out objectives were satisfactorily reached.

We received a decisive support by the revolutionary leadership through Cde. Selwyn Strachan, member of the Political Bureau of the NJK and Minister of National Mobilization, whom we met on three occasions. During the first interview, Cde. Strachan summarized the recent aggressive positions adopted by the Church against the revolutionary leadership and we, on our part, explained the concrete aims we had set for the visit. Cde. Strachan showed interest in receiving a written version. After working out a detailed project starting from the general plan we had thought out, we handed it in at a second meeting. On this occasion, arrangements were made for the interviews. At the same time, we had begun to work in the tracking down of written sources. We met Minister Strachan again at the end of our stay and gave him a brief account of the results of the contacts and tracking down of sources (some of which were supplied to him) and expressed our concerns about the educational

* New Jewel Movement
reform issue and about the mechanisms of response to the recent summons to the Church. We told Cde. Strachan that the report on the visit would be sent to him not before the second fortnight of September.

The constant, efficient, diligent support by Cde. Gastón Díaz, our Embassy's First Secretary who at the time was head of the Mission, played an important role in the positive results we might have achieved in this visit. We left him in charge of finding and sending two important informative sources: the Handbook of Caribbean Churches, 1982, to be bought in Barbados, and the book written by Raymund Devas in 1932, which in case of not being obtained from F. Martin Simmonds, efforts should be made to borrow it from the public library so as to photocopy it.

The documents that make up the report are ordered in the following way:

* CONCLUSIONS
* RECOMMENDATIONS
* REVIEW OF THE RELIGIOUS SITUATION IN GRENADA
  1. Characterization of the churches and religiosity in Grenada.
  2. Positions of the Church in the face of the revolutionary transformation.
* ANNEXES:
  I.- Outline for the study of religion in Grenada.
  II.- Summaries of interviews held and of observation carried out at the churches in St. George's.
  III.- Articles from Caribbean Contact, August and September, 1982.
  IV.- Bibliography
CONCLUSIONS

The religious situation in Grenada shows a series of characteristics which can be summarised as follows:

1) Since the revolutionary triumph in 1979, the diocese showed signs of reservation and started to insist on the holding of elections. During the three years of revolutionary government, the Catholic hierarchy has added to its electoral claim a position of mediation in favor of the 1979 pro-Gairy prisoners and of advocate of the "freedom of the press" in the face of governmental measures to stop counterrevolutionary propaganda. The emphasis of the Church is in harmony with the campaigns carried out by the reactionary governments in the Caribbean against Grenada's PRG and now has an institutional argument formalized in the pastoral of the Caribbean Catholic bishops in February this year. This pastoral marks a new reference, which goes backward in comparison with that of 1975, and starting from it, political sectors in the area have promoted the summons to the Church for a confrontation of forces with the PRG of Grenada.

2) For the Grenadian Church (particularly the Catholic one) the moment can be described as one of definitions. It cannot be said yet (in our opinion) that the hierarchy has decided on an open confrontation. Although the pressure from the reactionary forces in the region within and outside the Church is aimed at confrontation, the position of the diocese seems to lean towards a combination of the pastoral attacks with repeated reference to the disposition to dialogue and the insistence upon the fact that their position towards the PRG is not uncompromising.

3) There are reasons to think that there is a potential contradiction between the foreign clergy (mainly the British and the Irish) and the West Indian minority. That majority, whom the vicar of the cathedral himself think is alien and adaptable to the local realities, is at
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first sight the most active counterpart of the oppositionist dynamics brought about by the February pastoral and by the provocations of reactionary forces in the region.

4) There are no signs of systematic progressive projections within the Grenadian clergy. It seems that within the institution there is not a trend in the theological and social line sympathetic to the revolutionary project, and there are no indications of a community organization of this kind at the grass roots. However, some believers belonging to Catholic organizations, who gather around the Pope Paul Camp (with whom we could not get in touch during this visit) and who actively collaborate with the NJM, can constitute a ferment in this direction.

5) The strong point of the Grenadian churches (both the Catholic and traditional Protestant ones) regarding their social influence is the educational sector. The religious schools are, at a very high percent, in charge of elementary and secondary education because throughout the colonial period the State traditionally neglected this sector. The PRG does not have a public educational system. In our opinion, the teaching centers are the stronghold of the ecclesiastical institutions and the possibility of a reform that should bring about their exclusion is their main concern.

6) The incorporation of the Catholic churches of the Caribbean to the Conference of Caribbean Churches (CCC), which also includes over 20 Protestant churches since its creation in 1973, besides being an exceptional characteristic of ecumenism, establishes an associational link among the Christian institutions that admits a game of influences in their projection: the Grenada Catholic diocese is a member of the Conference of Churches of Grenada (CCG) and with its positions it can influence on the protestant churches, and the other way around; at the same time, the fact that the Catholic Church belongs to the CCC means a similar game of influences at the regional level. In this interaction, the most positive positions of the CCC act as a restraining factor to the behaviour of the Catholic Church at the local (and regional) level. However, the CCG is not a homogeneous body and the
possibility of a reversal of its positions should not be underestimated, which would be in harmony with the tone of the criticisms to the PRG by the Grenadian churches (see diagram in page 4).

7) The estimated believing population is over 80 percent, made up mainly by Catholics (59 percent), Protestant from the historical churches (Anglicans, Presbyterians and Methodists), and a number of sects among which the Rastafarians seem to be widely disseminated. The level of participation observed in the Sunday service is high. There does not seem to exist a significant difference in the degree of religiosity among Protestants and Catholics. The Charismatic Catholic movement has expanded and it is thought to reach no less than a quarter of Catholics. The African roots and their possible syncretic expressions should be studied.

8) The Catholic Church is organized in a diocese with 20 parish churches. It has one Bishop and 22 priests, with a rate of one priest for every 2,950 Catholics (baptized). Sixteen of the priests are religious and 6 are diocesan; 16 are English, Irish, and American; 4 are from other West Indian countries and 2 from Grenada; 15 are white and 7 are mestizo or black. Thus, because of their origin and race this is a clergy with characteristics that alienate them from the problems of the Island.

9) The diocese is suffragan to the archdiocese of Castries (St. Lucia) and belongs to the Episcopal Conference of the West Indies, whose main seats are Jamaica and Trinidad-Tobago. Thus the ecclesiastical organization takes on a supranational character and the projection of the Grenadian Church is linked to the strategy carried out by the West Indian body. (Regarding the framework of institutional relationship of dependence, see the following diagram.)
RECOMMENDATIONS

1. We underline the importance of the fact that a comrade responsible for the attention to the religious problems be appointed by the New Jewel Movement: This activity would basically include the information work at the beginning and regular contacts with collaborators from Christian organizations. If it is thought to be relevant, this can also include contacts with the clergy. We repeat the recommendation that the person to be appointed should spend 15-20 days in Cuba so as to be able to know our experiences, be trained in the tasks of systematic information on the subject, and exchange ideas on the most controversial aspects of the work. Comrade Strachan expressed his agreement on this proposal.

2. It would be advisable to study the possibility of formally creating a Register of Associations (or a similar mechanism) attached to the corresponding governmental body. Such a body could be legally established on the basis of the public need to make an inventory of the existing associations in the country and their activities (this would not only include the religious institutions, but the Chamber of Commerce, associations of professionals, clubs such as the Rotarians, the Lions, etc.). This would enable the counting of members, posts, premises, etc., the knowledge on special and regular activities, the means of financing of activities, etc. Both the terms of the resolution or law and the concrete contents of the register and modus operandi would be defined according to the specific conditions. This proposal is based on the need to regularize the access by the FRC to systematic factual information on the religious institutions and their activities. Our recommendation does not dismiss the fact that the responsibility regarding the register could be closely linked to the above-mentioned proposal.

3. To promote contacts among clergymen and members of the laity from Nicaragua and other Latin American circles linked to the theology of liberation and, in general, to the idea of a church committed to the
revolutionary positions, and the Christian sectors in Grenada through the Pope Paul Camp and maybe through talks with religious clergymen from a same order, particularly the Dominicans. These contacts should positively influence the Christian sectors of Grenada.

4. To consider the possibility of the Matanzas Evangelical Seminary inviting pastors from the Grenadian Protestant churches belonging to the Conference of Caribbean Churches (CCC), of which the Conference of Evangelical Churches of Cuba is a member, to short or annual courses. The language problem would be solved here.

5. To promote the invitation of Grenadian members of the laity and clergymen, through the appropriate channels, to visit Cuba. In my opinion, it would be useful to immediately invite P. Cyril Lamontagne, Vicar of the Cathedral of St. George's (second figure in the diocese), who in our interview expressed his willingness to visit Cuba and was interested in knowing how he could be invited. Afterwards, I think arrangements should be made for a visit of P. Martin Simmonds, superior of the Order of the Dominicans in the British West Indies, in case the trip he told us he was planning to make to Havana from Jamaica early next October would not take place. In case he would travel in October, we should be kept informed on his visit so as to organize activities that should help to positively influence his positions. P. Simmonds is the only clergymen who, in spite of his institutional responsibility, enjoys a positive reputation in the revolutionary circles of the Island. I think that after a new inquiry in Grenada we should assess the advisability of continuing this program of visits with other West Indian priests of the diocese.

6. The result of this visit ratifies an existing proposal in the sense of regularizing a reciprocal information link and an exchange of criteria on the strategy and tactics of the Church and the mechanisms of prevention and response. The action of the Church - particularly the Catholic one - has its origin in the policy of the Vatican towards
Central America and the Caribbean, and therefore, entails the existence of mechanisms of combined action within the institution. The exchange on our part should comprise two levels: a regular one with a NJM-PCC bilateral character, and another one with a trilateral character in which the PSLN of Nicaragua would also participate.

7. I think it is indispensable to make a second brief visit within a short time (if possible within the present year) with a view to widen and deepen the gathering of testimonial information and to carry out tasks of observation which were not possible to undertake during the first visit and that are essential both to complete the characterization of the phenomenon and to propose effective measures. I summarize below the main concrete aims which have been left for a second visit:

a) Interviews with Christian collaborators with the NJM (initially, Judy Williams, Annette Campbell and Susan Berkley) and visits to the Pope Paul Camp.

b) Interviews with Mons. Sidney Charles, Catholic Bishop; Rev. John Withington, Superintendent of the Methodist Church; and Rev. Hoskins Huggins, Archdeacon of the Anglican Church. According to the first contacts established, these interviews seem feasible and would result in direct assessments by the local hierarchies.

c) Visit to Catholic communities with F. Martin Simmonds, This was proposed by F. Simmonds during the interview with him, and it would give us a series of worthy elements.

d) Interview with officials of the PRG and leaders of the NJM who could contribute with criteria on the religious phenomenon in Grenada.

e) To keep active the contacts with F. Lamontagne and Deacon Lucky Bernard, for whose optimum preparation there are good possibilities.

NOTE:
We repeat what was stated in the outline presented to Cde. Strachan (Annex 1) regarding the provisional character both of the conclusions and recommendations of the present report.
ANALYSIS ON THE RELIGIOUS SITUATION IN GRENADE

1. Characteristics of the churches and religious sects

1.a. Geographical spread of the main churches and cults

Although the credibility of the numerical references within our reach is relative, by late 1978 out of a population of 110,000 inhabitants, a total of 65,000 was estimated to be Catholic, which makes 59% of the total (1). The 1981 Pontifical Yearbook estimates 70,000, which makes 62% (2).

In any case, it is necessary to remember that the Catholic sources only take into account the amount of baptized people, that is why the actual number of believers is always inferior. The Anglican Church (official religion under the English Crown since 1795) follows Catholicism as to the amount of believers, and in smaller amounts, other traditional Protestant cults (Presbyterian and Methodist) and Apocalyptic sects (mainly Adventist and Pentecostal, followed by a score of smaller denominations). The Rastafarian sect, of Jamaican origin, seems to be widely disseminated, identified by the testimonies obtained in pre-criminal environments. Although in the first visit we did not achieve other statistical references than the ones mentioned regarding Catholicism, the criteria obtained shows that the believing population in the country is of no less than 80%.

Though the number of Catholics is predominant, the proportion of Protestant cults is relatively high. As to the beliefs of African origin, there are references to the survival of elements of the Yoruba pantheon, particularly Shango, which were supposed to enter the Island in the 19th century, with the trade of freedmen as salaried labor for the plantations after the abolition of slavery (3).

Likewise we have heard about witchcraft practices under the rite of African origin called "Obeah". We still do not have references which
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analyze Afro-Christian syncretisms, a question which should be taken into account. Neither we are in conditions to assess the specific weight of the Rastafarian sect in the framework of the most disseminated religions; for this reason, I have limited myself to indicate its existence.

In a summarized way, the study of Epica\(^4\) recognizes that the institutionalized religions existing today in Grenada are the Catholic, Anglican, Presbyterian\(^{-}\), and Methodist ones, the Salvation Army, the African Methodist Episcopal Church, the Church of God, three Baptist denominations, Berians, Pentecostals and Seventh Day Adventists, the Rastafarians, the Muslims, and the Bahaists. It does not mention, however, other religions also present, such as the Church of the Nazarene,\(^3\) and some Literalist sects whose temples I was able to see.

Regarding the Jehovah Witnesses, their presence was mentioned in some interviews, but it was described as insignificant. It should be noted that some sects are distributing pamphlets in Spanish in the streets, which seems to be purposely aimed at our internationalist workers.

1.2. **Historical reference**

In the British West Indies, Grenada seems to be the only one where the most disseminated religion is the Catholic one. In St. Vincent, Barbados, Antigua and the rest of the British West Indies the Anglican and Methodist Churches have greater influence, while in the French West Indies the Catholics are predominant.

This majority of Catholics in Grenada is explained starting from the colonization of the Island by the French Crown. The first Catholic missioners arrived in 1651 from France. Under the British domination, the Anglican Church was introduced, which became the official religion, and likewise other Protestant cults were introduced. The status of official religion gave the Anglican Church certain privileges over other religions. With the substitution of the French institutions by

the British ones, the Catholic Church, which struggled for a successful survival, also became British: since 1795 on and throughout a century Irish secular priests predominated in the Island, and since the beginning of this century, the Dominicans from the English province have made up most of the Catholic clergy.

It is worth noting the historical weight which the order of the Dominicans has had in the ecclesiastical government in the Island and the sub-region. The diocese of the West Indies was created in 1813 and since 1863 to 1930 (at least) it was led by Dominican bishops. The Catholic historian E. Devas listed 202 Catholic priests in the history of Grenada up to 1930, all of them foreigners, out of whom 52 have been Dominicans. Likewise the absence of Jesuists in this list is significant. (5)

The year 1956 marked the creation of the diocese of St. George's, in Grenada, suffragant to the archdiocese of Port-of-Spain up to 1974, when Castries (St. Lucia) acquired the rank of archdiocese, with Grenada subordinated to it. The Benedictine Patrick Webster, Bishop of Grenada since 1970, was appointed Archbishop in Castries in 1974, and then the diocesan priest Sidney A. Charles, from Trinidad, was appointed Bishop of the Grenada diocese, a post he still holds.

The moving of Bishop Webster to Castries was considered in the Epica study as a manoeuvre of the Vatican due to his position on the side of the Conference of Churches of Grenada in the face of the repression by the Gairy regime after the 1973 Bloody Sunday. Webster definitively retired last year and is living in Paris.

The coming into the Island of the Adventist, Pentecostal and other sects is described as a phenomenon of the second post-war period and as having a typically American influence. Even more recent is the arrival of the Rastafarian sect, which took place in the second half of the (5) Raymund Devas, Conceptio Island: The History of Catholic Church in Grenada, Sands & Co., London, 1932.
of the '60s and was linked to the "Black Power" boom in the United States, and which also had another aspect of political influence in the Island and in other West Indian countries.

1.3. The Catholic Church: Composition, structure and dependence relationship.

Grenada is a small diocese, made up by one Bishop and 22 priests (23 in 1979, 27 in 1972, according to various sources)(6), which represents a rate of one priest for every 2,950 believers, approximately.

Out of the 22 priests, 16 belong to religious orders and there are only 6 diocesan, the majority of them being Dominicans and the Irish Fathers of St. Patrick (Kiltegan). The Dominicans, who evidently play a predominant role in the Grenadian Church, also have four brothers who make up a small community of a dozen religious, who includes the regional Superior of the Order (F. Martin Simmonds) for the British West Indies. In relation to the nationality of the clergy, only two of them are Grenadians, four others come from other West Indian countries, and sixteen are missioners of European origin (13), and Americans (3).

Consequently, the clergy is mostly religious, foreign and white. The members of the institution are completed with 24 nuns, 2 permanent deacons, 8 lay missioners and 4 seminarists (out of the country).

The two largest seminaries in the region, which depend on the West Indian Episcopal Conference, are: one in Trinidad, with about 20 seminarists, and another one in Jamaica with another 10 students. Both are diocesan and their teaching level is ratified by the University of the West Indies, with seat in Kingston.

The Catholic institution has 20 parish churches and another 33 missionary facilities and chapels without resident priests.

In the framework of the social activity, the action of the Church focuses on education. They have 6 secondary education institutions, headed by 23 religious and with 52 lay teachers and around 1,400 students. The source reports likewise 30 elementary schools with 11,141 students. In 1925 there was a total of 58 elementary schools in the Island, out of which 10 were public and 48 religious (27 Catholic and 21 Protestant). The work of the churches in education has historically prevailed in the country and even today it is advocated by the clergy as a contribution to the Grenadian society. F. Simmonds insisted upon this aspect, showing the intention of the Church to safeguard the continuity of the religious orientation through teaching.

The diocese in Grenada is subordinated to Mons. Kelvin Felix, Archbishop of Castries (St. Lucia), one of the four archdioceses integrating the Episcopal Conference of the West Indies. At present, the Conference is headed by Mons. Anthony Pantin, Archbishop of Port-of-Spain since 1979. This structure, which divides the region into four archdioceses with 13 suffragant dioceses, exists since 1975. With this organization, the country is a Catholic province which is articulated within a West Indian Episcopal body out of the national demarcation. This is one of the most significant structural characteristics of the Grenadian Church. One cannot speak about autonomy regarding the national Episcopate, because the Episcopate has a regional character.

The second characteristic to be taken into consideration is that, unlike Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Haiti, Puerto Rico, and the rest of the continent, the so-called "Lesser Antilles" are considered territory of mission and answer to the supervision of the Congregation for the Evangelization and Propagation of the Faith, like the African

or Asiatic provinces. The explanation given by P. Lamontagne is that this dependence assures the West Indian churches the economic subsidy by the Vatican. It remains to be clarified the differences that could exist in their links with CELAM.

A third characteristic to be taken into consideration is that the Catholic Episcopal Conference is part of the Conference of Caribbean Churches (CCC), which has an ecumenical character. In no other part of the continent has the Catholic Church accepted to integrate the corresponding conferences of churches, which group the most representative part of the Protestant churches.

Finally, the relationship with the Holy See equally adopt supranational features. Between 1977 and 1979 Trinidad-Tobago, Barbados, Grenada, Jamaica and Bahamas initiated diplomatic relations with the Vatican, thus establishing the nunciature of the Caribbean with seat in Port-of-Spain and Archbishop Paul Tabet was appointed Internuncio.

1.4. Elements on religiosity

The rigorous characterization of religiosity requires data and tests which have not been carried out. However, at the risk of being neglectful, it is indispensable that we organize some assessments of these first contacts.

a) In the first place, the features of the present Christian religiosity have to be considered in the framework of cultural patterns of Anglo-Saxon origin; there seems to be little difference between Catholic and Protestant religiosity. It is the view of P. Lamontagne that there is no difference between the Protestant and the Catholic cults as to the proportion of participants in Sunday mass and in lay organizations within the total of the believers; he considers this proportion low, although he does not dare to estimate it in percentage.

b) In spite of Lamontagne's assessment, we counted around 200 attendants to Catholic mass and some 130 to Anglican mass, in both cases during the first session (between 7 and 9 in the morning). Throughout that Sunday we could observe a significant movement in around
a dozen halls and temples of diverse denominations. In sum, we got the impression that the attendance to Sunday mass is high, if we take into account that St. George's is a city of around 20,000 inhabitants. Attendance to mass maintains all the characteristics not only of a religious but also of a social celebration, attention being drawn to the care of Sunday clothes.

c) The Charismatic movement is considerably disseminated within the Catholic Church. Having arrived in the country in the early '70s, interviewed priests estimate that today no less than 25% of the Catholics belong to Charismatic groups. These are attended to by priests. In November 1976, the Conference of Bishops of the West Indies declared in an official document the legitimacy of the Catholic Charismatic renewal. This movement has gained followers mainly in the humblest sectors.

d) In some of the information received the presence of elements of African origin was mentioned, but we could neither observe nor ob- tain concrete written or testimonial references to syncretism with African elements, which require deeper research.

e) In conclusion, the first impression received is that of a Christian parish which constitutes a considerable percentage of the population and presents a degree of participation in the cult which can be described as high.

2. The positions of the churches with regard to the revolutionary transformations.

After the meeting held in Martinica, in November, 1975, the Episcopal Conference of the West Indies then headed by the Archbishop of Kingston, Mons. Samuel Carter, S.J., issued a pastoral entitled "Justice and Peace in a New Caribbean"(8)

2.1. The 1975 Pastoral.

This document declared itself to be in harmony with the Report of the Opening Assembly of the Conference of Caribbean Churches (1973) in the praising of social justice, describing itself (the Church) as "being identified with the poor and the oppressed, making their struggle its own". It started from a strong self-criticism: "Our Church is also to be blamed on occasions for acts of racial discrimination and of perpetuation of social and class divisions". The Bishops go far enough to state here that "the Catholic Church does not indiscriminately condemn all forms of Socialism". (9)

The text differentiated Marxism as unacceptable for Christianism for being a Atheistic doctrine, and conditioned the Christian support to movements or parties carrying out Socialist programs to their links with materialist positions.

This pastoral, which indicates the adaptational shift of the Catholic Church when an epoch of definitions takes place in the Caribbean, is particularly important for measuring the orientation of the Caribbean Church after the germination and triumph of the people's revolution headed by the New Jewel Movement in Grenada. In it, after differentiating and conditioning the Christian positions around Socialism, the Bishops concentrate their attention on the rights of the individual, especially those referring to suffrage, the possibility of public dissent, and equal protection before the law. No mention is made here to the right to work, survival, education, and health. So the document presents a shift which begins by assuring an ethical cover which denotes a sense of guilt and by looking for an opportunity to make clear its rejection to atheism and to end by defending the constitutions in force to prevent the revolutionary transformation as elitist displacements. Likewise it formulates a reinforcement of the pastoral tactics towards family life and, what is much more interesting

(9) Although there is a Spanish version, in this case we use direct translation from the original in English.
politically, it openly criticizes racism.

Even with these ambiguities, one has to note that it is a highly tinged document, with statements which fragmentarily contain much more realistic references than most of the official ecclesiastic texts, which has caused it to be described as a document of a progressive cut in various analysis on the region. (10)

2.2. The 1982 Pastoral

Last February the West Indian Bishops issued a new Pastoral entitled "True Liberty and Development in the Caribbean". This new document presents explicit connections with the most recent encyclical of John Paul II, Laborem Exercens, which is quoted on 10 occasions. In the papacy line, it intends, since its first pages, to place itself over Capitalism and Socialism, adopting an equally critical attitude towards both regimes.

Here the Bishops reiterate the considerations around the possibility of a Socialism "without atheism" and without total abolition of private property (they admit the legitimacy of nationalization of key productive sectors).

But the main issue of this document is expressed in the next to last epigraph under the title "Political Coups". It begins with a reflection on the vulnerability of the West Indian governments, and leads to a decisive criticism of violence, noting that "frequently the political coups simply substitute one tyranny for another". It underscores that the coup does not legitimize the government and subordinates all such legitimization to the rights of the individual. The epigraph ends sanctioning that although in the Caribbean the "traditional pacific means of political change through elections" have been forced, "these are the only adequate ones even when they do not guarantee a good government".

This second pastoral contains, therefore, a tacit allusion to the people's revolution in Grenada, and the consequent warning as to what are the values that the Church is willing to defend and advo-
cate. Surely this document was made public from the pulpit during the Sunday mass in the Grenadian churches, giving rise to the corresponding uneasiness among the Catholic parishioners.

Both pastorals show a high level of politization in their wordings. That of 1975 since its initial lines warns that "in reading this letter some will argue that the Church is once more meddling in politics". In the second letter there is no longer the need to prevent in spite of the fact that it has statements such as the following: "There are still strong efforts to submit our region to noxious foreign influences which threaten our peace"; followed by: "There are attempts to impose the ideology of atheistic Marxism on our peoples". After these affirmations, a brief critical mention to Capitalist exploitation, as a moderate counterweight, clearly shows what the Bishops are emphasizing as preeminent danger.

We have not heard - between February and the present time - about any document of the Conference of Caribbean Churches (CCC), which gathers both Catholics and Protestants, and whose positions are more open because they even admit complete progressive expressions.

2.3. The recent provocations
Under the enunciation on human rights, the Caribbean reaction has strengthened a campaign against the PRG of Grenada regarding a hundred of Gairy followers who are kept in jail since the arrests in 1979.

In this campaign the Church, which internally has functioned as mediator, can even become an open oposition factor, which is the aspiration of the reactionary forces in the subregion.

Last July the annual convention of the ruling party of Dominica served as framework for this campaign. In an allocution by the journalist of Grenadian origin, Alister Hughes, linked to political positions in the previous regime, the Church is reproached for its moderation and its action is demanded to move the public opinion against the PRG, taking advantage of its sensitivity to people's pressure.
The New Jewel Movement answered the provocation in two separate statements. The first one rejects the interference of the ruling party of Dominica in the Grenada internal affairs and characterizes the reactionary positions of that government. The second one places Hughes in his alignment with the Caribbean reaction and alerts the Grenadian people as to the call issued to the Church for them to join the positions of the Counterrevolution.  

The Church, which has interceded with the PRG regarding the question of the people kept in jail since 1979, has not pronounced itself on Hughes' summons. To a certain extent, it can consider that the response of the NJM tacitly frees it from that necessity.

In an interview with the Vicar of the Cathedral, P. Cyril Lamontagne, the question of the people imprisoned in 1979 was mentioned as a point of fundamental disagreement of the Church with the PRG. However, Lamontagne condemned Hughes' pronouncement in Dominica and expressed his disagreement in that the Church be taken as opposition instrument. He recognizes that the Church has to adapt itself to the new social situation existing in the country and says that its greatest difficulty is to move from being a Church that rules to one which is destined to serve.

In the interview P. Lamontagne underscores the differences between the positions of the Church and those of the foreign clergy, which cannot manage to understand the social situation. He raises objections to the PRG in that these positions be understood as those of the institution. He, however, admits that the inadaptation of a British or Irish clergy is an objective factor which has an unfavorable bearing on the understanding with the Government.

After our return, in its September 1982 edition the monthly publication of the CCC has published an article entitled "Church-State Relations in Grenada", which contains the points of view of Archdeacon

(11) Enclosed is a photocopy of the texts published in Caribbean Contact, August, 1982.
Hoekings Huggins, Chief of the Anglican Church and President of the Christian Conference of Grenada (CCG). Huggins expressly answered on behalf of the CCG, confirming the existing complete freedom of cult, the recognition of the achievements of the PRG, and the willingness of the churches to cooperate. At the same time, it lists the disagreements ("areas of concern") with the revolutionary government: 1) the differendum on the imprisonments in 1979; 2) the lack of elections; 3) the delay of working permission for clergymen; 4) restrictions to the local ecclesiastic publications; 5) an administrative contentious affair regarding the acquisition of a building; 6) difficulties to meet with the ministers of the Government.

The contributor includes afterwards answers given by the Prime Minister's office to all the points, as well as a rejoinder by Rev. Huggins limiting itself to the dispute around the building. (12)

In conclusion, I think it can be said that the position of the churches has become tense, but that it cannot be affirmed that it has reached a critical point because it is not expressed in the level of open confrontation. The tactics of the CCG seems more inclined to tone down the contentious problems with favourable statements, as the above article shows. There is a difference between the level of pressure against the PRG demanded by the West Indian reaction from the churches and that which the CCG is in a position to exert.

(12) Enclosed is a photocopy of the text from the Caribbean Contact, September, 1982.
ANNEX 2

SUMMARIES OF INTERVIEWS HELD AND OF OBSERVATION CARRIED OUT IN THE CHURCHES OF ST. GEORGE'S
F. CYRIL LAMONTAGNE.

* Was born in St Lucia; 55 years old - diocesan with around 16 years in Grenada - General Vicar of the diocese.

* He explains that in St. Vincent, Barbados, and Antigua most of the believers belong to the Anglican and Methodist Churches, while in the French West Indies, as in the Spanish ones, the majority are Catholic. Grenada keeps the Catholic predominance of the colonial period under France, which has also culturally left other marks.

* He establishes a difference between the massive level of participation, which includes attendance to Sunday mass, and the intense participation, which is by a minority. His view is that in Grenada the levels of attendance to the historical Protestant churches are close to those of Catholicism: more intense during the festivities - Christmas, Holy Week, Lent.

* As to the liturgy, he says that there are several priests trying to assimilate the reforms of the Vatican II.

* On being asked about his opinion regarding the theology of liberation, and in general regarding this renewed line of thought, he answered that Grenada is not a country with a large concentration of wealth; that there are neither the very rich nor the extremely poor; that there is a predominance of a poverty characterized by a low standard of living, but not by misery (only in unusual cases); he adds that attendance to church is representative of this composition, and that he thinks this specific fact makes a theology of liberation that would adjust to other realities to be less adequate in the country. These observations follow his doubts about the strictly theological character of these theories.

* Composition of the clergy by countries: at present there are only 2 Grenadian priests and another four from the West Indies; there are 16 Irish, British, Canadians and Americans, which complete the present 22 priests.
* In the '70s three priests were brought from Nigeria with the aim of increasing the proportion of blacks, but he says this experience was a failure because they did not have a good adaptation and that 2 of them left, and the third one will soon go back to Nigeria. They chose Nigeria because of the experience and contacts of the Kiltegan friars there.

* On assessing negative reactions on the part of the clergy to social change in Grenada, he puts them down to the difficulties of the transition from a church that rules to a church that serves. He centers the problems on the European clergy whom he thinks should proportionally decrease in years ahead in favour of an indigenous clergy from the region.

* The Catholic Episcopal Conference (West Indies) has two major seminaries: one in Trinidad and another in Jamaica. Both seminaries are diocesan and the former now has around 20 seminarists, while the latter has around 10. They are affiliated to the University of the West Indies (UWI) so that their level would fit to that which is taught there and the degree conferred would be correspondent.

* He explains the dependence of the West Indian dioceses on the Congregation for the Evangelization of the Peoples and the Propagation of the Faith instead of on the Congregation of Bishops, like in the rest of America, due to financial reasons. The Caribbean Church is not able to finance itself and subordination to the above Congregation assures its financing as the region is considered a territory of Mission.

* He points out that the relations Church-State under Gairy were not easy, but that the Church managed to keep its status because the government knew its social influence. He adds that the Church has to show its capacity to carry out its mission beyond the ideologies being predominant in society.
He said that at present the Church does not object the changes by the FRG, but that it has critical appraisals. He understands that there have been mistakes both by the FRG leadership and the Church. In the Church the foreign clergy is not as able as to understand the internal questions and reacts hastily, adopting wrong positions. The FRG has interpreted these positions as being those of the Church, but it has been made clear that those manifestations do not express the position of the Church.

In spite of maintaining these criteria, he admitted that the statement by the journalist Alister Hughes in the Congress of the DFP of Dominica, summoning the Church to exert pressure on the public opinion around this question, was not an appropriate channel; and he says that this is not the Church's position. He recognizes that out of the 400 initial prisoners, over 75% have been set free, and that this shows that the FRG has not acted intrinsigently in this sense.

He is interested in knowing whether Marxism necessarily assumes atheism, and whether we understand the building of Socialism as conditioned by atheistic positions. He has the opinion that in the Island (I think he means the political leadership without expressly affirming it) there is the view that religious faith and Socialism are not compatible and that they are willing to accept Socialism as long as one does not start from this criterion of incompatibility. He stresses that he is, above all and first of all, a man of the Church and that he will always react as such, but that he is willing to accept, within this framework, a Socialist transformation. That the Church would also have to facilitate the assimilation of these changes for the believers.

He asks questions on Cuba, the Church, the training of the clergy (whether there is a major seminary, whether it is integrated to the higher education system, etc.). He says he is interested in visiting Cuba, but that he does not know in what way this visit could take place.
Lamontagne is, as he said, a man of the Church, representative of the positions of the institution. In my opinion, he cannot be evaluated as a progressive clergyman, but as one who could assimilate a Church within the framework of radical social transformations. I think that his willingness to visit Cuba and his interest in doing so should not be underestimated because his visit could contribute, on the one hand, to counteract the effects of propaganda schemes; on the other, it would help in differentiating his situation within the clergy of the diocese.
F. MARTIN SIMMONPS

* The Jamaican F. Martin, with around 30 years or more living in Grenada, is around 60 years old, and he is the SUPERIOR OF THE DOMINICANS for the BWI region within the English province of the order. He is known as the most sympathetic person towards the PRG within the clergy.

* He considers that Grenada is a country which presents a high degree of religiosity: that in the people's base the Christian faith is deeply rooted. The Catholic religiosity is the most generalized in the grass-roots. The religious practices and attendance to cult are significant, although they are not in correspondence, certainly, with the whole of the believers.

* He considers that the Grenadian Church is not traditionalist (but F. Martin himself wore white full-length soutane of the order when he visited me at the hotel), that on the contrary, there exists a spirit of renewal and of popular commitment in the institution. He regrets my rapid departure and invites me to visit with him the communities he attends to, and to be present at some liturgical activities.

* He says he is familiarized with Gustavo Gutiérrez' texts and that he had likewise read Friar Betto's, but does not completely share these positions, that they are not really theologians; he recognizes that they are interesting and that there should be access to those readings in the ecclesiastic circles. He considers that the clergy residing in Grenada is interested in knowing these positions.

* He considers as a difficulty that a considerable part of the clergy in the country is of European origin, which does not facilitate the comprehension of the reality in the region. He does not formulate, however, any criticism regarding the behaviour of the clergy.

* With respect to the relations with the PRG, he recognizes that the comprehension from the churches is insufficient. Although he considers that there have been errors on the part of the government, he thinks
that "it is the first honest Government in the history of Grenada", and in this way he states it within the Church whenever any disagreement is brought to the fore.

* He thinks that the Church plays a social role in Grenada, mainly distinguished in the field of education. The religious schools are, in his view, of capital importance within the general education. School is paid for, although he stresses that the fee is very low and that it has a wide range as to the possibilities of the population. The State subsidizes the religious schools.

* The Charismatic movement within Catholicism goes back to the beginning of the '70s in Grenada. He himself attends to a Charismatic community. This movement covers at present, according to his estimates, over a quarter of the Catholic population.

* When asked about the Rastafarians, he expressed that in Grenada it has been a way of covering a pre-criminal behaviour: vagrancy, easy way of earning money, drug addiction (ganja), etc., are the features of the Grenadian Rastafarians. He insists on differentiating the local situation from that in Jamaica, where he says, such belief presents other features which deserve respect, and whose leaders refuse to be identified with the Rastafarians in Grenada.

* P. Martin was recently removed from the parish in Grenville to the Church of St. Paul in St. George's.

* He will visit Havana between the end of September and early October. I consider we should program for him activities which will allow him to know the achievements of the Revolution mainly in education.

**OPINION:**

P. Martin (as he is known by) has the reputation of being a priest — closer to the revolutionary process than the rest of the clergy. His expressions indicate a willingness to understanding, but not progressive positions. His position should not be compared to that of the clergy—
men and theologians who promote the conception of the "people's church" in Central America. Martin is a leader of an order and expresses himself as a voice of the institution no less than Vicar Lamontagne, although with better knowledge and preparation than the latter.
LUCKY BERNARD

* Catholic lay deacon - Second Chief of the Police: he already had this post under Gairy, and the PRG has maintained the policy of not removing the police apparatus as long as it does not have a connection of repressive commitment with the Gairy regime.

* He has a middle level education, deeply committed with the ecclesias
tic structure.

* He thinks that before the triumph of the NJM the politicians maintained a position of respect to the CC because of its rootedness in the population of the Island, but that they did not completely trust it.

* He considers that around the '40s about 90% of the population in the Island was Catholic, that this percentage decreased due to conversions to other churches, mainly to Adventism and Baptism. He believes that the JW are very few and that they lack influence, and that the Pentecostals are not significant, although they are more in number.

* He thinks that the main Protestant churches, as to the number of believers and influence in the population, are the Anglican, the Methodist, and the Presbyterian.

* Regarding the social composition of the parishioners, he sees the merchant bourgeoisie in the Island agglutinated mainly in the Anglican Church, whereas the Catholic Church is made up by humbler sectors, which include the small farmers and the workers in general.

* He sees no difference between religiosity in the Protestant churches and the Catholic ones. He considers that although not all of the believers regularly attend mass, the participation is high, and similar in proportion to the participation within the Protestant churches.

* He refers to Charismatic Catholics as a very important part of the total number of Catholics. They indistinctly participate in the ordinary activities with the traditionalist Catholics (with no other difference than the diversity in meaning of the cult). At the same time,
they maintain the separation of the Charismatic celebrations, in many cases with sacerdotal orientation. He himself used to be a Charismatic during some years in the '70s. He thinks that the movement was brought from Europe in the early '70s.

* Regarding the presence of syncretic elements in religiosity, he says that there exist disperse superstitions inserted in the Catholic faith. He mentions three rituals of African origin:

1. SARAHA: He describes it as an African cult in the process of extinction.

2. OBEAH: Is characterized by fortune-telling through a glass of water; it is maintained as a practice inserted among Christians.

3. African dance: It seems to be a dancing ritual which, according to him, is revitalized with young people because it brings a recreational motivation.

* He states that the Catholic Church is made up by a foreign clergy, mainly Irish (he does not know the amount of people), that it does not understand the process, and that it would like everybody to develop according to its points of view. He considers that the Grenadian presence in the clergy should be reinforced.

* The PRG means an important step for the country; he thinks that in its action there are "errors", but that the intentions are good. The foreigners in the clergy do not understand. He assesses his personal contribution in making the foreign priests understand that they should be intransigent with the "errors" of the PRG, and that they should accept them in their exact dimension, realizing the positive value of the action.
A man of the Church who, at the same time, seeks to maintain his social status before the PRG. He asks about the possibility of travelling to Cuba to receive medical care for a chronic disease which has maintained him away from work during the last two months. Attention and continuity should be given to him for combining the presence in the Church — with a responsibility in the Administration, but he gives no indication of possibilities for progress in his positions.
NONSTRUCTURED OBSERVATION (SUMMARY)

Sunday mass in the Catholic, Anglican, and Presbyterian cathedrals, from 7:30 am to 9:30 am, Sunday, August 22. The observations in this regard are the following:

- In the Catholic cathedral there were 200 hundred persons of different ages; in the Anglican, between 120 and 150; and in the Presbyterian, around 20 persons.

- The composition observed among the believers: as to social position, the clothes they wore indicated a higher standard of living among Presbyterians and Anglicans than among Catholics, although all of them were dressed as for a festivity. From the racial point of view, in the Presbyterian Church the proportion of whites was higher than in the other two.

- Throughout that Sunday we observed that the flow of people attending religious services is high in the temples of different denominations, which are numerous in St. George's.

- There are neither credible statistics nor a register of associations which would allow an inventory of the religious institutions and of the numbers of believers they have, but undoubtedly the degree of denominationalism is very high and there is a considerable amount of participants.

- Informal talks with Anglican, Presbyterian, and Pentecostal believers show some elements to be taken into account:

  1) The Anglican Church is considered in connection with the British colonial State, with emphasis in the fact that it belongs to the Commonwealth, as well as in the presence of other cultural signs of Anglo-Saxon character.
2) In the framework of Protestantism, the sectarian movement (Adventist and others) is more identified with an American influence, not only because of its origin, but also because of the way of thinking induced in the believers.

3) Catholicism, originating from the French colonial period, but with a historical change towards an English-speaking environment (Irish and British clergy) since the beginning of the 19th Century, has sought to maintain an image of independent creed, beyond the specific influences of either metropolises.
A series of publications are being put out by the Catholic Church. (Most of those published so far are aimed at showing that communism is atheistic and should be feared and that atheism is Communist. The articles aim at creating fear in the minds of the religious.

So far five have been published in the series - the first three being the small leaflets of track size. No. 4 was Civic Freedoms. No. 5 some notes on Marx - Leninism. The sixth publication in the series is making but no definite date has been given as to when it will be out.

The typing of the 5th publication was done by an Irish teacher at St. John's Christian Secondary School.

Father Bernard Kadlec of Czechoslovakia is one of the writers. Father Austin is another. (I don't know which).

Publication is done by Mc Kie's Brintery, and Torchlight Printery.

(A track size publication Brown writing is now being printed in St. Lucia.)

Further information could not be had: the Priest was in a haste to leave for St. George's.

However, the Priest said that whenever he publishes any of these things he always send one to the Prime Minister.

He seems to have a hunch that the PRA will clamp down on these publications. I told him to ensure me a copy of each of his publications when he replied yes with a statement to this effect.

Father Bernard was the writer of Civic Freedoms, but I have not found out who is the author of the 5th publication.

The Priest is also afraid of these leaving the hands of the PRA soldiers for fear they may lose their jobs.

And so fear to give it to soldiers.
TOP SECRET
15th March 1983

TO: MAJOR KEITH ROBERTS

CC: O/CDT. MICHAEL ROBERTS

ANALYSIS - THE CHURCH IN GRENADE

This report will seek to analyse the Main Churches against whom our work is directed and also a general view of the other Churches. The Main Churches are:

1. The Roman Catholic Church
2. The Anglican Church
3. The Methodist Church
4. The Seventh Day Adventist Church

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH

This Organisation continues to be hostile towards the Grenada Revolution and is now placing emphasis on two (2) main areas. They are 1. Control of Catholic Youth Groups and 2. Education of priests through materials stressing the teachings of Marxism/Leninism and offering Christianity as the only way to solve societies problems.

At present there are eighteen (18) Roman Catholic priests in Grenada. They serve in forty (40) places of worship throughout Grenada and Carriacou. There are two main Religious Orders in this Church in Grenada (a) KILTEGEANS and (b) DOLINICANS. Other lesser Orders are Diocesan priests and secular priests. These priests are in the main either conservative or outright reactionary with the most dangerous priests being FRS. TOM LUCY, OLIVER AVY, PETER CLARKE, GILBERT COXHEAD, CYRIL LAMONTAGNE and BISHOP SYDNEY ARLES.

Though all reactionary and conservative the Bishop does not have the control over the priests that he would like, this is because of the exclusiveness of the two aforementioned Orders, to which he does not belong, thus giving him limited control. These Orders are totally loyal to their Superiors firstly and secondly to the Bishop. The Kiltegeans are headed by FR. OLIVER AVY and the Dominicans by FR. MARTIN SIMMONS. Thus the tendency is for the Bishop, who is very popular among the church goers, to ensure strict and rect control of the Diocesan priests and Organisations of Youth. Hence the thrust to control the Catholic Youth Organisations. Significantly also, the fact that the Advisory Body to the Bishop is made up of Lay People;
This Body is called the Diocesan Pastoral Council and is Chaired by Danny LLOYDS – a noted reactionary. This Body advises the Bishop on all matters on Sex tp Politics. Also worthy of note is the little heard of Public atements Committee which is headed by the Bishop and comprises such element e Willie REDHEAD. Also the Finance Committee which is accountable ONLY to Bishop.

Therefore can be seen from the fore going that the Bishop understanding at he is not popular with his priests (his colour is another reason for lack of popularity) has moved to control key Church Organisations to retains his power. He understands that he is not ever invited to the meet- s of the Orders and does not see their minutes. These meetings are used only to review the work of the Orders but to come out with a common line ch they want the Bishop to adopt at the monthly general meeting of all the orders. So by sheer weight of numbers at voting time the Bishop is easily ipulated to take certain actions or to make certain statements on the elf of the Church while the real authors remain hidden.

Moreover this Church remains considerably strong in Grenada and it will take cut of work to erode their influence. Particularly strong among the middle and older people in the state.

The plan to reorganise the Catholic Youth Organisations which began since member 1982 has gone along very smoothly on paper. This plan is allegedly "Combat the challenge faced by the Church in 1983". The Main decision body will be the Diocesan Youth Commission which is headed for the ent by the Bishop. But indications are that as soon as all the plans are lized DOLNIE HAYNES will take the Chairmanship. This Commission, made up reactionary elements will be the overall controlling body of all Catholic th, a function previously held by the Catholic Youth Congress which the hop sees as too Political, too pro-PRG. The CYC'S function will now be ried out by the Catholic Youth Council which will comprise two representatives from each Youth Organisation, thus by the numbers alone the ce of the CYC will be silenced.

Now circulated for ALL priests to study is a document called "MARXISM, HUM- JSM AND CHRISTIANITY ". Originating from Zambia Episcopal Conference and ted August 1979. This document was in Grenada in 1981, but not circulating.
among the priests to study as an "answer" to Scientific Socialism. This document is Anti-Scientific Socialism, anti-Communism and in general distorts teaching of Marx and Lenin. The Bishop sees this document as important to combat the "Challenge" to the Church. This "Challenge" obviously the Bishops view will come from the Government. In fact on the 2nd. January 3 while addressing an indoor rally of the St.Vincent DePaul Society (a holic Organisation which helps the poor) held at the Pierdmontemps R.Cool the Bishop said "The Church is facing it's greatest Challenge, and, for 3 the Church will be looking on the youth to be more committed to the Church ever, there are people who want to dictate to the Church what to do and 1983 will even see attempts to CRUSH the Church". This veiled statement is arly directed against the Revolution and the P.R.G. The Bishop left Grenada on the 20th. February 1983 to attend a meeting of the hops of the Antilles which was held in Cayenne. He returned to Grenada on ch 11th. 1983. No doubt he used this forum to "report" on the Grenada situ- in Grenada is a new priest, Fr.SHEAN, who is attached to Grand Roy R.C rch. He is an Irishman and belongs to the Kiltegeana Order. He has worked Africa and England before coming to Grenada. This is in keeping with the hop's view to recruit priests who have worked in similar conditions as now ist in Grenada. This is also to face the "Challenge". So in keeping with the need to face the "challenge" the Church has brought 4,365 copies of the Jeresulem Bible; This bible is written as a novel and very easy to understand not being written in old English. This bible is ed in Trinidad to train priests. Now on sale over the island at a cost of dollars each. This means that the Church in understanding the struggle for has "revolutionized" is main ideological weapon -the bible. Pope's new year message is also now in the hands of the priests study. This is the Church's foreign policy document and no doubt will be ed to criticize our foreign policy. CONCLUSIONS: From the foregoing it is abundantly clear that the Roman Catholic Church is gearing up for confrontation with Government. Although not really in the facts perviously outlined indicate the following - (a) Planning Constant evaluation of it's power base and influence in the Society
nd (c) Counter measures against State in a most skillful way.

a Regards point (a) The explanation must be the new type of bibles, introduction of new priests, control of the Catholic Youths,—this as a counter attack against the NYO who has eroded a number of their Organisations—, new rives to evangelism to attract more youths to the Church, circulation of the pe's message, the paper on "Marxism, Humanism and Christianity" to prepare diests to combat, from a Christian stand point, the ideas of Marxism Leninism now being defused in the masses as part of the year of Political and ademic Education.

int (b) The emphasis on Youth placed by the Bishop. Almost 50 per cent of arch goers are Youth (Below 30Yrs) therefore to lose even a part of s percentage is to drastically erode the Church's power base and if unchecked can cause the Church to crumble. Something it will fight against at all costs.

int (c) Exposing the so-called "Challenge" but not naming names the Church in fact laying the basis for it's Counter Revolutionary activities and ipping up feelings of sympathy among it's followers. By hinting that the arch will be persecuted in christ's name, any action taken against the arch will be seen by the followers as "prophecy". We must remember that 1 churches strive best in created conditions of persecution. Therefore at we are up against is an experienced and skillful Counter Revolutionary organisation.

E ANGLICAN CHURCH

his Church is experiencing a number of internal problems foremost being t he of priests to carry out its work and to expand it's influence in the iety. It is also in direct contradiction with the Roman Catholic Church use of that Church's historical control in Grenada. However the Anglican arch now carries the common "Challenge" line although not in the same words the Roman Catholic Church.

December 24th.1982, Archdeacon Hoskins Huggins, the leader of the Anglican arch in Grenada told a Congregation at the St.George's Church to "Guard e right to freedom of worship, and to do all in their power to ensure this it be always a part of the People". He also claimed that "for 1983 freedom worship may not exist and that this a grave challenge to us as a freedom living people". This clearly is only a variation of the "challenge" line
pushed by the Bishop of the Roman Catholic Church. With the departure of Evertán WEEKES (he left Grenada for good on 17th. December 1982) who was responsible for servicing the West Coast, the Church now has to rely on Lay people to preach sermons, and, has not been able to train more people to do this work precisely because of this shortage of priests. Thus Archdeacon is becoming more and more critical against the Revolution.

He is backed by a host of reactionary elements who dominate all the Church's organisations (men's league, Advisory Councils etc.). Prominent among them is le Hosten who is Church Lawyer, Church Chancellor and Advisor to the Archdeacon.

Conclusion: Not as influential in the State as the Roman Catholic Church it still a major threat to the Revolution. This is because the both churches are a common outlook on the Grenada Revolution. No indications of concrete planning just yet but it is my opinion this Church will go along with the Church in it's general line if only from the position that the Anglican Church is a member of the Conference of Churches of Grenada, an Organisation which the RC Church dominates. I do not foresee immediately any independent effort like what is being carried out by the RC Church.

3 SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH

This Church is for the moment content to be the "Business Church" of Grenada at this period confines it's efforts mainly to it's "In-gathering" Programme. This is a programme which is designed to reach money goals set for every church in the State. This Church is divided into six Districts. There are twenty four (24) main churches in Grenada and four (4) smaller ones called companies.

Conclusion: This Church is hostile towards the Revolution, but does nothing only. Now has its own Mission and Executive because of Currency restrictions; previously administered by the East Caribbean Conference of the Seventh Adventist Church. At present indicated internal contradictions among the leaders being investigated.

THE METHODIST CHURCH

This Church, like the Anglican, is experiencing some difficulties with priestership. In fact Church services are being held over the island by Lay people. In St. John's by Fred Grant, in St. George's by Eileen Byer, Lennox
Phillip and Elliot Gittens (they take turns). National membership is very small.

Of the above named group of people Eileen Byer is the most reactionary and clearly anti-PRG. In fact on the 30th January 1993, she told a congregation in St. George's that the Church had applications sent to Government for two work permits for priests but up to now there has been no response. This statement taken in the light of the Ledson case is tantamount to telling the Congregation that Government is wilfully and deliberately locking and hampering the work of the Methodist church. This is also a variation to the "Challenge" line by both the Catholic and Anglican Churches.

CONCLUSION: This Church will not take independent actions not positions because of its social base in the Society, historically a "town Church" and has no real broad social support. But will definitely go along with the position of the Conference of Churches of Grenada of which Eileen Byer is the Secretary.

OTHER CHURCHES

Generally the other Churches - Berean, Jehovah's Witnesses, Baptists, Church of the Open Bible, Pentecostal, Garden of Prayer, Church of Christ - are very quiet. The Baptist and Church of the Open Bible are on the increase in numbers. These Churches also oppose the Revolution from the position that it is teaching "Atheism and turning away from God", but these Churches not consistently attack the Revolution. Generally pre-occupied in the process of "winning souls" and attacking the Catholic Church in order to build their numbers. In my opinion the most reactionary of the lesser Churches are (1) The Jehovah's Witnesses (2) The Church of the Open Bible and (3) The Baptists.

SUBMITTED AS REQUESTED.
TO: MAJOR KEITH ROBERTS

OK: O/CDT. MICHAEL ROBERTS

ANALYSIS - THE CHURCH IN GRENADA

This report will seek to analyse the Main Churches against whom our work is directed and also a general view of the other Churches. The Main Churches are:

(a) The Roman Catholic Church
(b) The Anglican Church
(c) The Methodist Church
(d) The Seventh Day Adventist Church

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH

This Organisation continues to be hostile towards the Grenada Revolution and is now placing emphasis on two (2) main areas. They are 1. Control of Catholic Youth Groups and 2. Education of priests through materials starting the teachings of Marxism/Leninism and offering Christianity as the only way to solve societies' problems.

At present there are eighteen (18) Roman Catholic priests in Grenada. There are forty (40) places of worship throughout Grenada and Carriacou. There are two main Religious Orders in this Church in Grenada (a) KILTEGEANS and (b) DOMINICANS. Other lesser Orders are Diocesan priests and secular priests. These priests are in the main either conservative or outright reactionary with the most dangerous priests being FRS. TOM LUCY, OLIVER AVY, PETER CLARKE, GILBERT COXHEAD, CYRIL LAMONTAGNE and BISHOP SYDNEY ARLES.

Though all reactionary and conservative the Bishop does not have the control over the priests that he would like, this is because of the exclusiveness of the two aforementioned Orders, to which he does not belong, thus giving him limited control. These Orders are totally loyal to their Superiors firstly and secondly to the Bishop. The Kiltegeans are headed by FR. OLIVER AVY and the Dominicans by FR. MARTIN SIMMONS. Thus the tendency is for the shop, who is very popular among the church goers, to ensure strict and rect control of the Diocesan priests and Organisations of Youth. Hence the threat to control the Catholic Youth Organisations. Significantly also is the fact that the Advisory Body to the Bishop is made up of Lay People;
his Body is called the Diocesan Pastoral Council and is Chaired by Danny ILLE/LS - a noted reactionary. This Body advises the Bishop on all matters on Sex to Politics. Also worthy of note is the little heard of Public statements Committee which is headed by the Bishop and comprises such element as Willie REDHEAD. Also the Finance Committee which is accountable only to Bishop.

therefore can be seen from the foregoing that the Bishop understanding at he is not popular with his priests (his colour is another reason for lack of popularity) has moved to control key Church Organisations to sustain his power. He understands that he is not ever invited to the meetings of the Orders and does not see their minutes. These meetings are used only to review the work of the Orders but to come out with a common line th they want the Bishop to adopt at the monthly general meeting of all the ests. So by sheer weight of numbers at voting time the Bishop is easily pulated to take certain actions or to make certain statements on the 1f of the Church while the real authors remain hidden.

ever this Church remains considerably strong in Grenada and it will take t of work to erode their influence. Particularly strong among the middle and older people in the state.

e plan to reorganise the Catholic Youth Organisations which began since ember 1982 has gone along very smoothly on paper. This plan is allegedly "Combat the challenge faced by the Church in 1983". The Main decision ing body will be the Diocesan Youth Commission which is headed for the ent by the Bishop. But indications are that as soon as all the plans are lized DOMINIC HAYNES will take the Chairmanship. This Commission, made up reactionary elements will be the overall controlling body of all Catholic th, a function previously held by the Catholic Youth Congress which the hop sees as too Political, too pro-PRG. The CYC'S function will now be ried out by the Catholic Youth Council which will comprise two resentatives from each Youth Organisation, thus by the numbers alone the ce of the CYC will be silenced.

ow circulated for ALL priests to study is a document called "MARXISM, HUM-. ISM AND CHRISTIANITY ". Originating from Zambia Episcopal Conference and ted August 1979. This document was in Grenada in 1981, but not circulated
among the priests to study as an "answer" to Scientific Socialism. This document is Anti-Scientific Socialism, anti-Communism and in general distorts teaching of Marx and Lenin. The Bishop sees this document as important material to combat the "Challenge" to the Church. This "Challenge" obviously the Bishops view will come from the Government. In fact on the 2nd January 1983 while addressing an indoor rally of the St. Vincent DePaul Society (a holic Organisation which helps the poor) held at the Pierdemontempe R.C ool the Bishop said "The Church is facing it's greatest Challenge, and, for 3 the Church will be looking on the youth to be more committed to the Church ever, there are people who want to dictate to the Church what to do and 1983 will even see attempts to CRUSH the Church". This veiled statement is arly directed against the Revolution and the PRG. Bishop left Grenada on the 20th February 1983 to attend a meeting of the hops of the Antilles which was held in Cayenne. He returned to Grenada on ch 11th.1983. No doubt he used this forum to "report" on the Grenada situ- on. in Grenada is a new priest, Fr. SHEAN, who is attached to Grand Roy R.C rch. He is an Irishman and belongs to the Kiltegeans Order. He has worked Africa and England before coming to Grenada. This is in keeping with the rop's view to recruit priests who have worked in similar co:ditions as now at in Grenada. This is also to face the "Challenge". so in keeping with the need to face the "challenge" the Church has brought 4,365 copies of the Jeruselem Bible; This bible is written as a novel and very easy to understand not being written in old English. This bible is d in Trinidad to train priests. Now on sale over the island at a cost ofollars each. This means that the Church in understanding the struggle for s has "revolutionized" is main ideological weapon -the bible. Pope's new year message is also now in the hands of the priests study. This is the Church's foreign policy document and no doubt will be ed to criticize our foreign policy.

CONCLUSIONS: From the foregoing it is abundantly clear that the Roman Catholi rch is gearing up for confrontation with Government. Although not really n the facts perviously outlined indicate the following - (a) Planning Constant evaluation of it's power base and influence in the Society
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C) Counter measures against State in a most skillful way.

a Regards point (a) The explanation must be the new type of bibles, intro-
duction of new priests, control of the Catholic Youths,- this as a counter 
tack against the KYO who has eroded a number of their Organisations-, new 
tivea to evangelism to attract more youths to the Church, circulation of the 
pe's message, the paper on "Marxism, Humanism and Christianity" to prepare 
ests to combat, from a Christian stand point, the ideas of Marxism Lenin-
m now being defused in the masses as part of the year of Political and 
ademic Education.

int (b) The emphasis on Youth placed by the Bishop. Almost 50 per cent of 
rch goers are Youth (Below 30Yrs) therefore to lose even a part of mix 
percentage ie to drastically erode the Church's power base and if unchee-
d can cause the Church to crumble. Something it will fight against at all 
sts.

int (c) Exposing the so-called "Challenge" but not naming names the Church 
in fact laying the basis for it's Counter Revolutionary activities and 
pping up feelings of sympathy among it's followers. By hinting that the ch will be persecuted in christ's name, any action taken against the ch will be seen by the followers as "prophecy". We must remember that 
iches strive best in created conditions of persecution. Therefore 
ere we are up against is an experienced and skillful Counter Revolutionary 
isation.

E ANGLICAN CHURCH

his Church is experiencing a number of internal problems foremost being th 
of priests to carry out its work and to expand it's influence in the 
ity. It is also in direct contradiction with the Roman Catholic Church 
use of that Church's historical control in Grenada. However the Anglican ch now carries the common "Challenge" line although not in the same words 
the Roman Catholic Church.

December 24th 1982, Archdeacon Hoskins Ruggins, the leader of the Anglican ch in Grenada told a Congregation at the St George's Church to "Guard 
e right to freedom of worship, and to do all in their power to ensure this 
't be always a part of the People". He also claimed that "for 1983 freedom 
worship may not exist and that this a grave challenge to us as a freedom 
viving people". This clearly is only a variation of the "challenge" line
pushed by the Bishop of the Roman Catholic Church. With the departure of
Everton Weekes (he left Grenada for good on 17th December 1982) who was
responsible for servicing the West Coast, the Church now has to rely on Lay
people to preach sermons, and, has not been able to train more people to
do this work precisely because of this shortage of priests. Thus Archdeacon
is becoming more and more critical against the Revolution.

He is backed by a host of reactionary elements who dominate all the Church's
organisations (men's league, Advisory Councils etc.). Prominent among them is
le Hosten who is Church Lawyer, Church Chancellor and Advisor to the Arch-
deacon.

CONCLUSION: Not as influential in the State as the Roman Catholic Church
it still a major threat to the Revolution. This is because the both churches
are a common outlook on the Grenada Revolution. No indications of concrete
annulling just yet but it is my opinion this Church will go along with the
Church in it's general line if only from the position that the Anglican
Church is a member of the Conference of Churches of Grenada, an Organisation
which the RC Church dominates. I do not foresee immediately any independent
on like what is being carried out by the RC Church.

SECOND DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH

is Church is for the moment content to be the "Business Church" of Grenada
at this period confines it's efforts mainly to it's "Ingathering" Prog-
gramme. This is a programme which is designed to reach money goals set for every church in the State. This Church is divided into six Districts. There
are twenty-four (24) main churches in Grenada and four (4) smaller ones called
panies.

CONCLUSION: This Church is hostile towards the Revolution, but does nothing
only. Now has it's own Mission and Executive because of Currency restrict-
es; previously administered by the East Caribbean Conference of the Seventh
Adventist Church. At present indicated internal contradictions among the
leadership being investigated.

METHODIST CHURCH

is Church, like the Anglican, is experiencing some difficulties with priest-
dership. In fact Church services are being held over the island by Lay
ple. In St. John's by Fred Grant, in St. George's by Eileen Byer, Lennox
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Phillip and Elliot Gittens (they take turns). National membership is very small.

Of the above named group of people Eileen Byer is the most reactionary and clearly anti-PRG. In fact on the 30th January 1983, she told a congregation in St. George's that the Church had applications sent to Government for two work permits for priests but up to now there has been no response. This statement taken in the light of the Ledson case is tantamount to telling the Congregation that Government is wilfully and deliberately locking and hampering the work of the Methodist church. This is also a variation to the "Challenge" line by both Catholic and Anglican Churches.

CONCLUSION: This Church will not take independent actions not positions because of its social base in the Society, historically a "town Church" and has no real broad social support. But will definitely go along with the position of the Conference of Churches of Grenada of which Eileen Byer is the Secretary.

OTHER CHURCHES

Generally the other Churches - Berean, Jehovah's Witnesses, Baptists, Church of the Open Bible, Pentecostal, Garden of Prayer, Church of Christ - are very quiet. The Baptist and Church of the Open Bible are on the increase in numbers. These Churches also oppose the Revolution from the position that it is teaching "Atheism and turning away from God", but these Churches not consistently attack the Revolution. Generally pre-occupied in the process of "winning souls" and attacking the Catholic Church in order to build their numbers. In my opinion the most reactionary of the lesser Churches are (1) The Jehovah's Witnesses (2) The Church of the Open Bible and (3) The Baptists.

SUBMITTED AS REQUESTED.
ANALYSIS OF THE CHURCH IN GRENADA

(1) A BRIEF HISTORICAL OVERVIEW:

The real history of the Church in Grenada can be said to have begun with the coming of Columbus to the island and the subsequent attempts by the different religious sects to "christianize" the inhabitants.

With the changing of the balance of power by the constant squabbles between the Colonial powers and the subsequent changing of ownership of the island of the religious institutions of those powers were extended to Grenada; first the English Anglican Church, then the Roman Catholic Church, Methodist and Presbyterian Churches.

All these Churches served the interests of the ruling classes and helped to strengthen the position of the Colonial Governments. While helping the ruling class, these Churches also played a key role in uniting different sections of our society. For example, the Anglican Church traditionally comprised the elite of Grenadian Society while the Roman Catholic Church drew its members from the poor and oppressed classes. This serves, today, to explain why the Roman Catholic Church is the most powerful in Grenada with approximately 70,000 baptised members.

Poor living conditions, poverty and dispair during the era of Colonialism served to strengthen the position of the Church among the broad masses of our country because the Church is strongest where there exists poverty, illiteracy and an educational system designed to suit the interests of the Church, where religious knowledge was compulsory at schools controlled by the Church, served to entrench further a deep idealism among our people which today, is one of the main reasons for our people's deep and strong religious feelings.

To compound matters more a flood of new-fangled religious sects and denominations came to Grenada just after the Second World War. These are the Non-Traditional American types. This flood continues even to this day. Thus, the bases of the traditional religions have been somewhat eroded and the social composition of Grenadian society further subdivided into a multitude of various sects of different shades and creeds.
In conclusion, we can say that the Church, although at periods in its history, sometimes played a progressive role if even in its own interest is nothing but a fetter to our development.

2) THE CHURCH AS A THREAT TO THE REVOLUTION

March 13, 1979 forced all Churches in Grenada to take a new look at themselves and to analyse their role in a Revolutionary society. At first they played a wait and see game, but, when it became clear where the revolution was going and what it stood for, they took up a clear position. It is safe, here, to say that there is no clear "left" religion in Grenada, but, since our Revolution enjoys popular support, then the broad mass of Church goers are to varying extents supporters of the Grenada Revolution. This cannot be said about the Leaders of the Churches in Grenada and I contend that we have no support among them, all are to different degrees hostile to the Revolution. This is true even though some are less vocal than others. The following analysis of events taken at different periods up to the present time will suffice to here identify the Church as the main potential source of major internal counterrevolution.

1980 - 1981 - The main line pushed for this period by the Traditional Churches was the question of the Detainees at Richmond Hill and the holding of elections. The Roman Catholic Church in particular used every forum to push this line. This Church organised retreats, seminars and conferences and at every one of these activities, hostile statements were hurled against the Grenada Revolution on so-called violation of Human rights. Every Sunday at one Church or another priests were heard to ask people to "pray for the detainees" whose rights have been denied.

While the Traditional religious were on the human rights/election line, non-traditional religions were on a different line. They were preaching the so-called "last days doctrine" and saying that "man has turned away from god" a subtle attack on our ideological positions. This line was particularly strong among the Baptists and the Open Bible Churches.

1980-1981 period saw the Catholic Church making efforts to obtain best versed in the knowledge of submitting our ideological position, and also saw the Roman Catholic Priests begin to print pamphlets on "civic and man Rights" and "notes on Marxism". In reality anti-Marxism/Leninism.

1982 - The first half of the year was relatively quiet as the Churches started to plan new strategy and tactics. In November 1982 the Roman Catholic Church emerged as the No. 1 antagonist of the Revolution.
TOP SECRET
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The Bishop, Sydney Charles, began to push the line that the Church will face its biggest "challenge" in 1983. A new strategy was developed that of re-organization of all Catholic Youth under the direct control of the Bishop. Two new organizations were formed for this purpose (a) the Diocesan Youth Commission and (b) the Diocesan Youth Council. The latter organization whose chairman is appointed by the Bishop, replaces the Catholic Youth Congress (CYC) whom the Bishop saw as "too political".

On 10th December, 1982, 4,365 copies of the Jerusalem Bible arrived in Grenada for the Catholic Church. A very simple bible it is written in novel form so as to make it easier for the church masses to read. This indicates the Church's understanding of the ideological struggle. The call by A. Hughes for the church to voice its opinion on matters of human rights, and the statement that it is the main hope at this time. The Bishop speaks of the "challenge" to the Church in 1983 in his Christmas Message.

The situation took on a new turn when Methodist Minister Ledson refused to officiate at the burial of Cde. Demo Grant and had to be kicked out of Grenada. All the traditional Churches saw this as "persecution" and hardened their position against the FRG and Revolution.

In December of the same year, in his Christmas sermon, Arch Deacon Huggins of the Anglican Church, spoke of the need to safeguard the right to worship, and acted in a way that would make anyone feel that this right was about to be taken away.

3. 1983 - Upsurge in open air crusades, house to house and tract giving by the non-traditional religions. More house to house work done by Jehovah's Witnesses. There seem to be a frenzied drive by these churches to win new members. This process is continuing now. There has also been a number of visits to Grenada by pastors and preachers from abroad to "beef up" the work in "evangelization".

The Roman Catholic Clergy, for the first time, has instituted a rescheduled list of meetings led by the Bishop for the year. Nine (9) in 11. Three (3) have been held so far, all at different venues. The fourth setting will take place on 12th July, 1983 at the Grand Roy Presbyterian. This activity indicates that the Clergy is becoming more and more organized under the leadership of the Bishop.

The organization of the youths continue at an accelerated rate, with all ages included in this drive. The Bishop, at a meeting of all Catholic youths leaders in May, said that the enemy was organized and that it was -----/4
necessary that the church organize also to combat the enemy. At an early
meeting in April, the Bishop again spoke of a subtle form of destabilization
against the church. The Anglican Church has started to re-organize its
Youth Groups after a lapse of three (3) years. This year was the first
time the Anglican and Catholic Churches had a joint Corpus Christi Pro-
cession.

Based on these developments and the knowledge of the large percentage
of Grenadians who have very deep trust in the church and also taking into
account the weakness in all our mass organizations and, therefore, our
influence over the masses, we see this development as a very dangerous one.
We think that in the medium term, if serious measures are not taken, we can
find ourselves faced with a Poland situation. In this light, we see the
church in the immediate period as being the most dangerous sector for the
development of internal counter revolution.

FUTURE TRENDS

1) We foresee the continuation of the organization of all Youths by the
Catholic and Anglican Churches.
2) We foresee stronger unity among all G.C.C. churches.
3) We think that the unity among Catholic Clergy will grow steadily.
4) Infiltration of anti Marxist/Leninist from outside.
5) The Bishop becoming bolder in his attacks against the Revolution.
6) The Catholic Church overall hardening its position against the
Revolution.
7) More and more foreign Pastors and Preachers of non-traditional
religious will want to come to Grenada to work and hold crusades.

RECOMMENDATIONS

a) Ensuring that Michael Roberts continue a permanent and full time way
to be in charge of church work.
b) Obtaining a second person to work in this area in order to control all
churches, their leadership, membership and their activities.
The establishment of a register of association including churches and
all other organizations e.g. Jaycee, Unions, Association of Profes-
professional etc. which will make it necessary when registering to give some basic
fact about the Associations or churches e.g. the knowledge on special
and regular activities, counting of members, different posts within the
organizations, means of financing activities, etc.
Continuing to develop the co-operation in this area with the Cuban
Comrades at the level of Party to Party.
Ensuring that C.P.E. get a majority of working people involved in its classes.


3. Organize the community work in the different areas more efficiently, start on time, and actively mobilize to bring out the masses to participate.

4. Removing from Primary Schools, all deeply religious head teachers by whatever means most suitable, replacing them with more progressive elements. This should be done no later that the end of this month.

5. Introduce Political Education as that or Social studies in every classroom in the Primary and Secondary Schools from this September, use the most progressive teachers within the school system (chosen by teachers committee) to teach these classes. Use Merle Hodge and Didicus to write up the materials for the courses.

6. Political Education for all teachers by this September.

7. Strengthen Science Education – theory and practical – in every school and in the community through C.P.E. and Film shows.

8. Cut back on all religious programmes on R.F.G. Substitute on Sunday morning voice cast of the masses on the progress of the projects.

9. To promote contacts among Clergymen and members of Laity from Nicaragua and other Latin American countries linked to the theology of liberation and, in general, to the idea of a church committed to Revolutionary positions.

10. To implement the visits of Pastors from the Grenada Protestant Churches belonging to the Caribbean Conference of Churches (CCC) of which the Evangelical Churches of Cuban in a number, to short annual course, in light that the comrades can solve the language question.

11. More dialogue with West Indian Priests, Nuns and Brothers in the Church and schools by the Leadership.

12. Opening up M-L Bookshop in different parishes of the country.

13. Getting M-L literature into all schools by September.

14. Explore possibility of getting Father Martin and La Montague to visit Cuba.

15. Step up the systematic monitoring of all Religious manifestation in the state, and position being taken as regard the work permit of way-side Preachers entering the country to preach, and immigration position on these way-side preachers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>NAMES</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>NORMAN DESOUZA</td>
<td>LABORIE, ST. GEO.</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>OLIVER RAEBURN</td>
<td>SAUTEURS, ST. PAT.</td>
<td>14.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>OSBERT JAMES</td>
<td>MAMMA CANNES, ST. AND.</td>
<td>15.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>RAYMOND DESOUZA</td>
<td>LABORIE, ST. GEO.</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>CLINTY SAMUEL</td>
<td>ST. PATRICK'S</td>
<td>15.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>KINGSTON BAPTISTE</td>
<td>GOUYAVE</td>
<td>14.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>TARNIL CLARKE</td>
<td>ST. JOHN'S</td>
<td>14.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DENNIS RUSH</td>
<td>ST. ANDREW'S</td>
<td>17.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>DONNALLY PATRICK</td>
<td>HAPPY HILL</td>
<td>23.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>TERRANCE JONES</td>
<td>BYELANDS</td>
<td>23.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>NOBLE PHILLIP</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>25.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>STEADMAN PATRICK</td>
<td>HERMITAGE</td>
<td>25.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>DÁLTON POPE</td>
<td>SAUTEURS</td>
<td>25.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>LESTER DESOUZA</td>
<td>LABORIE</td>
<td>30.7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>ANTONIO LANGDON</td>
<td>HAPPY HILL</td>
<td>15.8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>FRANCIS JONES</td>
<td>WESTERHALL</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>TEDDY VICTOR</td>
<td>VINCENNES</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>ANTHONY MITCHELL</td>
<td>VINCENNES</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>JAMES ANTOINE</td>
<td>WINDSOR FOREST</td>
<td>15/10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>WINSTON WHITE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>LESLIE PHILLIP</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>HAYES JAMES</td>
<td>CHANTIMELLE</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>COSLYN JONES</td>
<td>NAPE, ST. PAT.</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>JOHN THOMAS</td>
<td>GRAND ROY</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>ERIC CHARLES</td>
<td>GRAND ROY</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>JOSEPH PEERS</td>
<td>MT. GRANDBY</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>CONROY PARAYAG</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>WAYNE LEFT</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>JAMES MODESTE</td>
<td>GRAND ROY</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>STEPHEN CURFLE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>MATTHEW ANTOINE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>TWISTLETON PATTERSON</td>
<td>TEMPE, ST. GEO.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>WILTON DERAVENIETTE</td>
<td>POMME ROSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>BENEDICT GEORGE</td>
<td>MT. CARMEL, ST. AND.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>JAMES BOWEN</td>
<td>WESTERHALL</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>JEROME ROMAIN</td>
<td>BELMONT</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>ANTHONY BUCKMIRE</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>KENNEDY BUHLAL</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>DENNIS CHARLES</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>VINCENT REUBEN</td>
<td>TEMPE</td>
<td>30.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STEPHEN CURFLE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATTHEW ANTOINE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TWISTLETON PATTERSON</td>
<td>TEMPE, ST. GEO.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WILTON DERAVENIETTE</td>
<td>POMME ROSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BENEDICT GEORGE</td>
<td>MT. CARMEL, ST. AND.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JAMES BOWEN</td>
<td>WESTERHALL</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JEROME ROMAIN</td>
<td>BELMONT</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANTHONY BUCKMIRE</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KENNEDY BUHLAL</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DENNIS CHARLES</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VINCENT REUBEN</td>
<td>TEMPE</td>
<td>30.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STEPHEN CURFLE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATTHEW ANTOINE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TWISTLETON PATTERSON</td>
<td>TEMPE, ST. GEO.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WILTON DERAVENIETTE</td>
<td>POMME ROSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BENEDICT GEORGE</td>
<td>MT. CARMEL, ST. AND.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JAMES BOWEN</td>
<td>WESTERHALL</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JEROME ROMAIN</td>
<td>BELMONT</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANTHONY BUCKMIRE</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KENNEDY BUHLAL</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DENNIS CHARLES</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VINCENT REUBEN</td>
<td>TEMPE</td>
<td>30.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STEPHEN CURFLE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MATTHEW ANTOINE</td>
<td>GRAND ANSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TWISTLETON PATTERSON</td>
<td>TEMPE, ST. GEO.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WILTON DERAVENIETTE</td>
<td>POMME ROSE</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO.</td>
<td>NAMES</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>IVAN EBOLA</td>
<td>RIVER ANTOINE</td>
<td>8.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>MAURICE PATTERSON</td>
<td>LUCAS STREET</td>
<td>12.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>RAPHAEL ROBERTS</td>
<td>ST. APULS</td>
<td>17.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>NORMIS WALKER</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>ROLLAND JOSEPH</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>BENEDICT HENRY</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>BRENDA J. PHILLIP(F)</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>22.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>RONNIE NYACK</td>
<td>LAFELLITTE</td>
<td>8.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>JACQUELINE JOSEPH(F)</td>
<td>HERITAGE</td>
<td>29.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>THERESA PETERS (F)</td>
<td>LAPOTERIE</td>
<td>29.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>CLARIBELLA WELLS(F)</td>
<td>TOP HILL ST. AND.</td>
<td>4.7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>MAUDYLYN JOEN (F)</td>
<td>CHANTHELLE</td>
<td>21.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>ADRIAN ROBERTS</td>
<td>CONFERENCE</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>DONVILLE NECKLES</td>
<td>PROSPECT, ST. PAT.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>JOSEPH JACOB</td>
<td>PTEMONTEMPS</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>GLENN REEDS</td>
<td>CONFERENCE</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>MICHAEL MARK</td>
<td>MT. REUIL</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>WINSTON SIMON</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>GODFREY WILLIAMS</td>
<td>LAPOTERIE</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>LEON CALLISTE</td>
<td>LAPOTERIE</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>FRANCIS ABERDEEN</td>
<td>BIRCHGROVE</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.</td>
<td>DAVID STANISCLUS</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>JIMMY JULIEN</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>ALROY BELLAMY</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>RICHARD PASCAL</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>DENZIL RICHARDSON</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.</td>
<td>ISAAC JAMES</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>EMROL GITTIN</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>TERRANCE BERNARD</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.</td>
<td>ADRIAN ALEXIS</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>MATTHEW PASCAL</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.</td>
<td>ETHELBERT JOHN</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>DAVE RICHARDSON</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>NAUULYN RODERIQUE (F)</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>HELEN PASCAL (F)</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>CATHERINE PASCAL(F)</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.</td>
<td>CATHERINE FLEMING(F)</td>
<td>MT. ROSE</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.</td>
<td>ANNES PASCAL (W)</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.</td>
<td>SELVIN FLEMING</td>
<td>MT. REUIL</td>
<td>14.9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>KIPLIN FRANCIS</td>
<td>MT. REUIL</td>
<td>21.11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO.</td>
<td>NAMES</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>KELLY RUDY</td>
<td>SNELL HALL</td>
<td>21. 11. 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>ALSTON ROBERTS</td>
<td>MUNICH</td>
<td>23. 12. 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>DENNIS AUGUSTINE</td>
<td>HERMITAGE</td>
<td>29. 6. 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>HUBBON-HUMELENT</td>
<td>BEAULEAU</td>
<td>6. 11.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>LAWERENCE SIMON</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>23. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>BERTIN-ROMAIN</td>
<td>WOOLNAMBE</td>
<td>23. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>QUINN CHARLES</td>
<td>FONTENAY</td>
<td>26. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>LYLE ST. BERNARD</td>
<td>ST. PAULS</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>HUGH ST. BERNARD</td>
<td>ST. PAULS</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>EMANUEL CHARLES</td>
<td>SAUTEURS</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>JENSON OITWAY</td>
<td>ST. PAULS</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>KENNY CHITAN</td>
<td>MOYAH</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>GROTON CHITAN</td>
<td>MOYAH</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>RAYMOND ALEXIS</td>
<td>MUNICH</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>CHARLES FLEMING</td>
<td>MT. REUIL</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>HERBERT JOHN</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>BARRY JOSEPH</td>
<td>MT. GAY</td>
<td>26. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>DENNIS FARRIER</td>
<td>ST. GEORGE'S</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>COSMOS MCINTYRE</td>
<td>ST. GEORGE'S</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>STEPHEN SCOTT</td>
<td>MT. GAY</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Raymond Steille</td>
<td>MOINE JALOUX</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Rendolf Charles</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>DAVID AIRD</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>CARLYLE PHILLIP</td>
<td>RIVER ROAD</td>
<td>9. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>SAMUEL BONAPARTE</td>
<td>ST. DAVID'S</td>
<td>11. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>FABIAN LEWIS</td>
<td>CARENAGE</td>
<td>11. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>IRIE CALLISTE</td>
<td>ST. DAVID'S</td>
<td>12. 3. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>THOMAS GILBERT</td>
<td>ST. DAVID'S</td>
<td>24. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Wilfred Deraventiere</td>
<td>POMME ROSE</td>
<td>13. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Paul Edwards</td>
<td>LA TANTE</td>
<td>13. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Alexander Noel</td>
<td>HERMITAGE</td>
<td>29. 6. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Jardin Reuben</td>
<td>POMME ROSE</td>
<td>11. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Leslie Pierre</td>
<td>Fort Jeudy</td>
<td>11. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Stanley Robert</td>
<td>PIEDMONTENPMS</td>
<td>11. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Martin Fletcher</td>
<td>SAN SOUCI</td>
<td>25. 2. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Worrel Lewis</td>
<td>BIRCHEROVE</td>
<td>3. 9. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Ronald McSween</td>
<td>ST. DAVID'S</td>
<td>13. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Bernard Patrick</td>
<td>TIVOLI</td>
<td>11. 9. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Duncan Charles</td>
<td>LAPOTERIE</td>
<td>14. 8. 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Lloyd Noel</td>
<td>ST. JOHN'S</td>
<td>13. 7. 81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Detainees List Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Tillman Thomas</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>13. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Winston Crowe</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>13. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Emmanuel Toussaint</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19. 3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Rodney Nelson</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>8. 5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Vaughn Noel</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>15. 5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Stephen Lewis</td>
<td>Lafoterie</td>
<td>22. 6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Ronald Lewis</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>22. 6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Loxley Logie</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26. 6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Michael Andrew's</td>
<td>St. Pauls</td>
<td>13. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Fabian Telesford</td>
<td>Windsor Forest</td>
<td>20.12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>David Greenidge</td>
<td>Windsor Forest</td>
<td>20.12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Raphael Boyke</td>
<td>Grandanse</td>
<td>23.12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Clarence Bernard</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Desmond Frederick</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
<td>27. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Dominic Hamlet</td>
<td>Madeys, St. Pat.</td>
<td>25. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Jermaine Strachan</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>9. 2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Derick Gooding</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>27. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Kent Bain</td>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>29. 4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Leroy Charles</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>27. 6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Errrol Charles</td>
<td>Belmont, St. Geo.</td>
<td>6. 3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Steve Richardson</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>25. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Reginald Phillips</td>
<td>Mt. Parnassus</td>
<td>6. 3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Kenneth Williams</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
<td>26. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Martin Berotte</td>
<td>Mt. Gay, St. Geo.</td>
<td>9. 3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Claude Simon</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Clifton Augustine</td>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>11. 3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Heslyn Phillip</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>25. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Milton Alexander</td>
<td>Byelands</td>
<td>12. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Lawrence Williams</td>
<td>Grand Roy</td>
<td>12. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Edmund Charles</td>
<td>Moyah</td>
<td>12. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Edwin Jaloo</td>
<td>Moyah</td>
<td>12. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Kade Layne</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>6. 3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Francis Williams</td>
<td>Lafoterie</td>
<td>22. 8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Rodney Garraway</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>7. 3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Harold Lewis</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
<td>26. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Sydney Bartholomew</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19. 5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Outry Houston</td>
<td>Moyah</td>
<td>13. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Chester Paul</td>
<td>Happy Hill</td>
<td>13. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Benedict Charles</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>27. 6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Vaughn Thomas</td>
<td>Lafellitte</td>
<td>12. 7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO.</td>
<td>NAMES</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>COLVILLE PROSPER</td>
<td>PARADISE</td>
<td>12.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>ROY ALBERT</td>
<td>PARADISE</td>
<td>12.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>CLETUS ALEXANDER</td>
<td>MT. REUIL</td>
<td>12.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>DAVID CLARKS</td>
<td>MIRABEAU</td>
<td>31.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>GODFRED NAPOLITE</td>
<td>HERMITAGE</td>
<td>29.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>KENNETH CLARK</td>
<td>GRAND BA'S.</td>
<td>12.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>RUPERT CHARLES</td>
<td>MIRABEAU</td>
<td>12.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>TERRY HOUSTON</td>
<td>SOUTHSE ST. AND.</td>
<td>31.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>NICODIMUS TAYLOR</td>
<td>VICTORIA</td>
<td>26.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>KEITH PAUL</td>
<td>VICTORIA</td>
<td>26.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>GABRIEL JULIEN</td>
<td>VICTORIA</td>
<td>26.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>FITZROY SICK</td>
<td>PIEDMONTTEMPS</td>
<td>15.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>ISRAEL HENRY</td>
<td>HARFORD'S VILLAGE</td>
<td>9.7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>WORRIL NEED</td>
<td>HERMITAGE</td>
<td>25.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>MATTHEW FLEMING</td>
<td>MT. RICH</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>EDDIE RICHARDSON</td>
<td>TOP HILL, ST. AND.</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>VAUGHN JOSEPH</td>
<td>SHELL HALL</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>WINSTON JAMES</td>
<td>ST. PATRICK'S</td>
<td>27.6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>HARRY DAILY</td>
<td>ST. ANDREWS</td>
<td>27.6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>CUTHBERT JOHN</td>
<td>ST. GEORGE'S</td>
<td>9.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>SIMON ANTOINE</td>
<td>ST. DAVID'S</td>
<td>13.12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>DUNBAR SAMUEL</td>
<td>WESTERHALL BEULIEU</td>
<td>13.12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>DESMOND OTTS</td>
<td>TOWNSVILLE FOREST</td>
<td>13.12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Names</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Admitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Kiplin Francis (Abi)</td>
<td>Mt. Reuil, St. Pat.</td>
<td>21.11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kelt Rudd (go cool)</td>
<td>Snow Hall, St. Pat.</td>
<td>21.11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Joseph Paul (Dabeau)</td>
<td>Montrose, St. Pat.</td>
<td>22.11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Alston Hoberts (Imby)</td>
<td>Munich, St. And.</td>
<td>23.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dennis Augustine (Ants)</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Pat.</td>
<td>29.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hudson Mimbette</td>
<td>Bealeau</td>
<td>6.1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lawrence Simon</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>23.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Derick Romain</td>
<td>Woodlands, Tivoli</td>
<td>23.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Godwin Charles</td>
<td>Fontenoy</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lyle St. Bernard</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Dennis Alexander</td>
<td>Corinth, St. David's</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hugh O. Keith St. Bernard</td>
<td>St. Paul's</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Emmanuel Charles</td>
<td>Sautours</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Worrel Nedd</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Matthew Fleming</td>
<td>Mt. Reuil</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jenson Othway</td>
<td>St. Paul's</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kenny Cardigan</td>
<td>Moyor, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Crofton Crosier</td>
<td>Moyor, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Raymond Alexis</td>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Eddy Richardson</td>
<td>Top Hill St. Pat.</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Charles Flemming</td>
<td>Mt. Reuil</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Herbut John</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Barry Joseph</td>
<td>Mt. Gay</td>
<td>26.2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Denis Ferrier</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>9.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cosmus McIntyre</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Martin Berrotte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Stephen Scott</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Raymond Steele</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sylvester Maitland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ronald Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Phillip Donald</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Jeffrey Marryshow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>David Aird</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Cuthbert John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Carlyle Phillip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Samuel Bonaparte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Fabian Lewis</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Clifton Augustine</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Irie Calliste</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Clifton Marryshow</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Winston Hinds</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>James Modeste</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.12.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 148
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAMES</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE ADMITTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75. Ronald Lewis</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'6. Vanrick Joseph</td>
<td>La Poterie/Snell Hall</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Glenn Beggs</td>
<td>Conference, St.And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'8. Winston Simon</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79. Michael Mark alias Battle Zone</td>
<td>Mt.Reuil, St. Pat.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Edward Nurse</td>
<td>Bricli Grove</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Godfrey Williams</td>
<td>La Poterie, St.And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Leon Calliste</td>
<td>La Poterie, St.And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Francis Aberdeen</td>
<td>Bricli Grove, St.And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Michael Charles</td>
<td>Sauterne, St.Pat.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Stephen Lewis</td>
<td>La Poterie, St.Andrew's</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Grace Augustine</td>
<td>(charge for murder)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Phillyn Joseph</td>
<td>(charged for murder)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Raphael Phillip</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Alroy Belingy</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Richard Pascal</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Denis Richardson</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Isaac James</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Carol Gittens</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Adrian Alexis</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Matthew Jeremiah</td>
<td>Crouhu, St.And.</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Dave Richardson</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Maudlyn Roderique</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Helen Pascal</td>
<td>Mt. Rich</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Catherine Fleming</td>
<td>Mt. Rose</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Annas Pascal</td>
<td>Mt. Rich (Mt. Royal)</td>
<td>16.12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Francis Williams</td>
<td>La Poterie, St.And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. Selwyn Fleming</td>
<td>Mt. Reuil, St.Pat.</td>
<td>14.9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59. Philmore Williams</td>
<td>Conference, St.And.</td>
<td>18.11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alias Mucmin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Arrested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godwin Charles</td>
<td>Sautours</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroy Parayag</td>
<td>River Rd.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Lett</td>
<td>Grand Anse</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Cuffie</td>
<td>Grand Anse</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Antoine</td>
<td>Grand Anse</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twistleton Patterson</td>
<td>Tempe</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton DeRavineere</td>
<td>Fonne Rose</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benedict George</td>
<td>Mt. Carmel, St. And.</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Bowen</td>
<td>Westerhall</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerome Rosain</td>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>18.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kade Layne</td>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errol Charles</td>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Buckmire</td>
<td>River Rd.</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald Philip</td>
<td>Mt. Parnassus</td>
<td>6.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Garroway</td>
<td>Springs</td>
<td>7.3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Shuhallall</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Charles</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Reuden</td>
<td>Tempe</td>
<td>30.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Lashley</td>
<td>Carenage</td>
<td>5.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Bain</td>
<td>Belmont</td>
<td>29.4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan Shola</td>
<td>River Antoine</td>
<td>8.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Nelson</td>
<td>Tivoli7</td>
<td>8.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice Patterson</td>
<td>Lucas St.</td>
<td>12.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaughn Noel</td>
<td>Walker, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>15.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphae1 Roberts</td>
<td>St. Paul's</td>
<td>17.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris Walker</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmanuel Toussaint</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland Joseph</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney Bartholomew</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benedict Henry</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
<td>19.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda J.E. Phillip</td>
<td>Tempe</td>
<td>22.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Thompson</td>
<td>Tempe</td>
<td>21.7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronnie Nyack</td>
<td>La Fillette (convicted)</td>
<td>8.5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqueline Joseph</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Pat.</td>
<td>29.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Peters</td>
<td>La Poterie, St. And.</td>
<td>26.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claribella Wells</td>
<td>TopHill, St. Pat.</td>
<td>4.7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maudlyn John</td>
<td>Chantimelle, St. Pat.</td>
<td>21.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Forrester</td>
<td>Brich Grove</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Roberts</td>
<td>Conference, St. And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donville Neckles</td>
<td>Prospect, St. And.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Jacobs</td>
<td>Peadmontemps, St. Geo.</td>
<td>22.6.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF DETAINES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAMES</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE ADMITTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herbert Preudhomme</td>
<td>Abhibald Av. St.Geo.</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman DeSouza</td>
<td>La Borie</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Noel</td>
<td>Westerhall, St.David's</td>
<td>5.4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Raeburn</td>
<td>Sauteurs, St. Pat.</td>
<td>14.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osbert James</td>
<td>Mamma Cannes, St. And.</td>
<td>15.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond DeSouza</td>
<td>La Borie</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinty Samuel</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>15.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Coomansingh</td>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston Baptist Church</td>
<td>Gouyave</td>
<td>14.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashley Church</td>
<td>St. John's</td>
<td>14.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannil Clarke</td>
<td>Grenville</td>
<td>17.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Rush</td>
<td>Happy Hill</td>
<td>23.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darnally Patrick</td>
<td>Byelands</td>
<td>23.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrence Jones</td>
<td>Mt.Ritch, St.Pat.</td>
<td>25.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Phillip</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
<td>25.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steadman Patrick</td>
<td>Sauteurs</td>
<td>25.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalton Pope</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>4.7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dephney Baptiste</td>
<td>Laborie</td>
<td>30.7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lester DeSouza</td>
<td>Happy Hill</td>
<td>15.8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonio Langdon</td>
<td>Westerhall</td>
<td>13.3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis Jones</td>
<td>Vincennes</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teddy Victor</td>
<td>Vincennes</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Mitchell</td>
<td>Windsor Forest</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Antoine</td>
<td>River Rd.</td>
<td>14.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasta Nang Nang</td>
<td>Grand Anse</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston Whyte</td>
<td>Sauteurs</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenny Lalsingh</td>
<td>Mt.Ritch, St.Pat.</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Phillip</td>
<td>Chantimelle</td>
<td>15.10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes JAMES</td>
<td>Marli, St.Pat.</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goslynn Jones</td>
<td>Grand Roy</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Thomas</td>
<td>Mt. Gramby</td>
<td>17.12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Peters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATIONS DEPARTMENT
MINISTRY OF NATIONAL SECURITY
ST. GEORGE'S.

16th December 1980.

TO: THE COMMISSIONER OF PRISONS
GRAND PRISON SERVICE
RICHMOND HILL
ST. GEORGE'S.

SUBJECT: ADVICE OF RELEASES FROM PREVENTIVE DETENTION.

Conrade Commissioner:

You are hereby formally advised that the following named persons were taken
into Preventive Detention and released on the dates indicated. Detention Orders
were accordingly issued and copies of each order are in your possession.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE DETAINED</th>
<th>RELEASED</th>
<th>PLACE DETAINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CALVIN JOHN</td>
<td>Harbour, St. And.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERICHERNIS POUSSAT</td>
<td>Harbour, St. Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMAR CLARKE</td>
<td>Rivellite, St. And.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELIANN PHAMMES</td>
<td>Mayah, St. And.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEO VENIOUR</td>
<td>Conference, St. And.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAME HOBSTON</td>
<td>Seamoom, St. And.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOUAT JOSEPH</td>
<td>Windsor Forest, St.Dav.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Port Rupert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANKLEI LEICS</td>
<td>Mt.Rich, St. Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAYNE ROUGUES</td>
<td>Mt.Rich, St. Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASHTUN ALEXANDER</td>
<td>Small Hall, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEJF JOSW</td>
<td>Cloth Hall, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSEPH FRANCIS</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DERRA MURRAY</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLOAN FRANCIS</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREDERICK RICHARDS</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAIRE PAUL</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD BRENIARD</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCKSTON PHILLIP</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDER SMITH</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD PAUL</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONALD RICHSON</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN ST. CLAIR</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENDEL PAUL</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVORCHE FRANTIS</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILMA MARK</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St.Pat.</td>
<td>23-12-80</td>
<td>9th Dec.</td>
<td>Santaeua</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above advice is forwarded for your information and guidance.

[Signature]
Visitor-Investigation
Special Investigator
I meet with the 23 Detainees at 10.00hrs. this morning and spoke to them on the following Subjects:-

(1) Restriction Order and what is entailed.
(2) The Progress of the Revolution.
(3) Released by goodwill of the P. R. G.
(4) Report at 10.00hrs. on the 15th. and end of month.
(5) Abstain from any activities.
(6) Heard complaints.

COMPLAINTS:

(1) Simon Charles, Grand Anse, working with a contractor day work but loses pay to-day.
(2) Garvin Patrice, reason for not working is gas lines taken away and not returned and damaged to the Propeller.
(3) Kade Layne, wants work and somewhere to stay.
(4) Conroy Parayag, not working would like to get something to do. He request to get his passport to get it renewed.
(5) Matthew Antoine, - Not working have four (4) children mother and father crippled.
(6) Anthony Buckmire - Not working constantly, would like to get a constant job.
(7) Reginald Phillip - Staying with his uncle, would like to get a job.
(8) Ashley Griffith - Wants to continue his job-trafficking between Grenada and Trinidad.
(9) Raphael Boyke - Request to get some materials to fix his home. Empty boxes will do from the Airport site.
(10) Rodney Garaway - Wants a Job at the Mental Hospital.

They all listened attentively but did not ask any question.
I must commend the CID. for keeping a Register of attendance, each signs his name, Address, and Restriction Order. Each Detainee has to sign in the presence of Lt. Bedeau.
SECRET

PADDOCK

ANALYSIS OF THE AREA:

Paddock is a small area and it is the nearest village to the town of St. Georges. There is many housewives in the area and also state workers.

Before the Revolution the area was a strong base for the N.J.W Party and was fully anti-gairy, moreso the youths. The residential lives of the people was a stable one, but moved to a petty bourgeoisie character made up of the people from the middle strata. At that time the ones that support Gairy was much more vocal and active as for political work was concern. It was very easy curing that period to rally around the youths, around the issues that were confronting them, because they were able to identify some of the problem. But following the period after the Revolution things began to change some what. Some of the people who was living in the area then began to move out, being replaced by new comers, who really never settle down for any long time and this is the pattern up to this present time, leaving a small percentage of the people who actually grow up in the area. Presently they seem to moved with the tide, however things may go. They are very difficult to organised into groups and mass organisations, but the majority support the Revolution in what ever way.

PRESENT AND PAST COUNTER REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:

Nil

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:

15 - regular attendance
22 - highest at one time
SECRET

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:
Ottway's Esso Servicenter Station (private)
France Resturant & Bar (private)
Grenada General Workshop (Allan Louis)
Ministry of Agriculture, Tourism etc
8 private shops

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:
Regal Cinemea
Botanical Gardens

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:
None

POPULATION:
Paddock - Tanteen = 476

CHURCHES:
None

ENEMY FORCES:
Fred Taith and the woman he lives with in the house
Dangerous - Worker.
David Ottway - Petty Bourg - Dangerous
Charlie Francis - Petty Bourg - Less Dangerous
Sonny Collins - Worker - Less Dangerous

OUR FORCES:
1 N.W.O - 9 - 11 persons
P.S.G - 9 persons

CONTACTS:
Patrick James
Lennox Adonis
Vincent Jackman
Harlum
SECRET

ANALYSIS:

Before the Revolution, the Springs area was a strong Gaity area. When the G.U.L.P won its first election, the G.N.P had only 5-10 supporters to Gaity 150 - 200 supporters. The last election which was in 1976, Selwyn Stranchan won his seat in the area. This proved that the number of supporter just before the Revolution had increase for the N.J.M party.

After the Revolution the support for the Party and Govt. has increase. There is some benefits that reached the people in the area such as Milk feeding programme, and house repair programme. But most people in the area felt that the area is neglected by the present Government. This is felt so, because there is no group in the area to organise activities. Groups formation, most times, fail to be organised and to function.

The majority of people in the area could be classified as Agricultural workers, farmers and some commercial workers.

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

7 shops (private)

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:

South St. George' Govt. School 
Springs field
Springs Post Office
Grenada Baptist Dental Clinic.

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

None

POPULATION:

563 people

CHURCHES:

Grenada Baptist Church - Pastor James -
St. George's Evangelical Church -
Open Bible Church - Pastor Hood -
Baptist Church - Edmond Gilbert -
SECRET

ENEMY FORCES:

Roy Charles - Petty Bourg - Dangerous
Joseph Neckles - Petty Bourg - Very dangerous (Big Joe)
  Dominique - Dangerous - Petty Bourg
Karan Pascal - Dangerous - Petty Bourg (Pastor)
Wilfred Thomas - Dangerous - Petty Bourg
Vendor at Charlie David Gas station - Very dangerous - Petty Bourg
Mrs. Checkle - Dangerous - Bourg

OUR FORCES:

Parish Council members of over 30 persons

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:

None

PAST AND PRESENT COUNTER REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:

None

CONTACTS:

Mr. Benioth
Maria Celestine
Mrs. Benioth
Saga
SECRET

ANALYSIS:

Belmont is one of the largest areas in the south. Most families earn a living due to the father or the male in the house being a Dock worker. There is some commercial workers and agricultural workers.

Belmont before the Revolution was a Gariy strong area. Gariy had organise the Dock workers around him resulting in a strong base for his Government. The former government had a strong support base among the elderly people. Due to these facts there was still some conflict among the Dock workers and some of the elderly people that was supporting his Government, because there was constant clashes between the Mongoose Gang and the Belmont Dock workers during the reign of his Government. Although these clashes, some of the Dock Workers still remain loyal to the Gariy Government. During the latter years of Gariy ruling the middle class section of the people in the area was anti Gariy, but there is a few middle class elements who still loyal to Gariy.

After the Revolution the area have benefit from the programmes being put out by the P.R.G. In the beginning of the Revolution period many people came out in support of the overthow, mainly the youths. The majority of these youths still give full support to the Revolution. The majority of mass activities organise by the P.R.G, there is always a large turn out to these activities.

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

Butler House
Ministry of Agro Industries
Bryden and Minors (Lagoon Rd)
Grenada Yacht Service (Lagoon Rd)
General Control (Lagoon Rd)
Gleans Garage (Lagoon Rd)
Grenada Tyre Service Lagoon Rd)
Mc Intyre Bros. (Lagoon Rd)
Patio Bar (Lagoon Rd)
Ross Combine Workshop (Lagoon Rd)
Universal Supplies (Lagoon Rd)
The Vare House (Jean Anglias)
Maitland Garage
Papaty Garage
§ private shops
St. Ann Guest House
Skyline " 
Crescent Inn
SECRET

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:
- International Mediation Society
- Robertson Convalescent Home
- Mrs. Black Pre-Primary School
- Picker Patch Pasture (Lagoon Rd)
- Reservoir (Jean Anglais)
- Pandy Community Center
- Belmont Society Hall

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:
- Ministry of Interior Unit

POPULATION:
- 1,863 people

CHURCHES:
- Grenade Baptist Church - Pastor James
- Catholic Church now under construction

ENEMY FORCES:
- Vernon Edwards - Worker - Very Dangerous
- Mr. Maitland (Lagoon Rd) - Petty Boug - Dangerous
- Linclon Ross - (""") - Petty Boug - Less Dangerous
- Phinny St. Louis - Petty Boug - Dangerous

OUR FORCES:
- Pioneer Group - 1
- N.Y.O Group - 1
- N.W.O Group - 1
- Parish Council members - over 50 members

CONTACTS:
- Ian Wilson
- Isiah Trail
- Kelvin Howell
- Sharon Coutain
- Brian Birzan
- Nickolas St. John
- Mrs. Beryl Coutain
- James Eddie

PRESENT AND PAST COUNTER REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:
GRAND ANSE

ANALYSIS:

Before the Revolution, the Grand Anse area was a Gariy strong hole. The people relied mostly on the Tourist Trade in the area, due to so many Tourist Vendors. The others were made up of Hotels workers.

In that area Gariy had his Green Beast army, his personal business places, where most of his night life was spent. Gariy had organised the Community Builders Movement, which had key persons in it, such as Dowson Calliste, Phillis Taith, the Mt. McIntyres excluding Jessey. Gariy had the largest percentage of unionise workers living in the area and there was a union office situated in the area. The Tourist vendors and hotel workers base in his union.

The army and police used to carry out regular checks and patrol in the area and made search in homes of the strongest supporters of the N.J.M party, one such example is Ambrose Phillip. Besides these roughnecks, Gariy also had many informers in the area. About 65% of the people support went to Gariy.

Grand Anse was one of the area that Gariy was determine to carry out Operation Bootstrap. He used to bring his supporters into the area to do the work. In the area of sports Gariy again organised the Grand Anse women, such as the Mt McIntyres and many of the youths. A sporting complex built in the area also. The main people who control the sporting activities in the Grand Anse area are still the Mt McIntyres.

A housing Scheme was also built in the area in which most of his supporters, his Green Beast men, Secret Policemen and policemen who obtain houses. In the area many of the Gariy supporters were armed, mainly in the Mt. Hartman area.

After the Revolution many of the Gariy supporters became inactive, due to the absent of the firm Gariy supporters, such as "Sparrow". The initial respond to the Revolution by the youths in the area was good, because at the beginning most of these youths picked up gun when their vree told to come out. The work done by the Revolutionaries among the Gariy supporters was able to moved them to the Revolution side (house repair, milk distribution programme, the drain project in the Mt. Hartman area and other programmes of the Revolution). The strength of the Revolution mobilisation manifested itself in the clean up drive during the first Festival of the Revolution. Gariy had difficulty in mobilising the people.

The manners being put on the counters in the country prevented the most backward of the Gariy ites to keep calm. The Cubans presence in the area helped the Gariy supporters in organising maroons and helped out the people in general. The personal relationship some of the Cubans build the Gariy supporters also moved them to the Revolution side.

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

Sheep and Pig Farm (Mt. Hartman)
SECRET

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES CON'T:

- Carib Factory
- Guy Furniture
- Cuban Airline Service
- Carin Travel Service
- Cocanut Grove
- The Sugar Mill Dico
- The Grand Anse Shopping Center
- Maffakins Inn
- Palm Court
- South Winds
- Agro Industries Plant
- Hubbards Warehouses
- Southern Const. Ltd
- Govt. Warehouse and Stored Room

SPECIAL OBJECTIVES:

- The Dome
- The Day Nursery
- School for the Mental Handicapped (limes)
- Grand Anse Post Office
- " R.C. School
- Upper Grand Anse Pre- Primary School
- Lower Grand Anse " " "
- Universal School of Med.
- Grand Anse Community Center
- The Grand Anse Sporting Complex
- The Golf Course or Club

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

- South St. George Police Station
- Camp Boney
- The Logistics Center (True Blue)

CHURCHES:

- GRAND ANSE R C Church - Revrent Laventine -
- Grand Anse Berean Bible Church - Pastor Briggs -
- Jehovah Witness (not yet establish) -
- Church of God (meet in the Community center) -
SECRET

ENEMY FORCES CONT' T:

Knight - Petty Bourg - Dangerous (George)
Raymond Anson - Petty Bourg - Less Dangerous
Mrs. Ines - Working Class - Less Dangerous
Lela Mc Intyre - Working Class - Dangerous
Emison Mc Intyre - Working Class - Less Dangerous
Clovis Moore - Working Class
Mrs. Frank - (baby) - Working Class - Less dangerous

OUR FORCES:

2 - N. Y. O
2 - N. Y. O
Parish Council members over 70
PS 8.

COUNTER- REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:

None

PRESENT COUNTER- REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:

None

CONTACTS:

Elisha St Louis
Lennox Maximay
Brian Beggs
Ralph James
Martin Calliste
Vincent Nathan

population:

2,335
83 Golf Course
208 - Frequente

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:

Average of 15 - 20
SECRET

MARIAN

ANALYSIS OF THE AREA:

Before the Revolution the Marian area was a Gairy strong hole as the other villages in the south. The area is made up of many religious elements. The area is also a very backward area. Many of the older heads are farmers in which Sugar Cane is the main crop.

Since the Revolution, some benefits had reach the people in the Marian area. Due to those benefits the Revolution and the N.J.L Party have gain many support from the masses.

Although there is strong support from the masses in the area of Marian, there is some setbacks in the area when it comes community work in the area. Because of the large percentage of religious elements and persons that are going to church community projects in the area is very very low, an example of such project is the building of the Community Center which is a on going project for over two or more years. The people who come out to do the work, are always a few members of the P.S.G (Party Support Group).

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

Evelyn Sugar Mill
3 private shops

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:

Community Center
1 private school

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

Nil

POPULATION:

526 people

CHURCHES:

Pentecostal Church -
Baptiste Church -

ENEMY FORCES:

7 - 10 Nil
SECRET

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:
15 comrades

PAST AND PRESENT COUNTER REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:
Nil

CONTACTS:
Ivy Bain
Ellioth Bishop
SECRET

ANALYSIS OF THE AREA:

The Calivigny area is made up mainly of small farmers, some peasant and public workers. The area is also very religious as the Marian area. Before the Revolution, generally the area was a Gairy area. Gairy had the most support among the elderly people, to be more correct, the peasants and farmers, many of them still believed that Gairy will enter politics again in Grenada.

Youth before the Revolution was fully in support of the party in their struggle against the Dictatorship regime, and for a change just around the 1979 period and did support the Revolution when it came.

After the Revolution, because of the programmes of the Revolution such as milk feeding programme, house repair and other programme being put out, the majority of these youths are still supporting the Revolution.

The area is very quiet and some of the people are very selfish. Due to this fact, the people come out in large numbers to participate in whatever the P.R.G organise or the community organise.

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

- 2 Garages
- 6 private shops

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:

- Nil

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

- Camp Fedon

POPULATION:

- 477 people

CHURCHES:

- Calivigny Emmanuel Baptiste Church - Pastor Green
- Gospel Hall

ENEMY FORCES:

- Wilfred Ross - Less dangerous - Petty bourg
- Runfield - Less dangerous - Bourz (Preacher)
SECRET

PAST AND PRESENT COUNTER REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES:
  Nil

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:
  5 - 9 members

CONTACTS:
  Perron Lowe
  Kenny James
  Edwin Frank
  J B
SECRET

KORNE ROUGE Con't

PRESENT ENEMY ACTIVITIES:

Nil

OTHER SOCIAL OBJECTIVES IN THE SOUTH:

1 NTS from town to Calliste
1 NTS from town to Grand Anse and around Korne Rouge stream
1 NTS from Morne Jaloux through Marian back to town
1 NTS from town through Springs to Woodlands and Woburn back to town.
SECRET

POINT SALINE Con't:

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:

Point Saline Light House

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

Cuban Mission

POPULATION:

39 people

MORNE ROUGE

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

Carifta Cottages    Spice Inn Hotel
African Night Club  Blue Horizon
Flying Dutchman     Hibiscus Inn
B.B.C Night Club    Cinimon Hill
Plambouyant

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:

Consulate Of The Netherlands
Radio Free Grenada
St. George's School Of Medicine
C.S.I 2

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

Camp Boney
South Police Station

POPULATION:

270

PAST ENEMY ACTIVITIES:
SECRET

LANSE AUX EPINES

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:
- Lanse Aux Epines Cottages
- Steven's & Co Ltd
- Spice Island Charters (G/da)

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:
- 1 Playing Field

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:
- None

POPULATION:
- 494

TRUE BLUE

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:
- Fishing School

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:
- Selwyn Stranchan home
- St. George's University School of Medicine

POPULATION:
- 66 people

POINT SALINE

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:
SECRET

CALLISTE

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:
Three (3) private shops
International Airport Site

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:
Calliste Government School
Calliste Medical Center
Old Transmitting Station

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:
None

POPULATION:
495 people

CHURCHES:
Berean Bible Church - Mr. Miller -

ENEMY FORCES:

OUR FORCES:
1 N.Y.O
1 N.W.O
P.S.G

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:

ENEMY ACTIVITIES, PAST AND PRESENT:
None

CONTACTS:
ANALYSIS OF THE AREA:

The political outlook and social outlook of the people and the area before the Revolution was a very backward one. The people were hostile towards the New Jewel Movement at the beginning. The area before the Revolution was a strong hold for government.

The lively hood of the people are made up mainly small cane farmers, some fishermen and small section involved in services with the majority engage in farming.

Just before the Revolution there was about 55% anti-gairy people in the area, but since the Revolution support for the Revolution and the Government has drop, due to the problem of political backwardness and some reactionary elements which makes it impossible for proper mobilization in the different activities organise by the P.R.G.

In the village there is no kind of facilities to carry out any social function, which as a result, maybe, the main reason for the high level of backwardness. However, inspite of the political outlook of the people there is no real signs of counter-revolutionary threat.
SECRET

WOODLANDS

ECONOMIC OBJECTIVES:

The Grenada Sugar Factory
Three (3) private shops

SOCIAL OBJECTIVES:

Water Pump (behind the Sugar Factory)

MILITARY OBJECTIVES:

None

POPULATION:

611 People

CHURCHES:

Berean Chapel - Wilmus Neptune - 30
A.M.E Baptist Church - Gladys Clarence -
Woodlands Baptist Church - Shirley Bowen -
St. Philomena Church - Claris Peterkin -
New Testament - Phillip Bonaparte - 15

ENEMY FORCES:

Cosmos Fitz Roy Williams - Petty Bourg - Very dangerous
Lloyd Griffith - Worker - Dangerous

OUR FORCES:

1 N.Y.O - 28 - 30 persons
1 N.W.O - 8 - 10 persons

ENEMY ACTIVITIES, PAST AND PRESENT:

None

STRENGTH OF THE MILITIA:

10 - Persons

CONTACTS:
Subject: Movements of Visiting Nationals

In January, February, March and April of 1980 a total of 519, 510, 450 and 300 visiting American Nationals visited our country. The majority of whom came on vacation. This of course does not include Medical Students.

In the four above mentioned months a number of 207, 237, 175 and 230 British Nationals visited our colony, with the majority of whom came on vacation.

With respect to the Medical Students, studying at the St. George's University School of Medicine, a number of 220, students arrived in the month of January. As for February, March and April, some of the same students departed on private business for a short period. Also a small number of post-doctor and students came for short periods to lecture and study. For the Regionals, there was a number of 420 Trinidadians arriving here for the month of April, while we had 100 Barbadian Nationals, the majority of whom came on vacation.

COLONIES: For the month of April there was a considerable drop in the number of visiting American Nationals to our shores especially at the early stages of the month. However, there was a rise towards the ending of the month. Also there was a rise in the amount of visiting British Nationals for the month of April, as compared with the other months.

Secret, for your information:

Michael K. Chali

John Jerome

[Signature]

7/5/80
Setting up of command post comprising Major Keith Roberts, Capt. H. Romain, Lt. B. Pivotte.

Responsible for analysing all information that are coming in, in order to pass on to members of the Central Committees.

- Also, one Comrade who we can send to verify situations and incidents that have been reported.
- Recorder of all the information coming into the Centre.

MONITORING REGIONALLY - ST. GEORGE'S

City - three (3) Officers
Dock, Carenage - one (1) Officer
St. Paul's - two (2) Officers

ST. JOHN'S

One (1) Officer plus Party Cadres - Valdon

ST. PATRICK'S

Reports from Jan

T. ANDREW'S

So (2) Officers plus Party Cadres - Re Gill

Regular hourly report from all officers and Party Cadres being fed for this purpose.

PICTURE

Monitoring of 41 Embassies and Diplomats in this period
(ii) To control the movements of all diplomats, with the purpose of revealing the links with possible counter elements.

(iii) Stop any possibility of them actively using this period to create disturbances and confusion and a major counter-actions.

(iv) Monitoring of all visitors who have been in Grenada over four weeks and more.

(v) Study the incoming visitors of the various counters to see the composition of visitors.

(vi) Checking and controlling the key middle class elements who have links with diplomats, and influence in some section of the society.

(vii) Check the hotels to see if visitors are leaving before their scheduled time or the booking question.

MEDICAL SCHOOL

Monitor all students during this period.

Check the mood and movements of the school hierarchy and professors during this period.

CHURCH

Monitor all sermons by the various parish priests and preachers in the society.

The controlling of all hierarchy meeting of the church in particular the Catholic and Anglicans.

Controlling all elements of the society that pay visits to the hierarchy.

Tapping of the Hierarchy of all the leading counter churches phones.

ESSENTIAL SERVICES

Physical protection of the key installations against sabotage.

Daily briefing of confidence people to ensure that any signs of may be identified.
Getting a general operative picture of the area in which the key installations are located.

Checking of mails of dangerous elements.

Tapping and disconnection of dangerous elements phones.

Check all Heads of Ministries to see if they have any important documents which needs to be secured.

Special control over technicians who are not very firm and cannot be replaced.

COAST GUARD

Establish communication with watch towers.

Boat patrols on a nightly basis.

Documentation of all telephone calls and security reports from various regions.
Special Branch Office,
St. George's,
May 21, 1950.

From: Head Special Branch

To: Minister of National Security, Comrade Prime Minister.

Subject: Special Branch Areas of Work

The old MI 5 methods of work, after experimentation, have proven to be not effective enough. It consisted of speaking to 'Confident Persons' and General 'Patrols'. The use of the agent was not sufficiently developed and utilized. Therefore upon the completion of my instructions with Comrade JALIL and BRUNO, I did the following:

(a) Cut up the island into intelligence districts and assigning officers to same.
(b) Lectured to officers on the use and control of agents.
(c) Appoint a chief of Operations (which was not in effect before my time).
(d) Organise areas of concentration.
(e) Hold regular meetings with my officers on work, progress, etc.
(f) Because of our limited transport resources allowed officers in the out districts to operate from their homes, instead, as was the custom, coming to St. George's every day and doing 'patrols'.

Apart from these Intelligence Districts I have assigned officers to deal with specific areas.

Hereunder are the areas:

(a) Government Departments
(2)

(b) Trade Unions
(c) The Police
(d) School of Medicine
(e) The Churches

We are also requested by Cabinet, from time to time, to do Character Investigation on applicants who desire citizenship. The Immigration Department links directly with Special Branch into matters of issue of passports to certain persons and on matters of deportations, that we might have to carry out from time to time.

COMMENTS: Submitted for your information.
**Ministry of Defence**
**Port Rupert**
**St. George’s**

**COMRADES TO COMPLETE FIVE (5) YEARS BY MARCH 13TH '84**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>NAMES</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Einstein Louison</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Basil Gehegan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Chris Stroude</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Lt.</td>
<td>Cecil Prim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>Chris Parks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Lester Redhead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>George Chebin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Lt.</td>
<td>Julien Andrews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>Crispin Hypolite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>Lennox Taylor</td>
<td>Studies USSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Carl Hyacinth</td>
<td>Studies USSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>Allan Lowe</td>
<td>Studies USSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>Adrian Dewsbury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>O/CDE</td>
<td>David Francis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Daniel Franklyn</td>
<td>By April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Alistair John</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Dorset Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Cpl</td>
<td>Normil Richards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Cpl</td>
<td>Aden Alexander</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>WO2</td>
<td>Richard Browne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>2Lt.</td>
<td>Micheal Bridgeman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>WO1</td>
<td>Vincent Richards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Wendell Redhead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>WO2</td>
<td>Benedict Bubb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Pte</td>
<td>Winston Knight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>L/Cpl</td>
<td>John Oliver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Cpl</td>
<td>Leroy Mc Sween</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Cpl</td>
<td>Micheal Donald</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Cde.</td>
<td>Bernadette Gittens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>L/Cpl</td>
<td>Vernon Francis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Cpl</td>
<td>Keith Phillip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>L/Cpl</td>
<td>Joshua Beggs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>P.F.C.</td>
<td>Colley Bubb</td>
<td>By April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Pte</td>
<td>Rodney Langdon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>L/Cpl</td>
<td>Joseph Mc Donlad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>WO1</td>
<td>Samuel Mignon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Joseph Philbert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANK</td>
<td>NAMES</td>
<td>REMARKS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Lenard Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>P.F.C. Yvonne Thomas</td>
<td>By April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>P.F.C. Allen Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>W01 Morgan Gabriel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>W02 Kenneth Gilbert</td>
<td>By April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>2Lt. Kenrick Fraser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Thomas Alexander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>P.F.C. Jimmy Abraham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>W02 Stephen Kingston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>O/CDT Rudolph Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>Pte Stephen Cadore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>2Lt. Raelburn Nelson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>Cpl Ainsley Baptiste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>Cpl Anthony Whiteman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Danley Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>P.F.C. Danley Alexander</td>
<td>By April '84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Raymond Charles</td>
<td>By April '84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>W02 Ian Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>P.F.C. Marrast Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>W02 Winston Francis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Cpl Ian Joseph</td>
<td>By April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>Cpl Eric Lambert</td>
<td>By April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>W02 Vernon Gabriel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Godwin Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>Sgt. George Noel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Osbert Felix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Christopher Andrews</td>
<td>By April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>P.F.C. Charlie Surallie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.</td>
<td>W02 Leslie Noel</td>
<td>Studies USSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>W01 Rudolph Philbert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Alston Francis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.</td>
<td>Cpl Nicholas John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>Cpl Vincent Joseph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.</td>
<td>W01 Clyde Francis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>Cpl Joseph Pavy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Charles Richards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>Sgt. Theophilus Walters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>Sgt. Byron Cameron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.</td>
<td>W02 Llewellyn Archibald</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.</td>
<td>2Lt. Junior Harris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.</td>
<td>Cpl James Hazzard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>L/Cpl Cleveroy Lessey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.</td>
<td>Cpl Layne La Mothe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.</td>
<td>Sgt. Jude St. Bernard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject: Movements of Visiting Nationals

In January, February, March and April of 1980 a total of 519, 510, 450 and 300 visiting American Nationals visited our country. The majority of whom came on vacation. This of course does not include Medical Students.

In the four above mentioned months a number of 208, 237, 175 and 230 British Nationals visited our shores, again the majority of whom came on vacation.

With respect to the Medical Students, studying at the St. George's University School of Medicine, a number of 520, students arrived in the month of January. As for February, March and April, some of these students departed on private business for a short period. Also a small number of professors and students came for short periods to lecture and study. For the Regionals, there was a number of 420 Trinidadians arriving here for the month of April, while we had 100 Barbadian Nationals, the majority of whom came on vacation.

Comments: For the month of April there was a considerable drop in the number of visiting American Nationals to our shores especially at the early stages of the month. However, there was a rise towards the ending of the month. Also there was a rise in the amount of visiting British Nationals for the month of April, as compared with the other months.
GOVERNMENT OF GRENADE

FROM: L. ROBERTS, MINISTER OF POLICE
TO: THE HONOURABLE THE PRIME MINISTER

Subject: LIST OF DETAINES CURRENTLY CHARGED BEFORE THE COURTS

List of Detainees currently charged before the Courts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Charge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teddy Victor</td>
<td>Possession of Explosive Substance (5.6 Terrorism (Prevention) Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilton De Ravine</td>
<td>Conspiracy to commit acts of terrorism (April 26th Plot) (5.10 Terrorism Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Jacob</td>
<td>Attempting to escape lawful custody (5.3 Preventive Detention Regulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith St. Bernard</td>
<td>Failing to disclose information about terrorists (5.13 Terrorism Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Roberts</td>
<td>Conspiracy to commit acts of terrorism (June 1979) (5.10 terrorism law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Beggs</td>
<td>Censoring death by explosive substance (5.3 terrorism law) (Nov. 17th)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston Simon</td>
<td>Conspiracy to commit acts of terrorism (5.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Lewis</td>
<td>Possession of Explosive Substance (5.6 Terrorism Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Richard</td>
<td>Conspiracy to commit acts of terrorism (5.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimmy Julien</td>
<td>Assaulting Prison Officer (5.52 Prison Act)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Pascall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dezall Richard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac James</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almas Pascall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Pascall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violette Pascall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eloy Pascall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrence Bernard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eamon Gittera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Bain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald Phillip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Garrway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Buckingham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Bain 6 mee (see Page 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detainees who have been referred to the Police for charges to be laid.

1. Raphael Boyke  
   Harbouring escapees (S.3 Preventive Detention Regulations)
2. Dutty Bourne
3. Kade Layne
4. Rodney Garraway
5. Errol Charles
6. Martin Benoit

The following detainees have recently been convicted of Escaping Lawful Custody and sentenced to one year imprisonment:

1. Emmanuel Charles
2. Derek Rossin
3. Hudson Nimblette
4. Lowpin

The following detainees have been committed to stand trial at the Assizes:

1. Teddy Victor
2. Antonio Langdon
3. Winston Crowe

Langston Sibblies,
Director of Public Prosecutions.
## LIST OF PERSONS IN DETENTION
### AS OF 22ND JULY 1941

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Alias</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Detained</th>
<th>Place Detained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mikel Alexander</td>
<td>Saga</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>29-6-41</td>
<td>St. Andrews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nancy Dalton</td>
<td>Calteum</td>
<td>Tivoli, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>27-6-41</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Desmond Frederick</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>27-6-41</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Percy Thomas</td>
<td>Highgate</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>27-6-41</td>
<td>Highgate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dominic Ramlet</td>
<td>Batara</td>
<td>Malaya, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>Malaya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kenneth Roche</td>
<td>Brigtell</td>
<td>Tivoli, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>27-6-41</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Allan Mitchell</td>
<td>Jago</td>
<td>Geywere, St. John's</td>
<td>26-6-41</td>
<td>Geywere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lesley Crayford</td>
<td>I Tred</td>
<td>Tivoli, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>27-6-41</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>William Joseph</td>
<td>Baloig</td>
<td>St. Andrews</td>
<td>26-6-41</td>
<td>Baloig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Steve Richardson</td>
<td>Goat Coal</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lawrence Phillips</td>
<td>Rogan</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Kenneth Williams</td>
<td>Bae Klaika</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-41</td>
<td>Hermitage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kenneth Bernard</td>
<td>Banta Gay</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Kenneth Jones</td>
<td>Bae Ilie</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Charles Paul</td>
<td>St. Richard</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Harley Francis</td>
<td>Bae Coal</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Benjamin Phillips</td>
<td>Bae Herb</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-41</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Christopher Delves</td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td>Balmain, St. George's</td>
<td>4-7-41</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Thomas Stephane</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>St. Andrew's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Kenneth Alexander</td>
<td>Ayeland</td>
<td>St. Andrew's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Ayeland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lawrence Williams</td>
<td>Grand Bay</td>
<td>St. John's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Grand Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Edward Chalres</td>
<td>Mayah</td>
<td>St. Andrew's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Mayah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ernie Cornwall</td>
<td>La Tana</td>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>La Tana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Edward Jaloo</td>
<td>Mayah</td>
<td>St. Andrew's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Mayah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Phillip Chahans</td>
<td>Banna Eames</td>
<td>St. Andrew's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Banna Eames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Wilfred de Ravehedge</td>
<td>Colt</td>
<td>Penne Rose, St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Penne Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Eulie Eames</td>
<td>Box</td>
<td>La Tana, St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>La Tana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Gordon Eames</td>
<td>Penne Rose</td>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Penne Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Terrell Noel</td>
<td>Smalls</td>
<td>Piedmontemps, St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Piedmontemps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Gowan Victor</td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>Vlasseens, St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Vlasseens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Jerome Mitchel</td>
<td>Guy Fox</td>
<td>Vlasseens, St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Vlasseens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Anderson Halsey</td>
<td>Vlasseens</td>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Vlasseens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Water Halsey</td>
<td>Vlasseens</td>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Vlasseens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Paul Edwards</td>
<td>La Tana</td>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>La Tana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Thomas Gilsett</td>
<td>&quot;Graves&quot;</td>
<td>Wladar Forest, St. David's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>W/Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ovett Morgan</td>
<td>Orty I</td>
<td>Mayah, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>12-7-41</td>
<td>Mayah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Chester Paul</td>
<td>I Parow</td>
<td>Happy Hill, St. George's</td>
<td>13-7-41</td>
<td>St. George's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Edmund Charles</td>
<td>I Dresser</td>
<td>Tivoli, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>27-6-41</td>
<td>Tivoli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Francis Charles</td>
<td>I Nanc</td>
<td>Harford Village, St. And.</td>
<td>13-7-41</td>
<td>Harford Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Iham Henry</td>
<td>I Par I</td>
<td>Harford Village, St. And.</td>
<td>9-7-41</td>
<td>Harford Village</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GENERAL POLICE STATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GEORGE FERGUSON</td>
<td>Gata</td>
<td>Calliste, St. George’s</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MICHAEL OGDEN</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Beedom, St. George’s</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ERROL RUSSELL</td>
<td>Punje</td>
<td>River Road, St. George’s</td>
<td>17-6-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EDWARD HINDS</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Masek Grae, St. Pauls</td>
<td>3-6-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PATRICK MOLDEN</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Belmont, St. George’s</td>
<td>19-7-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PORT RUPERT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>STANLEY BOURNE</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Medalstamps, St. David’s</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td>Medalstamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VICTOR CLAY</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>St. David’s</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td>St. David’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RONALD BOYCE</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Osa La Mea</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td>Medalstamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MICHAEL FORDON</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Kam Nung Nung, River Road, St. George’s</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TILMAN BATTY</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patricks</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LLOYD ROYAL</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Cickeyere, St. Johns</td>
<td>11-7-81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LESLIE FERGUSON</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Port Jody’s, St. David’s</td>
<td>10-7-81</td>
<td>Port Jody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MICHAEL JOSEPH</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Green Street, St. George’s</td>
<td>3-6-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>WALTER HINDS</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Grand Nal, St. George’s</td>
<td>3-6-81</td>
<td>St. George’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>FREDERICK ROYAL</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Sleepy I</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>Medalstamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>KEITH CLAY</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Grand Brain, St. Andrew’s</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>Grand Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OLIVER HINDS</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Paradise, St. Andrew’s</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>Paradise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>TOPE MORGAN</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Hibarcom, St. Andrew’s</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>Hibarcom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>VAN THOMAS</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>La Mileta, St Andrew’s</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>La Mileta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>JOS ALBERT</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Paradise, St. Andrew’s</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>Paradise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CLINTON ALANDEON</td>
<td>Band</td>
<td>Eye Shine Bright - St. Basil, St. Pet.</td>
<td>12-7-81</td>
<td>St. Basil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Of the above total of 61 Detainees, Thirty Three (33) have declared themselves exceed Rastafarians while there are about six (6) others sporting "Brush Locks" but disclaim any connections with Rastafarians. Nine (9) persons wearing dreed locks have elected to cut their hair since detention and have done so. Five (5) of this amount have been released while the other four (4) is still in detention. Signed voluntary requests were taken from each person.
RELEASES

PERSONS RELEASED FROM DETENTION BETWEEN 27TH JUNE AND 27TH JULY 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AUTHORITY</th>
<th>DATE DETAINED</th>
<th>DATE RELEASED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EDWARD GEORGE</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>21st July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FRANCIS FRANCIS</td>
<td>Grand Anse, St. George's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>14th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PETER LEECH</td>
<td>Grand Anse, St. George's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>14th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TERENCE MURRAY</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-61</td>
<td>8th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MONTIE PHILIP</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-61</td>
<td>8th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TEDDY PLEASING</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>25-6-61</td>
<td>8th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ANTHONY RICHARD</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>21st July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MICHAEL RANKIN</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>8th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FRANK THOMAS</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>21st July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LENNY LEWIS</td>
<td>Mt. Basil, St Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>8th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>WILSON RAPPELLE</td>
<td>Tivoli, St. Andrew's</td>
<td>27-6-61</td>
<td>21st July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LENNY GEORGE</td>
<td>Hermitage, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>21st July 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CENTRAL POLICE STATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AUTHORITY</th>
<th>DATE DETAINED</th>
<th>DATE RELEASED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>KINZ MURRAY</td>
<td>Mt. Rich, St. Patrick's</td>
<td>26-6-61</td>
<td>3rd July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ALLERT ANDREW</td>
<td>Happy Hill, St. George's</td>
<td>12-7-61</td>
<td>20th July 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>VERNON RUCKMAY</td>
<td>La Rada, St. George's</td>
<td>11-7-61</td>
<td>20th July 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: THE PRIME MINISTER AND MINISTER OF THE INTERIOR AND DEFENCE.

Comrade Prime Minister,

The Task Force appointed by the Prime Minister, Comrade Maurice Bishop, a few months ago, with specific responsibility to deal with "Detainees Affairs" met yesterday afternoon in the office of the Director of Public Prosecutions.

The Task Force is composed of Comrades Miles Fitzpatrick, Legal Consultant in the Ministry of Legal Affairs, Langston Sibulius, Director of Public Prosecutions; Pat Mc Leish, Commissioner of Prisons and Victor Husband, Special Investigator.

In view of the following factors: (1) the over population of the Prisons which now stand at 333 with detainees at Richmond Hill Prisons alone accounting for some 161 while at Hope Vale there are 46; (2) the attendant high costs of maintenance and (3) the passing of an Amendment to the Preventive Detention Regulations (Peoples Law No.21 of 1979) providing for a Restriction Order which may be imposed upon a released Detainee with severe penalties for infringement (vide Peoples Law No.29 of 1981), the Task Force has taken a unanimous decision to recommend the release of a number of Detainees in the first instance, who it feels, are no longer threats to the Security of the State, nor to Public Safety and Order.

Accordingly, I have been delegated to prepare the following report and to forward same to you for your consideration.

In the light of the provisions of the Restriction Order referred to above, a copy of which is attached for your perusal, it is recommended that the following named Detainees, regarded as common criminals whose specific crimes are burglary and house-breaking and who were originally detained to preserve Public Safety during the Festival of the Revolution on the recommendations of the Police, be released.

Since their detention was not occasioned by involvement in subversive and/or counter revolutionary activities, their release is recommended under the imposition of a Restriction Order compelling them to report to the Police Station in their district or the nearest such Station, twice per month for a period of two (2) years:

1. LESTER LAMN (6-3-80) Belmont, St. George's.
2. ANTHONY KNEECE (6-3-80) River Road, St. George's.
3. DURANT PIERRE (9-3-81) St. George's.
4. COSMOS MCINTYRE (9-3-81) St. George's.
5. STEPHEN SCOTT (9-3-81) Mt. Gay, St. George's.
6. DAVID ALDO (9-3-81) St. George's.
7. CUTTHROAT JOHN (9-3-81) Tempe, St. George's.
8. FABLE LEE (9-3-81) Carenage, St. George's.
9. JER CALLISTE (12-3-81) St. David's.
10. RONALD CHARLES (9-3-81) River Road, St. George's.
11. ERIC CHARLES (6-3-80) Belmont, St. George's.
12. RICHARD PHILIPS (6-3-80) Mt. Parmassus, St. George's.
13. HUSSEY GARAWAY (7-3-80) Springs, St. George's.
14. MARTIN BERNETTE (9-3-80) Mt. Gay, St. George's.
15. RAYMOND TEELE (9-3-81) Morne Jalous, St. George's.
16. CARLTON PHILLIP (9-3-81) RiverRoad, St. George's.
17. SAMUEL BONAPARTE (11-3-81) St. David's.
18. CLINTON AUGUSTINE (11.3-81) St. David's.
19. KENT RAIN (29-4-80) Belmont, St. George's.

Bracketed dates indicate date detained.

Detained since in the early stages of the Revolution, the following Detainees are recommended for release based on the following factors: (1) That they are no longer regarded as threats to the National Security; (2) Their good behaviour...
while in detention, (3) Their decision to stay out of politics and most importantly, (4) The difficulty in collecting sufficient evidence to bring charges successfully against them in the Courts. They are to be released under the imposition of a Restriction Order as indicated:

1. HERBERT FRANKHORNE (13-3-79) Archibald Avenue, St. Geo.
   His movements to be restricted to the Town and Parish of St. George's excluding Happy Hill, Arisan, Willys and New Hampshire, for a period of five (5) years.

2. OLIVER BALENTO (14-3-79) Madeys, St. Patrick's
   His movements to be restricted to the Parish of St. Patrick's for a period of five years.

3. MORTON NOEL (5-4-79) Westerhall, St. David's
   His movements to be restricted to the Parish of St. David's for a period of three years.
   Their movements to be restricted for the Property bought from the previous Govt.
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years.

4. ASHLEY CHICHE (13-3-79) Grand Anse, St. Geo.
   His movements to be restricted to the Parish of St. George's for a period of three years.
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

5. TENNANT JONES (23-3-79) Bylands, St. Andrew's
   His movements to be restricted to the Parish of St. Andrew's for a period of two years.
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

6. DALTON POPE (23-3-79) Sanders, St. Patrick's
   His movements to be restricted to the Parish of St. George's for a period of three years.
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

7. SYMON BARTOLOMEE (19-5-80) Tivoli, St. Andrew's
   His movements to be restricted to the Parish of St. Andrew's for a period of two years.
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

8. RALPH THOMPSON (19-6-80) Temple, St. George's
   (Mainly on account of his failing health), they are to report to the Police Station in his district for a period of two years.
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

9. DAVID COOMASIE (13-3-79) St. David's
   Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

10. PETER LASELT (5-5-80) Carenage, St. George's
    Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

11. DAPHNE BAPTISTE (4-7-79) St. George's
    Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years.

12. MAURICE PATTERSON (12-5-80) St. George's
    Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

13. DENNIS ALEXANDER (13-6-80) Corinth, St. David's.
    Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

14. TANIL CLARK (14-3-79) Concord, St. John's
    Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

15. DONALD PATRICK (23-3-79) Happy Hill, St. George's
    Additionally, they are to report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

16. KINGSTON BAPTISTE (14-3-79)
17. JOHN FORRESTER (19-6-80) Birchgrove, St. Andrew’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years

18. FRANCIS ANDREWS (19-6-80) Birchgrove, St. Andrew’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years

19. EDWARD MURR (19-6-80) Birchgrove, St. Andrew’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years

The following named Detainees whose release is also recommended, were detained in November 1979 for alleged involvement in the November 1979 plot. There is absolutely no evidence of an incriminating nature available and it is therefore difficult to lay charges against them. The accusations of their involvement cannot be firmly and factually established. Their release is recommended under the imposition of a Restriction Order as indicated:

1. JOHN THOMAS (17-12-79) Grand Bay, St. John’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years

2. COURT PANTAO (18-12-79) River Road, St. George’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years

3. STEPHEN COFFEE (18-12-79) Grand Anse, St. George’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of one year in the first instance. See Note below.

4. JAMES MORESTE (18-12-79) Grand Bay, St. John’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years.

5. JOSEPH PETER (17-12-79) Mt. Gramby, St. John’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years.

6. GORDON CHARLES (17-12-79) Santa Cruz, St. Patrick’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years.

7. PHILIP GEORGE (18-12-79) Mt. Carmel, St. Andrew’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of three years.

8. MATTHEW THOMAS (16-12-80) Crochu, St. Andrew’s
To report to the Police Station in his district twice monthly for a period of two years.

9. GEORGE HENSON (15-10-79) Santa Cruz, St. Patrick’s
His movements to be restricted to the Town and Parish of St. Patrick’s for a period of two years.

10. JERVE ROMAIN (18-12-79) Belmont, St. George’s
His movements to be restricted to the Town and Parish of St. George’s for a period of two years.

NOTE: Stephen Coffie, an Ex Policeman, is a Vincentian by birth. His release had been sanctioned a few months ago on the basis of representations made by the St. Vincent Government and the Grenada Conference of Churches but due to the fact that he complained in a written statement, that he was subjected to torture and ill-treatment while held on Port Rupert, it was decided to stay his release in the interests of unfavourable propaganda. His release was sanctioned at the time on the condition that he be deported to St. Vincent. It is suggested that he be released and allowed to remain in the country for at least one year after which time he may be deported. This might serve to counter any desire on his part to publicise the treatment he received on the Fort.
SECTION TWO:
INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES
AGREEMENT

between the Government of Grenada and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on deliveries from the Union of SSR to Grenada of special and other equipment

The Government of Grenada and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics,

guided by aspirations for developing and strengthening friendly relations between both countries on the principles of equality, mutual respect of sovereignty and non-interference into internal affairs,

proceeding from the desire to promote strengthening the independence of Grenada

and in connection with the request of the Government of Grenada

Have agreed upon the following:

Article 1

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics shall ensure in 1980-1981 free of charge the delivery to the Government of Grenada of special and other equipment in nomenclature and quantity according to the Annex to the present Agreement to the amount of 4,400,000 Roubles.
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Article 2

The delivery of the equipment listed in the Annex to the present Agreement shall be effected by the Soviet Party by sea, at the port of the Republic of Cuba.

The order of the further delivery of the above equipment from the Republic of Cuba shall be agreed upon between the Grenadian and Cuban Parties.

Article 3

The Government of the Union of SSR at the request of the Government of Grenada shall ensure rendering technical assistance in mastering of the equipment delivered under the present Agreement by receiving Grenadian servicemen for training in the USSR.

The Grenadian servicemen shall be deputed for training in the USSR without their families.

The expenses connected with the Grenadian servicemen's training, upkeep, meals, and equipment in the Soviet military educational establishments as well as with their travel fare from Grenada to the USSR and back shall be borne by the Soviet Party.

Article 4

The Government of the Union of SSR shall ensure free of charge the transfer to the Government of Grenada of necessary technical descriptions, instructions and manuals in standard composition on operation of the special equipment delivered under the present Agreement.
Article 5

The appropriate Grenadian and Soviet organizations shall conclude contracts in which there shall be stipulated the detailed terms and conditions of receiving for training Grenadian servicemen and other services connected with the implementation of the present Agreement.

Article 6

The Government of Grenada shall not without the consent of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics sell or transfer, formally or actually, the special equipment, delivered under the present Agreement, the relevant documentation and information or give permission to use the equipment and documentation by a third party or any physical or legal persons but the officials and specialists of the citizenship of Grenada being in the service with the Government of Grenada.

The Government of Grenada and the Government of the Union of SSR shall take all the necessary measures to ensure keeping in secret the terms and conditions of the deliveries, all the correspondence and information connected with the implementation of the present Agreement.

Article 7

The present Agreement comes into force on the date it is signed on.

The Annex is an integral part of the present Agreement.

Done in Havana on October "27", 1980 in two originals, each in the English and Russian languages, both texts being equally valid.

FOR AND ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GRENADA

FOR AND ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
ANNEX

to Agreement of October 27, 1980

LIST

of special material to be delivered
to Grenada from the Soviet Union in
1980-1981 /free of charge/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Years of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artillery and small arms armament</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82-mm mortars, used reconditioned</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG-7V antitank hand grenade-launchers</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of spare parts /1:9/ to RPG-7V</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grenade-launchers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm PKM machine-guns</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of spare parts /1:50/ to PKM machine-guns set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm AK submachine-guns. used reconditioned</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm carabines, model 1944, used reconditioned</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-aircraft armament</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-mm ZU-23 antiaircraft mounts</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of spare parts /1:6/ to ZU-23 mounts</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity 1980</th>
<th>Quantity 1981</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repair set of spare parts /1:18/ to ZU-23 mounts</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication means</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radio stations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-105M</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-108M</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-109M</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ-66-05 vehicles</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAZ-469B jeeps</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ammunition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62-mm mortar rounds with fragmentation shell</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-7V rounds to RPG-7V grenade-launchers</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-mm rounds to ZU-23 antiaircraft mounts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with fragmentation, high explosive, incendiary</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>162,0</td>
<td>54,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>projectile</td>
<td></td>
<td>108,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with armour-piercing, incendiary, tracer</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>54,0</td>
<td>18,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>projectile</td>
<td></td>
<td>36,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm rifle cartridges with steel-core bullet in clips</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>675,0</td>
<td>675,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm rifle cartridges without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel-core bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>416,0</td>
<td>416,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dummy</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>75,0</td>
<td>75,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with B-2 bullet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel cartridge case</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with T-46 bullet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm cartridges,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model 1943, without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel-core bullet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with tracer bullet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dummy Logistic equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' camp tents for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spare parts, auxiliary and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>training equipment on speci-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fications of the Soviet Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to the amount of up to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4300000 Roubles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
СОГЛАШЕНИЕ

между Правительством Гренады и Правительством Союза Советских Социалистических Республик о поставках из Союза ССР в Гренаду специального и другого имущества

Правительство Гренады и Правительство Союза Советских Социалистических Республик,

руководствуясь стремлением к развитию и укреплению дружественных отношений между обеими странами на основе полного равноправия, взаимного уважения суверенитета и независимости во внутренние дела,

исходя из желания содействовать укреплению независимости Гренады,

и в связи с обращением Правительства Гренады,

согласились о нижеследующем:

Статья 1

Правительство Союза Советских Социалистических Республик обеспечит в 1980-1981 годах безвозмездно поставку Правительству Гренады специального и другого имущества в конфигурации и количестве согласно Приложению к настоящему Соглашению на сумму 4.400.000 рублей.
Статья 2

Поставка перечисленного в Приложении к настоящему Соглашению имущества будет произведена Советской Стороной морем в порт Республики Куба. Порядок дальнейшей доставки указанного имущества из Республики Куба к месту назначения в Гренаде будет согласован между Кубинской и Гренадской Сторонами.

Статья 3

Правительство Союза ССР по просьбе Правительства Гренады обеспечит оказание технического содействия в осуществлении эксплуатации поставляемого по настоящему Соглашению имущества путем приема на обучение в СССР гренадских военнослужащих.

Гренадские военнослужащие направляются для обучения в СССР без семей.

Расходы, связанные с обучением, содержанием, питанием и обмундированием гренадских военнослужащих в советских военных учебных заведениях, а также с их проездом из Гренады в СССР и обратно, Советская Сторона берет на себя.

Статья 4

Правительство Союза ССР обеспечит безвозмездно передачу Правительству Гренады в установленной комплектации необходимых технических описания, инструкция и наставления по эксплуатации поставляемого по настоящему Соглашению специального имущества.
Статья 5

Соответствующие гренадские и советские организации заключат между собой контракты, в которых будут установлены подробные условия приема на обучение гренадских военнослужащих и других услуг, связанных с выполнением настоящего Соглашения.

Статья 6

Правительство Гренады не будет без согласия Правительства Союза Советских Социалистических Республик продавать или передавать, формально или фактически, поставляемое в связи с настоящим Соглашением специальное имущество, документацию и информацию по ним или разрешать пользоваться этим имуществом и документацией третьей стороне и каким бы то ни было физическим или юридическим лицам, кроме должностных лиц и специалистов из граждан Гренады, находящихся на службе у Правительства Гренады.

Правительство Гренады и Правительство Союза ССР примут все зависящие от них меры, которые обеспечат сохранение и тайну условий поставок, всей переписки и информации, связанных с выполнением настоящего Соглашения.

Статья 7

Настоящее Соглашение вступает в силу со дня его подписания. Приложение к Соглашению является его неотъемлемой частью.

Совершено в Гаване 27 октября 1980 года в двух подлинных экземплярах, каждый на английском и русском языках, причем оба текста имеют одинаковую силу.

По уполномочию

ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВА ГРЕНЕДЫ

[Подпись]

По уполномочию

ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВА СОЮЗА СОВЕТСКИХ
СОЦИАЛИСТИЧЕСКИХ РЕСПУБЛИК

[Подпись]
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Совершенно секретно

ПРИЛОЖЕНИЕ
к Соглашению от "27" октября 1980 года

ПЕРЕЧЕНЬ
специального имущества, поставляемого Гренаде
из Советского Союза в 1980-1981 годах
/безвозмездно/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество</th>
<th>Года поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Стрелково-артиллерийское вооружение</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82-мм минометы, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ручные противотанковые гранатометы РПГ-7В</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплексы ЗИПа к гранатометам РПГ-7В /1:9/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм пулеметы ПКМ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплект ЗИПа к пулеметам ПКМ /1:50/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм автоматы АК, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм карабины образца 1944 г., бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Зенитное вооружение</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-мм зенитные установки ЗУ-23</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплексы ЗИПа к установкам ЗУ-23 /1:6/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество</th>
<th>Годы поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ремонтный комплект ЗИПа к установкам ЗУ-23 /1:18/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Средства связи**

**Радиостанции:**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-105М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-108М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-109М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Аutomобильная техника**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Автомобили ГАЗ-66-05</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Автомобили УАЗ-469В</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Боеприпасы**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82-мм минометные выстрелы с осколочной миной</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Выстрелы ПГ-7В к гранатометам РПГ-7В</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>2,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23-мм выстрелы к зенитным установкам ЗУ-23:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>с осколочно-фугасно-зажигательным снарядом</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>162,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-зажигательно-трассирующим снарядом</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>54,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7,62-мм винтовочные патроны с пулей со стальным сердечником в обоихах тыс.штук: 675,0 | 675,0 | - |

7,62-мм винтовочные патроны без обойм:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>с пулей со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>416,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>для холодной стрельбы</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>75,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество</th>
<th>Годы поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с патронами 7,62-мм образца 1943 г. без обойм:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулями со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>600,0</td>
<td>200,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулями с тарировочным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>300,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>для холостой стрельбы</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>75,0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Палатки лагерные солдатские 10-местные</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Запасные части, учебное и вспомогательное имущество по спецификациям Советской Стороны на сумму до 400000 рублей
AGREEMENT

between the Government of Grenada and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on deliveries from the Union of SSR to Grenada of special and other equipment

The Government of Grenada and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics,

guided by aspirations for developing and strengthening friendly relations between both countries on the principles of equality, mutual respect of sovereignty and non-interference into internal affairs,

proceeding from the desire to promote strengthening the independence of Grenada

and in connection with the request of the Government of Grenada

have agreed upon the following:

Article 1

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics shall ensure in 1982-1985 free of charge the delivery to the Government of Grenada of special and civil equipment in nomenclature and quantity according to Annexes 1 and 2 to the present Agreement to the amount of 10,000,000 Roubles.
Article 2

The delivery of the equipment listed in Annexes 1 and 2 to the present Agreement shall be effected by the Soviet Party by sea, at the port of the Republic of Cuba.

The order of the further delivery of the above equipment from the Republic of Cuba shall be agreed upon between the Grenadian and Cuban Parties.

Article 3

The Government of the Union of SSR at the request of the Government of Grenada shall ensure rendering technical assistance in mastering of the equipment under delivery by receiving in the USSR Grenadian servicemen for training in the operation, use and maintenance of the special equipment as well as by sending Soviet specialists to Grenada for these purposes.

The Grenadian servicemen shall be sent to the USSR for training without their families.

The expenses connected with the Grenadian servicemen's training, upkeep, meals in the Soviet military educational establishments as well as with their travel fare from Grenada to the USSR and back shall be borne by the Soviet Party.

The Government of Grenada shall provide at its own expense the Soviet specialists and interpreters with comfortable furnished living accommodation with all the municipal utilities, medical service and transport facilities for the execution of their duties and shall ensure their having meals at reasonable prices at the places of their residence.

The Soviet specialists and interpreters shall not be imposed by any taxes and duties on entering or leaving Grenada and during their stay there. All other expenses connected with deputation of the Soviet specialists to Grenada shall be borne by the Soviet Party.
Article 4

The Soviet Party in periods to be agreed upon between the parties shall depute a group of Soviet specialists to Grenada to determine expediency, opportunity and scope of rendering technical assistance in the creation of the stationary shop for repair of the special equipment and transport, commanding staff trainer school, training facilities for Armed Forces as well as the deliveries of missing building materials for construction of the storehouses and road.

The deputation of a group of Soviet specialists shall be effected on the terms and conditions of Article 3 of the present Agreement.

Article 5

The Government of the Union of SSR shall ensure free of charge the transfer to the Government of Grenada of necessary technical descriptions, instructions and manuals in standard composition on operation of the special equipment delivered under the present Agreement.

Article 6

The appropriate Grenadian and Soviet organizations shall conclude contracts in which there shall be stipulated the detailed terms and conditions of deputing Soviet specialists, receiving for training Grenadian servicemen and other services connected with the implementation of the present Agreement.

Article 7

The Government of Grenada shall not without the consent of the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics sell or transfer, formally or actually, the special equipment, delivered under the present Agreement, the relevant documentation and information or give permission to use the equipment and documentation by a third party or any physical or legal persons but the officials and specialists of the citizenship of Grenada being in the service with the Government of Grenada.
The Government of the Union of SSR and the Government of Grenada shall take all the necessary measures to ensure keeping in secret the terms and conditions of the deliveries, all the correspondence and information connected with the implementation of the present Agreement.

Article 8

The present Agreement comes into force on the date it is signed on.

Annexes 1 and 2 are an integral part of the present Agreement.

Done in Moscow on July 27, 1982 in two originals, each in the English and Russian languages, both texts being equally valid.

FOR AND ON BEHALF

OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GRENADA

[Signature]

OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

[Signature]
ANNEX I

to Agreement of July "27", 1982

LIST

of special equipment to be delivered to the Army of Grenada from the Soviet Union in 1983-1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity-1</th>
<th>Years of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armcur materiel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTR-152V1 armoured personnel carriers, used, repaired</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm rifle cartridges without clips</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>100,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with 9-12 armour-piercing-incendiary bullet and steel case</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with T-46 tracer bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>49,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity-1</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Artillery armament and ammunition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-mm ZIS-3 guns, used, repaired</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-mm rounds:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with fragmentation and high-explosive-fragmentation grenade</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>thou.s.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with armour-piercing-tracer shell</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with sub-calibre armour-piercing shell</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with hollow charge shell</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57-mm ZIS-7 Anti-tank guns, used, repaired</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57-mm rounds:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with fragmentation grenade</td>
<td>thou.s.</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>3,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with armour-piercing-tracer shell</td>
<td>thou.s.</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with sub-calibre armour-piercing shell</td>
<td>thou.s.</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td></td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Grad-P&quot; /9P132/ portable launchers</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of SPTA /1:4/ for &quot;Grad-P&quot; launchers</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair sets of SPTA /1:8/ for &quot;Grad-P&quot; launchers</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122-mm 9M22H fragmentation-high-explosive set projectiles in sets with packs of &quot;Grad-P&quot; launchers</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>0,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,5-mm ZGU-1 AA mountain mounts</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of SPTA /1:10/ for ZGU-1 mounts</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair sets of SPTA /1:50/ for ZGU-1 mounts</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,5-mm cartridges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with 932 armour-piercing-incendiary bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>180,0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with 932T armour-piercing-incendiary-tracer bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>180,0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82-mm DH mortars, used, repaired</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity-total</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm SVDN-1 sniper's rifles</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of SPTA /1:10/ for SVDN-1 sniper's rifles</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm sniper's rifles of 1891/1930 model, used, repaired</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm sniper's cartridges without clips</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-mm PM pistols</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of SPTA /1:100/ for PM pistols</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-mm cartridges for PM pistols</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand grenades:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGD-5</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training grenades with fuzes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URG</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URG-N</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82-mm rounds for DM mortars:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with fragmentation mine</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with inert charge mine</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small arms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG-7V light anti-tank grenade launchers</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of SPTA /1:9/ for RPG-7V grenade launchers</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair sets of SPTA /1:01/ for RPG-7V grenade launchers</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-7VM rounds for RPG-7V grenade launchers</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm PKM machine guns</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of SPTA /1:50/ for PKM machine guns</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm PKMS machine guns</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of SPTA /1:50/ for PKMS machine guns</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity (total)</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm DP, DPM machine guns, used, repaired</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm rifle cartridges without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>919.5</td>
<td>432.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with N-32 armour-piercing-incendiary bullet with steel case</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with T-46 tracer bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>213.8</td>
<td>101.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm AK sub-machine guns, used, repaired</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm cartridges of 1943 model without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>mill. pieces</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with T-45 tracer bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>324.0</td>
<td>162.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for blank firing</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm carbines of 1938/1944 model, used, repaired</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm rifle cartridges with steel core bullet in clips</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mines:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHN</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-57</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication means</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio stations:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-104AM3</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-105</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-109M</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA-57 telephone sets</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-193M switchboards</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-274M two-wire field cable</td>
<td>kilometre</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK-2 reels</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engineer materiel and workshops</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZ-5 refuellers</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity - total</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP workshop</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS-OR workshop</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD-10VS/230 power plant</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-place tents</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium soldier flasks</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flask case</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sets of soldier uniform</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1,4✓/</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSH-60 steel helmets</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPTs5 8x30 field-glasses</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spare parts, auxiliary and training equipment by the specifications of the Soviet Party to the amount of 600000-00 Roubles

✓/

Set composition: Panama - 1, field shirt - 1, trousers - 1, sleeveless cas - 2, shorts - 2, socks - 2 pairs, boots - 1 pair, waist-belt - 1.
ANNEX 2

to the Agreement of July 1982

LIST

of special materiel and civilian equipment to be supplied for the Ministry of the Interior of Grenada from the Soviet Union in 1982-1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Years of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small arms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light antitank rocket launchers RPG-7V</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of SPTA /1:9/ to rocket launchers RPG-7V</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair set of spares /1:81/ to rocket launchers RPG-7V</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockets PG-7VM to rocket launchers RPG-7V</td>
<td>thou.s. pieces</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm machine guns PKM</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of SPTA /1:50/ to machine guns PKM</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm rifle cartridges without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>thous.pieces</td>
<td>115,5</td>
<td>115,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with armour piercing-incendiary bullet H-32 with steel casing</td>
<td>thous.pieces</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with tracer bullet T-46</td>
<td>thous.pieces</td>
<td>27,0</td>
<td>27,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm submachine guns AK</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>50²/</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm cartridges, model 1943, without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>thous.pieces</td>
<td>40,0</td>
<td>40,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with tracer bullet T-45</td>
<td>thous.pieces</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm sniper’s rifles SVD</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group set of SPTA/1:18/ to SVD rifles</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm sniper’s cartridges to SVD rifles</td>
<td>thous.pieces</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-mm pistols PM</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of SPTA/1:100/ to PM pistols</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²/ Used, repaired.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Years of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repair set of SPTA /1:500/ to PM pistols</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-mm cartridges to PM pistols</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>44,7</td>
<td>5,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special instrumentation

Items:

- "Robodit-M"
- N-2
- N-4
- "Moshka-MZ"
- "Moshka-MV"
- "Nulavka"
- "Nylon"
- "Nylon-ZU"

Photo cutter to "Nylon"

Photo cuvette to "Nylon"
The table below lists the inventory of various types of equipment, including instrumentations, TV systems, and photographic equipment, along with their quantities delivered in different years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Years of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrumentations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Luza-2-Lakmus&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Bridge&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared viewers &quot;Kustarnik&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step-and-repeat machine ER-11K1</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalling systems &quot;Rubin-3&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV systems &quot;PTU-47&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equipment of general civilian use:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape recorders &quot;Electronika-video&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape recorders &quot;Mayak&quot;</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo cameras:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Zenit-TTL&quot;</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Zenit-E&quot;</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure meters &quot;Leningrad-6&quot;</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photographic enlarger &quot;Neva-3M&quot;</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black-and-white film &quot;FED&quot;</td>
<td>cassette</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour reversible film, 35-mm</td>
<td>meter</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various kinds of photographic paper and chemical agents /developer and fixer/</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safes with key locks</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal cabinets</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-stand tables</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clothing and equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cotton khaki tunics with trousers</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers' equipment /belts and shoulder straps/</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kersey high boots</td>
<td>pair</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaki kepi</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers' capes</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* To be supplied to specifications of Soviet Party.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black cotton socks</td>
<td>pair</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kit-bags</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ-66</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAZ-469B</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAZ-2106</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sets of spares for vehicles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ-66</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1$^x/$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAZ-469B</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1$^x/$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAZ-2106</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1$^x/$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spare parts, auxiliary and training equipment to specifications of Soviet Party for a sum up to 100000 roubles.

$^x/$

To be supplied to specifications of Soviet Party.

L. T.
СОГЛАШЕНИЕ

между Правительством Гренады и Правительством
Союза Советских Социалистических Республик о
поставках из Союза ССР в Гренаду специального
и другого имущества

Правительство Гренады и Правительство Союза Советских Социалистических Республик,

руководствуясь стремлением к развитию и укреплению дружественных отношений между обеими странами на основе полного равноправия, взаимного уважения суверенитета и независимости во внутренние дела,

исходя из желания содействовать укреплению независимости Гренады,

и в связи с обращением Правительства Гренады,

согласились о нижеследующем:

Статья 1

Правительство Союза Советских Социалистических Республик обеспечит в 1982-1985 годах безвозмездно поставку Правительству Гренады специального имущества и имущества общегражданского назначения в номенклатуре и количестве согласно Приложению I и 2 к настоящему Соглашению на сумму 10.000.000 рублей.

Статья 2

Поставка перечисленного в Приложении I и 2 к настоящему Соглашению имущества будет произведена Советской Стороной кораблём в порт Республики Куба. Порядок дальнейшей доставки указанных наимуществ из Республики Куба к месту назначения в Гренаде будет согласован между Кубинской и Гренадской Сторонами.
Статья 3

Правительство Союза ССР по просьбе Правительства Гренады обеспечивает оказание технического содействия в освоении поставленного и иного искусства путем приема в Советском Союзе гренадских военнослужащих для обучения эксплуатации, применению и ремонту специального и иного искусства, а также командование для этих целей советских специалистов в Гренаду.

Гренадские военнослужащие будут направляться на обучение в СССР без семей.

Расходы, связанные с обучением, содержанием, питанием и обмундированием гренадских военнослужащих в советских военных учреждениях, а также с их проездом из Гренады в СССР и обратно, Советская Сторона принимает на себя.

Правительство Гренады обеспечивает за свой счет советских специалистов и переводчиков согласно мобилизованными жильем помещениями, обеспечивающими нормальные условия их проживания, медицинским обслуживанием и транспортными средствами для служебных целей, а также организует предоставление советским специалистам и переводчикам питания за умеренную плату в местах их расположения.

Советские специалисты и переводчики не будут оплачивать какие-либо налоги и сборы при въезде, выезде и во время их пребывания в Гренаде.

Все остальные расходы, связанные с командированием советских специалистов в Гренаду, Советская Сторона принимает на себя.

Статья 4

Советская Сторона в сроки по согласованию Сторон командирует в Гренаду группу советских специалистов для определения целесообразности, возможности и объемов оказания технического содействия в создании стационарной мастерской для ремонта специального и иного искусства и транспортных средств, школы для подготовки командиров и специалистов, учебной базы для Вооруженных Сил, а также поставки недостающих строительных материалов для создания хранилищ и дорог.

Командирование указанной группы советских специалистов будет произведено на условиях статьи 3 настоящего Соглашения.
Статья 5

Правительство Союза ССР обеспечит безвозмездно передачу Правительству Гренады в установленной комплектации необходи-
мых технических описаний, инструкций и наставлений по эксплуа-
тации поставляемого по настоящему Соглашению специального иму-
щества.

Статья 6

Соответствующие гренадские и советские организации заклю-
чат между собой контракты, в которых будут установлены подроб-
ные условия командирования советских специалистов, приема на
обучение гренадских военнослужащих и оказания других услуг,
связанных с выполнением настоящего Соглашения.

Статья 7

Правительство Гренады не будет без согласия Правительства
Союза Советских Социалистических Республик продавать или пере-
давать, формально или фактически, поставляемое в связи с насто-
ящим Соглашением специальное имущество, документацию и инфор-
мацию по ним или разрешать пользоваться этими имуществом и до-
кументацией третьей стороне и каким бы то ни было физическими
или юридическими лицами, кроме должностных лиц и специалистов из
граждан Гренады, находящихся на службе у Правительства Гренады.

Правительство Гренады и Правительство Союза ССР примут
все зависящие от них меры, которые обеспечат сохранение в тай-
нине условий поставок, всей переписки и информации, связанных
с выполнением настоящего Соглашения.
Статья 8

Настоящее Соглашение вступает в силу со дня его подписания. Приложения I и II к Соглашению являются его неотъемлемой частью.

Совершено в Москве "27" июля 1982 года в двух подлинных экземплярах, каждый на английском и русском языках, причем оба тексты имеют одинаковую силу.

ПО УПОЛНОМОЧИЮ
ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВА ГРЕНАДЫ

[Подпись]

ПО УПОЛНОМОЧИЮ
ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВА СОЮЗА СОВЕТСКИХ
СОЦИАЛИСТИЧЕСКИХ РЕСПУБЛИК

[Подпись]
Приложение 1
к Соглашению от "27" июля 1982 года

Перечень
специального имущества, поставляемого для армии Гренады из Советского Союза в 1983-1985 годах

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество всего</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Бронетанковая техника</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Бронетранспортеры БТР-152М1, бронированная</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>боевая и транспортная, отремонтированная</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм винтовочные патроны без оболочок:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулей со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>100,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td>40,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-зажигательной пулей Б-32</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22,5</td>
<td>15,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>со стальной гильзой</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>49,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29,7</td>
<td>19,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с трассирующей пулей Т-46</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количество всего</td>
<td>В том числе по годам</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Артиллерийское вооружение и боеприпасы</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-мм пушки ЭИС-3, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-мм выстрелы:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с осколочной и осколочно-фугасной гранатой</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-трассирующим снарядом</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-подкалиберным снарядом</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с кумулятивным снарядом</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57-мм противотанковые пушки ЭИС-2, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57-мм выстрели:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с осколочной гранатой</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-трассирующим снарядом</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-подкалиберным снарядом</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Переносные реактивные установки: &quot;Град-П&quot;, &quot;9П132&quot;</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплексы ЭПа /1:4/ к установкам &quot;Град-П&quot;</td>
<td>комплекс</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количество всего</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремонтно-комплекты ЗИПа /I: 8/ к установкам &quot;Град-П&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ИС2-мые реактивные осколочно-фугасные снаряды 9М22М в комплекте с вышками к установкам &quot;Град-П&quot;</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,5-мые зенитные горные установки ЗГУ-1</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплекты ЗИПа /I: 10/ к установкам ЗГУ-1</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремонтный комплект ЗИПа /I: 50/ к установкам ЗГУ-1</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,5-мые патроны:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-зажигательной пулей Б-32</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>180,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-зажигательно-трассирующей пулей БЗТ</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>180,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82-мые минометы БМ, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82-мые выстрелы к минометам БМ:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с осколочной миной</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>21,6</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с осколочной миной в инертном снаряжении</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количествоштук</td>
<td>В тоннечисле по годам</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Штатное стрелковое и противотанковое вооружение</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ручные противотанковые гранатометы РПГ-70</td>
<td>штукаСточка</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплексы ЗИПа /1:9/ к гранатаметам РПГ-70</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремонтный комплекс ЗИПа /1:81/ к гранатаметам РПГ-70</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Выстрелы ПГ-7ВМ к гранатаметам РПГ-7В</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>1,2 1,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм пулеметы ПКМ</td>
<td>штукаСточка</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20 20 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплексы ЗИПа /1:50/ к пулеметам ПКМ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм пулеметы ПКМС</td>
<td>штукаСточка</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплексы ЗИПа /1:50/ к пулеметам ПКМС</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм пулеметы ДП,ДПМ, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штукаСточка</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>170 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм выстрелы и патроны без обойм:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулем со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>919,5</td>
<td>432,0 308,7 178,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-зажигательной пулей Б-32 со стальной гильзой</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>45,4</td>
<td>21,9 1,0 9,5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с трассирующей пулей Т-46</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>213,8</td>
<td>101,6 6,3 42,9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Количество измерений</td>
<td>Полном всего</td>
<td>В том числе по годам</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм автоматы АК, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм патроны образца 1943 года без обойм:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулью со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>1,44</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с трассирующей пулей Т-45</td>
<td>324,0</td>
<td>162,0</td>
<td>162,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>для холостой стрельбы</td>
<td>120,0</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм карабины образца 1938, 1944 годов, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм винтовочные патроны с пулём со стальным сердечником в обоймах</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм снайперские патроны СПДН-1</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплект ЗИПа /I:18/ к снайперским патронам СПДН-1</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм снайперские патроны образца 1931/30 годов, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм снайперские патроны без обойм</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-мм пистолеты ПМ</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количественно-секундное</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплект ЗИПа /1:100/ к пистолетам ПМ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-мм патроны к пистолетам ПМ</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ручные гранаты:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Т-1</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>РГД-5</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Учебные гранаты в комплекте с запалами:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>УРГ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>УРГ-1</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Мины:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ЛМ-1</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ТМ-57</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Средства связи</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Радиостанции:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Р-104АИЗ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Р-105</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Р-109М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Телефонные аппараты TA-57</strong></td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Положение всего</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Телефонные коммутаторы П-193М</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Кабель полевой П-274М в двухжильном исполнении</td>
<td>километр</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Катушки ТК-2</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Инженерное имущество и мастерские**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Положение всего</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Топливозаправщики ТЗ-5</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Мастерская ОП</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Мастерская МРС-ОР</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Электростанция ЭСД-10ВС/230</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Прочее имущество**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Положение всего</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Палатки 10-местные</td>
<td>штук</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Флаги алюминиевые солдатские</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Чехлы к флягам</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Комплекты солдатского обмундирования</td>
<td>тыс.комплектов</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Состав комплекта: панцирь - 1, гимнастерку - 1, брюки - 2, майки - 2, трусы - 2, носки - 2 пары, ботинки - 1 пара, брючный ремень - 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количественно всего</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Шлемы стальные СШ-60</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Винокли БПЦБ 8х30</td>
<td>штуки</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Запасные части, учебное и вспомогательное имущество по спецификациям Советской Стороны на сумму до 600000-00 рублей.

L. J.
ПРИЛОЖЕНИЕ 2
к Соглашению от "27" июля 1982 года

ПЕРЕЧЕНЬ
специального имущества и имущества общеобразовательного назначения, поставляемых для Министерства внутренних дел Гренады из Советского Союза в 1982-1985 годах

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Стрелковое оружие</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ручные противотанковые гранатометы РПГ-7В</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплексы ЗИПа /I:9/ к гранатометам РПГ-7Б</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Гарнитурный комплект ЗИПа /I:81/ к гранатометам РПГ-7Б</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Выстрелы ПГ-70М к гранатометам РПГ-7Б</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм пулеметы ПКМ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количество в целом</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплект ЗИПа /1:50/ к пулеметам ППК</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм винтовочные патроны без обойм:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулей со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>115,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>115,5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с бронебойно-зажигательной пулей B-32 со стальной гильзой</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с трассирующей пулей Т-46</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>27,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27,0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм автоматы АК</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>50 ш/</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм патроны образца 1943 года без обойм:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулей со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>40,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с трассирующей пулей Т-45</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм снайперские патроны СВД</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5 ш/</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповой комплект ЗИПа /1:10/ к пистолетам СВД</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм снайперские патроны к винтовкам СВД</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-мм пистолеты ПМ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>50 ш/</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Боезапас в эксплуатации, отремонтированные.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплекты ЭИПа /I:100/ к пистолетам ПМ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремонтный комплект ЭИПа /I:500/ к пистолетам ПМ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-мм патроны к пистолетам ПМ</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>44,7</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>39,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Специальная аппаратура**

**Изделия:**

- "Победит-М"
- В-2
- В-4
- "Мощка-МЭ"
- "Мощка-МП"
- "Вулкан"
- "Найлон"
- "Найлон-ЗУ"

**Фоторезак к изделию "Найлон"**

**Фотобачок к изделию "Найлон"**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Аппаратура:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Луза-2-Лакмус&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Брида&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Приборы ночного видения &quot;Кустарник&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Компьютерно-множительная машина ЭР-11К1</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Системы сигнализации &quot;Рубин-3&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Телевизионные системы &quot;ПТУ-47&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Имущество общегражданского назначения:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Видеомагнитофоны &quot;Электроника-видео&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Магнитофоны &quot;Мали&quot;</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Фотоаппараты:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Зенит-ТТД&quot;</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Зенит-Е&quot;</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количество 1982</td>
<td>Количество 1983</td>
<td>Количество 1984</td>
<td>Количество 1985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Фотоэксопонометры &quot;Ленинград-6&quot;</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Фотоувеличители &quot;Попа-3М&quot;</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Фотопленка черно-белая &quot;ФЭД&quot;</td>
<td>кассета</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Фотопленка обращающая цветная 35-мм</td>
<td>метр</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Фотобумага разно- и химически</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1х</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>развивающий /пленка и закрепитель/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Сейфы с замками под ключ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Шкафы металлические</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Одностворчатые стены</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Обмундирование</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Кители с котелками, фото-оббумажник,</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>защитного цвета</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Синхронные офицерские /режим и</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>порты/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Поставляется по спецификациям Советской Стороны.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество в целом</th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Сапоги кирзовые</td>
<td>пара</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Кепи защитного цвета</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Плащ-накидки офицерские</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Носки хлопчатобумажные, черного цвета</td>
<td>пара</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Веcелые мешки</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Автомобильная техника**

**Автомобили:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Марка</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество в целом</th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ГАЗ-66</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>УАЗ-469Б</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ВАЗ-2106</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Комплекты запасных частей для автомобилей:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Марка</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество в целом</th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ГАЗ-66</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>$^x/\text{i}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\footnotesize{$^x/\text{i}$ — Поставляется по спецификациям Советской Стороны.}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество всего</th>
<th>В том числе по годам</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>УАЗ-469Б</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ВАЗ-2106</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Запасные части, учебное и вспомогательное имущество по спецификациям Советской Стороны на сумму до 100000-00 рублей

Поставляется по спецификации Советской Стороны.

L. T
PROTOCOL

To the Agreement between the Government of Grenada and the Government of the USSR of October 27, 1980 on deliveries from the USSR to Grenada of special and other equipment.

The Government of Grenada and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

have agreed upon the following:

Article 1

The Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics shall ensure free of charge the delivery in 1981-1983 to the Government of Grenada of special and other equipment in nomenclature and quantity according to the Annex to the present Agreement to the amount of 5,000,000 Roubles.

Article 2

In all other respects the Parties will be guided by the provisions of the Agreement between the Government of Grenada and the Government of the USSR of October 27, 1980 on deliveries from the USSR to Grenada of special and other equipment.
Article 3

The present Protocol comes into force on the date of its signing.

The Annex is an integral part of the present Protocol.

Done in Havana on February 9th, 1981 in two originals, each in the English and Russian languages, both texts being equally valid.

FOR AND ON BEHALF
OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GRENA DA

[Signature]

FOR AND ON BEHALF
OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNION
OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

[Signature]
ANNEX

to Protocol of "q" February, 1981

LIST

of special equipment and vehicles to be delivered to Grenada from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in 1981-1983

/free of charge/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of quantity</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Years of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>measure</td>
<td></td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETR-60PB armoured personnel carriers</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRDM-2 armoured reconnaissance and patrol vehicles</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,5-mm cartridges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with B-32 bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with BZT bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-mm rifle cartridges without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with B-32 bullet and steel case</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with T-46 bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15 - 3
### Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total Quantity</th>
<th>Years of Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAZ-49B engines</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ-41 engines</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Armament and Munitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total Quantity</th>
<th>Years of Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm AK submachine guns, used, reconditioned</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62-mm cartridges of 1943 model without clips:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with steel core bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>1000 1000 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with T-45 tracer bullet</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>300 300 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-mm PM pistols</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>300 300 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group sets of spare parts 1:100/ to PM pistols</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>3 3 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair set of spare parts 1:500/ to PM pistols</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1 1 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-mm cartridges to PM pistols</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>36 36 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-mm SPSh-2 signal pistols</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30 - 30 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-mm signal cartridges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>3 - 3 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>3 - 3 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>3 - 3 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-mm illuminating cartridges</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>3 - 3 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2X-2 commander's periscopes</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>5 2 3 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-8s/s binoculars</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>100 100 - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Total quantity</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-1 grenades</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGD-5 grenades</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engineering equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-305V universal excavator</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS-4561A crane</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ-109 bulldozer</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD-30-VS/230 diesel power supply stations</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD-30-T/230 diesel power supply stations</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESB-2-VO gasoline lighting power supply stations</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF induction mine detectors</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30-mm signal cartridges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30-mm illuminating cartridges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSC-M portable firing range equipment</strong></td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RTK company tactical set</strong></td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication means</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiostations:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-104 OM</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-105M</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of Quantity</td>
<td>Total Quantity</td>
<td>Years of Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Logistics materiel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-194M1 switch boards</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-3M2 mobile signal workshop</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-274M two-wire field cable</td>
<td>kilometers</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB-10-u-1,3 batteries</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK-2 reels for military field cable</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport means, special vehicles and workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ-66 vehicles</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAZ-469B jeeps</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAZ-452-A ambulances</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-5 refueling vehicles</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APM-90M automobile light-beacon stations for landing</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTO-AT technical maintenance workshop</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRZ-A storage-batteries repair and charging station</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMKh mobile mechanized bakery plant</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKS-2M mobile kitchen-messes</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP-125M vehicle-towed field kitchens</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of measure</td>
<td>Total Quantity</td>
<td>Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDA-66 desinfection shower installations</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDP-2 sterilisation and distillation units on trailers</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDV-100 reservoires</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum flasks:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 12 litres</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of 36 litres</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-1 sterilized bandage sets</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual bandage packets</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-56 tents with fittings</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp tents for 10-persons</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKT-T camouflage nets</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Uniform articles and clothing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit of measure</th>
<th>Total Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>1981</th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1983</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' field cotton olive-coloured shirts, without belts</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' field cotton olive-coloured trousers</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' cotton khaki-coloured shelter-halves</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' cotton olive-coloured field caps</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cotton shorts</td>
<td>thous. pieces</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of Quantity</td>
<td>Total Quantity</td>
<td>Years of Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knitted white undershirts</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive-coloured socks without rubbers</td>
<td>thou. paires</td>
<td>25,2</td>
<td>25,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' tarpaulin waist-belts with brown coating and plain zinc-covered buckles</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' khaki-coloured tarpaulin waist-belts with plain zinc-covered buckles</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarpaulin shoulder-belts with brown coating</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaki-coloured cotton knapsacks</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel helmets</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High leather boots with rubber soles</td>
<td>thou. paires</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flanellette blankets</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse calico bed sheets, 214x126 cm</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse calico outer pillow-cases, 60x50 cm</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner cotton pillow-cases, 60x50 cm</td>
<td>thou. pieces</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit of quantity</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Years of delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton mattress-cases, 220x77 cm</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foam plastic mattresses, 185x70x4 cm</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foam plastic pillows, 80x50x4 cm</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' aluminium water flasks</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covers for soldiers' water flasks</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers' aluminium pots</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers' capes</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer cotton work-suits</td>
<td>pieces</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers' box-calf leather shoes with leather soles</td>
<td>pair</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers' field bags of artificial leather</td>
<td>piece</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spare parts, training equipment and auxiliary equipment as per specifications of the Soviet Party to the amount of up to 0.9 mln. roubles.

B. L. [Signature]  
E. L. [Signature]
СОВЕРШЕННО СЕКРЕТНО

ПРОТОКОЛ
к Соглашению между Правительством Гренады и Правительством СССР от 27 октября 1980 года о поставках из СССР в Гренаду специального и другого имущества

Правительство Гренады и Правительство Союза Советских Социалистических Республик

dоговорились о нижеследующем:

Статья 1

Правительство Союза Советских Социалистических Республик обеспечит в 1981-1983 годах безвозмездно поставку Правительству Гренады специального и другого имущества в номенклатуре и количестве согласно Приложению к настоящему Протоколу на сумму 5000000 рублей.

Статья 2

Во всем остальном Стороны будут руководствовать положениями Соглашения между Правительством Гренады и Правительством СССР от 27 октября 1980 года о поставках из СССР в Гренаду специального и другого имущества.

Статья 3

Настоящий Протокол вступает в силу со дня его подписания. Приложение является неотъемлемой частью настоящего Протокола. Согласовано в Гаване, 14 февраля 1981 года в двух подлинных экземплярах, каждый на русском и английском языках, причем оба текста имеют одинаковую силу.

ПО УПОЛНОМОЧИЮ ПО УПОЛНОМОЧИЮ

ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВА ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВА

ГРЕНАДЫ СОЮЗА

[Подпись]

[Подпись]
Приложение
к Протоколу от 14 февраля 1981 года

ПЕРЕЧЕНЬ
специального имущества и автомобилей, поставляемых
Гренадер из Советского Союза в 1981-1983 годах

/безвозмездно/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество</th>
<th>Годы поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Бронетанковая техника</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Бронетранспортеры БТР-60ПВ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Бронированные разведывательно-дозорные машины БРДМ-2</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14,5-мм патроны:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулемет В-32</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулемет БЗТ</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7,62-мм винтовочные патроны без обойм:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулемет со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулемет В-32 со стальной гильзой</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулемет Т-46</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Двигатели ГАЗ-49В</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Двигатели ГАЗ-41</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица</td>
<td>Количество</td>
<td>Годы поставки</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Вооружение и боеприпасы</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм автомат АК, бывшие в эксплуатации, отремонтированные</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,62-мм патроны образца 1943 года без обойм:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с пулей со стальным сердечником</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>с трассирующей пулей Т-45</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-мм пистолеты ПМ</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Групповые комплекты ЗИПа к пистолетам ПМ /1:100/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремонтный комплект ЗИПа к пистолетам ПМ /1:500/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-мм патроны к пистолетам ПМ</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-мм сигнальные пистолеты СШ-2</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-мм сигнальные патроны:</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>красного огня</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>зеленого огня</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>желтого огня</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-мм осветительные патроны</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Трусы зенитные командирские ТЗК-2</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Еинокли Б-8с/с</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Кompасы Адрианова</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Гранаты Ф-1</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Гранаты РГД-5</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Инженерное вооружение

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество</th>
<th>Годы поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Экскаватор универсальный 9-305В</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Хран КС-4561А</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Бульдозер ДЗ-109</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Электростанции силовые дизельные ЭСД-30-ВС/230</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Агрегаты питания дизельные АД-30-Т/230Н2</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Электростанции бензиновые осветительные ЭСБ-2-ВО</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Индукционные миноискатели ИНП</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>- 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-мм сигнальные патроны:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>красного огня</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>зеленого огня</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-мм осветительные патроны</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Переносное стрелковое оборудование ПСО-Н</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ротный тактический комплект РТК</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Средства связи

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Радиостанции:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-104УМ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-105М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>15 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-108Н</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>15 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-109Н</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>15 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-129, бывшие в эксплуа-тации, отремонтированные комплект</td>
<td>5 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-130Н, бывшие в эксплуа-тации, отремонтированные комплект</td>
<td>5 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количествозначение</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Телефонные аппараты ТА-57</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Компьютеры П-194М1</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Подвижная мастерская связь И-3М2</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Кабель полевой П-274М в двухжильном исчислении</td>
<td>километр</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Батареи ГБ-10-у-1,3</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Катушки ТК-2 для военно-полевого кабеля</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Транспортные средства, специальные автомобили и мастерские</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Автомобили ГАЗ-66</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Автомобили УАЗ-4695</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Санираторные автомобили УАЗ-452-А</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Топливозаправщики ТЗ-5</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Автомобильные посадочные светофоры станции АДМ-90М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Мастерская технического обслуживания МТО-АТ</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Станция ремонтно-зарядная аккумуляторная СРЗ-А</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Имущество тыла</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Полезный механизированный хлебозавод ПМК</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Полевые кухни-столовые ПКС-2М</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Кухни походные автоприцепные КП-125М</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Дезинфекционно-душевые танцы ДДА-66</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15 - 14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количеств</th>
<th>Годы поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Стерилизационно-дистилляционные установки СД-2 на автоприцепах</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Резервуары РДВ-100</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Термосы</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-литровые</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-литровые</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Комплекты Б-1 /перевязочные стерильные/</td>
<td>комплект</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Пакеты индивидуальные перевязочные</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Палатки УСБ-56 с такелажем</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Палатки лагерные 10-местные</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Маскировочные сети МКТ-Т</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Обмундирование и вещевое имущество</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Рубашки верхние солдатские полевые без пояса оливкового цвета из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Брюки на выпуск солдатские полевые оливкового цвета из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Плаш-палатки солдатские защитного цвета из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Фуражки солдатские полевые оливкового цвета из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Трусы белого цвета из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наименование</td>
<td>Единица измерения</td>
<td>Количество</td>
<td>Годы поставки</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Майки трикотажные белого цвета</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Носки без резинок оливкового цвета</td>
<td>тыс. пар</td>
<td>25,2</td>
<td>25,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремни полские солдатские тесьмные с покрытием коричневого цвета с пряжками оцинкованными, гладкими</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ремни покрынные солдатские тесьмные защитного цвета с пряжками оцинкованными, гладкими</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Дышки плечевые тесьмные с покрытием коричневого цвета</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Чехлы вешевые из хлопчатобумажной ткани защитного цвета</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Шлемы стальные</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ботинки с высокими берцами и детской подошвой</td>
<td>тыс. пар</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Одежда байковая</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Простыни размером 214x126 см из бязи</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наволочки подушечные верхние размером 60x50 см из бязи</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наволочки подушечные нижние размером 60x50 см из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Наволочки тюфячные размером 220x77 см из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Матрасы поролоновые 185x70x4 см</td>
<td>тыс. штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Таблица потребности в амуниции

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Наименование</th>
<th>Единица измерения</th>
<th>Количество</th>
<th>Годы поставки</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Подушки поролоновые размером 80х50х4 см</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Опять алюминиевые солдатские</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Чехлы к солдатским флягам</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Котелки алюминиевые солдатские</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Шапка-награда офицерская</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Комбинезоны рабочие летние из хлопчатобумажной ткани</td>
<td>тыс.штук</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Полуботинки хромовые офицерские на кожаной подошве</td>
<td>пара</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Сумки полевые офицерские из искусственной кожи</td>
<td>штука</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Запасные части, учебное и вспомогательное имущество по спецификациям Советской Стороны на сумму до 0,9 млн. рублей

[Подпись]
PROTOCOL
OF THE MILITARY COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
REPUBLIC OF CUBA AND THE PEOPLE'S REVOLUTIONARY GOVERNMENT
OF GRENADA

The Government of the Republic of Cuba and People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada, in full exercise of their sovereign right as free and independent State, based on the fraternal relations existing between both countries with the aim of making a contribution to the strengthening of the defensive capacity of Grenada, have agreed upon the following:

ARTICLE I
The Government of the Republic of Cuba in agreement with the request formulated by the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada, will maintain Cuban Military specialists in that country in quantities and specialists established in Annex No. 1 of this document. (Protocol).

ARTICLE II
The Military specialists from Cuba in behalf of strengthening the military capacity of the Armed Forces will assist grenadian military men on the questions of Organization of the Organic Structure, Organization of the Instruction and combative and campaign training of the troops and staffs in the preparation of cadres and minor specialists, and in the elaboration of the operative and mobilization plans for the defense of the country.

ARTICLE III
The Government of the Republic of Cuba will grant scholarships to military personnel of Grenada in the Military Training Centers of the Revolutionary Armed Forces with the quantities and specialist with the requirements that will be established in Annex No. 3 which will be elaborated afterwards.
ARTICLE IV

The Government of the Republic of Cuba has the pleasure of receiving during 1982 four delegations of the Armed Forces of up to three members each, of the following specialities:

- Engineering, (the fourth-three months period)
- Communication, (the first three-months period)
- Logistics, (the first three-month period)
- Exploration, (the fourth three-month period)

The dates in which these delegations should travel to Cuba will be communicated to the Grenadian side, by the Cuban side twenty days in advance.

ARTICLE V

In order to lead the activities of the Cuban Military Specialists, the post of chief of the Cuban Military Specialists is established who will develop functions in the Ministry of Defense.

ARTICLE VI

The Cuban side according to the plans approved by its governments will carry out the systematic change of Cuban Military Specialists in the quantities foreseen in the present Protocol.

ARTICLE VII

The People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada will give facilities to the chief of the Cuban Military specialists in the work places and for using the communication means existing in the country in order to assure the direction of his activities of Technical Military assistance to the Armed Forces.

ARTICLE VIII

The Cuban and Grenadian Military specialists who in virtue of the present Protocol are in the Republic of Cuba or in Grenada will respect the sovereignty and customs and will observe the laws.
ARTICLE IX
The People's Revolutionary Government will give facilities to the Ministry of the Revolutionary Armed Forces of the Republic of Cuba to check the work of the Cuban Military Specialists.

ARTICLE X
The medical, technical and material assurance and the expenses as a result of the permanency of the Cuban Military Specialists on the Granadian territory will be implemented according to the time period established in Annex No. 2 of this Protocol.

ARTICLE XI
The People's Revolutionary Government will pay the expenses of the Custom-House and fees of the means sent to the Cuban Military Specialists, by sea and by air.

ARTICLE XII
The Government of the Republic of Cuba and the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada, will take all measures depending on them in order to assure the secrecy of the permanency of the military personnel in both states and the character of the activities, as well as the mail and information related to the present Protocol.

ARTICLE XIII
Any kind of situation that comes out in respect to the present Protocol or its accomplishment, it will be solved by friendly conversations between representatives of both sides in Havana City or in the City St. George's.

Any charges or additions to the Present Protocol will only be effective in case they are elaborated in a written way and signed by authorized personnel of both sides.

ARTICLE XIV
Annex No. 3 of the Protocol of Military Collaboration on scholar
ships to the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada will be included in the present Protocol, by means of a written request through the chief of the Group of Military Specialists - after having finished the studies that will be carried out.

ARTICLE XV

The Present Protocol will be put into effect from the date of signature and it will be effective until December 31st, 1984.

The Annexes No. 1, 2 and 3 are part of this Protocol.

Written up in two copies, original, in spanish and english, both texts are valid and signed in the City of __________ on the _____ days of _______ of 19____

By the Government of the Republic of Cuba

By the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada
ANNEX No. 1

PROTOCOL OF THE MILITARY-COLLABORATION ABOUT THE LEVELS OF WORK OF THE CUBAN MILITARY SPECIALISTS IN THE PERMANENT ARMED FORCES.

The Government of the Republic of Cuba will maintain military specialists in Grenada whose composition will be as follows:

1. Permanent Specialists
   a) In the General Staff of the Armed Forces.
      - Chief of the Military Specialists ———— 1
      - Specialists ———— 8
   b) In the Military Region.
      - Specialists ———— 3
   c) In the Permanent Company.
      - Specialist ———— 1
   d) Internal Assurance Groups of the Military Specialists.
      - Officers, Sergeants and soldiers ———— 16
      TOTAL 27

2. Specialists for short periods and for 2-4 month periods
   a) In the General Staff.
      - Specialists ———— 6
   b) In the assurance units.
      - Techniciens ———— 3
   c) Transport Repairing Brigades ———— 3-4
      TOTAL 12-13

16 - 5
ANNEX No. 2

PROTOCOL OF THE MILITARY COLLABORATION: ABOUT THE MATERIAL MEANS, SERVICE AND ASSURING PERSONNEL.

The Government of the Republic of Cuba and the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada agree to guarantee the material, technical and medical assurance of the Cuban Military Specialists according to the time period mentioned below:

CLAUSE No. 1

The People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada will guarantee the material means, equipments and the following services:

a) Maintenance of furniture and properties sent and constructed by Cuba and those given by the country to the Cuban military personnel.

b) Equipment and office material for the usage of the Cuban military specialists.

c) Fresh food-stuff for the feeding of the Cuban Military personnel.

d) The necessary transport means for the development of their functions inside the Armed Forces, guaranteeing the fuel and lubricants, its maintenance and repair.

e) Armament and ammunition for the Cuban Military specialists.

f) Qualified medical assistance in the Hospital of the country as well as the necessary medicines for disease prevention and the maintenance of health of the Cuban Military Specialists.

g) Means of personnel hygiene of every kind.

h) National transportation required for the accomplishment of the service activities.

i) Public Services (water, electricity, telephones and others)

j) A small stipendium for the personal expenses of every member equivalent to (30) U.S. Dollars.
k) Entrance visa and Identification Documents which accredit them for the civilian and military authorities of the country.

1) Personnel that guarantee the driving and usage of the transport Technique; the elaboration of food, washing and ironing of the clothes; the cleaning of furniture and properties and the security of the house.

11) Other means and services non-specified which are required for the development of the work of the Cuban Military personnel.

CLAUSE No. 2

The Government of the Republic of Cuba will guarantee the means equipment and services we mention as follows:

a) The sending of technical personnel, material means and necessary furnitures for the construction of the necessary installation for the living-conditions and work of the Cuban Military Personnel.

b) Dried foodstuff of every kind for the feeding of the Cuban Military Personnel.

c) The trip clothes of the Cuban Military Specialists.

d) The necessary consultive material for the execution of the functions of the Cuban Military Specialists.

e) The salary in Cuba of the Military Personnel.
SECRET

COOPERATION AND EXCHANGE PLAN BETWEEN THE COMMUNIST PARTY OF CUBA AND THE NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT OF GRENADA, FOR THE 1983 PERIOD.

INTRODUCTION

The Communist Party of Cuba and the New Jewel Movement, brotherly united by the same ideals of struggle in their respective countries, as well as of active solidarity in favor of the peoples that struggle for national liberation, and likewise, sharing the same convictions against imperialism, colonialism, neocolonialism, Zionism, and racism, become aware of the need to unite efforts and coordinate actions of cooperation in the different activities within their scope.

Both Parties, on agreeing that the many-sided relations of cooperation be governed by the widest and justest spirit of cooperation, solidarity, and internationalism, reach agreement on the following:

CHAPTER I

a) The CPC and the NJM will exchange delegations for the mutual study of the experiences in the different fields of Party work, according to agreements and needs that will be established between the parties. To this end, they will carry out regular meetings and exchange of experiences between the different departments and secretaries of both Parties, whose agreements and commitments will be annexed to this protocol.
2.

b) The CPC expresses its willingness to send, according to the requests formulated by the NJM in this sense, technical advisers for the organization of public meetings and propaganda of the Party in Grenada.

c) Regarding the political upgrading and professional assistance, the NJM and the CPC express their willingness to receive, at the "Nico López" School, the NJM cadres that will be decided on mutual agreement.

d) The CPC and the NJM of Grenada will exchange information of mutual interest, both on the field of the development of the two revolutions and their experiences, as well as on the international situation and, fundamentally, that of the Caribbean in its struggle against imperialism, neo-colonialism, racism and Zionism. Likewise, they will exchange information on the liberation movements as well as coordinate actions and positions of mutual interest to be adopted at events, conferences, and other Party activities of an international character, with special emphasis on the problems in the Caribbean.

e) Both organizations, vanguard of the peoples of Cuba and Grenada, express their mutual solidarity in the struggle against imperialism, and they are committed to struggle and make sure that they develop to the utmost the mutual solidarity and understanding of the International Revolutionary Movement regarding both revolutions.

f) Both Parties will exchange information and join efforts in strengthening their relationship with the socialist and
progressive countries, and in favor of the peoples that struggle for their liberation, particularly within the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries.

CHAPTER II

On political and mass organizations:

Both Parties agree on developing to the utmost the cooperation and assistance in the development and strengthening of the mass organizations of Cuba and Grenada.

In this sense, the CPC and the NJM will promote, according to the needs of the NJM and its mass organizations, a work plan of the Workers' Central Union of Cuba, the Cuban Women's Federation, the Association of Small Farmers and the Young Communist Organization, with their counterparts in Grenada, both for the exchange of delegations, advice, and collaboration in their different fields, and for receiving cadres from those Grenadian organizations in the schools and courses of the Cuban organizations which are arranged and agreed to these purposes.

These agreements on cooperation will include exchange of information, publications, and expertise built up by them.

Likewise, they will coordinate their positions at international events and conferences, by mutually advising each other on the common interests of both Parties.

CHAPTER III

On the exchange and cooperation between both Parties:

The CPC and the NJM of Grenada will approve, control, and en-
4.

Sure the fulfillment of the understandings and agreements of cooperation and exchange to be established at state level, for which they will create the mechanisms and controls that they consider relevant.

Similarly, both Parties will periodically oversee the development of the cooperation and exchange between both governments, formulating the readjustments that become necessary for practical purposes.

The CPC and the NJU will coordinate the positions of the governments of Cuba and Grenada at international events, conferences, and agencies where they participate, in attention to the political, economic and social interests of both Parties.

Besides, both Parties, through their state apparatus, will propitiate the exchange of information, publications, research, and scientific and propaganda works related to their political interests.

CHAPTER IV

On their fulfillment:

The CPC and the NJU commit themselves to inform the counterpart, in due time, the implementation of the agreed exchange plans. Also, both Parties will approve the agreements to be established among the above mentioned political and mass organizations, making sure that they are fulfilled.

The agreements adopted in the different fields of Party life, as well as those to be concluded among the Cuban and Grenadian political and mass organizations, will be incorporated as annexes to this protocol in force until December 31, 1983.
The New Jewel Movement of Grenada and the Communist Party of Cuba, ratified the general lines established in the Cooperation and Exchange Plan between both Parties, and pursuant to the spirit of such Agreement, they establish the following plan for 1983:

1. The Cuban Party will receive in 1983:

a) Five comrades with secondary educational level to study at the "Rico Lórez" School, for one year.

b) Two technicians in drawing for specialization in making billboards and posters.

c) Two comrades for specialization in sound equipment for public meetings.

d) A press photographer for training in Cuba.

e) Training of a technician in microfilm for the press.

f) A newspaper librarian, for training in Cuba.

g) Training of a press cartoonist.

h) Training of a technician in general graphic arts.

i) Two comrades linked to the work on religion for exchanging experiences and coordinating regional and international work.

j) Two comrades linked to the work on the Socialist International for exchanging experiences and criteria on this aspect.
6.

k) A delegation made up of three comrades of the Ministry of Mobilization who have to do with the work of Foreign Affairs, fundamentally with the Caribbean, for exchanging experiences, criteria, and coordination in the region.

l) A delegation made up of a member of the Political Bureau and two other persons for exchanging experience on the work of organization, internal education and propaganda of the Party.

m) Two members of the Political Bureau and two other persons, each one for one week of rest in Cuba.

n) Two members of the Central Committee for one week of rest in Cuba.

II. The Grenadian party will receive in 1983:

a) Two technicians in sound equipment for public meetings, to train and increase the level of their counterparts in Grenada.

b) Two technicians in billboards and rosters for exchanging experience and increasing the technical level of the Grenadian comrades.

c) A delegation of two comrades from the Department of Organization for exchanging experience on the organization work of the Party.
7.

d) A specialist in the work with the religious people for exchanging experiences in the work of the Party on this sector.

e) A specialist in internal education plans of the Party.
The Communist Party of Cuba and the New Jewel Movement of Grenada, satisfied by the discussed and agreed aspects, which fully correspond with the fraternal relations between both Parties, underwrite this document in the City of Havana, Cuba, June 29th, 1983.

[Signatures]

The Communist Party of Cuba

[Signature]

The New Jewel Movement

[Signature]
In accordance with the requests put forward at the 2nd Congress of the Communist Party of Cuba by the Prime Minister, the Vietnamese Ambassador has reported the following:

1. The Ministry of Defence and Interior is ready to receive from Grenada starting in April 1982 twenty appropriately qualified people to train in the following:
   (a) anti-chemical warfare
   (b) anti-radioactivity warfare
   (c) re-education of anti-social and counter-revolutionary elements
   (d) Yankee tactics and the weapons used in Vietnam

2. The Government of Vietnam is not in a position to send people to Grenada nor are they in a position to train pilots.

3. I enquired as to who will pay the passages for these people. The Ambassador promised to check on this question but pointed out that it was unlikely that Vietnam would be able to pay. He suggested that we approach a friendly country which has airline facilities.

Headache,

We need to decide who and how many.

You can keep this copy.

Michael
"YEAR OF POLITICAL AND ACADEMIC EDUCATION"

SUMMATION OF THE WORK AND DECISIONS OF GENERAL MEETING - NJM (CUBA)

1. The General meeting of the New JEWEL Movement (NJM) branch in Cuba was held on Saturday 7th May 1983 at 9.30 am in Santa Clara according to the decision of the previous GM.

2. In attendance were 28 comrades. The meeting heard excuse for the absence of Cde. James Clarkson but could not account for Cde. Augustine Vesprey's absence. Comrades Clitus St. Paul and John Houston (Lion) were welcomed by the GM.

3. The meeting re-elected Cde. Springle as recording secretary and adopted the following agenda:
   a) Report on decisions and tasks of last GM.
   b) Report and discussion on party work in the institutions.
   c) Proposal on cultural activity.
   d) Discussions on collective assessment of comrades.
   e) A C B

4. The GM reviewed all the decisions that were taken at its last session. In all cases (14 cases) a responsible attitude was taken to implement the decisions. The results are as follows:

DOCUMENT 19
19 - 1
a. Travel arrangement for 97 students return from Cuba for August vacation including all the economic students who have been requested by the Ministry of Finance has been arranged with Aero Caribbean as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. June 25th or July 9th for Econ. students</td>
<td>August 25th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. July 21st</td>
<td>August 27th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. July 23rd</td>
<td>August 29th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. July 24th</td>
<td>August 31st</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All monies for buying tickets to be sent in bulk to the Embassy via Ministry of Education. The Ministry already informed of this.

b. Emulation plan for national emulation for period September 1983 to March 1984 already prepared and discussed with students. It was noted that students are looking forward for this.

c. Discussion on pregnancy and birth control in progress — to date no report on pregnancy among Grenadian students.

d. Celebration of 10th anniversary of NJM and 4th anniversary of Revolution held in provinces and were successful.
e. Discussion on transfer of students in progress although several modifications are being made based on the desire of the students and the availability of their speciality in the particular province.

f. Discussion on holiday plan for students remaining in Cuba held. The main difficulty with this has to be resolved by the FEU.

g. Efforts have been made to resolve the academic problem of Laurie Frederick. He still experiences difficulties but the school is prepared to give him another chance.

h. The problem of Allan Benjamin was frankly taken up with him. Over the last 3 months there has been marked improvement.

i. A study of OPPP completed by all except the Havana applicants.

j. More attention is being given to the middle level students by the respective party group.

K. Members now have study material (report on 1982 eco-performance), although this was only done recently. Study material is being prepared for September.
1. Collective assessment was held in all party groups.

5. During the report on decisions of last CM matters arose on which decisions were taken, namely,
   a. that the embassy must have a direct hand in the placement of students in the future,
   b. that it be suggested to Grenada that career weeks be held with students about to leave school so they can better appreciate what they want to study and/or what they should study in relation to national development.
   c. need for a common approach to members, candidates and applicants study in different provinces and how this can be achieved.

6. The General Meeting heard reports on the work of the party groups in Havana, Santiago de Cuba and Camagüey as well as Cde. Allan Roberts work in Santa Clara. It was noted that the work in Santiago de Cuba had significantly improved since last CM. The applicants completed study and the NYO group organised quite a number of political, cultural and social activities as well as attended several political activities of other student bodies. It was however, stressed that the situation was not yet consolidated and several students still show political indifference thus the need to focus more attention there. In Camagüey the work is still good with the applicants and NYO completing their study. The NYO candidates then went on to discuss the following.
group held several political, cultural (for the first time) and social activities which were successful. However, a slight drop in attendance and punctuality was beginning to develop. In Havana the work is satisfactory. The applicants are completing their study, the NYO are now on Chapter 4 of political economy. With the NYO several activities were realised - political, cultural and social that were all very successful. It was however, noted that the failure to provide study material to NYO affects all the groups. The NYO which was recently started held several successful activities and is proving to be a step forward for all the sisters. In Santa Clara the NYO is operating and held some successful activities. The group however has some disciplinary problems which must be resolved urgently. The group takes part in all activities that other students bodies hold.

7. A general problem of all the groups which was identified was that of information from Grenada. It was noted that a more consistent centralised and responsible approach must be taken by Grenada on this problem.

8. The General meeting heard a proposal from the Havana party group and approved it. The proposal is for the students returning home on holidays to hold cultural shows in Grenada the proceeds of which would be all given to the defense of the homeland. Various tasks were distributed to three provinces and they would immediately begin preparations. It was
decided that the O.C. be contacted on this to get the organisational help of the party.

9. The results of the collective assessment were given. It was noted that there was some timidity in the assessment, however, the O.C. agreed that this exercise is very fundamental and as such must be continued but greater attention must be paid to frank, open and objective assessments with comradely criticism and advice given.

10. The O.C. looked at other matters such as the problem of the French students which has been referred numerous times to the Ministry of Education (Gda); the problem being created by the boastful attitude of the economic students in relation to their trip to Grenada; the problem of the monthly newsletter as a result of no one to type it; the need for Grenadian students to more actively participate in voluntary productive work in Cuba.

The O.C. ended at 5 pm after deciding on the date and place of its next meeting - 5th November in Camagüey. The meeting was carried out in a disciplined and businesslike manner.

May 7th 1983
AGREEMENT


For the purposes of further cementing and developing the friendship and solidarity between the peoples and armies of the two countries established in the common struggle to oppose against imperialism, consolidate the national sovereignty and safeguard independence, and strengthening the national defence power of Grenada, the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada and the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea have agreed as follows:

Article 1

The Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea shall give, in 1963-1964, the free military assistance.
subject to weapons and ammunitions covering US $ 12,000,000
indicated in Annex to this Agreement.

Article 2

The Grenadan side shall be responsible for the transport
of weapons and ammunitions to be rendered to the People's
Revolutionary Government of Grenada by the Democratic
People's Republic of Korea.

Article 3

Both sides shall strictly keep the secrecy of the military assistance to be executed according to this Agreement and have an obligation not to hand over any matters of this Agreement to the third country.

Article 4

This Agreement shall come into force on the day of its signing.
This Agreement has been prepared in duplicate in the Korean and English languages and signed in Pyongyang on April 14, 1963, two original equally authentic.

By the authority of the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada.

By the authority of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.
**ANNEX**

of Agreement on the free offer of military assistance to the People's Revolutionary Government of Grenada by the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hand flares</td>
<td>200 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammunition for hand flares</td>
<td>4,000 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62mm automatic rifle</td>
<td>1,600 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62mm light machine gun</td>
<td>50 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammunition for 7.62mm auto. rifle</td>
<td>360,000 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62mm blanks</td>
<td>300,000 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.62mm heavy machine gun</td>
<td>30 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammunition for heavy machine gun</td>
<td>60,000 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG-7 launcher</td>
<td>50 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG-7</td>
<td>500 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand grenade</td>
<td>200 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction hand grenade</td>
<td>20 rds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binoculars (8 X)</td>
<td>30 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-gas masks</td>
<td>1,000 pcs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sirens 50 pcs
Tactical drawing instruments 15 sets
Coast guard 2 boats
Uniforms 6,000 suits
Knapsacks 6,000 pcs
Camouflage nets 50 pcs
Ultrashort waves wireless set (u -3) 3 pcs
Ultrashort waves wireless set (u -4) 3 pcs
조선민주주의인민공화국은 그 데메이어드민혁명정부에
부상으로 군사간조를 벌써 다년 그 데메이어드민혁
명 정부와 조선민주주의인민공화국 정부 사이의
협정

그 데메이어드민혁명정부와 조선민주주의인민공화국 정부는 제국주의
을 반대하고 나라의 독립을 공고히 하여 자주성을 유지하기 위한
공동협정서를 체결한 두 나라 인민공화군대의 신뢰와 단
결을 더욱 강화할 전제시켜 그 데메이어드의 독립적 자주적인 목적으로
다음과 같이 협의한다.

제 1 조

조선민주주의인민공화국 정부는 그 데메이어드민혁명 정부가 이 협
정을 체결한 1200만 이상의 협약한 무기와 탄약물품
제 2 조

조선인민공화국의 그레네일레인민혁명정부의 투약으로 군사 윤조하는 부적와 반역들에 대한 수상은 그레네일레인민혁명정부의 재임한다.

제 3 조

상반은 이 법정에 의하여 진정되는 군사적죄로서 군단 바일을 엄격히 지키며 그 여객죄에 제3조에 넘지우지 없음에 대해 의무를 지닌다.

제 4 조

이 법정은 수포한날로부터 효과를 가진다.

이 법정은 1983년 4월 11일 정부에서 시행되었으며 조선 어와 영어로 각각 2부씩 작성된 두 천문은 같은 효과를 가진다.

Mamie Bishop

그레네일레인민혁명 정부의 조선인민공화국중앙정부의

취임에 의하여 취임에 의하여

의 중 등
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>소형 선호 판구</th>
<th>200원</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>소형 선호 판구 한</td>
<td>4000원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 2 미디자움보로</td>
<td>1000원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 2 미디자움보로</td>
<td>50원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 2 미디자움보로</td>
<td>360,000원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 2 미디자움보로</td>
<td>300,000원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 2 미디자움보로</td>
<td>30원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6 2 미디자움보로</td>
<td>60,000원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 로발 채권</td>
<td>500원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 로발 채권 한</td>
<td>500원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>수 향</td>
<td>200원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>수 향 한</td>
<td>20원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>이름</td>
<td>금액</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>장 안 경</td>
<td>30개</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>무 봉</td>
<td>1,000개</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>사 이 병</td>
<td>50개</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>지도 작성용 도구</td>
<td>15조</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>경 비 계</td>
<td>2식</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>군 부</td>
<td>6,000원</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>예 납</td>
<td>6,000개</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>소 장 양</td>
<td>50개</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>단하우성기 3</td>
<td>3대</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>단하우성기 4</td>
<td>3대</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 - 9
The Ambassador reported that on his last trip to Venezuela, he met with the Ambassadors from the Socialist Community and raised with them the fact that, since his eight month stay in Trinidad, none of them had visited.

He explained that he had spoken with the General Secretary of the Venezuelan Communist Party and among other things, had raised the question of the level of counter-revolutionary activities in Venezuela. The General Secretary explained that there was, he thought, contact between the Venezuelan Government and the counter-revolutionaries and thought, but wasn’t sure, that the President knew of it. The Ambassador asked him to work on it and send more precise information.

The Prime Minister thanked him and pointed out that the General Secretary had never been to Grenada and kept contact with the Grenada Embassy in Caracas.

The Ambassador added that the International Relations Secretary of the Venezuelan Communist Party said he is coming to Grenada.

The Ambassador gave to the Prime Minister, copies of articles on Grenada published in the Soviet press.

The Ambassador reported that special equipment, 2 Coast Guard patrol boats and foodstuffs were being sent direct to Grenada. This included 3,000 military uniforms in 1984 and 2,000 spades in 1985.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>1870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grains</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned Meat</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned Fish</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable Oil</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricants</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Typ. Pick Up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Jeeps UAZ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks S42</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spare Engines</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ambassador indicated that the supply of the vehicles and spare parts was based on the inter-governmental protocol of 11th July, 1980. The conditions were:

1. 100% within 1 year of date of delivery;
2. 25% over 10 years from date of delivery in equal half-yearly payments with the first to be made 3 years after date of delivery;
3. 4% interest rate.

Thus, the ambassador said are the most favourable conditions given to any body of the Foreign Trade Division of the U.S....

Talks and agreements can be conducted and signed in Moscow.

Prime Minister promised reply before the ambassador leaves on 1st June, 1980 for vacation in Moscow.

Ambassador informed that General Chief of Staff, General, will like appointment with Jde. Hulkes Martin. He reported that 11,000 mules, supply of 14.5m shells for 53 mm mortars, conjoin delivered to Cuba in January 1983. Another 50,000 will be supplied this year through Havana. 35,000 mules in spare parts can be delivered in the 3rd quarter of 1983 after letter of credit comes from Havana. Soviet trade representatives now in Havana, can discuss this. The rest promised to have someone contact him.

Ambassador said agreement reached to exchange 2,000 pairs of boots for larger sizes (40-45); Grenada to follow up with the Jdnas who have already been contacted and agreed to principle.
10 copies of Soviet Military Review coming in May 1983.

Jde. Layne had made requests on those two things and,... is to check him to follow up.

Jde. of G.J.... has agreed to receive 5 months of leadership for rest and treatment; Jde. Strachen had given order of Jde. Jacob, wife and three kids.

On F.I.'s request, Ambassador will clarify whether this will account for the five places. However, ambassador explained that in event of necessity, a request can still be made.

Air aircraft to be supplied to Grenada will be delivered to Jde. can seat 35 paratroopers and added 3 tons of cargo; has 4,000 flight hours, capacity and 6,000 landing; If used normally can last four years without repair. Can be assembled in 10 days and will be done in Juac. has been designed with Jde's and car space and can accommodate 10-12 under those conditions.

F.I. explained that plane can be used for a dual purpose with the other being a Grenada/Jude mission. It explained that the car will not be used as it can have negative political repercussion. He also pointed out that the kind of plane, a military car, can pose problems landing in the more reactionary countries in the region as civilian make craft will not have those problems.

Fifteen specialist will travel from mission to mission to work on the aircrafts. An additional engine and spare parts enough for five planes will be attached. All spare parts will be kept in Jude under supervision of specialists from the Jde. who will do all warranty services.

The Ambassador said that they will prefer Jude. non to get it electrical equipment for starting the engine will be supplied to Jude. Jude must indicate what color, engine, service number are to be put on the plane.

The Ambassador pointed out that technicians will be sent to help develop the socio-economic plan for 1980-85. Two specialists and one interpreter can arrive September/October for three months.

He reported that equipment had been sent to Jde. from USSR Agency.
The Ambassador provided the P.M. with an overview of Venezuela's Foreign Minister, Zambrano's, visit to Moscow 25-30,4,83 and gave him a document on it.

The Ambassador informed that some projects for assistance to Grenada had been drafted but were done without taking into consideration the specific conditions on Grenada, therefore, he needs to know Grenada's position on these. They included:
(a) Technical and economic feasibility for sea-port project;
(b) Fifteen teachers coming to Grenada.

The P.M. pointed out he was glad the Ambassador raised this as he was going to raise a number of things:

(1) more sales of nutmeg and cocoa,
(2) gratuitous supply of 20,000 tons of fertiliser over 5 years (4,000 per year); (Ambassador explained that fertiliser is only product never granted - will still explore the possibility);
(3) Cotton Plant;
(4) Satellite Station;
(5) East Coast Harbour;
(6) L.P.E.
(7) Steel.

The P.M. suggested the Ambassador meet with Jde. Strachan or Jde. Liaison before departing.

The Ambassador pointed that they have received no names from the Ministry of Education for scholarships granted - 20 per year.

The P.M. reminded the Ambassador about a request made to Dr. Dronyks for E3,15 for International Airport; Ambassador promised to raise again.

The P.M. then gave the Ambassador, on request, an overview on the situation of the imperialist threat to Grenada.

The Ambassador thus pointed out that the state section was strengthening; he was concerned about the newspaper not being published for three weeks and about the level of propaganda and ideological activity by the mass media.

He offered to consider assistance to the media and requested an outline of what the problems were and what assistance was required.
The F... told him about the imminent closing down of 35K, and explained that the problem was the Transmitter which could not be repaired and a new one could not be bought. He promised to arrange to have the media get the outline of the problems and assistance need in the Ambassador before leaving.

The Ambassador indicated that Specialist can come to look at the problems of the 35K.

He  . ... asked the Ambassador to express his greetings to
Jade, Andropov, Pochonov, Aronin and the . . . of the . . . and to
indicate his satisfaction on the progress in the development of the
relation and anticipation for even more deepened relations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1985</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricants</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army Type Pick Up</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Jeeps L42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks SA2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE ENGINES</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ambassador indicated that the supply of the vehicles and fire-engines was based on the intergovernmental protocol of 11th July, 1980. The conditions were:

1. 10% within 1 year of date of delivery;
2. 25%, over 10 years from date of delivery in equal half-yearly payments with the first to be made 3 years after date of delivery,
3. 4.5% interest rate.

This, the ambassador said, are the most favourble conditions given to any ally by the Foreign Trade Division of the U.S.....

Talks and agreements can be conducted and signed in Moscow.

Prime Minister promised reply before the ambassador leaves on 1st June, 1985 for vacation in Moscow.

Ambassador informed that General Chief of Staff, Jodar, will like appointment with Jde. Raúl Castro. He reported that 12,500 rounds supplied of 14.5mm shells for SA1 armored carlins delivered to Cuba in January 1985. Another 30,000 will be supplied this year through Havana. 35,000 rounds in spare parts can be delivered in the 3rd quarter of 1985 after letter of credit come from Havana. Soviet trade representative now in Havana, can discuss this. He .... promised to have someone contact him.

Ambassador said agreement reached to exchange 2,000 pairs of boots for larger sizes (40-45); Jodar to follow up with the Cubans who have already been contacted and agreed to principle.
Off Meeting - 23/3/80

Present: B. Kavanagh, Harry Lorne, Sr., Henderson, Keir, De Lacy, Boy, Charles Clarke, Sisley, Colwill, Tara and Mr. Declan Farley.

Tenders:
- Present Michael O'Sullivan
- An application to bring his
- Kate

- Left was a Confession in Threat to the revolution.
- Removal of G. Condon.
- Meath's Céad Mícheál from a minor threat to opposition.
- Return of Rafter in the Army.
- Elected to the position of the staff.
- Condon's position for none former army.
- Condon as a local of Condon.
- National Alliance with Y.1

- The Rose has been left toaced.
- Confrontation is tactically, that last to days for Cuban and Soviet military.

- North/South/Beak - Shubhre.
- Precise Army - former man.
- De Lacy's new front, final Eglinton.
- Redpath, Patrick
- Popularity of the revolution in a new almost ready next to the next.
- Former army at Bonfante, ensure to 90% bony in Easter contest.
- Condon's army at Bonfante, ensure to 90% bony in Easter contest.
MEETING BETWEEN CHIEFS OF GENERAL STAFF OF SOVIET ARMED FORCES AND PEOPLE'S REVOLUTIONARY ARMED FORCES OF GRENADA

DATE: Thursday 10 March 1983 (4.00pm)

Representing the Soviet side were:
1. Marshal of the Soviet Union Ogarkov N.V. - Chief of Staff
2. Colonel General N.A. Zutov - 10th Department
3. LT. General G.A. Borisov - Foreign relations Department
4. Colonel Soloviev - 10th Department
5. Captain M. Globenko - Foreign Relations Department

Present on the Grenada side were:
1. Major Einstein Louison - Chief of Staff
2. Bernard Bourne - Minister-Counsellor

The meeting commenced promptly by Marshal Ogarkov who extended a warm welcome to Major Louison. In doing so, Marshal Ogarkov enquired whether Major Louison was having any problems with his studies and living conditions.

Major Louison expressed thanks for the words of welcome and explained that he had no difficulty with studies and thought that he was making progress because there was no report to the contrary from the professors at the school.

Speaking about the Grenada Revolution Major Louison pointed out that the economy had grown by 5.5% (percent) in 1982 and living standards by 3 percent. He explained that the United States continues with its plans to destabilize the revolution, undermine tourism, linking our international airport with military potential and training of mercenaries in Venezuela. In addition...
to the United States itself. Major Louison then emphasized that the Grenada Revolution got around these problems and still continued to make advances. He also informed Marshal Ogarkov that at the Seventh Non-Aligned Summit Grenada was again elected to the coordinating Bureau of the Movement.

At that moment Marshal Ogarkov said that he was glad for the information on Grenada. About the situation in the world Marshal Ogarkov pointed out that the United States would try now and in the future to make things difficult for progressive changes in all regions and continents. The Marshal said that over two decades ago, there was only Cuba in Latin America, today there are Nicaragua, Grenada and a serious battle is going on in El Salvador. The Marshal of the Soviet Union then stressed that United States imperialism would try to prevent progress but that there were no prospects for imperialism to turn back history.

Moreover, Marshal Ogarkov emphasized that in an aggressive climate the military people have tasks to do. He explained that since Grenada was located close to US imperialism and was not developed militarily the Grenada Revolution would have to be specifically vigilant at all times. Furthermore, the Marshal declared that once the masses have a burning desire for progress the leadership should move ahead decisively and firmly. On that point Marshal Ogarkov assured Major Louison that the plans outlined by Prime Minister Maurice Bishop during his visit to the Soviet Union in 1982 were good and had the support of the Grenadian people.

Further still, the Marshal of the Soviet Union reminded Major Louison that the Soviet Union would contribute to raising the combat readiness and preparedness of the Armed Forces of Grenada.
He informed the Grenada Chief of Staff that according to the agreement signed in July 1982, one-third of the means for 1983 were already supplied and the rest would be delivered during this year.

In response Major Louison expressed his gratitude for the supplies sent to Grenada and mentioned that he was confident that more deliveries would be sent to Grenada in the future.

However, Major Louison explained that he wished to introduce another matter for discussion which was not included in the protocol. The Chief of Staff of Grenada explained that he was referring to the text of a letter from Prime Minister Bishop addressed to Prime Minister Tikhonov dated 28th July 1982. Major Louison said that in the letter, Grenada was requesting additional assistance in: food, fuel, spare parts, transportation, engineering kits, uniforms and others. He disclosed that the greatest part of the budget was used for food and fuel and that spare parts were also of serious concern because many vehicles were grounded since the basic spare parts were unavailable.

Marshal Ogarkov replied rather jokingly that students should be concerned with studies, but that Major Louison who would graduate on 10th May was also concerned about the problems of his soldiers. Nevertheless, the Marshal indicated that he was aware of such requests, but hastened to assure Major Louison that all items contained in the protocol would be delivered. Marshal Ogarkov further assured Major Louison that the requests contained in the letter to P.M. Tikhonov were presently under consideration and that even though they were handled by GKS and Ministry of Foreign Trade, the Ministry of Defence would exercise some control on the solution. He said, with confidence, that his Ministry would participate in the settlement of these requests.
Further still, the Marshal disclosed that there was a possibility that some of the main questions would be solved and as soon as a decision was taken, the Ministry of Defence through Col-General Zutov would inform the Embassy.

Additionally, referring to the question of deputation of Soviet specialists to Grenada to conduct studies related to the construction of military projects the Marshal informed the Grenadian side that the team of specialists would be sent in one month's time and that they await an indication from Grenada confirming readiness to accommodate the specialists.

Finally, towards the end of the meeting the Marshal of the Soviet Union and Chief of Staff of the Soviet Armed Forces proposed a toast 'from the bottom of our hearts'- in his words- to Major Louison on the eve of the 10th Anniversary of the New JEWEL Movement and the Fourth Anniversary of the Grenada Revolution.

In his turn, Major Louison expressed thanks and appreciation to the Marshal for kind words about the Grenada Revolution; Major Louison also expressed thanks for the assistance to the Armed Forces of Grenada and then raised a toast towards the strengthening of relations between the two countries, parties, people's, and their armed forces.

Marshal Ogarkov was thankful for the warm meeting and reinforced both toasts by raising a final toast for the growth and further strengthening of the relations between the Soviet Armed Forces and the People's Revolutionary Armed Forces of Grenada.

In conclusion, it should be pointed out that the entire meeting was conducted in an atmosphere of warmth, friendliness, simplicity and unpretentiousness.
The meeting ended with warm embraces.

Bernard Bourne
Minister-Counsellor.
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11th July 1987
Grenada's relations with the USSR

Our relations with the USSR are influenced by a number of interconnecting factors. Among the more important are:
1. Perceived ideological direction of the NDM Party and the USN.
2. The management of state affairs.
3. The development of state to state relations.
4. Grenada's role in the world (region).
5. Our relationship with other members of the socialist community.
6. Relationship between the NDM and the CUSU.
7. Our activity in international organizations.

Perceived ideological direction - NDM

Grenada is regarded as being on the path of socialist orientation. There is a general acceptance among Soviet authorities that we are at the national democratic, anti-imperialist stage of socialist orientation. The USSR assigns a special place to these types of countries in its foreign policy. This is, of course, also the case with other socialist countries. In terms of their priorities, the countries of socialist orientation come right after the socialist community. Therefore, whatever, the internal debate, it is important that we continue to maintain our public assessment of our stage of development as the national democratic, anti-imperialist stage of socialist orientation. After all the PM himself made that assessment during his visit to Moscow. This has recently been reinforced by the Foreign Minister during his visit to Vietnam, Kampuchea and Laos. So it seems to be absolutely necessary that we maintain this line. This is made all the more important by the very high priority that is placed on consistency of analysis here.

- NDM

The Comrades responsible for Grenada in the International Section, have told me that they operate on the basis that the NDM is a "communist party" given the relatively low level of these comrades (Nichols etc.) One is not so sure about the authoritativeness of this statement though. I doubt that they would make a statement like that without the necessary authority. In any event, my clear impression is that we are being treated as a fraternal party -- i.e. a "L" Party. My impression too, is that the CPSU delegation that visited in March formed a positive impression of the work of the NDM and have communicated that impression. The CPSU is in a position to know almost everything about the NDM -- its size, programme, objectives, orientation etc. and they cannot fail to recognize and accept the authenticity of our credentials. The problem of protocol -- the proper level at which our leaders should be met etc. remains. This could perhaps be explained on two levels: 1. They sometimes adopt an over-protective attitude towards us and argue that if we meet at too high a level the USA would use this as an excuse to further squeeze Grenada. (This is one of the explanations floated as to why the PM did not meet with Andropov in April). Although we are regarded as a fraternal party we are not in the "inner group" as members of the socialist community -- their highest party officials are reserved for these kinds of encounters. Their answer as to why Nicaragua is treated differently -- and at a higher level -- would presumably be that Nicaragua is already under voluntary US attack and it is necessary for them to openly show solidarity. They would like Grenada to avoid that direct attack. The core of the matter however, is that they regard Grenada as a small distant country and they are only prepared to make commitments to the extent of their capacity to fulfill, and if necessary, defend their commitment. (I recall on one occasion explaining the situation in St. Vincent to the Party comrades. Their response was that this is all very interesting but St. Vincent is so far away!!)
Recommendations

1. The Soviets have a correct perception of our ideological line both at the Government and Party levels. We should continue along these lines.

2. The problem of "protocol" has to be solved but it must be handled gently. At the diplomatic level, we could keep on insisting that counterparts meet. To the extent that this is not achieved, the principals would mention it in passing - not a substantive point, just in passing. We must not fail to mention the matter to their Ambassador at social activities. Gestures are also important - cutting conversations short with junior people etc. Reciprocity is also important. De Members should not be easily available to low-ranking Soviet officials on visits. As a rule, the PA in particular, and I would also say the Deputy PA should only entertain courtesy calls not exceeding 15 to 30 minutes.

The management of state affairs

The Soviets have been burnt quite often in the past by giving support to Governments which have either squandered that support, or turned around and become agents of imperialism, or lost power. One is reminded of Egypt, Somalia, Ghana and Peru. They are therefore very careful, and for us sometimes maddeningly slow, in making up their minds about who to support. They have decided to support us for two main reasons. 1. Cuba has strongly championed our cause. 2. They are genuinely impressed with our management of the economy and state affairs in general. They are impressed with our commitment to planning, the absence of corruption, the ethic of hard work among the leadership, the ability of the leadership to spread this ethic among the population, the willingness to sacrifice. They are also impressed with the policy on the communication media, the close links with the masses, the practice of popular democracy and the implementation of the policy of democratic centralism as a guiding principle and not a dogma. Also of importance is the stability of our leadership.

Recommendation

The Soviets are very impressed with our management of state power. We should continue along this line with a continuing emphasis on the "step by step" approach.

Development of State to State Relations

The principal item here is the implementation of the Agreements signed in July 1982. In the area of Trade and Collaboration - this is generally being implemented in accordance with the agreements. Some collaboration agreements however, have been delayed as a result of procrastination on our part. In particular, the satellite dish agreement has not yet been signed by our side. As far as the Soviets are concerned, this is the centerpiece of our July agreements, the only negotiable items in it are how we house and transport the technicians, and how we pay them in local currency. The other items are fixed. The credit for this project cannot be utilized for any other project. We really need to get on with this matter. The same holds true for the Fifteen Teachers' project. In any event, the reasons for not signing these agreements promptly could be routinely communicated to the Embassy. We are not infrequently faced with the question as to what is the state of affairs on this or that project and we are placed in the very embarrassing position of not being able to answer. Meanwhile, our Soviet colleagues who ask these questions often know the answer because their Embassy in Grenada has informed them. But even with these shortcomings, I would say that we are OK in this area of trade and collaboration.

State to state relations are also fundamentally influenced by the development of relations in other fields as well. We have a good record of collaboration at the military and trade union levels. These are positive factors in the development of our state to state relations. A lot more could be done at the NGO and Peace levels. It is important always to remember that plans for visits and interchanges should be made at least one year in advance. The NYU is developing satisfactorily.

One of the most difficult areas is at the University and Technical education levels. As in all the above, the Soviets assess the level of state to state relations, among other things, by the extent to which we are willing to share our experiences with them, and learn from their experiences. When trade unionists, youth, women and pioneers come to study in the USSR, we are in effect signaling...
that we recognize that we can learn from their experiences and thereby sending the correct ideological message as well. The same holds true for our University and technical students. The presence of ten of our top planners has made a very favourable impact on the development of our state to state relations. The same is not true in the area of the University and Technical education. To date we have been offered 80 (eighty) University or Technical scholarships - 20 in 1981, 20 in 1982 and 40 in 1983. We have accepted eighteen (18) of which two of our students have given up the course. Of the remaining sixteen (16) at least eight (8) do not have the minimum requirements for entry to the level of education they are expected to receive upon leaving Grenada. This, it seems to me, is requesting more than we know that we can absorb. It is much better to ask for five scholarships and fill four than to ask for 40 and send only four people. This gives the impression, false as it may be, that our students prefer not to study in the USSR. It introduces a certain question mark and works in a negative way on state to state relations. Of course, it need hardly be mentioned that the behaviour of our students can also have an effect on state to state relations, and we must therefore be careful to select highly motivated students.

The establishment of the Grenada-Soviet Friendship society has a positive effect on our relations. It is now critical that this organization function. State to state relations are very seriously affected by the use that is made of the aid and assistance rendered to Grenada at the material and technical levels. In the case of technicians, it is important that proper provisions be made for their stay in Grenada and they be fully utilized for the entire time of their stay in Grenada. In general we have received very good feedback on this aspect and the technicians are full of praise for the enthusiasm and commitment of their Grenadian hosts.

In the case of the use of materials, the feedback has also been generally good. But there have evidently been some lapses, and we must always take care to ensure that we order the correct item with full information and specifications for everything associated with its use. The case of the first set of tractors which arrived without the necessary attachments simply because the Soviets did not know what “attachments” we were talking about: the case of water-pumps going unused because of no hose; and the case of military vehicles without spare-parts in part because of poor specifications on our part - all contribute to a negative impression. There seems to have been some slip-up also in relation to the AIM-2. The information needed for certification should certainly have been requested before the completion of the assembly of the plane. The Soviets have serious concerns about the operation and maintenance of the AIM-2. We have assured them that we will have a suitable stand-by agreement with the Cubans on this one, but it is urgent that we select and despatch as soon as possible ten (10) people - at least - to be trained for the operation and maintenance of this aircraft.

An important aspect of the development of state to state relations is the operation and functioning of the Embassy. All countries use the quality and quantity of personnel assigned to diplomatic staff to particular Embassies as an indication of the nature of a country's priorities in domestic and international affairs. The Soviet Union is no exception in this regard. Their own Embassy in Grenada is not only an indication of their resource base, but equally a reflection of the fact that they have considerable interest in the development of relations with Grenada.

I have on a previous occasion pointed out that for any Embassy to operate efficiently, the following officers are absolutely essential - Ambassador, Deputy (at the level of First Secretary or above) Secretary to the Ambassador, Accountant. This is emphasized even more in the case of the Embassy in Moscow by the fact that the Ambassador is accredited to nine other countries - US, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Korea, Somalia, Mongolia, Iceland, Yugoslavia and Afghanistan - ten in fact - and at least the first five plus USSR are countries with which we have some serious relations. This means that the job requires a lot of travel. Both inside and outside of the USSR, I would say that about 60% of the Ambassador’s work is representation. This responsibility requires two essential elements 1. Information from Grenada 2. Sophisticated analyses of the information available from each country. The first one is lacking but I am confident that a political decision can correct this situation. The second requires a person of high cultural level with some formal training and/or experience in international.
relations. Equally, we need someone with the appropriate training to undertake the task associated with the maintenance and development of our trading relations with the socialist community. Because of the structure of the diplomatic corps in the USSR both of these people need to be at the level of 1st. Secretary or above. We have a mountain of experience in the proper selection of these people. The fact is that a trained person can absorb and apply the experience to which he is exposed in a creative way. An untrained person can be little more than a messenger, and length of service becomes a repetitive experience. It is important too, to recognize that particularly in the socialist community, training is highly valued and contributes to the development of the kind of prestige necessary to achieve our objectives.

All members of our leadership with whom I have raised this matter of staff feel that we need additional staff at the Embassy in Moscow. This matter should be given the most urgent attention. Inadequate staff prevents this Embassy from developing state to state relations to the level necessary to preserve and advance our interests.

I mentioned earlier about the representational role of the Ambassador and the need for information for this task to be properly performed. I wish to draw on the example of the PN's recent mission to the USA. I was in the GDR at the time of the mission and I happened to hear on VOA a report of the PN's mission just before a meeting with the Foreign Ministry. The PN's mission turned out to be the main item on the agenda. I was able to handle the issue because as part of the information package on the March mobilization, the PN (Foreign Affairs) had sent an up-to-date package on our relations with the USA. When I returned to Moscow, the issue was raised by Kazimirov - Director of the First Latin American Department - who handles Grenada's affairs in the Foreign Ministry. Basically, he wanted to know what was the nature of the meeting with Clark. I told him that thus far the results are confidential. He said to me in the unusual light vein of types of conversations that if he were friends with the American Ambassador he could get the information from him!! The basic point that he successfully got over to me is that in the circumstances of our relations with the USSR and their and our relations with the USA, it would have been courteous to inform them of the intention to visit. I agree with that. The contents of the discussion with Clark is another matter since, among other things, I am certain that the USA could not break our code if they wanted to. Kazimirov told me that he first read of the visit in the newspapers and that he first heard that the PM had a meeting with Clark when the Canadian Ambassador, who was on a visit to his office, mentioned it to him. I feel sure that either his Ambassador in Grenada or USA would have informed him of this but the basic point that he is making is that he would have expected the information to come from me.

The other piece of information which is really crucial for our state to state relations deals with the IMF loan that I understand that we are negotiating or have signed. Now, when the PM raised the possibility of a US$6 million with Gromyko in April he made the point that we could get the money nowhere else. I have been monitoring the situation here and the latest thing that they told me is that we may get a reply by mid-July. I assume that the IMF loan has nothing to do with the airport project and therefore we are still going ahead with the US$6 million request which is strictly for the airport. But this is only an educated guess on my part, I have no hard information, and it would have been appropriate to let the Soviets know through our Embassy here that we were about to apply for the loan. Of course, as I understand it, they have no problem with us going to the IMF. But the communication of this kind of information adds to a stable, reliable friendly state to state relations atmosphere. And equally important, it develops an expectation of respect - they will give us information in the future.

Recommendations
1. We should make every effort to implement all collaboration and personnel-exchange agreements. In the case of personnel exchange, when it is not possible for the Grenada party to fulfill the agreement, the Embassy must be promptly informed. No individual should arrive in the USSR without previously informing the Embassy.
2. Both the Embassy and an appropriate Unit in the Ministry of Trade should monitor the implementation of trade agreements on a monthly basis and exchange this information. The Embassy and the Ministry of Planning should do the same.
with other collaboration agreements. (I have in the past received such a monitoring report from the Macro-Planning Unit. But only on one occasion.)

3. We should as a matter of principle never request materials and opportunities that we are not in a position to utilize within a defined period.

4. A political decision should be immediately communicated to the International Department of the Party or the FS (Foreign Affairs) to keep the Embassy informed on all important issues.

5. Urgent steps be taken to recruit three new members of the diplomatic staff for the USSR Embassy with the appropriate qualifications.

Grenada’s role in the world (region)

By itself, Grenada’s distance from the USSR, and its small size, would mean that we would figure in a very minute way in the USSR’s global relationships. Our revolution has to be viewed as a world-wide process with its original roots in the Great October Revolution. For Grenada to assume a position of increasingly greater importance, we have to be seen as influencing at least regional events. We have to establish ourselves as the authority on events in at least the English-speaking Caribbean, and be the sponsor of revolutionary activity and progressive developments in this region at least. At the same time, we have to develop and maintain normal state to state relations with our neighbours and concretely operationalise our good-website policy. The twice per year meetings with the progressive and revolutionary parties in the region is therefore critical to the development of closer relations with the USSR. In order to keep both the Embassy and the Soviets informed of the outcome of such meetings, perhaps a good model would be for a member of the GG to pay a visit to the USSR after each such meeting. The mission of such a person could without difficulty be mixed with other activities. We must ensure though that we become the principal point of access to the USSR for all these groups even to the point of having our Embassy serve as their representative while in the USSR.

Equally important in our relationship with those neighbours who the Soviets regard as our potential adversaries. We have not been making a big deal of the Regional Defence Force but the Soviets never fail to mention that to their mind this is one of the most serious future dangers that we face. It is perhaps possible to use the GG on some kind of good-will mission to the other islands as a preliminary to the signing of some type of treaty of Friendship and Co-operation with them. It seems to me too, that we need to maintain a high diplomatic profile in these islands.

Of all the regional possibilities, the most likely candidate for special attention is Surinam. If we can be an overwhelming influence on Surinam’s international behaviour, then our importance in the Soviet Scheme of things will be greatly enhanced. To the extent that we can take credit for bringing any other country into the progressive fold, our prestige and influence would be greatly enhanced. Another candidate is Belize. I think that we need to do some more work in that country.

Recommendations

1. Establish a system of informing the Soviets of the outcome of the meetings between NJM and the progressive parties in the region.

2. Maintain these party to party meetings.

3. Examine the possibility of concluding formal treaties of Friendship and cooperation with our neighbours.

4. Explore ways and means of influencing the international relations (voting at UN etc) of Surinam and Belize.

Our relationship with other members of the socialist community

It is well to remember that there is a constant and very detailed consultative process that takes place between members of the socialist community. For example, on my recent mission to the GDR (June 1983) they made it very clear to me that they had been briefed on the Party’s discussions with Uromyko, and this is to be expected. As a result, our performance in various aspects of our relations with members of the socialist community directly affects our relations with the USSR — not to mention the fact that it directly determines the relationship between Grenada and the country involved.

There have been some positive points that have promoted our image throughout...
socialist community. Among the most recent are: 1. The excellent to very good performance of our students who went to the CFNU Party school — this has become generally known. 2. The excellent contribution made by comrades DaRiggs and the generally good impression made by the Grenada delegation at the Berlin Conference on Karl Marx — this is a constant cause of congratulations in various countries. (Incidentally, as a further indication of the communication problem, it is worth noting that I have not yet received a copy of this presentation which I am sure will serve as a good guide of policy.) 3. The fact that I have been presenting credentials and participating in the important occasions of the states involved. But there have also been negative factors. I think chief among them is the very slow process of implementing the agreements signed between Grenada and Bulgaria and GDR. This is very bad for our relations with the countries involved as well as for the USSR because they not only look at the process of implementation of our bi-lateral agreements with them, but also with the rest of the community.

In particular, the GDR has floated the view with me that we appear to want to rewrite a solemn agreement agreed to by their President and our PM. (This refers principally to the method of paying for the bananas.) This, to them, is entirely inconceivable. My own view is that once the agreement is signed at that level, there is no going back and even if it is disadvantageous to us we just have to implement it. It is unbecoming to be seen as wanting to revise an agreement arrived at by the two Heads of Government. I have no evidence that this view has been communicated to other members of the community, but I would be very surprised if it has not. In any event, I am not impressed with the suggestion that there is any such generalized view within the community and it is of course in our interest to ensure that we retain the reputation as honest brokers who keep their word regardless. It is worth noting also that any effort to revise an agreement signed by the two Heads of Government below the level of Heads of Government will be next to impossible and could only serve to undermine the prestige and authority of the office of the Prime Minister.

For the more positive, here is what happened:

As far as the relations with the other socialist countries are concerned, it is useful to have our people visit them as frequently as possible as to have state officials visit us as frequently as possible. I think that officials who travel to Eastern Europe should as a matter of policy include on their itinerary, at least one other socialist country in a planned and rational way so that we get to touch as many bases as possible. If we are informed of such visits at least ten days in advance, we can get the host country, I am sure, to stand most of the costs. The benefits to us will be very great. As a rule, our officials have made a very positive impression on their hosts.

Recommendations

1. Continue high profile, well prepared participation in important events of the socialist community eg Karl Marx Conference and World Peace Council
2. Implement agreements between Grenada and other members of the socialist community promptly and faithfully.
3. Encourage officials to visit at least two countries in Eastern Europe during official missions to this part of the world.

Party to Party Relations

In the socialist community, it has emerged that there is a very close inter-relationship between party to party and state to state relations. Many of the things that the Party was able to arrange in Cuba (eg airline tickets and special considerations of several kinds) are passed on to the state here. Further, as we discovered during the PM's mission and after, there is such an intimate linkage between the party and state at all levels, and notably at the highest levels that the distinctions that we have been inclined to make are not applicable in the socialist community.

One of the direct consequences of this is that the perception of party to party relations is fundamentally influenced by the nature of state to state relations and it is important to constantly keep this in mind.

Of course the implementation of the party to party agreements is paramount. By and large, we have implemented these agreements faithfully. Our first batch of party school students, although they were some two years late, have made a very good impression. Our implementation of the rest and recreation agreements have
been good for our relations. But there are a number of negative points. I think the most telling is the fact that Comrade Stanchov undertook during his mission here in February to send a team of party members to the USSR for a couple of weeks to experience the Soviet experience. As is known, these people were not sent. This did some damage which could have been minimized if we had received some instructions to explain to the CPSU comrades that this undertaking was not possible at the moment. As it is, they cancelled it when the time ran out. Another example, has to do with the public address system. We said that we needed it urgently for March 13th, 1983. They rushed it down by air to Cuba at the end of January, as promised, and the P.A. system stayed in Cuba for a very long time. I am not even sure if it has arrived as yet. They have brought this matter to our attention a number of occasions, we have sent messages to Cuba and Grenada to try and co-ordinate our excuses by we have received no response.

We have to insist too that they stick to their agreements. For example, they agreed with Comrade Stanchov to send down to Grenada "a high level delegation" - in March 1983 - you know of course, the very low level that was sent. They also agreed to send two people for rest and recreation in 1983, and we must make sure, that they stick by their agreement. They have also fallen down in relation to their understandings to supply books, magazines, newspapers, films and projection equipment for the political education programme. We have to stick to their word. I can raise it here at the diplomatic level but it will be twice as effective when it is repeated at the party political level.

The problem of what might be called counterpart protocol remains. That is, we have never been able to meet with our strict counterparts at the party level when we have our leaders here. On 27th June, I had a very frank and friendly discussion with Boyko Dimitrov - the former Bulgarian ambassador to Grenada who is now Director of International Relations in the Party. He told me that even Bulgaria sometimes faces this problem and that Grenada has to face the reality that it is a question of size, distance and priority. I think that he is correct. But we then have to deal with these realities. In order to elevate our priority in the socialist scheme of things, the recommendations contained in the section dealing with our role in the world (region) becomes all the more relevant. In addition, we have to raise and discuss with the highest authorities, global and regional issues rather than parochial or national issues. In other words, our legitimate begging operations have to be cast in the larger world context. We have in fact done this in the past quite successfully, linking our national requests to a global analysis. What we used to do was, it seems to me, to blame the speaker on a broader constituency - perhaps the country's socialist orientation. It is in this context that I have prepared the attached paper dealing with a task of last resort sponsored by the socialist community in countries of socialist orientation. This will give us an opportunity to discuss the highest issues of policy both with the countries of socialist orientation and with the socialist community, lift our profile, and lighten our priority. Here we can clearly see the close link between party to party and state to state relations.

All that is said about the USSR holds true for the other party as well. We have a very sympathetic hearing in Bulgaria with Boyko Dimitrov and we should perhaps draw closer to the Bulgarian party. In the USSR, we also have made a good impression and there is great sympathy there for the GNP. Four comrades will be coming for rest and recreation in 1983. I doubt that we will encounter the protocol problems that we have found in the USSR. But we must at all cost continue to regard relations with the USSR as the highest priority.

Recommendations
1. Strictly implement all agreements and insist on implementation on the other side as well.
2. Send prompt explanations when it is not possible to implement an agreement.
3. Seek to become spokesman for the countries of socialist orientation.
4. Develop even stronger relations with the Bulgarian and GNP parties by sending delegations etc.
International activity

From the point of view of our relations with the USSR, our international activity is important from the following perspectives:

1. The consistency of our political line.
2. The influence of Grenada in the international community.
3. The degree of support offered to the positions taken by the USSR.
4. Missions in various countries (Embassies).

It is very difficult for me to assess their view of our performance in the UN, its agencies and the OAS because, we receive only the minimum of information on our voting and performance etc. But during the period of the threats etc. in March 1983, they advised us to play a more active role in the UN especially at the Security Council and spoke approvingly of Nicaragua's performance at the Security Council. But I suggest that we need a bigger staff at the UN to do the kind of job that would impress internationally. The same probably holds true for the OAS.

They have however, primarily praised our role in the Zone of Peace resolution and activity.

At the non-aligned movement, they have a high view of our role. You will recall that before the New Delhi Conference they gave me a detailed briefing on their positions and when Comrade Whitman visited afterwards they expressed admiration for our performance. I think however, that we must insist that we form the inner circle of the advisors to Mrs. Gandhi in line with our leading role in New Delhi, especially in regard to the New International Economic Order and the Small States Conference. We have an excellent case - especially since Guyana did not participate when they were invited to in May 1983 in New Delhi. The Guyana Ambassador here tells me that the Foreign Minister decided that the Heads of Mission meeting then taking place in Guyana was more important.

As far as our embassies are concerned, the most important thing is that they carry the same line on all matters - economic and political, internal and external. To do this successfully, we need to have a common fund of information. Obviously, each mission would have its area of specialty and would have more information on that area than any other Embassy. But a regular series of directives, instructions and information from the Party or the Foreign Ministry would ensure that a common line is maintained throughout.

As far as the role of the mission in the USSR is concerned, the reality of size came to me very early in the game. It therefore became necessary to establish one's influence in the corps by making strategic associations and alliances. Latin America - our natural constituency - lacks cohesion and leadership. The Dean of the Latin American group - Venezuela is lazy and uninterested in leadership. The Cuban Ambassador is not very outgoing. So I have had to maintain individual relationships especially with Cuba, Nicaragua and Mexico. Ecuador is also a good man. The African group is much more cohesive and influential in Moscow. They have also been very welcoming. By informal inclusion in the group has raised Grenada's profile and influence in the corps as a whole.

The socialist countries to which I am accredited are a minimal area of interest. Of these, Bulgaria is the Dean and has little time for socio-political interaction. Checoslovakia, GDR and Hungary have been particularly warm. We have it as a high priority to establish and maintain the closest personal and official relations with these countries. And although the Korean Ambassador for example, is regarded as a recluse, I have had him over to dinner and he has reciprocated, as is the case with many of the others.

On the whole, I have formed the view that the USSR is satisfied with the degree of support that they receive from Grenada. Indeed, I would say that they have every reason to be satisfied especially if our vote on Afghanistan for example, is recognized as one of two Latin American votes (the other being Cuba) in their favour. Considering the risks that we have taken on this and other matters, it might be fair to say that their support for us is actually below our support for them. We must therefore work to establish a balance of interests. This might best be done by gentle reminders at critical stages by members of our leadership. We might also seek to develop our links with what has been called the "middle" countries.
like Yugoslavia and Greece for example and strengthen our links with "off centre" countries like Korea, Romania, and Hungary. But these calculations have to be done very carefully and in a very sophisticated way. We have to think them out very carefully.

**Recommendations**

1. Continue our international support for the Soviet line.
2. Strengthen our political efforts in the UN and OAS and their agencies.
3. Seek a more critical role in the non-aligned movement.
4. Examine the desirability, ways and means of developing relations with the "middle" and "off centre" countries.
5. Provide all missions with a regular common fund of knowledge so that there can be a guarantee that a common line is being pursued on all matters.
TO: Commander Andropov  
Chairman of the Committee of State Security  
Member of Politburo

FROM: General of the Army Hudson Austin

Dear Comrade,

Warmest revolutionary greetings to you, the Communist Party of Soviet Union and all Soviet people, from the Political Bureau of the New Jewel Movement, Government, Armed Forces and all the Grenadian people.

Let me first of all extend our deepest sympathies to your Party and people on the passing away of comrade Suslov, a true Bolshevik and hero of revolutionary people worldwide.

Secondly, I write this letter in the form of a request for assistance in the strengthening of our Ministry of Interior. This request stems from discussions held between Comrade Vladimir Klimentov, then attached to the Soviet Embassy in Jamaica, during the course of these discussions.

Comrade Maurice Bishop, Chairman of the Central Committee of our Party the New Jewel Movement, Prime Minister and Minister of Defence and Interior of the People's Revolutionary Government, and Comrade Liam James, Member of the Central Committee of our Party and Head of the Ministry of Interior and myself.
Request the following:

Training courses for four (4) comrades:

a) Basic course in Counter Intelligence for the period of one (1) year - three (3) comrades.

b) Basic course in Intelligence for a period of one (1) year - one (1) comrade.

We thank you once again for the tremendous assistance which our Armed Forces have received from your Party and Government in the past. We recognise the tremendous internationalist obligations of your people, yet we sincerely hope that these courses will be made available to our comrades in 1982, given the pressing needs in our Ministry and the continuing threat being posed to the Grenada Revolution by United States Imperialism.

I close by once again extending our greatest warmth and embrace to you and your Party - Sons and Daughters of the heroic Lenin. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Fraternally,

................................................

General Hudson Austin
Member of the Political Bureau of NJM
Secretary of Defence and Interior.
REPORT OF PARTY COLLECTIVE OF 6 MONTHS COURSE IN MOSCOW NOV-MAY, 1982

The course was divided into two aspects, theoretical and practical. The theory included the following subjects:

1) Social Psychology and propaganda.
2) Philosophy.
3) Theory and tactics of the International Working Class Movement.
4) Political Economy.
5) The Historical Experience of the CPSU.
7) General Lectures which covered a wide range of topics.

The practical aspect involved being assigned:

1) To a textile factory - The October Factory, from which we gained real work experience in the days of Subbotnik - i.e. voluntary work days; one on Dec 18th, 1982 in honour of the 60th Anniversary of the formation of the USSR; and the other on April 16th, 1983, in honour of Lenin's 113th Birthday. We created 66 Rubles in the first Subbotnik and received a Certificate for that service. For the second day we were not informed about the Rubles created. At the factory we were given an explanation on how cost accounting is implemented in the factory.

2) Visiting places of historic importance e.g. museums and monuments, exhibitions etc. This was done mostly on afternoons and Sundays.

3) Visit to three places outside of Moscow - Leningrad in the Russian Federation; Kiev in the Ukraine and Volgograd in the Russian Federation. In each place one week was spent. The whole Collective visited Leningrad and Kiev but only two were invited to Volgograd. Anelom Ins B葫ng and Fabian Otraman were chosen by our Collective to go to Volgograd.
The course also involved Symposia and Theoretical Conferences.

Our Collective took part in the Latin American Symposium at which we were given a special chance to present seven papers in five days. Others’ Collectives had a maximum of five (5) papers, however, none of the countries presented their five papers. The following persons presented our papers:

- Rita, Spooner, Glen, Yabian, Samuel, Superville and Derrick.

We were also invited by the chair of theory and tactics to speak on behalf of Latin America at the African Symposium. Ian Lambert presented that paper.

- For the Theoretical Conference which was to mark the 165th Birthday of Karl Marx, Ian Lambert presented a paper on the Social psychology and propaganda section, and Anselm De Bourg on the Theory and tactics section.
- All the presentations made are available for reference.

During the course students were given a chance to meet and have discussions with important persons from parties of foreign countries including General Secretaries of Communist & Workers parties.

The various parties present at the Institute also celebrated their party’s day with an exhibition of what’s happening in their various countries. That was very informative and we learnt a great deal from them. Few Collectives also held celebration meetings which we attended.

There were also other extra curricula activities which included cultural activities and sports. Our Collective took part in them and did well. The activities included carnival, festival of political songs etc. As sports like athletics and football. The sports report will give the details.
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On our own we celebrated and commemorated our historic days.

(1) March 11th & 13th.
(2) Bloody Sunday Nov 16th.
(3) Independence Day Feb 7th.
(4) Harold Strachan 27th Dec.
(5) Jeremiah Richardson April 1st.
(6) Lenin Birthday 22nd April.
(7) A round table solidarity conference of Latin American countries at the time of the threat of invasion of Grenada.

Items (1), (2) and (7) were public events whereas the others were internal either with our own Collective only or with the party branch in Moscow.

For March 11th & 13th the 10th Anniversary of the KJP and the 4th anniversary of the Revolution, this was organised by our March 13th Cttee. headed by Cde. R. Spooner. The celebration involved three aspects:

(1) Political aspect / Formal Meeting.
(2) Exhibition / propaganda.
(3) Redemption.

The formal meeting was chaired by Ronnie Spooner. The Deputy Rector gave a speech on behalf of the Institute. Cde. Ambassador Richard Jacobs was the main speaker. The El Salvador Collective gave a solidarity message on behalf of the students of the Institute and Mita Joseph closing, made the speech of the Session on behalf of the Grenada Collective. It was well received by all present – Students, Staff and Officials.

The exhibition which turned out to be very impressive was prepared by all members of the Collective. In fact the Studies department requested the materials for resource as well as to use as an example for other collectives doing exhibitions.
The reception was also very well organised and well-attended. It gave us and our Cde. Ambassador a chance to tell more about the process in Grenada as well as learn about other countries. Our Senior Professor remarked to us that it was the best they had in ten years.

Because of the threat of invasion of our homeland Grenada, our Co-Collective undertook the task of alerting the staff and students of our situation and therefore organised with the help of the Social Psychology & Propaganda department, a round table solidarity conference with Latin America. Taking part were Grenada, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, giving our countries position at that time and representatives from Canada and Argentina expressed solidarity. That took place as a T.V. programme, which was viewed by students of the Institute.

Bleddy Sunday was consecrated at which we invited representatives of all collectives present at our section of the Institute and the Rector of the branch.

Our relations with other collectives developed throughout the course. We held formal bilaterals with Nicaragua, El Salvador and Guatemala, Tanzania and other informal sessions with Greece, Zambia, Portugal. Other social sessions were also held with Tanzania, Zambia, Nicaragua etc. Our collective half send off get togethers for Tanzania, Zambia, Chile and Nicaragua. A informal send off was also held for our department by Guyana, Jamaica, Canada, South Africa among others.

Bilaterals were requested by East Berlin and Ethiopia which we did not fulfill because of our impromptu notice to leave Moscow.

The Collective internal structure was developed as the necessity arose.
First the Leadership of four as appointed by the OC. - Rita Joseph, Anselm De Pourg, Gordon Raeburn and Ronnie Spooner, and later as-

- The Secretary - Derrick James
- Education / Study cttee - Chaired by Ian Lambert
- Propaganda cttee - Fabian Oram
- Cultural cttee - Ian Lambert
- Emulation cttee - Ronnie Spooner
- Sports personnel - Derrick James
- District - Patrick Superville
- Financial Secretary - Samuel **...haite**
- March 13th cttee - Ronnie Spooner
- A different Cttee for each important day that was celebrated.

Meetings were held regularly on Sundays from 8-10 p.m. The agenda was typed and always included criticism & self-criticism and the singing of the International. The various cttees reported on a regular basis.

The discipline of the Collective grew as the course went on. Our Social psychology course was particularly helpful to us in learning how to deal with the problems which turned up. However, there were few exceptions. As reported earlier, Patrick Superville found problems in adjusting to the demands of being a member of such a Collective. He reported that he lost confidence in the Leadership and throughout the whole course the Leadership and the collective had to struggle with him in an effort to help him to adjust and to understand what is required of him. Incidents kept repeating themselves until the matter was referred to the party branch leadership in Moscow.

After constant struggle with the Comrade by the branch leadership he admitted that he acted incorrectly, that he had repeated his mistake and that he pledged to comply with the norms of party life. He promised to do a report to be presented to Cdo. Bourne concerning his behaviour.
All other disciplinary matters were dealt with by the collective with satisfactory results. It should be noted however, that the collective had to struggle with Cds. William St. Louis and Roy Cooper, but they responded better to criticism. However it must be noted that when the collective experienced some unexpected difficulties in Cuba en our way home. Cds. William St. Louis displayed shockingly ill disciplined behaviour. After serious criticism by the entire collective present, he accepted his criticsisms and pledged never to behave that way again. Cds. Roy Cooper also displayed unacceptable behaviour. He too was criticised. He however insisted that he would not change his position that "the living conditions were worst than in prison" but that he would tolerate it until he left Cuba.

Criticism and self criticism was a norm in the development of the collective and every member of the collective was criticised at some point or another. This exercise certainly helped our collective to emerge successfully.

The collective was faced with problems which included:

(1) Late arrival for the course which partly caused us to have to do a six months course instead of 10 wks. The 16 months course offers International relations normally and Economic Management if requested also other topics and were indepth study of the regular subjects.

Late arrival also caused our collective to be deprived of the photography and driving courses.

(2) The low cultural level of four of our Comrades. To a greater extent Mr. Fred Burris, William St. Louis and Roy Cooper and a lesser extent Gordon Raeburn. This of course caused them some frustration and low level of achievements.
(3) Inadequate means of communication re: propaganda - newspapers etc. Despite repeated efforts of our propaganda clique.

(4) Cde. Burris was sick throughout the course and had to be hospitalized on two occasions. Also Cde. Andre Jr. Queen was hospitalized for almost three months March 5th to May 25th. These two Comrades did not do the final exams. They were still both ill at the time.

Other Comrades William St. Louis, Rita Joseph and Alinez De Bourg were also hospitalized but it did not affect their study greatly.

Overall one can say that the strength of the Collective lies in its high level of co-operation and collective spirit coupled with its level of organisation and serious sense of purpose of the collective. Despite limitations of some members all comrades took the course seriously. For example Cde. Roy Cooper stuck to his books tenaciously.

The various committees were very helpful in bringing up the collective; in particular the study and emulation committees.

However the collective experienced some weaknesses. The leadership sometimes "dropped its feet in dealing with certain issues", e.g. ill discipline; doing bilaterals with each member of the collective to discover personal problems. This was requested by the Collective.

The NCL Party branch to which our collective belonged was very helpful also in helping to deal with the disciplinary problem of Patrick Superville. At the branch we engaged in party study and general discussion on the present situation at home in Grenada.

At a dinner hosted by Cde. Ambassador Richard Jacobs and his wife June Jacobs, the Deputy Rector and all our professors and two members of the International Department of the party were present. Speeches were made by all of them and they all expressed their satisfaction with the collective of Grenada and its Leader.
The Deputy Recter also commended our Cde. Richard for consistently showing interest in the progress of the students. This he said was done by very few other Ambassadors who had students there.

- Each Committee will be presenting a report on its work as we think it necessary for other collectives to learn from our experience.

- Each member will also be doing a personal evaluation of the course.

Recommendations:

(1) That the new group be sent up on time for beginning of September.
(2) That our CC decide whether they will study for 6 - 12 mths. and communicate their decision to the CC-CPC.
(3) That all comrades chosen for the course be of a similar cultural level and high level. The course is meant for developed comrades in the party.
(4) The new group should carry documents of the NPM party and gifts and tokens for visits to party committees etc.
(5) They should also travel with songs - political songs of Grenada.
(6) That William St. Lewis and Ray Cooper and Patrick Superville be seen by the D.C. of the party.

Rita D. Joseph,
Leader of Collective.
TO: COMRADE MAURICE BISHOP, CHAIRMAN OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF THE NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT.

FROM: COMRADE HERNAND BOURNE

I wish to express my warmest, militant regards to the Central Committee of our Party, the NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT and to you personally.

Allow me comrades, to use this opportunity to raise a matter which, in my opinion, is a necessary and urgent one. This matter concerns an area of work while operating as Minister Counselor in the Embassy of Grenada in the Soviet Union. The fact that I have been appointed by the Political Bureau of the Central Committee of the New Jewel Movement to be the Minister Counselor in itself is clear to me, even though I have not received a letter of appointment nor have the guidelines, terms and conditions of work been brought to my attention six months later.

Nevertheless, what concerns me and I wish to add, what bothers me from time to time, is the fact that while in Grenada I considered myself to be a senior member of the Party as I was a member of one of the leading arms of the Party - the Organizing Committee and yet, neither the Political Bureau, the Central Committee nor the Secretariat has ever informed me as to whether as part of my diplomatic work I am supposed to be additionally responsible for the coordination of the work of the Embassy with the CPSU, Komsomol, TU etc, etc.

In my view, the diplomatic work is two-fold: STATE TO STATE and PARTY TO PARTY and MAIN ORGANIZATIONS. I will appreciate it and be gratified if the exact situation is made clear to me as soon as possible and in writing preferably. Additionally, and until such time that a Military Attaché is appointed, it is my suggestion that security and military matters should be handled by me.

Furthermore, all that this entails concretely when it comes to the question of reports to Grenada is two reports: one to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs; the other to the Party.

Please comrades, could you or the Party clarify these points for the benefit of the diplomatic staff of the Embassy and for better organisation of our work at the soonest possible time.

In the meantime however, I wish to report on the Party Political work of the Embassy during the last two to three months. In this report, the following points will be discussed:

1. Meetings with North American and English-Speaking Caribbean Department of the CPSU;
2. Upcoming State and Party Visit;
3. Party Work of Party Members;
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1. MEETINGS WITH CPSU

During the last two months, Comrade Ambassador and myself held three meetings with representatives of the CPSU responsible for our area. The meetings were held on April 22, May 7 and June 9. In the meetings we discussed a wide range of issues, some of which are included in this report. These points are as follows:

i. Nature of our Party;
ii. 60th Anniversary of the formation of the USSR;
iii. Rest and Familiarisation;
iv. Party School;
v. Party Headquarters.

1. During our first meeting the nature of our Party was raised by the Soviet side. They said that in their view, NRM is a Communist Party, but what they do not understand clearly is our membership in the Socialist International. We had to point out that NRM's membership in the SI is a tactic which depends on the peculiar conditions faced by Grenada under the Gairy dictatorship and the nature of the government in the region.

Our assessment is that our Party is not fully known; the Soviet comrades are gathering information about NRM and the peculiar conditions of the region and that is why they are dealing with us cautiously and sometimes skeptically.

However, we think that this matter would be settled during the upcoming STATE and PARTY VISIT.

ii. This year is the 60th anniversary of the formation of the USSR and this occasion will be celebrated in December. The Soviet comrades informed us that NRM would be invited to participate in this big activity. Other parties from the Eastern Caribbean, like PNM, have asked for invitations. The final decision on these invitations will be taken by the CPSU after consultations with NRM via the Embassy.

iii. With reference to an existing agreement between the CPSU and NRM, the comrades have asked us for details from Grenada of Central Committee comrades who will come for rest and familiarisation this year, 1982. It is possible for us under the agreement to send leading members of our NASS ORGANISATIONS for rest and familiarisation.

Additionally, we recommended that the CPSU should create the possibilities for comrades of the Left Parties in the region to enjoy similar facilities. To this, the Soviet comrades think that it is necessary and will like to take the final decisions only after getting all necessary information from NRM.

In our assessment, our Embassy will also serve as a bridge between the CPSU and the LEFT PARTIES in the English–Speaking Caribbean. We will be decisive in their work by being able to give details of the situation in each of these countries. In that way, we are certain to develop good working relations and become a trustworthy ally of the CPSU. It goes without saying, therefore, that we will have to be supplied with details of analyses and assessments by the CC NRM and information on developments at home and in the region.
iv. On the question of attending the CPSU Party School, we were informed that ten (10) of our cadres can attend the school; they are waiting on us. The system is such that if students begin classes in September, the course will be for 9 months. Also, there are three and six months courses which begin in January and it depends on us to decide how we are to utilize the scholarships.

The comrades are pressing us to take up the scholarships. At our last meeting I promised the comrades that as of this September we will take up the offers.

(Note: It is my opinion and also that of other comrades that in order for the CPSU to have greater confidence, trust and reassurance in our Party and our process it is absolutely necessary that we should take up these offers and attend the Party School courses. It is important for the image of our Party, the NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT.)

v. This question of Party Headquarters was discussed during our first and second meetings. The comrades were beheaded to learn that no work had begun on the construction of our Party Headquarters. Even more so, they were shocked to hear that the Cuban comrades, including PR members, did not know about the agreement to construct NIN Party Headquarters.

The Soviet Ambassadors in Havana and Kingston were to convey the decision to NIN which was done. The present problem lies in the fact that the decision was not conveyed – so it seems – to the Cubans. This is the main problem: the FCC claimed that it was not informed on any decision to provide all materials to Grenada (NIN) which will be compensated for by the CPSU.

Furthermore, the comrades of the CPSU told us that they were ready to send the materials but they hadn't any details from our side. Comrade Jacobs informed them that the details were presented to the Soviet Embassy in Cuba.

On this matter, however, there are two outstanding points to be clarified:

a. That the FCC be contacted on decision;

b. That we follow up details of materials needed to the CPSU.

Finally, in our first meeting with the CPSU, we presented to the comrades a letter from Comrade Chalkie on behalf of the CC NIN, thanking them for the literature which they have been sending us. We also gave them the list of materials received them and copies of 'IN FREEDOM WE MAKING', REPORT ON THE 1981 ECONOMY ... and 'GRENADA IS NOT ALONE.' They were grateful for the little gift.

2. UPPING STATE AND PARTY VISIT

On this subject the document on the proposed agenda for meeting with the CPSU during the visit was handed over to the Chief of the Department for North-America and the English-Speaking Caribbean, Comrade Nikolai. With reference to Party to Party collaboration we would have to prepare beforehand written documents and hand over to the CPSU.

Additionally, we conveyed your desire to meet with the General Secretary, Comrade Brezhnev and asked for confirmation on all three occasions. Up to now there is no final word on that score. However, we were told that comrade Boris Ponomaryov will be present during the Party to Party discussions.

3. PARTY WORK OF PARTY MEMBERS

At present, the Grenadian staff of the Embassy are all within the Party. The comrades are Richard Jacobs, Darlene Bourne and Bernard Bourne.
Today only two of us are working in the Embassy. And in order to organise the work of the Embassy efficiently, we held Party Meetings—only three such meetings were held.

The other area of Party work is STUDY. Other Party cadres who are in Moscow can attend Study sessions which take place on Sundays from 9 to 12 noon. So far five (5) sessions were held, and all during the month of May. The material which we have been studying is the 'REPORT ON THE 1961 ECONOMY AND PROSPECTS FOR 1962.' We chose this material because we did not get a chance to participate in the discussions at home and we believe that it is necessary for us to be familiar with our Economy — 2nd PILLAR OF THE REVOLUTION. Further, we have decided to write up a report, which has some recommendations coming out of our studies. This report is an appendix to this note.

Finally, I wish to reiterate my desire to have greater clarity on my area of responsibility while in Moscow in the nearest future. Maybe, with such clarity, future Party reports will be sent to the relevant structure - the International Department of the Party.

With A FIRM EMBRACE,
Comrade Bernard Bourne
30th June, 1962.
MEETING WITH THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

DATE: 24th November 1982

Present at the meeting were:

Colonel Petrov - Chief for preparing Students for Military High School;

Colonel Germark - Responsible for Grenadian Military Students;

Bernard Bourne - Minister-Counsellor.

For the purpose of information, Colonel Astremsky is overall Head of Department of all Foreign Students; he was absent.

There were two (2) points on the Agenda:

1. Grenadian Students in/expected in the Soviet Union;

Grenadian Students

At present there are fifteen (15) students pursuing courses in three cities of the Soviet Union:

a. Ten students are at the High School for Infantry in Odessa;
b. Two students are in Simferopol; and
c. Three students are in Volsk.

These 15 students will complete their training on 1 February, 1983.

Additionally, ten (10) more students, including officers, are expected to come to the Soviet Union within the next seven months.

i. On December 1 - two officers are expected to arrive to study in the city of Solnechnogorsky at the Vystrel Institute;

ii. On January 1 - three more officers are expected to pursue studies at the same institute;

iii. On March 1 - three comrades will arrive, one will study in the Political Academy and two will be trained as communication experts in Ulyanovsk;

iv. June 1 - Two officers will arrive for studies.
All courses will be of five (5) months duration and it is expected that the names of these students would be communicated to the Ministry one full month in advance. This last point is necessary to observe in order to avoid problems at the airport.

Recognising that 2 officers are due to arrive by Wednesday, 1 December, I promised to try to get the names and flight details of the men within two days.

Further, on this point, Colonel Petrov mentioned that if we are thinking of increasing the number of students to pursue Military courses in the Soviet Union in 1983 then the Commander-in-Chief should send a letter to the Ministry of Defence on this matter not later than January 1, 1983.

Under this item, I asked the Colonels about the possibility of visiting our Military students. The comrades responded that that was possible and necessary in order to ensure that the students are properly taken care of. However, the Colonels explained that if it is decided that we visit the students, the Ministry of Defence should be informed 5 to 10 days in advance in order that it can render necessary assistance. Such letters should mention the flight or train details. This information is necessary so that the Ministry of Defence can inform the school, arrange to meet the visitor on the airport, arrange meetings and to carry the visitor to the hotel.

It is possible to visit the students as a tourist but the tourist would have to make all the arrangements personally.

MILITARY PROJECTS

Two departments are involved in Military Projects:
Main Technical Department (GTU) and Main Engineering Department, both attached to the State Committee for Foreign Economic Relations.

It was agreed that a meeting should be organised with GTU which is the Department connected with Military Projects. Colonel Petrov suggested that we contact comrade Bogomolov of GTU to arrange such a meeting.

The meeting was later arranged for Friday 26 November.

* A telex was sent to Mr Bishop the same day but no response was received by 26-27 November.

Bernard Bourne

ECC Ewart Layne

Minister-Counsellor
MEETING WITH STATE COMMITTEE FOR FOREIGN ECONOMIC
RELATIONS (GKS)

DATE: FRIDAY 29TH APRIL 1983 (9.15 A.M.)

Representing the GKS were:
1. Colonel General M.A. Sergeichik - First Deputy Chairman of the USSR State Committee for Foreign Economic Relations (GKS)
2. Rear Admiral V.A. Vlassov - Deputy Chief of the General Engineering Department of GKS
3. Colonel V.P. Evtsev - Chief of Section of the General Engineering Department

Present on the Grenada side was:
Comrade Bernard Bourne - Minister-Counsellor.

At the commencement of the meeting comrade Bourne expressed his appreciation for the speed in which the meeting was arranged (less than twenty-four hours) and that he was thankful to be received by Colonel General Sergeichik at short notice.

Moreover, comrade Bourne explained that the fraternal relations existing between the Soviet Union and Grenada were developing impressively, that the Grenada Government was happy about the development of the bilateral relations and was grateful for all assistance rendered, including the means delivered already in 1983.

Furthermore, Bourne pointed out that at present the United States imperialists were posing a serious threat to the Grenada Revolution and that the Party, Government and people were fully prepared to respond to any United States inspired invasion of Grenada. Comrade Bourne explained that although the people of Grenada were ready to rebuff any intervention, the People’s Revolutionary Armed Forces
were having serious difficulties at home, namely, that some 27 trucks were out of action because of lack of basic spare parts and tyres and that fuel and food for the Armed Forces were providing a strain on the national budget.

Bourne pointed out that at present the People's Revolutionary Government was focusing on the need to build up the defence potential of the Revolution by putting everything in order. At that moment cde Bourne explained that the PRG had instructed him to meet with the relevant Soviet officials in order to get an urgent response to the various requests for spare parts and tyres; fuel; food; transport; engineering means etc., which were made in letters in 1982. The opportunity was used to present copies of two letters recently sent to the Ministry of Defence (one from General Austin; the other requesting air rifles or 0.22 rifles).

After comrade Bourne's presentation Colonel General Sergeichik commented by saying that the General Engineering Department (GTU) of the GKS was responsible for questions of armaments, but that the Ministry of Foreign Trade was responsible for the questions of transport, food, fuel, spare parts. Additionally, Col. General pointed out that some proposals on spare parts were handed over to the Government of Grenada through the Soviet Trade Representative in Havana and was not certain if the proposals were signed. The Colonel General also said that some quantities of spare parts were delivered to Grenada from the Soviet Ministry of Defence and that the copies of the latest letters would be carefully studied and the results conveyed in the future.

As for the Inter-Governmental Agreement signed in July 1982, Col. General Sergeichik explained that everything contained in the agreement would be delivered and the greater part for 1983 had already been delivered. He pointed out further that another shipment of means was being prepared; this shipment would include all sub-machine guns, carbines, sniper rifles, mortars, anti-tank guns, mines, ammunition; small arms and vehicles for the Ministry of Interior.
Moreover, Deputy Chairman of GKS, Col. General Sergeiichik informed the Grenada side that the Soviet Government had positively considered the additional requests from Grenada Government and the results were conveyed to Ambassador Sazhenev who was charged to visit Prime Minister Maurice Bishop to inform him of the decisions. However, in general terms, Colonel General pointed out that on April 22 the decision was taken to deliver to Grenada: automobiles; foodstuffs; fuel and lubricants; two patrol boats; 3000 units of uniform; soldiers’ spades etc.

On all these matters, Col. General Sergeiichik explained that the Soviet Government would like to know the response of the People’s Revolutionary Government of Grenada to the proposals for supplying the abovementioned things. This was necessary before a contract could be signed, he pointed out. Colonel General also mentioned that the Soviet Government had proposed to the PRG that talks on these questions be held in Moscow and that the contract be signed too in Moscow.

Finally, comrade Bourne asked that the GTU kindly arrange a meeting with the Ministry of Foreign Trade in the nearest future to discuss the relevant questions. The Soviet side agreed and promised to inform the Embassy on the date of the meeting.

The meeting ended by exchanging kind words of appreciation on the success of the meeting.

CDE. Bernard Bourne

Minister- Counsellor.
Course name: WCM - World Communist Movement
       SPP - Social Psychology and Propaganda
              - Historic Experience of the CPSU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of Students</th>
<th>School name</th>
<th>Real name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fever Achebe</td>
<td>Derrick James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Gill</td>
<td>Sam Braithwaite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Justin Lornaey</td>
<td>Fabian Outman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Jordan</td>
<td>Anselm DeBourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Francis Che</td>
<td>Glen Noel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernadine Peters</td>
<td>Rita Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>David Allen</td>
<td>Ian Lambert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Gordon</td>
<td>Andre Mc. Queen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Franklyn</td>
<td>Bonnie Spooner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>William St. Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordon Raeburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roy Cooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patrick Superville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fred Burris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Report of meeting with Acting Rector of the CPSU party school, Caicun College,
23rd. May 1983

This meeting between the Acting Rector and the Ambassador was arranged at the request of the Ambassador to receive a confidential assessment of the performance of our students during the six-month course just completed.

The Acting Rector informed the Ambassador that normally, it is necessary to apply to the International Department of the CPSU in order to receive an official report of the performance of the students. However, in this case, since this was the first batch of Grenadians students he would be prepared to make available to the Party (WP) the confidential assessments of their teachers as well as to communicate to the Ambassador in a confidential manner, the general opinion of the teachers regarding the overall performance of our students. The Ambassador thanked the Acting Director for his cooperation in this matter and pointed out that he will also request an official report from the CPSU.

The Acting Director reported that since this was the first group of Grenadians to attend the school, it was the policy of the administrators and teachers to pay very close and careful attention to the performance and behaviour of the students. After a collective assessment of the students, he could inform the Ambassador that he was expressing the unanimous opinion of the teachers and administrators of the school.

The students fully covered the syllabus of the six month course. It was the view of the school however, that the students would have benefited more from a one year course.

As a whole the students were well disciplined and oriented towards collective work. Minor evidences of indiscipline were never repeated once they had been identified and explained.

The internal relations of the group were generally good. Friction was kept to a minimum, problems were resolved in time, and due to the excellent leadership of Rita Joseph, the collective maintained a very marked cohesion throughout the course. It is worth of note that Comrade Joseph was greatly helped in the exercise of her responsibilities and authority by the following Comrades: Gordon Baumber, Ronnie Spooner, Ian Lambert and Fabian Outman.

Comradely relations between members of the group steadily improved over the period of six months.

Attendance at classes was excellent, but punctuality was occasionally weak.

All comrades displayed a conscientious attitude towards their work.

With the sole exception of Fred Burris, our students actively participated in classroom discussions. They always adopted a very serious attitude towards the work, asked relevant questions and all except Burris have been assessed as having achieved good to excellent knowledge. Burris was satisfactory.

All the students made consistent efforts to link up the theoretical points with the tasks to which they have been assigned by the WP. This was particularly true of Ian Lambert, Rita Joseph and Roy Cooper.

There was a marked difference in cultural levels of the students as well as in levels of health. Both Fred Burris and Andre Mo Queen (David Gordon) were ill for long periods. A Report on Mo Queen's illness is enclosed.

The group took several good initiatives, and undertook the responsibilities associated with these initiatives. Three examples: Celebration of the 10th Anniversary of the WP, 4th. Anniversary of the Revolution, Round table solidarity Conference of the Americas.

As part of their practical work, the group had a permanent link with the CPSU Party committee of the October factory. They went to the factory on several occasions, participated in voluntary work on two occasions and had a lecture on the cost accounting procedures of the factory. The management of the factory was pleased with the students' performance and the students were satisfied with their
The two most outstanding students were: Rita Joseph and Ian Lambert. The weakest student was Fred Burris. The teachers considered that Burris would be well placed in an area of work requiring inter-personal relations with non-intellectual workers. He can carry out instructions and is very tenacious in his determination to implement agreed procedure, but he is neither an intellectual nor initiator.

The Acting Director said that the performance of our students demonstrate a real thirst for knowledge and he recommended that the NNJ think very seriously about the establishment of a Party School as a top priority. He pointed out that there were very positive achievements as a result of the establishment of the Party School in Yemen, Afghanistan, Angola, Mozambique and very soon Ethiopia. In this context he suggested that the NNJ might think of sending students who could qualify as teachers in the future Party School.

In closing the Acting Director said that he would like to emphasize that the teachers and administrators had come to develop great respect for the abilities of Rita Joseph as a leader. In the beginning they were a bit apprehensive about her ability to manage thirteen men and she was a bit shaky in the beginning, but as time went on, it emerged that she was a wise decision.

V. Richard Jacobs
Dear Xausce,-

I've given some thought to what you said over the phone, and come up with a couple of ideas, although without the general picture of what the trip looks like and perspectives you have on it -- a big limitation. But, for what it's worth:

1) I think being pressured into coming up with a major announcement, declaration, etc. or even a gimmick along these lines is a bit of a trap. One must be careful about all due respect to the power of the U.S. media, once you've got their attention, the agenda must be yours, not theirs. Although I haven't been in the States recently, certain things don't change: the U.S. media go after violence, and when there's no war controversy will rise just as well -- especially in an election year. I would say they're most interested in the visit as it fits in to their idea of a "faceoff" with Reagan and his administration, in the midst of the election campaign. But, of course, Grenada's interests are far different. And it seems to me the best idea is to stick with those interests and objectives, and use the media as far as possible to advance them, not without getting "cornered."
2. Why the visit? (Of course, you've given
the answer to this one more thought than I.)

But for the media, how does this sound:
'Bermuda and the United States have a
long history of relations — many decades
thousands of Bermudians live in the United
States, their work contributing to the development
of that country; many thousands of U.S.
citizens travel to Bermuda to enjoy its
hospitality and natural beauty, cultural
similarities unite Bermuda with cultural
currents in the U.S.; other economic links, etc.,
etc. The purpose of the visit is to reaffirm
and develop these ties at as many levels
as possible, and by so doing to help lessen
the tensions that have cropped up at one of
these levels: the current White House administration
(especially with the Reagan
administration) and the Black Caucus
(especially governmental level) and the Congress
involvements — as important in themselves
— leaving open the possibility of meeting with the Reagan
administration as it was to come off.

I think any suggestion that accepting
these invitations is really a "cover" for
another (larger) purpose (such as a meeting
with Reagan) needs to be denied flatly
and strongly. After all, what is contained
in the suggestion, besides the implication that
the PR is opportunities to be racist and be a thought for the future. The story answer is that anti-population empiricism — why would be some here just because a bunch. A Black man.

I think the narrative might be a good idea to launch this organization 751, Bearden's new international airport for people to speak directly in the air right — to their government, etc. That is, what the
4) On the specific meetings, I think I'm too out of touch to be helpful. Nevertheless, Parodi from the Cuban Interest Section will be the single most clear person on this whole question. (Only comment: I think the contact until Gil Noble is important.)

Reading this over, it's pretty rambling, but I hope of some use.

By the way - the ABC interview was beautiful!

Take care of yourself.

Warm regards,

Sail
As much protest the meeting not occur as we were led to believe.

- long delay
- Mitterndorf the chief host.

Clarke, Dean, Mitterndorf, Bosch, Brune.

My - Glad for writing, been requesting it for some time.

- Need to take off on the big list
- Of good people to people relations.
  - Bottom line: Dialogue & normal relations.
  - Commission: 
    - @ dismiss differ
    - Discuss corpora

Clarke - No problems with dialogue,
  move interested in contact.
  - concerned with Soviet influence
  among our neighbors.
  - Soviet influence in Spain is not acceptable.
    - Can communicate to your own rep
    to your proposals.
    - Agreed to @the secret meeting
    a secret meeting)
  - Not only to refer to this meet expect change in situation in future.
- Home common stands/believe legal 2
  practice. Hope they can lead to great
  progress.

- Encouraged by this response. They
  are willing to accept talks on the
  normalization of relations.
  - Their language (careful) should
    noted
  - Toming down of attacks must be mutual

Clarke - Their preference is to introduce the
  table & discussion rather public
  attacks.

→ Referred to US meeting/briefing of the
  team - not for this conference.
→ Hope that we return to the basic fun
  of govt. rather than model of G & Eero

Judge's Departure

- Time perspective - reply to our previous
  You - key thing is Son/Labuan influence.
  → Need to see some change in contum-
  before the agreement.

W - will have to look at - ECOS/DEST.Caption
  CDB, IMF
  - Toming 4 the
  attacks

- We can explore any range of subjects
  and give fullest assurance that we
  constitute no threat to the US
Dan - Interested in assistance

"Thank you for coming to meet
see Judge Clarke."

- Re Austin venue
- Length of time Judge stayed
- Points he made
- Tone / atmosphere
- State Dept. component (Dan)
- Meaning to the press / press state
La continuación le traslado algunas informaciones y apreciaciones sobre la reunión del Comité de la Internacional Socialista para la Defensa de la Revolución en Nicaragua, efectuada el 25 del presente mes de junio en Managua.

Esta reunión es la segunda que celebra el Comité. La primera se efectuó en Washington el 6 de diciembre de 1980.

El Comité fue creado oficialmente por iniciativa de Willy Brandt, en el XV Congreso de la Internacional Socialista celebrado en Madrid en noviembre pasado; quedó integrado por los siguientes miembros: Willy Brandt, Bernt Carlsson, Bettino Craxi, Michael Foot, Felipe González, Michael Harrington, Anker Jørgensen, Bruno Kreisky, Michael Hanley, Francois Hitterrand, Olof Palme, José Francisco Peña Gómez, Carlos Andrés Pérez, Michel Rocard, Pierre Schori, Mario Soares, Kalevi Sorsa, Joop den Uyl y Hans-Juergen Wischnewski.

Esta reunión es la segunda que efectúa el Comité; la primera se celebró en Washington el 6 de diciembre de 1980.

Entre las personalidades que asistieron a esta reunión en Managua, se encontraban Bernt Carlsson, Secretario General de la I.S.; Felipe González, presidente del Comité; Carlos Andrés Pérez de A. Democratica,
Pierre Schori, del Partido Socialdemócrata Sueco y Michael Harrington del DCCC de EU, todos miembros del Comité.

Resultó muy significativa la ausencia de los germanooccidentales en la reunión, hecho que sin duda revela la intención del SPD de moderar su actuación frente a la situación centroamericana e imprimirle a su gestión latinoamericana dentro la I.S. un perfil bajo y de menor compromiso con los procesos que en esa zona se desarrollan. Se adjunta carta enviada por el Secretario Internacional del SPD al Secretario Internacional de Partido Socialdemócrata Austriaco presente en el evento, en ocasión de esta reunión.

Las presiones norteamericanas y la difícil situación interna por la que atraviesa el SPD, explican en buena medida la cautela germanooccidental frente a la Revolución Nicaragüense.

A partir de los contactos bilaterales que se desarrollaron con los participantes, el documento que se aprobó y el propio desarrollo de la reunión, consideramos:

1) Se observaron en general, dos tendencias entre los participantes. Una, encabezada por Felipe González y Carlos Andrés, con abiertos objetivos neutralizadores, asumiendo posiciones de relativa presión interesados en dejar claramente expresado los límites de la "solidaridad de la I.S." con Nicaragua, y subrayando que el apoyo de la "I.S. es al "proyecto político democrático y pluralista". Este sector reflejó temores por el curso que a su juicio estaba tomando el proceso, y en especial por el asunto de lo que llamaron el suminis-
tro de armas de Cuba y la U.S.S.R. en síntesis, preocupados por la --
"cubanización" y "soviétización" del proceso.

Esta tendencia, en términos cuantitativos era minoritaria, pero --
cualitativamente es la de mayor peso.

El otro sector, no hizo de estas consideraciones el centro de su
atención, y en general mostraron comprensión e interés por conocer
objetivamente las dificultades del proceso, coincidiendo en censu-
rar a la U.S.S.R. como el máximo responsable de la situación por la que
atraviesa Nicaragua y denunciado los peligros que acechan el pro-
ceso.

De esta línea se ubicaba Pierre Schori, Jim Fulton, del Nuevo Par-
tido Democrático de Canadá, Antoine Blanca, del Partido Socialista
Francés, entre otros.

2) Desde el punto de vista de los objetivos que el FSLN se propuso con
la reunión, a nuestro juicio se obtuvieron; se reconoció en la De-
claración aprobada por el Comité, los peligros y amenazas sobre el
proceso y se ratificó el apoyo de la I.S. al proyecto "políticos --
democrático y pluralista que tiene lugar en Nicaragua". Por otra
parte, las declaraciones públicas formuladas por la mayoría de los-
participantes, fueron en general positivas en la actual coyuntura--
para el proceso, pero muestran en alguna medida, las aprobaciones-
de algunos sectores con el actual rumbo del proceso.

3) Se evidenciaron las contradicciones y tendencias en el seno de la
I.S. en cuanto a cómo encarar la política frente a Nicaragua y en --

33 - 3
general la actitud a asumir frente a la problemática latinoamericana. Las presiones de los E.E.U.U. sobre la I.S. y los partidos que la integran, la difícil y compleja situación internacional y el caso que van tomando los procesos en la región, determinan que los sectores más derechistas en el seno de la I.S., adopten una posición de cierto repliegue y moderación, y se propongan evitar que la I.S. continúe comprometiéndose como hasta el momento, sin que ello signifique renunciar a los objetivos estratégicos de incidir en el rumbo de los procesos centroamericanos y en particular nicaragüenses, con claros propósitos neutralizadores.

4) Con esta reunión, la I.S., ha dejado expresos los límites de la "solidaridad" con Nicaragua, y de hecho sus sectores de derecha se proponen ejercer presión e incidir directamente en el curso de la Revolución. No se trata de que la I.S. varíe estratégicamente su política frente a Nicaragua, pero sí mostrará en lo adelante mayor cautela y discreción, y estará muy atenta a los próximos pasos de la Revolución.

Finalmente, le adjuntamos una versión de la entrevista que sostuvieron Eloy Arce con Felipe González y Carlos Andrés, antes de iniciarse la reunión, y que refleja nítidamente la óptica de los postizos de derecha en la I.S.

Con saludos revolucionarios,

Manuel Píñeiro Josada
tanques.

Dijeron a Bayardo que ellos quisieran tener una reunión con toda la Dirección Nacional, para abordar todos estos temas y otros que no se pueden tratar en el marco de la reunión de la I.C.

Bayardo resumió las dificultades y problemas que confrontaban, subrayando que ellos (los sandinistas) no eran los que cerraban las puertas, y que si todos los caminos se les cerraban a la Revolución, ellos tenían que acudir donde se les brindara la ayuda; que la revolución tiene que garantizar su derecho a subsistir y defenderse.

Respecto a los tanques y el armamento, Bayardo revirtió el problema, cuestionando por qué se hacía tanto ruido con ese problema, y nadie hablaba de los tanques ingleses que estaban entrando en Honduras. En síntesis no negó categoricamente el asunto, pero tampoco lo afirmó.

Refiriéndose a la aludida presencia cubana, Bayardo se preguntó de qué presencia cubana se trataba, agregando que acababa de venir de La Habana donde fue a convencer a Fidel para que se reponga en un plazo breve el contingente de maestros que saldrá próximamente, porque 60,000 niños se quedarían sin maestros, y ellos necesitaban 2,000, y hasta ahora nadie, ningún país se había ofrecido a enviárselos excepto Cuba. En esta línea, Bayardo argumentó todo el plan de colaboración de Cuba con Nicaragua.

Dijo además, que los sandinistas entendían perfectamente la situación que confrontaba la socialdemocracia y que incluso comprenderían que en un momento determinado, los socialdemócratas dejaran de apoyar la revo-
lución; pero también pedía se asimilara cuales eran las alternativas que el proceso tenía ante sí.

En cuanto a la reunión que solicitaron con la Dirección Nacional en pleno, Bayardo evadió el asunto, argumentando razones de carga de trabajo; aunque, en una de las sesiones de la reunión, los participantes tuvieron la posibilidad de sostener un intercambio directo con los miembros de la Comisión Política (Bayardo, Humberto Ortega y Weelock).
CARTA ENVÍADA POR HENK EMILIOU, SECRETARIO INTERNACIONAL DEL PAR- TIDO SOCIALDEMOCRATA AUSTRIACO A SU HOMOLOGO WALTER HACKER, DEL PAR- TIDO SOCIALDEMOCRATA DEL AUSTRIA Y PRESIDENTE DE NUNO PEPE GONZÁLEZ EN LA REUNIÓN DEL COMIETE.

Bonn, 19 de junio de 1981

Profesor Walter Hacker
Secretario Internacional del Partido Socialdemócrata Austriaco.

Estimado Walter:

Con respecto a Nicaragua tengo que informarle que ni Willy Brandt ni Hans Jürgen Wischnewski podrán asistir a la reunión del comité de la IS para la defensa de la revolución de Nicaragua que se celebrará en Managua el 25 de junio. Desafortunadamente tampoco podremos asistir Klaus Lindenberg y yo. Explicaremos esto directamente a los amigos nicaragüenses. De todos modos, hemos pedido a nuestro colega Lutterbach que actúe como observador en las discusiones y reuniones.

Willy Brandt le escribió a Felipe González y le expresó sus opiniones y las nuestras con respecto a ciertos acontecimientos que han tenido lugar en Nicaragua. Usted también debe leer esta carta.

Espero que usted esté de acuerdo conmigo en que nuestra solidaridad con la revolución no puede ser interpretada por algunos colegas sandinistas como un tipo de carta blanca para cualquier acción que lleven a cabo. Por muchas razones nuestra asistencia tanto en lo político como en lo moral no puede ser interpretada como si fuera en una sola dirección.

Supongo que conoce de la última lucha interna en el movimiento sandinista, con los hermanos Ortega enfrascados en una batalla desesperada contra los que practican la política de "mazo duro" que muchos consideran que respaldados por Arce, Wheelock y Borge.

Con más interés que el de costumbre, deseo leer su informe, deseo saber si no debo ir a Estocolmo o debo encontrarme con usted a mitad del camino después de su regreso de Managua.
Con relación al viaje de nuestro amigo Marten Van Tram y otros a Uruguay y Argentina considero que todo marcha bien.

Le escribí a Marten y le pedí que fuera precavidlo y prudente porque la situación real de Argentina está llena de complejidades; algunos círculos del gobierno seguramente aguardarían que se llevara a cabo cierto diálogo con nuestras fuerzas políticas en Europa siempre que no turbemos su orgullo nacional.

Con respecto a la reunión del Comité de la IS sobre América Latina y el Caribe que se celebrará el 25 de julio en Granada dudamos, pero razones obvias, la razón y decisión de celebrar esta reunión en esa isla, podría ser interpretada por algunos como una provocación, pero que nuestros amigos salvadoreños no serán los que más sufrirán por esto.

Saludos,

Hans Uberhard.
lieber Walter,

nachstehend übermittle ich dir, was ich an Pierre Shori und marter var, traë zu Nicaragua, Argentinier und yr grenade geschrieben habe. Elena Flores hat auch Kenntnis davor:

"1. With regard to Nicaragua I have to inform you that neither Willy Brandt nor Hans-Jürgen Wischniewski will be able to attend the meeting of the SI committee for the defence of the revolution in Nicaragua at Managua or June 25th. Unfortunately Klaus Lindenberg as well as myself are unable to come either.

We shall explain this directly to the Nicaraguan friends. anyhow we have asked our colleague Lutterbach to act as an observer to the discussions und gatherings.

Willy Brandt has written to Felippe Gonzales and expressed some of his or our feelings concerning certain developments in Nicaragua. You should read that letter too.

I hope you will agree with me that our solidarity with the revolution cannot be interpreted by some of the Sandinista
...it may be interes~ to mention that the situation in the Sandinista current, with the Ortega brothers being in a desperate battle against the "gardliners" whom they think to be backed by area, Nelson and others.

As more than the usual interest I am looking forward to reading your report, I am wondering if I should not come over to Stockholm or meet you halfway after your return from Managua.

As regards the trip of our friend Marten van Traa and others to Uruguay and Argentina I do hope that everything goes well.

I have written to Marten and asked him to use his caution and said that the actual situation in Argentina is full of complexities, some circles within the government would certainly appreciate a certain dialogue with our political forces in Europe provided we don't stumble over their national pride.

With regard to the meeting of the SI committee on Latin America and the Caribbean on July 25th at Grenada we doubt, or obvious reasons, the reason and the wisdom of that decision. I have been the meeting on that island. Some might regard it as a provocation. I do hope that our Salvadorian friends will not be those who will suffer most from that."

"...gute und viel glück für die reise
erzlichst
sein
anse--eberhard

Ende
1978 spzent a
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I transfer to you below some information and appreciations about the meeting of the Socialist International Committee for the Defense of the Nicaraguan Revolution in Nicaragua, held on June 25 in Managua.

This is the second meeting the Committee celebrated at the first one took place in Washington on December 6, 1980.

The Committee was officially created by initiative of Willy Brandt, in the XV Congress of the Socialist International held in Madrid on November 4th. It was integrated by the following members: Willy Brandt, Beent Carlsson, Bettino Craxi, Michael Foot, Felipe González, Michael Harrington, Inker Jørgensen, Bruno Keisky, Michael Manley, Claude Mitterrand, Olof Palme, José Francisco Gómez, Carlos Andrés Pérez, Michel Rocard, Irene Schori, Mario Soares, Kalevi Soosa, Joop den Uyl and Hans-Jürgen Wischniewski.

This meeting is the second one prior held by the Committee, the first one took place in Washington on December 6, 1980.

Among the personalities who attended his meeting in Managua were Beent Carlsson, Secretary-General of the S.I., Felipe González, President of the Committee; Carlos Andés Pérez from Democratic Germany, Pierre Schori, of the Swedish Social Democrat Party and Michael Harrington from the DSOC of the U.S., all members of the Committee.

It was very significant the absence of Western German representatives to the meeting, what undoubtedly reveals the intention of the PD to moderate its activities before the Central American situation and give its Latin Ame
ican negotiation within the S.I. A low out-
line less committed to the processes taking
place in that zone. We enclose letter sent by
International Secretary of the SPD to the
International Secretary of the Austrian Social-
Democrat Party present in the event, on the
occasion of this meeting.

The North American pressures and the dif-

cult internal situation the SPD goes through,
explains to a good extent the Western German
caution towards the Nicaraguan Revolution.

Starting from the existing bilateral contacts
developed among participants, the document
approved and the development of the meeting
itself, we consider:

1) It was noticed, in general, two tendencies
among the participants: One headed by
Felipe González and Carlos Andrés, with
open neutralizing objectives, assuming
positions of relative pressure interested
in expressing clearly the limits of the
"S.I. Solidarity" with Nicaragua, and under-
lining that the support of the S.I. is for
"democratic and pluralist political projects"
This sector seemed to be afraid of the
course that, in their opinion, the process
was taking, and specially of the matter
they called the supply of weapons of Cuba
and the USSR; summarizing, they were
worried about the "Cubanization" and
"sovietization" of the process.

This tendency was inferior in terms of
quantity but qualitatively it has more weight.

The other sector, did not become these
considerations, the centre of its attention,

In this line were ubicado Pierre Schori, Jim Fullon, from the New Democratic Party of Canada, Antoine Blanca of the French Socialist Party, among others.

2) From the point of view of the objectives proposed by the FSLN, we consider they were attained, it was recognized in the Declaration approved by the Committee, the dangers and threats on the process and it was ratified the support of the S.I. to "political, democratic and pluralist project of Nicaragua". On the other hand, the public declarations formulated by the majority of the participants, were, in general, pessivistic for the process in the present situation, but show to a given extent, the apprehensions of some sectors in respect to the present course of the process.

3) It was evidenced the contradictions and tendencies within the S.I. in connection with how to face politics towards Nicaragua and in general, the attitude to be assumed before the Latin American problem. The pressures of the U.S on the S.I. and the parties that compose it, the difficult and complex international situation and the course processes in the region.
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ARE TAKING, DETERMINED THAT THE MOST
RIGHTIST SECTORS WITHIN THE S.I., ADOPT
A POSITION OF CERTAIN CONVOLUTION AND
MODERATION, AND PROPOSE THEMSELVES TO
AVOID THAT THE S.I. CONTINUE TO COMMIT
ITSELF AS IT HAS DONE SO FAR, WITHOUT IM-
PLYING THE RENOUNCATION TO THE STRATEGIC
OBJECTIVES OF IMPINGING ON THE DIRECTION
OF THE CENTRAL AMERICAN PROCESSES, AND
IN PARTICULAR ON NICARAGUA, WITH CLEAR
NEUTRALIZING OBJECTIVES.

9) WITH THIS MEETING, THE S.I. EXPRESSED
THE LIMITS OF THE "SOLIDARY" WITH NICAR-
AGUA, AND IN FACT ITS RIGHTIST SECTORS HAVE
SET FORWARD TO EXERT PRESSURE AND
IMPINGE DIRECTLY ON THE COURSE OF THE
REVOLUTION. IT IS NOT THAT THE S.I.
STRATEGICALLY CHANGES ITS POLICY TOWARD
NICARAGUA, BUT IT WILL DEMONSTRATE FROM
NOW ON MORE CAUTION AND DISCUSSION,
AND WILL BE MORE ATTENTIVE TO THE NEXT
STEPS OF THE REVOLUTION.

FINALLY WE ENCLOSE A VERSION OF THE
INTERVIEW MAINRAINED BY BAYAROC AREC WITH
FEUPE GONZALEZ AND CARLOS ANDRES, BEFORE
STARTING THE MEETING AND THAT CLEARLY REFLECTS
VIEW OF THE POSITION OF RIGHT E IN THE S.I.

WITH REVOLUTIONARY GREETINGS

HANUEL PINTO LOSADA
Bayardo Arce talks with Carlos Andrade and Felipe González.

Carlos Andrade and Felipe, indicated that they were absolutely convinced of the dangers, threats and pressure being exerted on Nicaragua, mainly by the U.S., and the difficult situation the process undergoes. That precisely what the U.S. want is to harass Nicaragua, push it to a radicalization of the process, as to have pretext and evidence to implement the military aggression.

Both showed their concern that the Sandinista direction might fall into a snare and suit the U.S., and added to their opinion, they were falling in the snare, therefore it is necessary that Sandinists take that reality into account and not allow themselves to be provoked. So it is quite indispensable that the revolutionary process is maintained within the framework democratic and pluralist framework, and that this is a condition to maintain the support of the S.I. They pointed out that they (the Social Democrats) were in a very difficult situation, receiving strong and constant attacks and pressures from the U.S. They added that the absence of the German to the meeting was a clear example of that difficult situation.

They emphasized that it was an urgent need to establish a minimum platform that safeguards relations of the Social Democracy with the Nicaraguan process and to achieve an understanding that in case they continue with the tendency of approximation to the Soviet bloc, it would be very difficult for them to bear the
Present position of solidarity.

In reference to some measures that could be taken by the Sandinistas, Carlos Andres suggested to diminish the Cuban presence. Both mentioned their concern about the Soviet presence particularly the tanks.

They asked Bayardo that they would like to have a meeting with the entire national direction, to approach all these topics and others. We cannot deal with in the meeting of the S.I.

Bayardo summarized the difficulties and problems being faced, underlining that they (the Sandinista) are not the ones who close the doors, and that if all the paths are closed to the revolution, they would have to go to where aid is given. That the revolution has to guarantee its right to survive and defend itself.

In respect to the tanks and armament, Bayardo reverted the problem, questioning why so much noise was made around this problem, and anybody speaks of the English tanks that are being honoured. In synthesis, he did not deny the matter categorically, but he did not affirm it either.

In connection with the alleged Cuban presence, Bayardo asked himself what Cuban presence was that, adding that he had just come from Havana where he tried to convince Fidel about replacing as soon as possible the contingent of teachers that will depart in short. Because 60,000 children would be left without teachers, and they needed 2,000 and so far nobody, no country had offer them 10.
In this point, Bayardo argued all the collaboration plan Cuba-Nicaragua.

In addition, he said, that the Sandinistas understand perfectly well the situation social democracy faces and they would even understand that in a determinant moment, social democrats would stop supporting the revolution, but he also asked to assimilate which the alternative—the process had before itself.

In respect to the meeting they requested with the whole National Direction, Bayardo quoted the point, arguing reasons of excessive work, although, in a session of the meeting, participants discussed the possibility of having a direct exchange with the members of the political commission (Bayardo, Humberto Ortega, and Wetlock).
Second Congress of the Communist Party of Cuba

Letter sent by Hans Eberhard, International Secretary of the German Social Democrat Party to his colleague Walter Hacker, of the Austrian Social Democrat Party and representative of Bruno Kreisky in the meeting of the Committee.

Bonn, June 19, 1981

Professor Walter Hacker
International Secretary of the Austrian Social Democrat Party.

Estimado Walter:

In connection with Nicaragua I have to inform you that neither Willy Brandt nor Hans Jureit Wischnewski will be able to attend the meeting of the S.I. Committee for the defense of the Nicaraguan Revolution to be held in Managua on June 25. Unfortunately neither Klaus Lindendorf nor I can attend. We'll explain this directly to our Nicaraguan friends. Anyway, we have asked our colleague Lutterbach to act as observer in the discussions and meetings.

Willy Brandt wrote to Filipe Gonzalez and expressed his opinions and ours in respect to certain events that have taken place in Nicaragua. You should also read that letter.

I hope you agree with me in that our solidarity with the Revolution can not be interpreted by some Sandinist colleagues as a kind of white card for any action they carry out. Out of many reasons our assistance both in politics and
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MORALITY CAN NOT BE INTERPRETED AS IF IT WERE
IN ONLY ONE DIRECTION.

I SUPPOSE THAT THE LAST INTERNAL STRUGGLE
IN THE SANDINISTA MOVEMENT, WITH THE BROTHERS
ORTEGA DEVOTED TO A DESPERATE STRUGGLE AGAINST
THOSE WHO PRACTICE THE POLICY OF "HARD HAND"
THAT MANY CONSIDER IS INDUCED BY ARMY, WHEELER,
AND BERGE.

WITH MORE INTEREST THAN USUALLY, I WANT TO
READ YOUR REPORT, I WANT TO KNOW IF I SHOULD
NOT GO TO STOCKHOLM OR IF YOU MEET YOU
IN THE MIDDLE OF THE WAY IN YOUR RETURN FROM
Havana.

IN RELATION TO THE TRIP OF OUR FRIEND MARIA
VAN TARA AND OTHERS TO URUGUAY AND ARGENTINA,
I CONSIDER EVERYTHING IS GOING OK.

I WROTE MARIA AND ASKED HIM TO BE CAREFUL
AND PRUDENT BECAUSE THE REAL SITUATION OF ARGENTINA
IS FULL OF COMPLEXITIES SOME PEOPLE
WOULD CERTAINLY THINK TO HAVE A
DIALOGUE WITH OUR POLITICAL FORCES IN EUROPE
PROVIDED WE DON'T AFFECT THEIR NATIONAL FREEDOM.

IN RESPECT TO THE MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE
THE SJ ON LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN
TO BE HELD IN JULY IN GRENADA, WE DOUBT,
OVER TO OBVIOUS REASONS, THE REASON AND DECISION TO
HOLD THIS MEETING IN THAT ISLAND, COULD BE INTER-
PRETED BY SOMEONE AS A PROVOCATION. I HOPE OUR
SANDINISTA FRIENDS ARE NOT THE ONES WHO SUFFER
THE MOST AS A RESULT.

GREETINGS,

HANS EBERHARD
Sorry not to have been able to type it. Time pressured me.

[Signature]
The following is a transcription of some notes on the meeting of the Socialist International Committee for the Defense of the Revolution in Nicaragua, held the 25th of this month of June in Managua. This meeting is the second held by the Committee. The first took place on December 6, 1980 in Washington.

The Committee was officially created on the initiative of Willy Brandt, at the XV Congress of the Socialist International held in Madrid last November; it consisted of the following members: Willy Brandt, Bernt Carlsson, Bottino Craxi, Michael Foot, Felipe Gonzalez, Michael Barrington, Anker Joergensen, Bruno Kreisky, Michael Manley, Francois Mitterrand, Olof Palme, Jose Francisco, Pena Gomez, Carlos Andres Perez, Michel Rocard, Pierre Schori, Mario Soares, Malevi Sorsa, Joop den Uyl, and Hans-Juergen Wischnewski.

Attendees at this meeting in Managua included Bernt Carlsson, Secretary General of the Socialist International: Felipe Gonzalez, chairman of the Committee; Carlos Andres Perez of Accion Democrats; Pierre Schori of the Swedish Social Democratic Party; and Michael Harrington of the DSCC of the United States, all members of the Committee. The absence of one of the West Germans proved to be very significant, since it undoubtedly reveals the intention of the SPD to moderate its actions vis a vis the Central American situation and to give its Latin American administration within S.I. a low and less conspicuous profile with developments in that region. Attached is a letter sent by the International Secretary of the SPD to International Secretary of the Austrian Social Democratic Party on the occasion of this meeting.

U.S. pressures and the difficult domestic situation experienced by the SPD explains in good part the West German caution toward the Nicaraguan Revolution. From the bilateral contacts developed with the participants, the document which was approved, and the development itself of the meeting, we considered:

1) In general, two tendencies were observed among the participants. One, led by Felipe Gonzalez and Carlos Andres, with open, neutralizing objectives, assuming positions of relative pressure interested in clearly expressing the limits of "S.I.'s solidarity" with Nicaragua, and stressing that the support of S.I. is to the democratic and pluralist political project. This faction reflected fears of the course which, in their judgment, the process was taking, and especially of what they called the supply of Cuban and Soviet arms; in short, concern over the "Cubanization" and "Sovietization" of the process. This tendency, quantitatively, was in the minority, but, qualitatively, carries the most weight. The other faction did not give much attention to these considerations.
and in general showed understanding and interest in getting to know objectively the difficulties of the process, joining in censuring the U.S. as the most responsible for the situation in Nicaragua and denouncing the lurking dangers in the process. On this side could be found Pierre Schori, Jim Fulton, of the New Democratic Party of Canada, Antonie Blanca, of the French Socialist Party, among others.

2) From the point of view of the objectives that the FSDN proposed for the meeting, in our opinion, they were accomplished. The dangers and risks of the process were recognized in the Declaration approved by the Committee and S.I. support was voted for the "Nicaraguan democratic and pluralist policy" project. On the other hand, the public declarations formulated by the majority of the participants were generally positive, but showed to some degree the apprehensions of some factions with the current direction of the process.

3) The contradictions and tendencies within S.I. were highlighted insofar as how to deal with the policy toward Nicaragua and, in general, the attitude to assume toward the Latin American situation. U.S. pressures on the S.I. and its parties, the difficult and complex international situation and the course being followed by the processes in the region, determine that the most right-wing factions in the heart of the S.I. adopt a position of conciliation and moderation, and propose to avoid that the S.I. continue to behave as it has been up until now, without renouncing the strategic objectives of influencing the direction of the Central American and, in particular, the Nicaraguan processes with clear neutralizing proposals.

4) With this meeting the S.I. has made clear the limits of its "solidarity" with Nicaragua, and in fact its right-wing factions proposed to exert pressure and direct influence on the course of the Revolution. This is not a case of the S.I. strategically varying from its policy toward Nicaragua, but rather demonstrates, to the highest degree possible, caution and discretion, and it will watch very closely the next steps in the Revolution. Finally, we attach a copy of the interview that Bayardo Arce had with Felipe Gonzalez and Carlos Andres, before the meeting started, which clearly reflects the viewpoint of the right-wing positions in the S.I.

With revolutionary best wishes
[signed]
Manuel Pineiro Losada
Conversation Between Bayardo and Carlos Andres and Felipe Gonzalez

Carlos Andres and Felipe indicated that they were absolutely convinced of the dangers, threats, and pressures which were being exerted on Nicaragua, principally by the U.S., and the difficult situation which the process is experiencing. That precisely what the U.S. wants to do is harass Nicaragua, pushing it into a radicalization of the process, and thus giving itself a pretext and evidence for implementing military aggression.

Both were worried that the Sandinista junta would fall into this trap and play into the hands of the U.S. and that, in their judgment, it was already doing so; therefore, the Sandinistas must take into account that reality and not let themselves be provoked. So it becomes absolutely indispensable that the revolutionary project be kept within the democratic and pluralistic guidelines, and that this was the condition for maintaining the support of S.I. They indicated that they (the social democrats) were in a very difficult situation, undergoing strong and continuous attacks and pressures from the U.S.; they added that the absence of the Germans at the meeting was a clear example of that difficult situation.

They stressed that it was urgent that a minimal platform be drawn up which protects the relationship of social democracy with the Nicaraguan process and that they come to some understanding. That to continue the trend toward closeness with the Soviet bloc, it would be very difficult to sustain the present position of solidarity.

Referring to steps which could be taken by the Sandinistas, Carlos Andres suggested a decrease in the Cuban presence. Both mentioned the concern over the Soviet presence and in particular the tanks. They requested that Bayardo set up a meeting for them with the whole National Junta to bring up all of these questions and as well as others outside the framework of the meeting of the S.I.

Bayardo summarized the difficulties and problems which they faced, emphasizing that they (the Sandinistas) were not the ones who had closed doors, and that if all the roads were closed to the Revolution, they would have to go where they could get help; that the revolution has to guarantee its right to continue to exist and defend itself.

Regarding the tanks and weapons, Bayardo went over the problem, questioning why so much was being made of that problem when nobody was saying anything about the English tanks which were entering Honduras. In sum, he did not categorically deny the matter, but neither did he confirm it.
Referring to the previously alluded to Cuban presence, Bayardo wondered what Cuban presence was being talked about, adding that he had just returned from Havana where he went to convince Fidel to quickly replace the contingent of teachers which will soon leave since 60,000 children will be left teacherless, and 2,000 are needed, although up until now no one, no country has offered to send any except Cuba. Bayardo argues the plan of Cuban operation with Nicaragua along these same lines.

He also said that the Sandinistas understood perfectly the situation faced by social democracy and even understood that at a given point in time the social democrats would cease to support the revolution; but he also asked that the alternatives be considered.

Insofar as the meeting that was requested with the full National Junta, Bayardo evaded the issue, citing reasons of workload, although, in one of the meeting’s sessions, participants had the opportunity to engage in a direct interchange with the members of the Policy/Political (?) Commission (Bayardo, Humberto Ortega, and Wheelock).

Letter Sent By Hans Eberhard, International Secretary of the German Social Democrat Party, to his Counterpart Walter Hacker, of the Austrian Social Democrat Party and Representatives of Bruno Kreisky in the Committee Meeting

Bonn, June 19, 1981
Professor Walter Hacker
International Secretary of the Austrian Social Democrat Party

Dear Walter:

Regarding Nicaragua, I have to inform you that neither Willy Brandt nor Hans-Juergen Wischniewski will be able to attend the meeting of the S.I. committee for the defense of the Nicaraguan revolution to be held in Managua on June 25. Neither, unfortunately, will Klaus Lindenbergs nor I. We will explain this directly to our Nicaraguan friends. At any rate, we have asked our colleague Butterbach to act as an observer in the discussions and meetings.

Willy Brandt wrote Felipe Gonzalez and gave him his and our opinions on certain things which have taken place in Nicaragua. You should also read this letter.
I hope that you agree with me that our solidarity with the revolution cannot be interpreted by some Sandinista colleagues as carte blanche for whatever they do. For many reasons our assistance not only politically but also morally cannot be interpreted as if it were in only one direction.

I suppose you know of the last internal struggle in the Sandinista movement, with the Ortega brothers enmeshed in a desperate battle against those who advocate the hard-line policy considered by many to be supported by Arce, Wheelock and Borge.

With more than normal interest, I would like to read your report and to know if I should go to Stockholm or meet you halfway on your return from Managua.

With respect to the trip of our friend Marten Van Traa and others to Uruguay and Argentina, I expect that everything is going well. I wrote to Marten and asked him to be careful and prudent because the real Argentinian situation is full of complications; some government circles will certainly be thankful that a dialogue has been started with our political forces in Europe as long as we do not upset their national pride.

Regarding the meeting of the S.I. Committee on Latin America and the Caribbean to be held July 25 in Grenada, we question, for obvious reasons, the rationale and decision to hold this meeting on that island; it could be interpreted by some as a provocation. I hope that our Salvadoran friends are not the ones to suffer most because of this.

Best Wishes
Hans Eberhard
REPORT ON THE GENERAL CONGRESS OF THE WORLD CENTER FOR THE
RESISTANCE OF IMPERIALISM, ZIONISM, RACISM AND REACTION.

FROM: The N.J.M. Delegate
TO: The Central Committee of the N.J.M.
DATE: June 26th, 1982.

Comrades, I left Grenada on June 11th to attend what I was informed to be a Conference in Solidarity with El Salvador. It was only on my arrival in Cuba, together with delegates from other Caribbean Revolutionary and Democratic Parties and Organisations that I learnt the true Character of that Conference in Libya.

On Saturday June 12th the Caribbean Delegates met with Cde Phinera, a member of the Central Committee of the P.C.C. in charge of American Affairs. He explained to us the nature of the Conference and put forward key guidelines for our approach to the major issues of the meeting.

1. That we should avoid giving support for the idea of Libya being the center of the World anti-imperialist struggle and its military implications of rapid Deployment force against imperialism.

2. That we should only give solidarity expressions for the proposed World Center.

3. That the Secretariat of the World Center should include Latin American and Caribbean Revolutionary Forces, he also said that Cuba will be participating as Ospal in the Congress and that they would be leaving that very night for Libya.

After the meeting with Cde Phinera the Caribbean Delegates continued to meet to analyse the new information and to take some common positions. The full implications of Cuba's participation in the Congress as Ospal and not as the PCC suddenly dawned on us and was a source of great concern but this did not prevent us from taking common decisions i.e:

1. That the English speaking Caribbean take a multi-lateral approach to the Material assistance from the Libyans for the different Organisations and Parties, as compared to the Bi-lateral approach which can give range for organisations requesting arms, and its possible repercussions in terms of imperialist reaction.

2. The tentative decision that N.J.M. should speak on behalf of the Caribbean, because there were other Caribbean Delegates who took another route to Libya, because they also received invitations from the Libyan Embassy in Guyana, and therefore would have to be consulted with, on the decisions.

N.J.M. agreed to speak on behalf of the Caribbean but pointed out its concern over the level of Cuba's participation in the conference, The fact that they were not attending as the PCC indicates the low profile being taken by the Cubans and that it is of concern to us since Cuba is the leader of the Revolutionary Movement in this part of the world. We should therefore express our concern about this to Cde Otto Marrero.
W.P.J. response was that it seems that N.J.H. is implying, taking a low profile if Cuba is doing the same and since they are the two parties with State Power, therefore in that case the other Organisations should not speak and in fact we should go underground.

The Libyans met with us on Monday June 14th and apologised for not getting in contact with us earlier. We left Cuba that day and arrived in Libya on Tuesday 15th June. We were driven to the Congress from the Airport. There were Delegates from over 80 States and 240 Organisations at the Congress.

The opening session of the General Congress included a welcoming address by the Congress Chairman, The feature address by the Libyan Leader and solidarity statements. The Leader's address was adopted as a Working Paper of the Congress Papers.

The second day of the General Congress included a Morning session of Solidarity Statements. During the latter part of the morning session, The second item of the Congress was introduced. The draft Charter of the World Center or the World Sanctuary was presented verbally to the Congress. None of the Delegates had a written Copy of the draft, but sometime later Copies of the draft Charter was brought to the Congress Center while it was still being read.

After all delegates received copies of the draft, it was suggested that since the document was not studied before, the Congress should break for lunch so that delegates could study it. On resumption the draft was discussed and amendments were called for in the documents. A redrafting Committee was set up, and delegations submitted their amendments in writing to the Congress. The third Day of the General Congress was Characterised by Solidarity Statements.

The closing session of the General Congress focused on three Major Points i.e. (1) The ratification of the Charter. (2) The ratification of the Tripoli Declaration. (3) The setting up of the organ of the Sanctuary Consisting The General Secretariat of the Congress and the selection of the Secretary General.

The New Draft was ratified after much comments and criticism about it. At one time it appeared as if the Congress would break up in confusion and disarray. Criticism flew across the hall at the Latin American Delegates from Arab and African Delegates generally. The Latin Americans called for amendments to the Charter and a break in the meeting so that they can meet among themselves first, and with the Libyans and the redrafting Committee after. The Chairman called for all amendments to be in writing. It was clear that the Arab Delegations and African Delegations like, Benin, Ghana, Senegal and Uganda comprised the Core of the forces which wanted the Charter ratified as presented by the redrafting Committee.
There were expressions from several delegations of the fact that they were invited to Libya to attend a Conference in Solidarity with El Salvador and now being confronted with the real nature of the Conference, they cannot concretely commit themselves to the center because they have no mandate from their organisations to do so. They suggested bringing back the documents home so that their organisations can study them.

The question of the membership of the Soviet Union in the Center was raised. It was pointed out that the Center is for the small Countries of the world, The Third World Countries, not for the big Countries. One or Two delegations also pointed out that the Latin Americans were trying to prevent the ratification of the Charter because the Soviet Union was excluded from membership. This charge was denied by the Latinas saying, that all they wanted were some amendments to the New draft Charter.

The Congress adjourned for 30 minutes at the request of the Latinas. On Resumption the Latinas put forward their proposed amendments i.e. :
(1) That all reference to the United Nations be removed from the draft.
(2) That the Word 'United' be removed from objective Two of the Charter.
(3) That the word 'Weapon' be deleted from the Charter.

The Congress accepted the amendments and the Charter was ratified.

The second item the Tripoli Declaration was discussed. Suggestions were made on adding some details to the declaration and it was also ratified. The Chairman of the Congress presented the third item of the closing session. The item included the setting up of the General Secretariat and the Secretary General. The Congress agreed that the Secretariat be composed of the representatives of 18 Countries and Organisations. There were proposals for membership of the Secretariat of a number of Countries.

PROPOSALS FOR MEMBERSHIP


Grenada was proposed for membership by W.P.J. Zimbabwe and Vietnam were also proposed for membership. El Salvador and Nicaragua withdrew from proposed membership in the Secretariat and suggested Guatemala.

Grenada was proposed for membership again by Seychelles. Other Countries proposed themselves for membership. Bolivia took the flour and told the congress Chairman that Grenada has been proposed again and again and that he was not responding to the proposal, therefore she would like to propose Grenada for membership again.
The entire Congress showed its approval by exploding into loud applause. The Chairman said that we had agreed on 18 members which we now have, but it seems that you want it to be 19, - more loud applause, Grenada was accepted as a member of the Secretariat. The Secretary General of the General Secretariat was bestowed on Libya.

The Secretariat met at 12 noon on Saturday June 19th. Cuba was not informed in time of the meeting, so that the Leader of the P.C.C. delegation Cde. Fundora and other top members had already left Libya. Only Cde. Luis was in Libya and he represented Cuba at the meeting only as an observer.

I and the rest of the Caribbean delegation left the Hotel at 11 a.m. to attend a meeting at the International Green Book Center, up to that time I was not informed of the Secretariat Meeting, but I met with the Cuban Delegate Cde Luis who brought me up to date on the meeting. He said that the meeting of the Secretariat was a complicated one. Some Countries did not understand changes in the names of members of the Secretariat. Nicaragua El Salvador membership was a major issue. The Arabs suggested translations problems as reason for the issue. The Libyans want a meeting with Nicaragua and El Salvador to find out why they do not want to be members of Secretariat.

Some members of the Secretariat were concerned about the Latin Americans behaviour. The Libyans suggested outside pressure from the Soviet Union through Cuba on the other Latin Countries.

The question of Grenada membership was not challenged.

Cuba was proposed a member of the Executive Committee in the meeting along with Senin, Iran, Syria, and Libya.

Secretariat to meet in six (60) months again.

Based on this report and the attached documents, and detailed analysis of the global situation, our party will have to decide whether Grenada should participate in the World Center for resistance to Imperialism, Zionism, Racism and Reaction.
THE CARIBBEAN DELEGATION

The Caribbean Delegation Comprised the following Representatives.

ANTIGUA - TIM HECTOR - A.C.L.M.
BAHAMAS - LIONEL CAREE - VANGUARD
BARBADOS - FRANCIS BELLE - MONALI
DOMINICA - BILL RIVIERE - D.L.M.
- PIERRE CHARLES - D.L.M.
GRENADA - GODWIN HORSFORD - N.J.M.
GUYANA - MICHAEL CHAN - P.P.P.
- ROHIT WILLIAMS - P.P.P.
JAMAICA - ELEAN THOMAS - W.P.J.
- MAXINE HENRY - P.N.P.
ST. LUCIA - GEORGE ODLUK - P.L.P.
- MIKE PILGRIM - P.L.P.
- EARL BOSQUET - W.R.N.
ST. VINCENT SIMEON GREENE - U.P.M.
TRINIDAD/TOBAGO - MICHAEL ABDUDEEN - P.P.M.

There were sharp differences in views among delegates from the Caribbean on issues regarding a Common approach to material assistance from the Libyans and also who should speak on behalf of the Caribbean. N.J.M., W.P.J., W.R.N. and P.P.P. generally carried a common position on these two issues and generally had a common outlook on most issues.

There was a line that Cuba was using Grenada to influence the other Caribbean parties and organisations. The reason I believed that this line developed was the fact that Cuba was always keeping in touch with Grenada. Cuba always contacted Grenada to invite the Caribbean to the Latin American and Caribbean meeting, and generally made Grenada aware of the behind the scenes issues involved in the Congress and what is their position and general guidelines for us to follow.
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GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS:

1. The 15th Congress of the S.I. held in Madrid and conceived as the programmatic grounds for the projection of the so-called democratic socialism in the 80's, could not implement the political basis for the offensive initially conceived by that international organization.

2. Various concurring factors in the field of international relations, the election of Ronald Reagan, the world's economy and East-West relations contained in the text of this report affected this.

3. The Congress could rather be defined as the expression of a policy of expectation and of partial tactical retreat of the S.I.

4. Despite the aforementioned, it should be stressed that the S.I. maintained, in essence, its basic global positions set forth at the Geneva and Vancouver Congresses which opened a new stage in the international organization's political life.

5. It can be stated that the policy toward Latin America became the central issue and the probatory touchstone of the S.I.'s opening to the Third World and of its will to confront the new Republican Administration.

Undoubtedly, the contradictory nature of the actions of international Social Democracy lies in its very roots. In its Latin American projection, obviously without loosing its feature of being a permanent adversary of the left and of the revolutionary forces it becomes a tactical ally of the latter; an important ally in the peoples' democratic and anti-imperialist struggle.
The effective use of the tactical space offered by the forces of social reformism in this juncture, will depend greatly on the left's ability and capability to project it to serve its own objectives in the struggle; a clear proof of which has precisely been the scenario of the Congress.

On the other hand, it should be borne in mind that the Social Democrat's reformist project — in the face of the harsh socioeconomic reality of our continent, characterized by a deepening of the system's structural crisis and a growing polarization of the class struggle — has, objectively, no crystallization prospects whatsoever in most of our countries.

6. In spite of these restrictive circumstances, the Congress fully ratified Western Europe's will to practice an independent policy with respect to the United States in all vital matters of international life.

This is evidenced in the:

- Petition of the ratification of SALT-II

- Adherence to the convening of the Pan European Conference on Disarmament.

- Support to the proposed moratorium or to the non-stationing of new intermediate range missiles in the area.

7. In the Congress, judgements were passed on the problems of Afghanistan, Cambodia and Poland, as well as on the arms race with expressions which, although of anti-Soviet content, differed in emphasis.

8. In the item or chapter devoted to African problems, an underlying political reference is made of our country concerning that region, although in a general context it implies other nations.
9. The existence of multiple trends and nuances within the attending Social Democratic parties and personalities became manifest in the Congress, which reiterates our criteria on the heterogeneity of the reformist movement in our times.

10. The S.I.'s social reformist theoretical basis became manifest in issues such as the economic crisis, North-South Dialogue, problems of employment, etc., although the progressive nature of some of its central approaches on these matters should be acknowledged.

11. In our view, the Congress as a whole ratified the convenience of maintaining a line of access to the S.I.'s political information sources and of frequent relations with its activities, specially under the circumstances of the Republican Party's rise to power in the presidency of the United States.

The purpose of this report is to make a global political assessment of the results of the S.I.'s Congress and of the agreements contained in its General Resolution, the only document adopted at the meeting. References on the most significant activities that took place within the framework of the Congress that permitted, through different channels, the knowledge of important political situations in the Social Democracy's leadership and in many of its component factors are also included. This report excludes the minutes of the working sessions, the analysis of the delegations' speeches, press conferences and other activities which, due to their lengthy nature, would require a different treatment.

The preparatory work for this Congress and the direction given to it at various previous meetings of the Bureau of the International, as well as the documents and reference available to us, clearly indicate that the objective of the S.I. leadership was to turn the Madrid Congress into a new important offensive in the worldwide political strategy of Social Democracy, stressing that this Congress was to substantiate and make a breakdown of the so-called "projection of the S.I. in the 80's".

In other words, the attempt was to strengthen S.I.'s activities and to arrive at political statements that would mean an important step forward in the implementation of the three main objectives set forth at the 1976 Geneva Congress and ratified at Vancouver in 1978. As is known, they are: to expand East-West cooperation; a plan of measures for the solution of the North-South conflict and to promote
human rights. Coinciding with said goals, the Third World phase or phase of rupture with Eurocentrism that continued developing in subsequent years, was opened. As foreseen, the new political objectives of the International were to be contained in a new programmatic platform whose drafting project was entrusted to a working group presided over by Felipe González and made up by Vice Presidents Reulff Steen and Karel van Miert of Norway and the Flemish Socialist Party (Belgium) respectively. The idea was to elaborate a set of doctrines of the S.I. or declaration of principles, structurally similar to the one adopted at Frankfurt in 1951 but that would basically underline the political tasks that constitute the S.I.'s present profile and to express its main present political goals in a more invigorated way.

As is known, the preparatory work of S.I.'s Congress covers a lengthy period to plan their activities, in which the Bureau of the international body establishes various tasks related to the preparation of the reports and proposals that will be debated within the framework of the Congress. In order to have more information available concerning the preparatory stages of the Madrid Congress and of the aims we have referred to, at the end of this report there is a list of the main documents to be consulted as references.

Unquestionably, the international junctures which occurred during the last months of the preparatory stage of the Congress, critically affected the plans of the International to turn the Congress into a new basis for the projection of its worldwide political activity. In our view, among the most relevant facts in this regard, the following can be mentioned: Ronald Reagan's election as President of the United States; the suspension of the Conference on European Cooperation and Security in Madrid; the events in Poland; the Iraq-Iran
war and its repercussions in the region; the sharpening of the international economic crisis and particularly its effects on the industrialized countries of the West.

We believe that the impact of these situations can be clearly observed, with respect to the Congress, by examining two of its documents:

1. Opening speech of the Congress, delivered by the President of the S.I., Willy Brandt.

2. The introductory speech on the Declaration of Principles by Felipe González, where reference is made to the inconvenience of presenting at the Madrid Congress a new programmatic basis, postponing its elaboration for the next Congress, using various pretexts.

If to all the aforementioned elements we add the information about the strong pressures exerted on the S.I.'s leadership and on Brandt himself by the US State Department of which we learned through Latin American delegations' sources, one understands even more clearly the political context within which the S.I. leadership had to work in its Congress. The main US pressures that we learned of were:

1. A personal letter of Secretary of State Edmund Muskie addressed to Willy Brandt, about which he reported of the Bureau to stress the pressures being exerted on him.

2. Various documents of the State Department itself where concrete demands are made on the treatment of the situation in El Salvador and Central America. (see annexed list).
Due to the aforementioned, one can understand that the frustration of the S.I.'s initial plans and the difficult political circumstances in which the Congress was held, made Brandt himself ask publicly the following in his opening speech. "I don't know what will be the fate of mankind in the 80's: How would I know will be that of the S.I."

The verification of the aforementioned political circumstances led us to state, in different messages sent during the initial days of the Congress, that attitudes of retreat were being observed in the S.I. in the face of the new situations. We believe that the final results introduced some alternative elements, specially concerning Latin America which we shall later on discuss, that would indicate that the strategy adopted before the existing difficulties by the leadership of the S.I. could be defined more accurately as a "waiting stage" or as a policy of expectation and restrain awaiting for the development of international events.

We shall now break down the main formulations of the text of the Final Resolution adopted, using as reference the draft prepared by the already mentioned working group (Circular 89/80) and the different versions containing the modifications resulting from the political debate during the Congress. In our comments, we shall refer to the confidential information and political circumstances that allow us to make assessments of some of the problems put forth.
The aforementioned draft presented as a basis for discussion and forwarded to the members of the Bureau on September 17, 1980 was elaborated by the Resolutions Committee that met in Bad Neuenahr, FRG, on September 14. The Committee was presided over by Hans-Jürgen Wischnewski, Vice Chairman of the SPD and the following affiliated parties:

- British Labour Party
- New Democratic Party of Canada
- Radical Party of Chile
- French Socialist Party
- Social Democratic Party of Germany
- Labour Party of Israel
- Social Democratic Party of Italy
- Social Party of Japan
- Socialist Party of Senegal
- Workers' Socialist Party of Spain (WSPS)
- Social Democratic Party of Sweden
- UISY

In our opinion, the text is deliberately moderate and extremely cautious in its political statements. It could be said that, by omission, it was poor and weak. The reasons for this were, undoubtedly, the heterogeneity of the Drafting Committee on the one hand, and the purpose
for the document to serve exclusively as basis for the debate in the Congress on the other.

It should be pointed out that Latin America was dealt with in an obscure and indiscriminate fashion, and that the global approach on Latin America proved hollow, politically weak and negligent.

Nevertheless, it should be noted that the draft contained two issues of major importance to measure the S.I.'s political position:

- Support to the French-Polish idea favoring a European Conference on Disarmament, which undoubtedly was a bridge with the socialist countries.

- Petition of the immediate ratification of SALT-II, which naturally clashed with the election of the Republican candidate in the United States.

Since the first working day of the Congress (November 13), the efforts of the rightist and conservative sectors of the International aimed at depriving the text of the previously mentioned positive features and at introducing wordings denoting anti-Sovietism and enhancing the ideological values of Social Democratic reformism became manifest. Through various friendly sources worth mentioning -- some Latin-American delegations, Pierre Schori and members of the WSPS participating in the organizational work -- we learned of the passionate debate on this issue in the Bureau meeting.

On the 14th, a second draft General Resolution -- which we obtained through the same sources -- also circulated confidentially among the members of the Bureau. As can be seen in this text, the debate within the Bureau resulted in the inclusion of various issues: the Afghan problem;
events in Poland; charge on the USSR's alleged arms-race policy; and to restrain the formulation of economic problems only to monetary and commercial matters. As to Latin American matters, the text reflected, however, some of the main problems of the region, although still in a weak and insufficient manner.

Saturday evening (November 14 to 15) a very lengthy session of the Bureau was held — also reported to us by the same sources — which resulted in the formulation of a third draft General Resolution. In general, this was the text finally adopted by the plenary on Sunday 16th with the addition of three topics proposed by the delegations of the countries concerned: Cyprus, Malta and Grenada, aimed at highlighting, of course, the political objectives of their respective S.I. member parties (EDEK, consultative party; Labor Party of Malta, full member; and New Jewel, admitted as full member during this Congress).

In its introduction, the document describes the present international situation by the aggravation of the economic crisis, the speeding up of the arms race, the deepening of the North-South gap, and the increasing violation of human rights. As can be noted, all these assertions oppose the S.I. programmatic objectives. It goes on affirming that "peace is threatened more seriously than at any other moment in the past ten years and relations among the great powers have worsened considerably". We believe that the S.I. deliberately starts with such a statement to conceal the sharp differences in the political atmosphere of the Madrid Congress and the previous Geneva and Vancouver Congresses, where the atmosphere was of relative success.

Additionally, — and this can be considered undoubtedly as a victory of the progressive positions and of the will to oppose the future Republican Administration — the International calls for the ratification of SALT-II.
although in a subtle manner backs the beginning of the SALT-III negotiations soon. In this chapter of the text, a formulation remained — which, in our opinion, is the most important concession of the Congress to US interests — stating that should negotiations on the limitation of strategic weapons be suspended, this "could lead to the USSR's greater accumulation of new missiles" It should be understood that in spite of the negative content of this formulation, it does not fail to reveal also a pressing intention on the United States.

Another element contained in the introduction is the Iran-Iraq conflict. After referring to its dangerous nature, the document cautiously speaks of the actions Olof Palme had just initiated, by making his success lie on "the commitment of non-interference by the great powers and the nations of the region". According to our knowledge, it seems that Palme's actions were preceded by a commitment expressed in the form of a declaration of intentions by the factors potentially involved in the conflict favoring the actions and refraining from any material implications in the confrontation between the two countries.

Following the introduction, the Polish issue is dealt with also in cautious terms although reiterating the reformist S.I.'s traditional stand on the organized labor movement to be found also in Brandt's opening speech.

Another significant element is the insistence on the need to safeguard the continuation of the Madrid Conference on European Security and cooperation. It should be stressed that this formulation becomes particularly important if we take into consideration that the atmosphere in Madrid and the general political assessments concerning the Conference seemed to indicate then a general blocking of its possibilities to go beyond the formal opening on November 11. Without doubt, the strong support given by the S.I. to the Conference was aimed at highlighting a constructive position as opposed to the situation prevailing then.
It is also particularly important that, although limitedly, the introduction contains support to the holding of a European Conference on Disarmament. We believe it reveals the interest of the International to please the positions of the French, the SDP and of a group of major European social democratic parties, and also constitutes a political gesture towards the socialist countries, particularly the USSR.

Immediately, the Resolution approaches a chapter on the economic crisis, stressing its aggravation and stating that it is "the proof of the existing economic order's inability to achieve a more just distribution of wealth". Analyzing this paragraph within S.I.'s characteristic approach, we believe that it presents more advanced theoretical formulations than those agreed upon in the Geneva and Vancouver Congresses, since, although the International naturally stresses its false distribution solution as a remedy for economic exploitation and insists on the formula of productivity and purchasing power increases as miraculous panaceas, it is also true that the need for reform in the international economic order is clearly established and opposition to the thesis on the solutions through free market economies is formulated, which undoubtedly constitutes a direct attack against the US Republican Party's policy.

Then comes an extremely brief chapter on Europe that reflects caution and care in its formulation. It contains, however, two very significant aspects:

a) Reiteration of S.I. support to all measures contributing to the withdrawal or non-stationing of new intermediate-range missiles in Central Europe, which is an endorsement of the idea of a moratorium promoted by the FRG.
b) Reiteration of the need for Western Europe to express and publicize its own interests and responsibilities concerning the problems of world peace, even by opposing them to the United States.

Both issues without doubt reveal two basic aspects of S.I.'s political objectives in Europe and, in its broader sense, in East-West relations, and clearly emphasize the will of not yielding before the new US Administration. In a conversation with François Mitterand at a reception given at the Ayuntamiento de Madrid, he said that the inclusion of these two issues in the Resolution meant a face-to-face confrontation with the United States and embodied the will of the S.I. to persevere in its objectives.

Concerning the Middle East, the most relevant element was the decisive and full support given to the efforts of the Labor Party of Israel to return to the government of that country. It is noteworthy to stress that the leadership of the S.I. undoubtedly took two bold measures that reveal its degree of commitment in this connection. On the one hand, Brandt, Kreisky and Felipe González, among others, signed a joint document together with the Egyptian Foreign minister Boutros Ghali and Shimon Peres himself -- which was afterwards mentioned in the Resolution only in terms of "noting", thus revealing the degree of internal debate aroused. In this connection, we were able to learn that in the Bureau meeting where this topic was dealt with, a sharp confrontation aroused in which the position that intended to make the Congress adopt a more direct support to the content of the Declaration in its Resolution was defeated by 14 votes against 5.

Moreover, the Congress decided to acknowledge consultative status to the MAPAM Party of Israel, inviting it to make up a joint representation with the existing member party.

The position adopted on the Middle East became one of the most vulnerable points and a critical factor for Brandt himself, who was blamed on several
occasions during the press conference held at the closing of the meeting, as can be seen in the Memoires published.
Moreover, as can be seen in the Resolution, the formulation on the Palestinian problem actually does not go beyond the Camp David Agreements established by the governments of Israel and the United States.

We believe that a relevant element in the chapter devoted to the Middle East is the recognition of Malta's new policy of neutrality; this position of the S.I. should lead us to a closer examination of the present actions of the government of Malta -- which has recently adopted firm stands on issues as important as Italian-Maltese and Libyan-Maltese relations -- and Malta's position at the Belgrade Conference on the European Security and Cooperation Conference, which was further stressed in the present round of negotiations in Madrid. This topic is interesting when determining the degree of Yugoslav influence in Malta's policy.

In the chapter devoted to Asia two central elements should be pointed out:

1. The position on China
2. The treatment of the Cambodia and Afghanistan problems.

As for China, the strategy adopted by the S.I. clearly favors the position of the US, enhancing the new Chinese policy of broader "international dialogue". It is significant that the Resolution also denotes interest in the development of events in China.

It should be pointed out that in spite of our inquiries in this respect, we could not learn of the presence on any Chinese officials in the Congress with any capacity.

Concerning the Cambodian and Afghan problems, the Resolution calls for a "reconciliation of the Cambodian people to guarantee its neutrality".
And although no reference is made on Vietnam or on any specific claims — which are expressed in connection with the situation in Eastern Timor and the presence of Indonesian troops there — undoubtedly, the nature of the demand is negative.

In the case of Afghanistan, it should be pointed out that the S.I. adopted an overtly aggressive style in stating "that it is terrified and deceived" because the USSR has not yet withdrawn its troops from Afghanistan, and concludes saying that it "supports the resistance of the Afghan people to the occupation of the country". In a conversation held with many journalists attending the Congress and in the speeches delivered by most speakers at the Congress, an extraordinary insistence on the Afghan problem as an element of denunciation of the USSR became manifest. These circumstances should be taken into consideration when evaluating this part of the text.

Lastly, in connection with Asia, India's position as a decisive force in the Non-Aligned Movement is stressed, but no further mention of the Movement itself or its policies is made.

As for the chapter devoted to Africa it is also extremely brief, and is dealt with very conventionally, as usual in S.I.'s congresses and conferences. Its main considerations refer to the denunciation of the South African regime and the struggle against apartheid. The S.I. seems to consider that the phenomena of class exploitation, neocolonialism and military dictatorships in the region do not exist.

We wish to stress that this Congress Resolution contained an entirely new formulation which constitutes an implicit attack against demanding the withdrawal of foreign troops from the African Horn conflict "and others".
The only positive element to be stressed in this chapter is the actual recognition of the POLISARIO Front within the context of the reaffirmation of the right of the Saharan people to self-determination.

The analytical section of the Resolution that refers to Latin America and the Caribbean is specially significant both for its content and for its length, when compared with the rest of the Resolution. An assessment of the document reveals a sharp contrast between the chapter devoted to Latin American problems and the treatment of other topics such as détente, disarmament, the Middle East, Africa, and others. The chapter devoted to Latin America is undoubtedly the most positive and advanced in the document.

The most important issues in the analysis of the Latin American situation were:

- Central America, particularly El Salvador
- Latin America policy of the new US Republican Administration
- Nicaragua

It is enlightening to note the positions contained in the Resolution that show the positive trend we have already referred to:

a) "The Socialist International challenges the US government to put an end to its political and military support to the present Salvadorian Junta and to admit its inability to prevent more bloodshed. We reject the thesis of the Salvadorian problem is that of a Junta fighting against an extreme right or an extreme left. It is rather that of a despotic regime whose actions have led to a state of civil war".
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b) "We believe that the victory and achievements of the Nicaraguan revolution reflect the expectations for social change in the entire region. We reiterate our support for the Sandinista National Liberation Front."

c) "The Socialist International voices its solidarity with the people of Grenada and our new affiliated party, the New JEWEL Movement. The International will never admit foreign interference in the economic or military affairs of Grenada."

d) "The Socialist International seriously warns against any attempt from foreign powers at interfering in the internal affairs of Latin American and Caribbean countries."

e) "We are concerned about some remark of the then Republican candidate to the presidency of the United States on Latin America, particularly his expressions of support to the dictatorial regimes of El Salvador and Guatemala, and his attacks against Grenada and Nicaragua. We hope that our fears be groundless. We will await for the political statements of the new Administration before passing judgement. But we see the attitude of the new Administration towards Latin America and the Caribbean as a sample of its stand towards the whole world."

To sum up, the position of the S.I in the Final Resolution on El Salvador represented a defeat for the United States and Latin American center right sectors, who could not impose their thesis.

From the point of view of the progressive and left forces, the analytical chapter on Latin America is in essence -- given the present international juncture -- undoubtedly positive and to a great extent meets the objectives pursued in this context.
Suffice it to reiterate that the first draft Resolution represented a set back not only when compared with the results of the Santo Domingo Conference but also with what was stated at the previous Vancouver Congress; it was simply hollow, full of rhetoric, and avoided any definition on the most burning and relevant problems of the continent.

In this positive evolution of the Resolution concerning Latin American issues, the action of the delegates from MNR and FDR of El Salvador and the Nicaraguan delegation headed by Commander Bayardo Arce was most influential.

The behavior of the three key elements in the analysis of the Latin American situation — the Europeans, the Latin American group and the actions of the US State Department — gives an approximate picture of the contradictions and trends dealing with the treatment of the Latin American issue in the Congress.
a) The Europeans

In general, the position of European parties on the Latin America reality was good; this was reflected both in public addresses in the plenary and in the Bureau meetings and bilateral conversations and contacts. The Spanish, Swedes, English Laborites, Dutch, Pinnis, Belgians, French, Canadians, among others, were part of this trend.

To give an example: the drafting of the paragraph where the S.I. challenges the United States to put an end to its political and military support to the present Junta in El Salvador, was proposed by Jenny Little from the British Labour Party, when Willy Brandt was presiding over one of the Bureau sessions. It was also she, who, together with the PKP delegation from Jamaica, defeated a motion presented by Fanny Simon from the US Social Democratic Party against Cuba, which received only one vote in favor, from said party.

The German Social Democratic Party which was divided into two main trends on the Latin American problem deserves special mention: one trend was led by SPD Vice Chairman, Hans Jürgen Wischnewski with more conservative positions and more susceptible to US influences, and the other led Willy Brandt with a realistic and receptive attitude towards the positions of the most progressive and radical sectors of the Latin American group.

It must be taken into account that Wischnewski has been leading the conversations of the SPD with the State Department on S.I.'s positions on Latin America.

In his opening and closing speeches, Brandt ratified S.I.'s line of solidarity with the Nicaraguan Revolution and of support for the struggle
in El Salvador, which means to reject U.S. strong pressures to change the situation. It was precisely at the second Bureau meeting where the wording of the Latin American chapter was discussed, that Brandt sarcastically revealed that he had received a letter from Secretary of State, Muskie, stating that the positions of the S.I. Congress on El Salvador should be strictly moderate.

b) The Latin American group

In the Latin American group, differences were more clearly defined:

- The center right sector, led by Carlos Andrés Pérez, Daniel Oduber and the Panamanian representation led by Blandón. The main objective of this sector was to make the S.I. change positions on Central American realities, particularly on El Salvador, attempting to receive support for an alleged immediate political or negotiated solution of the Salvadorian conflict and for this to be included in the Congress Resolution on those terms. They cited the military weakness of the revolutionary forces in El Salvador and their incapability to defeat the army, stating that negotiations were the sole solution — which would in essence entail the capitulation of the revolutionary forces and the implementation of a formula acceptable by the United States. Basically, this position agrees with the alternatives the State Department is planning for El Salvador.

- The center left sector, made up by Peña Gómez, Anselmo Sule and Jorge Arturo Reyna, did not speak in favor of the positions of the center right sector but maintained an eclectic attitude and showed little activity, reflected ups and downs and attempted to conciliate the formulations of the most progressive and left sectors.
The sector of progressive and revolutionary forces, made up by the Salvadoran representatives (DHU, FDR and MNR), Commander Bayardo Arce of the PSLN, Jamaica's PNP, the New JEWEL of Grenada, and others. The positive role played by Manuel Ungo and Hector Oqueli of the MNR should be stressed, as well as the work done by Commander Bayardo Arce. The main objective of these forces was to thwart the maneuvers of the center right, strongly stimulated by the Panamanians, and avoid a set back of S.I. positions on Latin America and the Caribbean.

To this end, a plan of action was scheduled to hold meetings and contacts with the main European and Latin American leaders, the results of which were positive.

c) Actions of the US State Department

After the Santo Domingo Conference, the State Department intensified its efforts and activities to change the policy the S.I. was following toward Latin America and the Caribbean which, as is known, shows important signs of friction with the Latin American projection of Carter's Administration.

Contacts and meetings took place before the holding of the Congress among State Department officials and Social Democracy leaders. The main interest for the US government remained unchanged: to avoid the S.I.'s condemnation of the Junta in El Salvador and actually to promote its support, and to prevent criticisms on the policy of the United States toward Latin America and the Caribbean.

As stated before in this report, during the Congress we learned of four documents that had been distributed by the State Department and the US Embassy in Madrid, in which the basic issue demanded was for the "Socialist International to condemn the use of violence whatever its
origin, making an appeal to all those involved in the conflict of El Salvador to stop the violence and accept the mediating offers of the bishops).

It was also learned, on the other hand, that the State Department sent a large group of officials for lobbying, including Roy Prosterman, who is well known for his participation in setting up the "strategic villages" in Vietnam and for his being at present an adviser in the implementation of the agrarian reform intended for El Salvador. Also present, and on the same job, was Rey Prendes, the Major of San Salvador, who was sent by the Americans.

The continued presence of the US Ambassador to Madrid, Terence Todman in the Congress halls was also common.

In making a comparative analysis of this Congress' section on Latin America and the Caribbean with the previous Congress, one clearly observes important progress. The formulations adopted relate to the most important issues of the region's political life at present and to a large extent meet the objectives that the progressive and revolutionary forces aspired to have reflected in the document, although when compared with the Santo Domingo Conference, there are important elements that are not contained in the Resolution of the Congress:

- Support to the struggle of the people of Puerto Rico for their independence, an itchy issue for the United States, that brought about strong reactions on the part of the State Department.

- The recognition of the "legitimacy of the use of violence when other paths to attain the peoples' objectives of liberty and social justice are closed?".
In this regard, it is significant that no reference was made about the Santo Domingo Declaration, while only satisfaction was expressed on the September 13, 1960 Declaration of the Latin American Parties meeting in Caracas. This was, undoubtedly, a concession to the United States.

On the other hand, one should not forget that due to this forum's hierarchy, the weight and significance of the statements on Latin America contained in the Resolution of the Congress relevantly go beyond the scope of the Declaration of Santo Domingo, which was a regional meeting.

In our view, the reasons that explain the positive projection of the position on Latin America adopted by the 15th Congress of the S.I. are the following:

1. Since the early part of this decade, the S.I. started to make a turn in its relations with the so-called Third World and specially with our region. This change takes place within a period of deepening of the structural crisis of State monopoly capitalism, which imposes new demands on the main European countries. The collapse of the system of colonial domination, the blows dealt to the traditional structure of neo-colonial domination beginning with the change in the international correlation of forces and the economic attraction the countries of the region offer, are basic elements behind the actions of the Socialist International.

2. Undoubtedly, Latin America is a touchstone of the political opening of the S.I. to the so-called Third World. There are factors of greater socio-economic development and of the region's socio-classist structure among others, that offer Social Democrats better possibilities of influence when compared to other areas of the underdeveloped world.
3. On the other hand, we cannot lose sight of the existence of interimperialist contradictions, mainly between the FRG and the United States. West Germans are aware of the changes occurring in the continent and of the transformations that will undoubtedly take place in the future; they also know that this reality is affecting and will affect US hegemony to a great extent. Their aspiration, in the face of this displacement, is to guarantee space and influence that, to be efficient, must count on the credibility and acceptance of the democratic, progressive and even left forces of the area.

4. The way the Congress dealt with Nicaragua is truly illustrative. The Nicaraguan Revolution is, without doubt, a trial test for the S.I. policy toward Latin America. The establishment of an International Committee for the Defense of the Nicaraguan Revolution and the support of the S.I. to the Sandinista Front, is an unmistakable proof of its interest to continue making efforts to influence this process, which is decisive for their influence work in the area and specially in Central America.

5. In the Congress, therefore, a set back in the positive formulations the S.I. had maintained on Latin America and the Caribbean until then, would have meant the failure and collapse of a policy that represents the main achievement Social Democracy can show in its Third World projection; and an open defeat of its efforts to neutralize revolutionary processes in the continent.

6. The prospect for the new Republican Administration's Latin American policy has aroused fear and almost the conviction of important sectors of the European Social Democracy that Reagan will militarily attack Latin America. In our view, that assumption favored the
receptive attitude of most European Social Democratic Parties in the Congress before the positions adopted on the area. From their point of view, it is a matter of warning the new Administration to act persuasively in the future policy to be implemented by the US Government.

The last part of the General Resolution contains, in various sections, the main problems for the 80's from the S.I. point of view. Undoubtedly, what was most significant was the statement contained in the second paragraph of this chapter in the sense that "The political pendulum has moved to the right in certain parts of the world".

The treatment given to the remaining items, through having once again a bearing on the Social Democrats' theoretical ground, shows some formulations that are more positive than others in past Congresses and Conferences. This is particularly significant concerning the:

- Treatment of the role of transnationals, while underlining the need of their subordination to the "peoples' interests".

- Deep reforms, not only in the international monetary system, but also in its institutions.

- Formulation of a thesis on the overcoming of the economic crisis in the developed world that rejects the unilateral interpretation of its inflationary origin and proposes a world-wide social solution in the search for employment sources.

- Adoption of the postulates of the Report of the Brandt Commission, which basically implies the ratification of its most positive considerations.
In this presentation we intend to examine how Social Democracy has become ever more active in Latin America and the Caribbean in the past few years, which are the objectives and characteristics that make up its projection in the area, which are the elements that have conditioned it and the true possibilities Social Democrats count on to develop their strategy in the continent.

In the past five years, the international Social Democracy has intensified its activities in the so-called Third World, specially in Latin America and the Caribbean, showing particular interest in expanding its political space and influence in this region.

It is timely to recall, --in order to evaluate the true dimensions of this offensive -- that Social Democracy as a political trend had, until then, expressed itself basically as a European phenomenon. This does not mean, nevertheless, that our sub-continent was marginated within the framework of the strategic interests of certain European Social Democratic parties such as the German Social Democratic Party that -- as an expression of West German monopoly interests and through the "renowned" Friedrich Ebert Foundation -- created the basis for its work of systematic and long-term penetration in the area. The establishment of the Latin American Institute for Social Research (ILDIS) in Chile (1966) and of the Center for Democratic Studies on Latin America (CEDAL) (1968) proves this.

Although it is true that since the 70's the SI maintained relations with some Latin American parties such as the Socialist Party of Uruguay, the MNR of Bolivia, APRA in Peru, amongst others, these relations were very weak and lacked true prospects.

On the other hand, Latin America's political history does not record the existence of a significant movement which could be defined as Social Democracy.
Democrat -- in view of its goals, programs and structures, if we use as point of reference the European Social Democratic pattern, -- except in the English-Speaking region of the Caribbean, where they emerged under the protection and incentive of the colonial bonds with the British Labour Party.

In our opinion, many elements condition and explain to a great extent the deployment of the SD's efforts to plant itself in the so-called Third World countries, particularly in Latin America and the Caribbean.

1.- One of the basic reasons are the new demands and pressures on the main European countries originated by the deep structural crisis of State monopoly capital -- in the form it had in the 40's and the 50's. The collapse of the system of colonial domination, the blows dealt against the traditional structure of neo-colonial domination that started with the changes that occurred in the world's correlation of forces, greatly affecting the so-called Third World, demand actions from State monopoly capital to overcome the crisis.

2.- For European Social Democracy --especially for parties in power -- the economic attraction of the so-called Third World countries becomes greatly important: market possibilities for their products; the transfer of processing industries; cheaper labor and, above all, the revaluation of raw materials as a relevant element in the productive process.

The following data concerning the FRG-Latin American relations are illustrative in this respect.

According to official 1978 reports, Latin America is the most important recipient country of the FRG's goods and capital when compared with Asia and Africa. The FRG is the main commercial partner of Latin America of the capitalist European countries.
In the field of capital investments, an accelerated increase of West German presence is observed; in the past 10 years, investments have multiplied by five. In 1978, West German investments in Latin America represented 63.3% of their total investments in the so-called Third World and 13.5% of the total direct investments of the FRG abroad.

3.- On the other hand, the estimates of the Social Democracy concerning Latin America take into account the existence of historical and cultural bonds and similarities of the latter with the Old Continent and the most favorable conditions offered by the area's dependent capitalist development (when compared with Africa and Asia) in terms of class structure and of more appropriate socio-economic conditions when compared with the rest of the underdeveloped world to implement the Social Democratic project.

Seen from a different prospect, this SD offensive is launched in a period of development of military dictatorships and of the establishment of fascist regimes in the continent as a result of the deep crisis in the representative democratic-bourgeois system and of the development of the revolutionary and democratic forces; in this manner, Social Democracy presents itself as an "alternative to save the system" by offering an alleged path that would exclude both fascism and socialist revolutions.

The following statements by some of the most outstanding European Social Democratic leaders, contained in an exchange of letters between Willy Brandt, Olaf Palme and Bruno Kreich from 1972 to 1975 are truly eloquent.

In one of these letters, Olaf Palme states: "The talks I have had during the past few years with representatives from Third World countries have indicated that those countries are searching for their own path in a world like today's, subject to a clear polarization of forces... Most Third World countries reject both Soviet communism and US capitalism and do not accept
any of those systems as valid development models. The strength and value of Social Democracy lies in the fact that it does not constitute a political threat for these countries at all).

Palme goes on saying: "What we do want is to have close and open contacts with these countries and discuss with them the problems that the world's progressive forces are faced with. Our International cannot become an exclusively European organization. We must find responsible and non-bureaucratic formulas to make of the International a forum open for debates and the cooperation of representatives from all over the world. To do this, the basic thing is not that we all fully agree on all ideological matters".

On his part, Willy Brandt stated: "We should not end this exchange of views without clarifying our criteria on which is the best way to improve cooperation among Social Democrats and other forces of similar ideology throughout the world. In order to efficiently carry out this task, our International is quite limited. We must attain a flexible and non-schematic cooperation with political forces from other parts of the world that somehow coincide with our parties although with different starting points."

Brandt goes on saying: "Let us take Central America as an example. There are various parties there that come very close to what we understand as democratic socialism, but these parties do not fit within a framework as rigid and conditioned by traditions as the Socialist International. Therefore, some form of exchange of views among our parties and theirs would have to be found...We should speak of fundamental theoretical matters, but also of basically practical topics. We should be flexible enough to establish contacts with these forces and find common fields of action in spite of possible differences. This is an important goal for all European Social Democrats".
The 13th Congress of the SI, held in Geneva in 1976, considered the new changes that were taking place in the projection and relations of Social Democracy with the so-called Third World, specially Latin America and the Caribbean; it planned the SI's interest to overcome its Eurocentrism and expand its political space; a special resolution on Latin America was adopted for the first time, denouncing the military dictatorships and existing repression in various countries of the continent; the Dominican Revolutionary Party and Costa Rica's PLN were added to the list of SI's member parties and Accion Democratica and Movimiento Electoral del Pueblo, both from Venezuela, and Paraguay's Partido Revolucionario Febrerista were given consultative status, thus making up a total of 5 new full member parties and 3 with consultative status. Daniel Oduber of the PLN of Costa Rica and Anselmo Sule of the Partido Radical de Chile were elected vice-chairmen of the organization, which is significant if we take into account that Latin Americans had access to those positions for the first time.

It should be stressed that the documents adopted did not contain the traditional formulations of obstinate anti-communism of the times of the "cold war" that had characterized SI's stand, which, naturally, does not mean it has abandoned the anti-communist objectives that inspire the organization, nor the negative references to socialist countries.

Besides, the so-called "democratic socialism", expression of the doctrines of contemporary bourgeoisie reformism, was not stressed as an "alternative project" between capitalism and communism; a certain flexibility of the stubborn stand concerning relations with other communist parties and progressive forces took place.

Since the 13th Congress of the SI was held up to now, the activities and actions of the SI concerning Latin America have multiplied: holding of international meetings, visits of delegations of the organization and of
its main leaders to various countries of the continent have taken place, such as those of Willy Brandt, Mario Soarez, Al Herand and Felipe Gonzalez; added to this is the fact that at all ordinary meetings of the SI Bureau, the Latin American issue has been relevant.

These actions of the SI have included denunciations of the military and fascist dictatorships of the area in such terms, the ceasing of repression, the need to make democratic changes and the promotion of the so-called "democratic socialism" as a feasible alternative for the countries of the continent in the face of decaying capitalism and "totalitarian socialism".

To this one must add the meetings and reunions that have been held on various occasions and that had not been officially convened by the SI, that is, not organically committed to it and that counted with a large participation of Latin American parties, including some of the left. This type of informal meetings are part of the SI's policy of dialogue and rapprochement with the progressive and left forces of the area, outside of its organizational framework, using for this purpose somewhat powerful parties such as Mexico's PRI, Venezuela's AN and Portugal's PS, amongst others.

In general, the agreements and resolutions of this type of meeting have had a marked progressive content, even to the point of denouncing the US for its policy on the area.

The international Social Democratic organization showed particular activity concerning the struggle of the Nicaraguan people against Somoza, waging an international solidarity campaign with their struggle and speaking in favor of the armed line as an exception in the case of Nicaragua, as stated by Willy Brandt at the 15th Vancouver Congress held in November last year.
Representatives of over twenty parties and political organizations of Latin America attended this meeting and two resolutions signed by them were adopted, a general one on Latin America and another one supporting the independence of Puerto Rico, which were basically more progressive than the one officially passed by the Congress on the area. Besides, four Latin Americans were elected as Vice-chairmen of the SI, two other parties of the area joined the organization and the establishment of a Committee of the SI on Latin America was proposed.

Let us now briefly examine, strictly from the Latin American perspective, the real forces Social Democracy counts with in the region.

At present, there are 10 Latin American parties organizationally linked to the SI. As full members we have: Partido Socialista de Argentina, Barbados Labour Party, Partido Radical de Chile, Partido Liberación Nacional de Costa Rica, Partido Revolucionario Dominicano, El Salvador's Movimiento Nacional Revolucionario, Jamaica's Peoples National Party and with consultative status: Partido Revolucionario Febrerista de Paraguay, Acción Democrática and Movimiento Electoral del Pueblo, both of Venezuela.

Undoubtedly, in spite of its heterogeneity in classist terms, these ten parties are a political expression of the interests of sectors of the bourgeoisie in their respective countries; this general classist framework does not, of course, exclude the difference in the class compositions of the parties, a circumstance that, among others, gives each party a more or less liberal or progressive, or more or less conservative orientation.

On the other hand, we should add that some of these parties, like the Partido Revolucionario Dominicano, Jamaica's PNP and Costa Rica's PLN to a lesser degree, represent important forces of the small and middle bourgeoisie and, above all, the former one, whose influence reaches somewhat large sectors of the people, counting with leaders of the charisma of Francisco Peña Gómez, Secretary General of the PRD.
Besides, it should be stated that out of these parties, there are four with a specifically important influence in the political life of their respective countries:

- in Santo Domingo, the PRD won the past elections and is, without doubt, the main political force of the nation,
- the PNP of Jamaica was elected to government by a majority support.
- Venezuela's AD and Costa Rica's PLN continue being, in spite of having lost the majority in the past elections, the most important political forces in their respective countries.

There is another group of organizations and parties in the sub-continent which, although presently lacking organizational bonds with the SI, have relations and contacts of relative importance with said organization, or move within the sphere of influence of the "Social Democratic trend", or if anything, flirt with it.

Among the main forces of this category, we have the following organizations:

- Partido Revolucionario Hondureño
- Frente Unido de la Revolución de Guatemala (FUR)
- Partido Socialista Democrático de Guatemala
- Partido Revolucionario Institucional de México (PRI)
- Partido Liberal de Colombia
- Movimiento Nacionalista Revolucionario de Izquierda de Bolivia (MNR-I)
- Izquierda Democrática de Ecuador
- Unión Cívica Radical de Argentina
- Partido Revolucionario Democrático de Panamá
- Partido Intransigente de Argentina
- Partido Socialista Unificado de Argentina
- APRA de Peru
- Partido Traballista Brasileño
- Partido Democrático de Curazao
- Partido Independentista de Puerto Rico

These organizations have attended the activities, seminars and meetings sponsored by the S.I. or the West German SDF, and most of them have declared their ideological adherence to the Social Democratic trend.

The differences in the programmatic formulations, national projections, composition and actual political force in their respective countries among the parties listed above are unquestionable. However all these parties establish their political projects and actions within the framework of the capitalist system and in line with representative democracy.

There is another group of parties and movements, a minority indeed, which has maintained irregular and peripheral contacts with the S.I. or some major European social democratic parties. We are referring to the Montoneros, the Partido Socialista Uruguayo, the Partido Socialista Revolucionario del Peru, sectors within the Partido Socialista of Chile and the FSMN, among others.

These revolutionary and left forces affirm that they have nothing to do with social democracy ideologically — in some cases we have no doubts —, and that they simply use these contacts circumstantially to promote their objectives in the struggle, in terms of requesting solidarity for their causes, denouncing fascist regimes, and so on.

The parties organically linked to the S.I. — which we have mentioned — declare with varied approaches, their basic programmatic goal to be the so-called democratic socialism based on the theoretical and ideological bequest of Bernstein's revisionism of the Second International which Lenin brilliantly defined and rejected in his struggle against this trend.
To this we must add that these parties vindicate, to a greater or lesser degree, the alleged solutions offered by European Social Democracy to the serious problems the countries of the area face. In the socio-economic field they favor a just redistribution of income, the creation of stable sources of employment for all, demand fair treatment in international economic relations, more advantageous prices on raw materials, and so on; in the political sphere they demand a democratic opening, the elimination of military and fascist regimes, respect for individual freedom, and others.

In analyzing the past six years of European Social Democracy's activity it is imperative to record an objective fact: in 1973 European Social Democrats had more or less stable links with 8 or 10 related organizations in the area, while at present more than 24 parties are linked with Social Democracy.

Let us now review how do other mechanisms of the European Social Democracy — specifically West German as predominant force in the S.I. — operate in the continent, to do its penetration work in the area in order to promote its strategic objectives.

We are referring to the Friedrich Ebert Foundation, a tool of the German Social Democratic Party and, to be exact, of West German monopoly capital.

The essential objectives of this institution in the area can be briefly described as follows:

- to create favorable conditions for West German capital penetration and the promotion of its economic interests in the region,
- to curb and/or neutralize the revolutionary movement by encouraging the development of the political forces related to Social Democracy,
- to subtly nurture anti-communism and hostile activities against the socialist camp.

These aims, of course, are not manifest nor evident in the activities carried out by the foundation. An outstanding feature of its work is its subtle and refined methods and practices.

For this purpose, its relies on training and research institutions covering almost all countries of the area, such as the Costa Rica-based Center for Democratic Studies on Latin America, and the Latin America Institute for Social Research with branches in Caracas and Quito. It also has experts and advisors on different matters in charge of representation offices in various countries of the continent.

Through all these means it organizes numerous international seminars and training courses for trade union, peasant, business, youth, political party leaders and others.

Moreover, it provides material and financial support to political parties and movements that favor the Social Democratic trend.

CEDAL alone organizes some 65 to 70 varied annual seminars in which approximately 2000 persons participate every year. It is estimated that CEDAL operates on a 2-2.5 million dollar annual budget.

It is appropriate to recall, as an example, the holding of an International Seminar in Solidarity with Nicaragua convened last April.
by CEDAL to assess the situation in Nicaragua and agree upon concrete solidarity actions with the FSLN, the Patriotic Front and the Group of Twelve, as was publicly announced.

Apart from the representatives of the main Nicaraguan groupings that fought against Somoza, this meeting was attended by 19 parties and organizations from 13 countries linked with the Social Democracy.

The following significant formulations in the resolutions of this event are worth mentioning:

- the denunciation of US policy of intervention in Latin America and the Caribbean, specially Carter Administration's abetment of Somoza's regime. Imperialism was condemned and denounced by name;

- recognition of the need for unity of the left in every country and in Central America; therefore, a proposal was advanced for launching a campaign to resolutely struggle against anti-communist and anti-socialist mentality;

- the Central American projection of the struggle that was then being waged against Somoza was stressed, and it was literally stated that: "Nicaragua's liberation transcends to the freedom of other Latin American peoples such as Guatemala and El Salvador, and that the will to support Nicaragua's liberation, will mean the promotion of freedom for the Guatemalan and Salvadorian peoples."

An overall assessment of the results of the event we have referred to should inevitably include the following:
First, it was an international meeting of Social Democracy-related parties but not binding on the S.I. organically since it was held outside of its structure.

Second, the positive formulations and decisions made passed the filter of coined phrases — also included in the document — such as "changes only in democracy and freedom", "social justice in freedom" and others obviously intended to differentiate them from the achievements of real socialism.

Third, in the case of Nicaragua, European Social Democracy knew well that the struggle waged against Somoza was irreversible. On the one hand, they tried to prevent that process from becoming a true revolution of socialist nature while searching for a possibility to obtain political and economic benefits in a Somoza-free Nicaragua. In this respect, PRG's intention to compete with the US is evidenced in its clear purposes of appearing as "alternative partner", taking advantage of the anti-US sentiments and positions of a majority of the Nicaraguan opposition forces.

Lastly, we must not lose sight of the positive role played in the Seminar by Jamaica's FNP, Guatemala's PUR and PSD, El Salvador's MNR and by most representatives of the Group of Twelve, the Patriotic Front and the FSLN.

To sum up we would like to conclude with the following considerations on the abovementioned items:
1. We see a dual nature in the projection of Social Democracy in Latin America and the Caribbean. On the one hand, it does represent a permanent enemy of the essential objectives of the communist and left movements in that this trend intends to prevent the triumph of socialist revolutions and the materialization of the communist ideal. On the other, it is obvious that certain political positions of the Social Democracy can be used by the revolutionary and progressive forces of the continent at given junctures of the struggle against the repressive and fascist military regimes and of the confrontation with US imperialism.

2. Hence, in our view, while ideological struggle against this trend is necessary, we should implement ways and methods of case-by-case treatment of the parties related to Social Democracy whose positions coincide with certain tactical objectives of the Latin American revolutionary movement.

3. On the other hand, we must bear in mind that, although in the main contradiction of our times between capitalism and socialism, led by US imperialism and the USSR respectively, Social Democrats as a whole are on the imperialist side up to now, is not always from a position of more satellites, since inter-imperialist contradictions also affect social democracy. This is the case of West German Social Democracy—representative, in essence, of German financial capital—which is at present one of the leading contenders of US imperialism, although remaining as its partner in the struggle against socialism, the USSR and the socialist camp with compromising and often contradictory policies.

4. In this sense, the demagogic policy of "human rights" and of encouragement of "democratic openings in the countries ruled by
repressive military regimes, insistently promoted by Carter's Administration in its early years in power, favored the deployment of Social Democratic policies in the region. To this we must add that it is extremely difficult to conceive of the development of this overall Social Democratic offensive without the consent and encouragement, or at least the implicit tolerance of US imperialism, including a certain degree of political agreement on basic aspects.

Nevertheless, recently, and beginning with the reactivation of Christian Democracy in the area with the victory of COPRI in Venezuela and the defeat of the PLN in Costa Rica by a coalition in which Christian Democracy holds a prominent place, there are signs that the US is favoring the projects of Christian Democracy more than those of Social Democracy, considering, among other things, that the first is a more moderate force and less dangerous to its interest than Social Democracy.

5. As for the strategy of the international Social Democracy on Latin America and the Caribbean, in our opinion, it points to the following directions:

- to oppose the "model of democratic socialism" to scientific socialism in an attempt to recover the essential values of the bourgeois representative democracy system

- to deviate and neutralize the revolutionary and progressive movement, that is to prevent the victory of new socialist revolutions

- to undermine the influence and progress of the socialist camp, particularly of Cuba and the USSR.
To this end:

- it tries to secure its presence in countries ruled by military dictatorships or fascist regimes in case of an eventual "opening". This has been the case in Santo Domingo, Bolivia, Ecuador, Nicaragua and lately in El Salvador,

- it strengthens its bonds with those countries related member parties and implements a recruitment policy — including revolutionary movements — with those organizations capable of becoming suitable counterparts for its policy in the area.

6. Finally, we would like to stress that, — irrespective of the repercussion and propagandizing impact of the activation of Social Democracy in the area in recent years — the real effects of this policy so far show that, in spite of the circumstantial or eventual successes Social Democracy may have achieved in given countries by contributing to implement opportunistic openings its medium — and long-term historic prospects are doomed to failure.

The continent's harsh socio-economic reality and the degree of polarization of the class struggle make the "solutions" being fostered by Social Democracy actually precarious.
To: Cde. Unison Whitman, Foreign Minister
From: Cde. Fennie Augustini, High Commissioner

Report on Meeting of the Praesidium of Socialist International held in Bonn, West Germany on 1-2 April 1982

I arrived at the Tulpenfeld Hotel, Bonn where most of the delegates were staying at about 4:00 p.m. Unfortunately, I was unable to obtain accommodation at that hotel. Arrangements were subsequently made for me at Astoria Hotel, a reasonable distance away from Tulpenfeld.

After settling in, I returned to Tulpenfeld. There was a meeting with the Cuban delegation, the Nicaraguan delegation, the British delegation which was headed by Michael Foot, President of the Labour Party, the Guatemalan delegation, the Venezuelan delegation headed by Carlos Cudros Perez, a member of the Swedish delegation and Guillermo Lingo of the El Salvador delegation.

Before these meetings I spoke to representatives and later on Bernt Carlsson Secretary General of the Socialist International seeking observer status for Grenada at the meeting. The decision was firm that only members of the Praesidium and specially invited guests could attend. (The composition of the Praesidium is: President, General Secretary, six Honorary Presidents, and twenty-one Vice Presidents).

ISSUES:

The special meeting of the Praesidium was called because of the confusion existing in S.I. over a number of issues, one delegate said to me that if nothing is done about the present situation S.I. would lose credibility.

(a) The most immediate was the cancellation of the Bureau meeting which was to take place in Venezuela when the party Democratic Action bowed to American pressure and refused to invite Nicaragua. It was cancelled on the personal intervention of Bernt Carlsson and Willy Brandt.

(b) The declaration by Nicaragua of a state of emergency, seen in the context of the debate taking place within S.I. as to the principles involved in Social Democracy i.e. elections, two party system, human rights questions, freedom of religion, freedom of speech (free press).

(c) Disarmament - The recent visit of the S.I. disarmament committee to the Soviet Union within the context of world disarmament.

(d) The East - West conflict as it is seen being aggravated by Poland, Afghanistan and the debate about the relationship with Cuba.

The meeting started at 09.45 on the 1st April, although a number of delegations had not yet arrived. Some of the late arrivals were, French delegation, Israeli delegation, Austrian delegation, Jamaican delegation (only Michael Manley attended Cde. Paul Miller did not attend), Danish delegation and the Netherland delegation and the Chilean delegation Radical Party (Cde. Anselmo Sulle was the only delegate attending).

Central America and the Caribbean was down for discussion in the afternoon session (see agenda attached) it generated the most interest.
My information is that the discussion on Central America and the Caribbean went very well; this is substantiated by the fact that:

(a) There appear to be some dissatisfaction with Felipe Gonzales's report on Nicaragua - one delegate referred to the report as somewhat vague.

(b) A committee was appointed to draft resolution on the area (resolution attached) the composition of the committee was Ed Broadbent, Canada; Carlos Perez, Venezuelan; Michael Manley, Jamaica; and later on Gunselmo Sule was coopted.

(c) A decision was taken that Willy Brandt should appoint a committee to visit the area and report back (I asked Brent Carlsson that Grenada be included in the itinerary of the committee).

(d) It was decided that the S.I. Secretariat will continue to invite Nicaragua to attend its meeting, implication being disapproval with Democratic Action of Venezuela over its decision to exclude Nicaragua from the Bureau meeting in November.

I had a short discussion with Michael Manley - his stay was extremely short - he advised that, based on the letter he received from Maurice, Grenada's case was put firmly by him. My understanding is that this was so, although there appear to be some confusion on the invitation. In a follow up discussion, Brent Carlsson informed me that N.J.M. will have to send out individual invitations to Sister Parties.

On the East/West question - it appears that the meeting supported West Germany's position on Ostpolitik and to press for constructive dialogue on disarmament.

Comments

Most sister parties seemed well disposed towards Grenada, although some have reservations on what they see as the Marxist thrust of the N.J.M.

I believe that close relationship with Cuba will continue. Nicaragua's position is a little more difficult, although there was a great degree of understanding and sympathy for them by the time the meeting was finished.

N.B. Carlos Perez informed me that he may be visiting Grenada in May next year.

Had meetings with Chile Radical Party, Anselmo Sule and the delegation from Panama who was lobbying for admittance to S.I.

Fennis Augustine
1) To fully and objectively evaluate the results of the Bonn meeting, the following background must be kept in mind:

-Calling off the meeting in Caracas was undoubtedly the first significant setback for the SI on the Latin American scene. It revealed more clearly than ever the existing internal contradictions in the organization, the pressures being exerted in this regard and the aims of the conservative sector to negatively modify SI's Latin American policy and to recall its support for the Nicaraguan Revolution.

-The decision by Brandt and by the vast majority of the social-democratic parties of Europe, to refuse to bow to the demands of the right-wing forces, reaffirmed the interests of the most pragmatic and realistic circles of the SI, oriented towards continuing their neutralization strategy based on penetration of and influence on the political processes in the area. In this situation the rivalry between Western Europe and the US also comes to the fore, as well as the aim of European monopoly capitalist circles to dispute actively but without confrontation terrain which falls in the area of the US. Brandt's decision to cancel the Caracas meeting reveals his conviction that of the interests he represents to refuse to sacrifice strategic objectives for momentary situations which may present themselves.

-The consultations carried out by Felipe González in the region last December, which ended in January with the meeting he held with Haig in Washington, had negative results for the SI, in that, as seen by various European and Latin American parties, mainly the SPD of West Germany, Felipe didn't handle adequately the various situations he found himself in; he tried to monopolize the handling of the SI's policy towards Central America, and he contributed to stirring up the crisis he confronted in the SI by stimulating anti-Sandinista attitudes and positions and helping to spread opinions and confusion about the direction of the Nicaraguan process.

-Brandt's designation of Josepin to accompany Felipe on the consultations in the region after the Caracas cancellation showed his aim of compensating for the wavering and negative positions of Felipe with a more realistic point of view, such as that of PES. Felipe is playing his own game, aspiring to the presidency of Spain, for which he needs the nod from the US and from the Spanish right-wing policy-makers and military.

-Carlos Andrés Pérez—for various reasons, from the internal pressures in his own party, to pressures brought to bear by the US, and to the inconsistencies in his own demagogy positions—became the main spokesman for the anti-Sandinista attitudes.

-With the virtual failure of the overtures made by Felipe González and the cancellation of the Caracas meeting, the SI lost ground in the region, which was largely gained by the Mexican initiative, strongly reinforced by López Portillo's visit to Managua, his proposals for a solution in Central America and the efforts being made to implement them. The COPAL meeting held in Managua February 19-20 was an important step forward for FAR in terms of its intent to play a primary role in regional developments.

2) Regarding both its organizational structure and its content, the Bonn meeting was prepared by its hosts so that its results would confirm the continuity of the positions which the SI has been adopting towards Latin America and the Caribbean, forecasting and pointing all the elements or factors that were poten-

Tially risky, and which could have blocked this objective. The
right-wing positions were isolated or neutralized. No one questioned the SI's continued support for the Nicaraguan Revolution, and what's more, no one objected to Brandt's proposal that the FSLN be invited to the Bureau's next meeting in Helsinki. The subject of Nicaragua—the most conflictive problem debated—and the situation in El Salvador, were treated positively.

3) As far as the SI's stand on Latin American issues is concerned, the results of the meeting were generally positive. In addition to the resolution on Central America and the Caribbean, a commission was approved including Wischerwsky (vice-president of the SP), Ed Broadbent (vice-president of the SI and president of the NDP of Canada) and Carlos Andrés Pérez (from Acción Democrática) which would travel to the region, including to Cuba and the United States, with the mandate to hold conversations to promote a political solution to the tensions in Central America and the Caribbean. This commission will present a report on the results of its work to the SI Bureau meeting next May in Helsinki.

4) The tone of the document approved on Central America is moderate, cautious, without adjectives, but expresses clear positions on basic problems of the area:

-Notes that the so-called elections in El Salvador are no solution at all, and opts for a global negotiated settlement that would include all political sectors, specifying the inclusion of the FDR-FKLN.

-Says that the Sandinista government of Nicaragua should receive support for its commitment to pluralism, social justice, democracy and non-alignment; and condemns all attempts at destabilization and interference against its sovereignty.

-Emphasizes the importance of a total regional negotiation process that would include the countries of the region, and in particular the United States and Cuba. This is an essential aspect, in that it constitutes pressure on the US for negotiations.

-Adopts the proposals formulated by López Portillo and other regional leaders as the basis for achieving a solution for regional security, peace and cooperation.

5) Nevertheless, proceeding from the social-democratic viewpoint of not provoking the United States and of facilitating a channel of communication to the Administration, the resolution makes no reference to the US responsibility for the situation, the region finds itself in, nor to the dangers of its interventionist actions. The resolution limits itself to underlining the importance of the suspension of any intervention by one state in the affairs of another.

6) Negative aspects of the document are: its unilateral and self-seeking mention of the subject of elections in Grenada; and on the other hand, the formulation on Guatemala, that while general and vague, contributed to creating favorable expectations of the military coup recently carried off there.

7) In summary, the net results of the meeting were positive; it represented a blow against the policies of the present US Administration towards the region and a setback for its aims of changing the Latin American policy of the SI. The US Government's reaction against the results of the meeting was immediate, reflected in a State Department communiqué dated April 6, which strongly attacks the SI for its positions regarding Nicaragua and El Salvador.
8) Internally, the SI managed to control and neutralize the actions of the conservative and right-wing sectors. Felipe González was excluded from the commission to be sent to the region; Carlos Andrés Pérez outdid himself to demonstrate that his position had not changed, and that he continued to support Nicaragua; Mario Soares did not participate in the debate, and publicly stated that he fully subscribed to the resolution adopted; the PLN sent no representative to the meeting, so as not to commit itself, aware beforehand of the correlation of forces.

9) Together with the objective factors that shaped the results of the meeting, the actions and speeches of Michael Manley, Ed Broadbent, Claf Palme, Anselmo Sule and Riulf Steen played a very important role.

10) It can be stated that the positive positions of the SI towards the area, which correspond to its strategic interests, were strengthened. In the context of the present world situation, faced with the aggressive policies of US imperialism and the polarisation of political forces especially within the region, social democracy sees its possibilities strengthened to act as an intermediate alternative and to increase its role as a neutralizer against the radicalization of the revolutionary processes in the region. And they also include Cuba in this perspective, thinking to compromise in a negotiation process with the US our incidence in regional developments, or as they see it, "tying our hands and limiting our influence."

11) On the other hand, it can't be forgotten that posed against the US, the influence and terrain gained by social democracy in Latin America are resources and possibilities it has for negotiating global problems with the United States, with which, apart from strategic questions uniting the two, the SI maintains tactical differences of importance in the present situation.
BACKGROUND

1. Many claim for the Socialist International a history dating back to more than a century. It would appear that initially the name was the First International.

2. This International was born out of the rise of Socialist thought in Europe, the workers struggle in that continent and the internationalisation of capital and social and economic problems which many socialists felt demanded an internationally organised response.

3. Born in Europe at the historical epoch when vast areas of Asia, Africa and Latin America were yet colonised by Europe the Socialist International was a European organisation. However, since the second world war and the subsequent decolonisation process which saw the emergence of numerous nation-states, and since the heightened class struggle and formation of progressive nationalist and socialist parties in the newly independent nations, the horizon of Socialist International has widened considerably, stretching beyond Europe.

4. Thus, today only 20 of the 48 full member parties of the Socialist International are European. From Latin America and the Caribbean there are eleven (11) parties enjoying full membership.

5. Because of this widening of the Socialist International (SI) the concerns of the organisation have advanced beyond mere European ones and today reflect to a certain extent, truly international concerns which progressive, democratic forces must confront.

PRINCIPLES AND IDEOLOGICAL ORIENTATION

6. The SI has sought to commit itself to the principles of "Peace, Freedom and Solidarity". This is its broad banner.

7. More specific principles are reportedly contained in two documents: The Frankfurt and Oslo declarations of principles. The Frankfurt declaration was forged in 1951 and the Oslo declaration in 1962.
8. It is said that the Frankfurt 1951 document presented the Socialist doctrine mainly as a permanent human liberation movement, aiming at a fully democratic organisation of society, spreading from the political to the Economic, Social, Cultural and International Fields.

9. Democracy was seen "as the Synthesis of Freedom and equality whereby economic and social exploitation as well as political and Cultural enslavement of men by men and people by people is rendered impossible."

10. Recently the SI set up a Working Group on a New Declaration of Principles. To the Norwegian Labour Party was assigned the task of analysing the current situation from the point of view of Democratic Socialism exposed by the SI.

11. One of the findings of the Norwegian Labour Party was that:

"Democratic Socialists disagree, on the one hand with the point of view that Social evolution should ideally be coordinated by an invisible hand as is justified by the liberal theory of the market; on the other hand, we do not agree that power should be concentrated in one hand as justified in leninist theories of absolutism. One solution divests the people of power; the other establishes power over the people."

12. The Norwegian conclusion continues:

"According to one theory, power is decentralised and left at the doors of the market; according to the other it is completely concentrated in the Central Committee. In one the perfect society is identified with the perfect market; in the other, with the perfect leaders. In the one, the freely competitive market, no one holds power; in the other, dictatorship of the proletariat degenerates into a dictatorship over the proletariat. In the one, people are made impotent by the economy; in the other, by the police. Democratic Socialists reject this."
Advocating these principles and coming from such a philosophical orientation, the SI can be viewed as wanting to form a sort of third bloc or third force between the two main opposing poles of politics: Capitalism and Socialism. Thus, in Foreign Policy SI would repudiate both the U.S.A. and the U.S.S.R. and would blame both for international tensions and the cold war. For some of the Europeans the principles and ideological orientation of the SI is a means of escaping US hegemony over Europe while not attaching Europe to the U.S.S.R. Thus, Europe would, in this way, be back on the road to global supremacy.

However, the establishment of a New declaration of principles can prove a very torturous undertaking particularly as the SI is no longer monopolised by Europe but is now a fairly heterogenous body. And as President Willy Brandt put it "the European Concept of democracy cannot be exported to other regions on the earth."

Thus, as the "other regions" assert their sovereignty and their definitions of democracy and socialism the SI can become even more diverse. This has been recognised by Felipe Gonzalez of the Socialist Workers Party of Spain which hosted the last SI Congress. Gonzalez pointed out that "the risk of a new declaration of principles which is a letter of introduction of our identity, in which present and future members of the SI must be able to recognise themselves, is great".

Developing the point Gonzalez continued:

"We could fall into the temptation of preserving the principles of identity of European Socialism, excluding the others, thereby not giving an answer to the new problems...... Alternatively, we could be guilty of the fault of making a declaration so vague that, while including everybody, would satisfy no-one, even minimally, and which in consequence would not differentiate us from liberal or Christian democrats,......"
17. This then in the dilemma confronting the SI as it attempts to forge a new declaration of principles. A possible way out as one perceives it will be to agree on certain basic and broad ideological foundations and to create a body of ideas which condenses the SI position on fundamental world problems and issues.

18. In this manner some real harmony can be established between the parties; between a party like the Izquierda Democrática (Democratic Left) of Ecuador which rejects the concept of the dictatorship of the proletariat because, it says, the concept produces a new elite which usurps the power of the proletariat, a party which rejects a single class party and wants a mass party; and between movements like the FSLN of Nicaragua, the National Revolutionary Movement of El Salvador and the M.1.M. of Grenada which believe that the party in one which only the most serious and conscious elements can join. This divergence in views shows up the underlying fundamental contradiction between revolutionary socialists and democratic socialists.

19. However, in the SI a working relationship can be established between the democratic socialists who assume a middle ground position between socialism and capitalism and the revolutionary socialists who are committed to the application of marxists-Leninist principles in the construction of scientific socialism. One thinks the strategy is to agree on very broad principles and take a SI position on world issues on which there exists a consensus.

POSITION ON MAJOR ISSUES

20. The SI on most of the major problems and issues today assumes/liberal progressive position, on others it takes a position equidistant from both the USA and the USSR.

21. Evidence of the SI, position of equidistance is its categorization of the USA and the USSR as superpowers both seeking global dominance.

22. On the questions of the arms race, disarmament and international tension the SI attributes blame to both the USA and the USSR. Thus on disarmament the SI makes representation to both nations on an identical basis.
In May 1979 the SI sent its Study Group on Disarmament to discuss with Carter, Mondale and U.S. Government experts. In November 1979 the same Group went to the Soviet Union and talked with Brezhnev, the Soviet Government, the CPSU and other institutions.

23. The SI blames both the USA and the USSR for international tension and the setback to detente. SI cites: (i) the Cuban brigade crisis of late August 1979; (ii) U.S. reluctance to ratify SALT II; (iii) NATO decision on medium-range missiles as the main causes and is convinced that the situation was exacerbated by (i) the Soviet decision to deploy the SS-20; (ii) the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan.

24. In Poland the SI Congress Resolution coming out of the last Congress held in Spain on November 15-16, 1980 states:

"As the democratic socialists committed to the right of workers to organise free and independent trade unions, we welcome the recognition of these rights in Poland. We regard this as an important advance for economic and civil rights. We salute the courage shown by the leadership of solidarity. We welcome the pragmatic and realistic approach taken by the Government so far.

Our solidarity with the struggle for trade unions rights is clear. We recognise these advances as achievements of the Polish Workers and believe that any further progress will be made only by them."

25. SI takes no side in the Iran/Iraq war but views it as "a serious blow to stability in the Middle East and to Security internationally." SI appeal to both to cease hostilities and to take part in mediation efforts.

26. On the Kampuchean question SI Congress Resolution takes a broad position. It says: "We express our great concern and deep sorrow at the tragedy of the people of Cambodia. The SI reminds all Government's of their responsibility to help heal the effects of this terrible genocide.

The unity and integrity of Colombia must be respected. We support any initiatives towards a solution which will secure a reconciliation of the Cambodian people and its neutrality status."
27. The SI position on Southern Africa is firm. It states in part: "We condemn the continued aggression by South Africa against independent Angola."

28. It also undertakes the commitment to continue working "with all elements of the South African resistance, especially the ANC and SWAPO." and demanded the release of Nelson Mandela and Herman Toivo Ja Toivo.

29. On the Palestinian question the SI is somewhat split. Some parties are supportive of the Camp while others see the PLO as the sole legitimate representative of the Palestinian people and think that the PLO must be included in the negotiation of any solution.

30. The SI is soft on Morocco, though not supportive of it, on the Western Sahara issue. The SI, however, recognizes the Polisario Front and thinks that the Polisario Front and thinks that the Polisario Front/Mauritania peace accord can be a model by which the problem of the Western Sahara can be resolved.

**POSITION ON LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN**

31. The SI has been following developments in Latin America, particularly in the Central America and the Caribbean sub-region, very keenly. The progressive struggles unfolding in this troubled region, long dominated by European colonialism and, later, U.S. imperialism, have earned the support of the SI.

32. Thus, in the Congress Resolution the SI extended support for the Panamanian Canal treaties, for the valiant struggle by Michael Manley and the FNPF in the face of numerous adverse odds, for the Nicaraguan resolution and the FSLN, for the Grenadian revolution and the NEW JEWEL Movement and for the exemplary struggle of the people of El Salvador led by the Frente Democratico Revolucionario (FDR). The SI rejected the imperialist line that the Junta is a "moderate" one "fighting the extreme right or left." The SI declares:
"It is rather a despotic regime whose activities have led to a state of Civil War."

33. The SI supports independence for Belize "secure within its present borders."

34. The SI has repudiated the so-called "referendum" conducted by Pinochet in Chile and also the so-called "new constitution and "Join(s) with the people of Chile in their ongoing resistance to the military Junta.

35. A similar stand is taken on Bolivia which is ruled "by a vicious military dictatorship."

Full support from the SI goes to the people and the National Unity Government led by Hernan Siles Zuazo and Jaime Paz Zamora.

36. The Frente Democratico contra la Represion (Democratic Front Against Repression) (FDCR), the body which united all the progressive and democratic Forces in Guatemala opposing the bloody dictatorship led by Romeo Lucas Garcia, enjoy the full solidarity of the SI.

37. The SI has frequently resolutely condemned the dictatorships in Argentina, Uruguay and Paraguay.

38. In sum then the SI policy in Latin America indicates firm support for the struggles unfolding in that region.

N.J.M. AND THE SI.

39. The NEW JEWEL Movement became a full member party of the SI at the last Congress of the SI in Madrid, Spain. Previously, for a brief period, the N.J.M. had observer status.

40. The question of whether the N.J.M. - Grenada ought to be a member of SI has arisen. It is opportune that it has been at a time when the Ministry of External Affairs has decided to assess Grenada's membership in all international bodies.

41. Now it has been established beyond dispute that the Grenada Revolution of March 13th faces grave threats from imperialism, mercenaries and external reaction.
Given this, one of the ongoing objectives of Grenada’s Foreign Policy has to be: to harness moral political, economic and other support from the international community so as to stave off and combat external aggression and subversion.

42. One of the means of achieving this objective is through forging broad alliances internationally; through becoming a part of the international organisations which condemn firmly, militantly, imperialism and its aggressive manoeuvres and henchmen. The SI is such an organisation; thus, Grenada N.J.M., is correctly a member.

43. As Grenada seeks this international support, and as one fundamental aspect of the N.J.M’s ideological orientation is internationalism, another objective of the foreign policy is: to support the struggles of oppressed people.

44. Through membership in the SI Grenada can express organised support for the progressive struggles; in Southern Africa, the Western Sahara, Palestine, El Salvador, Nicaragua and other parts of Latin America etc. Thus, the objective is realised; thus, N.J.M. is correctly a member of SI.

45. Some would argue yes, these are your objectives, yes, they are realised through membership in SI. But what about principles? The ideology of the SI is Democratic Socialism. The Grenadian revolution aspires to advance beyond democratic socialism. Thus, there are differences between the N.J.M. (Grenada) and the SI.

46. Of course there are differences. There exist fundamental contradictions between revolutionary socialists and democratic socialists. But this does not mean that the two cannot strike a working relationship under certain conditions when the objectives are sufficiently broad.

47. There is a world of difference between the mildly reformist Social Democratic Parties in Europe, the Accion Democratica (AD) of Venezuela and the Labor Party, on the one hand, and the N.J.M. of Jamaica on the other. However, on certain broad issues a progressive consensus can be effected. Thus, N.J.M. in the SI is not a contradiction of its stated objectives and philosophical orientation.
In paragraphs 20-30 of this paper the SI Position on Major issues was outlined. A comparison with Grenada's position reveal that there exist a tangible coincidence of views on many issues. The SI fully supports the struggle in Southern Africa, condemns strongly apartheid and racism, is in firm solidarity with the FDR in El Salvador, the FDCR in Guatemala, the PSIN in Nicaragua and generally takes a very progressive position on Latin American issues. Those views and positions are identical to Grenada's. Thus, a working relationship, membership, is quite in order.

On issues where there exist a difference of views - Afghanistan, the two Superpowers, two imperialisms thesis, Kampuchea, the structure of the SI is sufficiently flexible to permit dissension. Thus, Grenada's sovereignty and principles are not compromised by being in the SI.

One can also point out to critics that if states like Vietnam, Oman, Zambia, Saudi Arabia, Cuba, Libya, Iran, whose economic, political and social philosophy is so enormously divergent, if these states can cooperate and agree in the Non Aligned Movement on very sensitive issues, then there is no reason why Grenada cannot effect some relationship with the Democratic Socialism of the SI. The fact is that the international situation demands that bodies with divergent views cooperate, discuss and agree on some broad and sensitive issues.

The U.S.A. and the U.S.S.R. represent two fundamentally opposing poles of world politics, let they sit together, take Joint decisions, agree on a number of issues at the United Nations, its Security Council and other bodies. Grenada and the Social Democratic parties can do the same in the SI.

In sum then Grenada's membership in the SI is quite consistent with its principles and objectives. Differences exist but they do not compromise the Revolution, its goals and tenets. As a matter of fact given Grenada's near isolation in the Caribbean sub-region defence might be a broad stretch of Foreign relations. The SI can be a useful element in that stretch.
Already Grenada has achieved statements of support from the SI. This must be consolidated.

The SI has mobilised economic support for Nicaragua. Grenada can approach the SI with a request for obtaining economic assistance. Grenada should point out to the SI (i) Grenada’s particular economic constraints as a small island; (ii) the attitude of the British and the Americans over the question of aid through WINBAN for banana rehabilitation must be revealed to the SI with a view to showing the urgent need for assistance and to obtain an SI condemnation of this economic aggression on the part of Britain and the U.S.A.

Now that the Social Democratic Party (SPD) of West Germany is in office, Grenada should initiate some dialogue with West Germany with a view to obtaining economic assistance. The possibility of trade should also be raised particularly as our traditional markets are in the hostile U.S.A. and Great Britain. In the event of an economic blockade by these two the West German Market might be an alternative. The SPD is a leading member of SI with Willy Brandt being the President. Its Government in Bonn might be more cordial to Grenada than the conservative Christian Democrats. Also, now that West Germany has an Ambassador accredited to Grenada it will be easier to communicate with Bonn.

Finally, membership in the SI should be retained. It has proved useful and if Grenada’s foreign policy initiatives are strengthened it can prove even more useful in the future.
REPORT ON MEETING OF SECRET REGIONAL CAUCUS OF
HELD IN MANAGUA FROM 6TH-7TH JANUARY, 1983

The following Organizations were represented:

- F.S.L.N. - Nicaragua - Antonio Marguin
- M.N.R. - El Salvador - Hector Oqueli
- R.P. - Chile - Freda
- P.N.P. - Jamaica - Paul Miller
- P.C.C. - Cuba - Silva
- N.J.M. - Grenada - Chris DeRiggs

The following items constituted the agenda:

1. An analysis of the balance of forces within the Socialist International -
   - the Regional situation.
   - the International situation.

2. Initiatives to be taken to strengthen the position of progressive forces of Latin America and the Caribbean within the organization.

3. Initiatives to neutralize forces within S.I. that are against us.


5. Activities of Copaal - combining S.I. work with Copaal work.


8. Structure of S.I.
   - Chairmanship of Regional Committee.
   - Proposed Regional Executive Secretary.
(9) Proposed Institute of Economic and Political studies.

(10) ALDHO. – Latin American Human Rights Organization.

(11) Work of Social Democracy in the Region.

The meeting was organized for the purpose of deepening the process of co-ordination among the most progressive S.I. forces of Latin America and the Caribbean with a view towards expanding the influence of the Region as a whole in the Organization and outlining a number of concrete initiatives related to the upcoming Congress of S.I. in Sydney, Australia.

The meeting was chaired by Antonio Harguin of the International Relations department of the F.S.L.N.

I. ANALYSIS

1) Regional Situation – the progressive forces are in control.

a) There are fourteen members of the S.I. Committee for Latin America and the Caribbean. Of these fourteen, there are seven parties that are generally progressive and some within a Marxist-Lennist trend.

There are three (3) new parties that have recently gained consultative observer status in S.I. They are:

(1) Puerto Rico.
(1i) W.P.I. – Guyana
(1ii) P.L.P. – St. Lucia

The presence of these parties will help to strengthen the influence of the progressive forces within the Regional Committee. These parties can, in effect, function like full members of the organization. We must always consult with them and keep them informed.

2. EUROPE IN RELATION TO LATIN AMERICA

a) There are sharp divisions among the European parties in their outlook on Latin America.
Our friends in this area are prepared to accept the Latin American Revolutionary process as being palatable if restricted to the Latin American context.

c) There is a great amount of misunderstanding about Latin America both among our friends and our enemies - some amount of fear and uncertainty.

d) Many of the European S.I. parties expect us to understand the concept of "the Soviet Menace".

e) Some European parties are concerned that, by the Latin American presence in S.I., they have let in a

f) Many European Parties are willing to hold discussions with us at levels which indicate the contradictions among themselves - the difference between Kryski of Austria and Braudl of Germany on the P.L.O. question.

g) Our strongest allies in Europe are the Nordic S.I. parties and that of Holland. There is also good potential with the U.D.P. of Canada.

h) Our principal enemies are to be found among the parties of Soares and Horge in Portugal and Italy respectively - the Social Democrats of the U.S.A. are also our sworn enemies.

i) The reason why the European parties did not allow W.P.N. and P.L.P. to get beyond the consultative membership status is because of their fear of the growth of membership with parties that they do not control.

j) A Mission to Europe comprising of our most trusted forces in Latin America and the Caribbean can be strategically valuable before the Sydney Congress. It can help to assure our friends and confuse our enemies.

DECISIONS

a) The next meeting of the Broad Latin America Region B.I. Committee will be in any one of the following places:
Michael Manley of P.N.P. and Auselmo Sule of P.R. will co-ordinate with B. Carlson of the S.I. Secretariat on this matter. Member parties will be informed accordingly.

2. A broad resolution on the Latin American and Caribbean situation will be passed at the meeting of the Regional Committee.

Agenda for this meeting will include:

a) Analysis of current political situation.
b) Attitudes to S.I. in Latin America.
c) Issues for Sydney:
   i) New situation
   ii) Expansion of S.I.
d) S.I. Latin America Committee:
   i) Structure
   ii) Staff
   iii) Officers
e) Christian Democracy in Latin America.
f) Actions to strengthen ALDHU.
g) Sydney Resolutions.

3. Hector Oquel of M.N.R. of El Salvador will draft a Resolution on Latin America and the Caribbean by 31st January, 1983. This Resolution will be specifically for the Sydney Congress and will address only the most major issues.

The following guidelines will be the basis for the Resolution:

(a) The Basle Resolution – including such themes like Peace and Non-Intervention, Anti-Militarisation in the Region, Anti-dictatorship, the settlement of disputes, etc.
(b) Solidarity with Nicaragua, Grenada and the F.D.R., P.M.L.R., and M.N.R. of El Salvador.
(c) A limited number of other key issues in the Region.
(d) The creation of a platform and frame of reference in S.J. for the approach on the Latin America and Caribbean Region until the next Congress in Belgium (in the subsequent 2 years).

4. Subject to the approval of N.J.M., the next meeting of the Secret Regional Caucus of progressive S.J. parties will be in Grenada around the 13th and 14th March. This meeting will have strategic value in that it will provide the opportunity to:
   i) assess the results of the tour of Europe by the selected parties, and
   ii) conduct a final assessment on issues related to the Sydney Congress - questions of tactics and levels of co-ordination can also be discussed.

6. Grenada should consider inviting a few key S.J. personalities to March 13th celebrations.

7. Bilaterals will be held with new Regional S.J. forces before Congress - Grenada will speak with W.P.A. and P.L.P.

8. In the meeting in Grenada, we are going to consider what initiatives can be taken to support Surinam. If the Surinam Government wishes, an unofficial familiarization visit can be organized subsequent to proposed Grenada meeting. This, it is felt, may have value in preparing members of the Regional Caucus to be able to speak with authority if the question of Surinam is raised in Sydney. If a decision is made to go ahead with this, the team can comprise:

   Radical Party of Chile
   F.S.L.N.
   P.N.P.
   N.D.P.

N.J.M. will establish contact with Surinam and guide the Regional Secret Caucus accordingly.

At the meeting of the S.J. Resolution Committee and Finance Committee in Madrid and Italy respectively during the middle of February, Regional parties should try to have the possible presence.
Subsequent to the Madrid and Italy meetings, a tour of Europe should be organized to hold bilaterals with all European parties who belong to S.I.

The participants of this mission should include:
- Ungo of M.N.R.
- Oquel of M.N.R.
- Sula of P.R.
- Manley of P.N.P.
- Miller of P.N.P.
- A senior representative of N.J.M.

This mission will seek to counter the forces of Portugal, Italy and the U.S. ...

Seek to spread discussion within hostile European parties.

Work of the expulsion of the (C.I.A.) U.J.A. Social Democratic Party.

9. Progressive S.I. forces in the Region should seek to attend COPAL meeting scheduled for Brazil in March and secure reinforcement of Sydney S.I.L.A. Resolution.

10. To push ahead and implement the proposal for the establishment of a Regional Institute for Political and Economic Research.

- Paul Miller of Jamaica as Director
- Open bank account in the Bahamas with signatures of Miller and Hector Oquel.

Maintain the Secret Regional Caucus with periodic and special meetings.

Review membership in the future.

Submitted by,
Cde. Chris De Riggs.
A. Agenda list of Participants and important resolutions are submitted as Appendix I, II and III respectively.

B. The N.J.H. Delegation consisted solely of myself. I arrived in Basle on the evening of Wednesday 3rd November. I missed the first day of the Conference. This was largely due to late booking and difficult flight connections.

On arrival at the meeting I immediately established contact with the delegation of P.N.P. of Jamaica which consisted of Michael Manley and Paul Miller. The following morning I held a Bilateral with Paul Miller (Michael Manley had left after the first day) who gave me a summary of the first day’s Agenda.

He indicated that Manley had presented to the S.I. Bureau Meeting a report on the Caribbean and Latin America. Paul Miller indicated that Michael Manley had, in his report, outlined the case for Grenada along the following lines:-

i) that the Country, under the P.R.G., had made important strides forward in the development of its Economy;

ii) that a number of forums of peoples’ participation had been developed;

iii) that the basis was being laid for the formation of a New Constitution and the organization of elections in the future;

iv) that there were certain objective difficulties which affects the rate at which the Country can proceed in developing the objectives stated in No. ii.

....../2
On the 2nd day of the meeting, attention was focused on:

(1) Resolutions to be sent to the Congress of S.L. scheduled for Sydney, Australia in April 1983,

(2) Invitations to the S.I. Congress, and

(3) other matters related to the up-coming Congress.

RESOLUTIONS I

As a consequence of discussions held between representatives of Socialist Parties of Latin America and the Caribbean, a joint resolution was submitted to the Bureau Meeting. This resolution was presented by Amselmo Sule of the Partido Radical of Chile who is presently living in exile.

The resolution did the following:

i) It called for unconditional support for the National Revolutionary Movement of El Salvador (MSM),

ii) it condemned plans for military aggression against Nicaragua,

iii) it welcomed the decision of the Frente Patriotic de la Revolucion de Nicaragua to request to the Junta Government the elaboration of an electoral law, a law for political parties, a law for foreign investment's, of a law regulating the means of communication and the reform of the law of the state of emergency that will allow political parties to function,
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iv) it called on the S.I. to accept the invitation forwarded by Nicaragua to send a fact-finding Mission into Nicaragua. The following members of S.I. have been designated:

Ed Broadbent, Carlos Andres Perez, Michael Manley, David Oduber, Mario Soares, Auselmo Sule,

Other representatives, including General Secretary Bernt Carlsson,

v) S.I. was asked (in this resolution) to condemn and denounce the policy of genocide by the Military Regime of Guatemala against the Indian population,

vi) the resolution proposed a Conference aimed at Non-intervention, stability and peace in Central America,

vii) the resolution also expressed support for the process of strengthening democracy in Colombia and Brazil - at the same time, it pointed out the need to expose the discovery of clandestine cemeteries in Argentina, the misery and hunger in Chile which result from the failure of the Economic Model in that country and also expressed support for the people of Paraguay in their struggle against dictatorship.

ON THE CARIBBEAN

(1) The resolution called on the Socialist International to deplore and condemn the attempts to isolate and destabilize Grenada and to publicise its satisfaction for the process of democratization on the island.

(2) S.I. was asked to denounce the increase of crimes by the Regime of Haiti and to express its solidarity with the opposition forces in that country.
3.1. was requested to support the Partido Independista de Puerto Rico in its fight for Independence.

Finally, the resolution called on S.I. to publicise its support for the Latin American Association of Human Rights (ALDHU) and to request governments, parties and friendly organisations to collaborate with the Association.

A number of minor amendments were made to the Resolution, but there was substantial opposition to the section which expressed solidarity with Grenada and Nicaragua. Mario Soares of the Socialist Party of Portugal led off the opposition followed by Bettino Craxi of the Italian Socialist Party. Eda Freedman of the Social Democrats of the U.S.A. also spoke against solidarity with Grenada. Their major line of attack was that Grenada was a one-party state and, therefore, could not be considered a democracy.

Both myself and Paul Miller of P.N.P. responded on the behalf of Grenada. My response took the following form:

(a) A brief analysis of the history of Grenada through Slavery and Colonialism andNeo-Colonialism.

(b) An explanation of the historical basis for the existence of one party in Grenada.

(c) The major Economic and Social gains of the Revolution.

(d) Special emphasis was given to the process of building and strengthening the forums of Peoples' participation which is the basis for a new constitution and an approach to the question of Elections.

(e) I made reference to our adherence to the principles of accountability, peoples participation, responsibility and the rule of law.
(f) I ended by expressingemphasis that ignorance of the existing reality within Grenada had been expressed from certain quarters of the meeting and reminded them that Grenada has always been willing to receive fact-finding missions because our record simply cannot be challenged.

The amended Resolution went through.

**RESOLUTION 2**

The other resolution of major importance was the resolution on the Beirut Massacre - a draft resolution was presented to the meeting denouncing the Massacre, calling on S.I. to mount its own investigation and calling for S.I. to formally recognize the P.L.O.

There was widespread division on this resolution with considerable hedging on the part of the more conservative parties within S.I. Eventually, the dissention was so great that there was no agreement on the draft.

**INVITATIONS TO CONGRESS**

A number of parties and individuals were identified for invitation to the Sydney Congress. They include:

1) Afghanistan - Social Democratic Party (Resistance Movement).
2) Angola - M.I.L....
3) Botswana - Democratic Party
4) Czechoslovakia - Charter 77 - (dissident groups)
5) Eritrea
6) Ethiopia
7) Ghana
8) Iceland
8) Guatemala - National Revolutionary Movement
9) Guyana - W.F.A. - now having consultative member status
10) St. Lucia - F.L.T. - now having consultative member status
11) Iran - National Council of Resistance
12) Korea - unification group
13) Mozambique - Prelimo
14) Nicaragua
15) Panama
16) Peru
17) Somalia - Revolutionary Socialist Party
18) Tanzania
19) Tunisia
20) Uruguay
21) U.S.A.
22) Yugoslavia - Y.C.L.
23) Zimbawe - Z.A.R.U.
24) Suriname - (to be verified)

Individuals include:

i) Lech Walesa
ii) Nelson and Winnie Mandela
iii) Prince Sihanouk of Cambodia
iv) Rudolph Berlech (in prison in Czechoslovakia)
A definite decision was made not to invite the P.L.O. This decision was primarily to the benefit of the Israel Labour Party and the Australian Labour Party. In the case of the Australian Labour Party, the argument was that it would embarrass the Government of Malcolm Fraser.

SOME OBSERVATIONS

(1) The heated debate on issues like Grenada, Nicaragua and the P.L.O. could be an indication of sharpening contradictions between left and right forces in W.I. This question was discussed in caucus with Hector Aqueli, Paul Miller and others. They generally agree that the right wing forces in S.I. are becoming more aggressive.

(ii) Latin America and the Caribbean need to formalize and maintain the Regional caucus. It is clear that the right forces are going to attack again in the Sydney Congress. The proposal, therefore, is that a number of Regional Countries be organized between the present period and the Sydney Congress. One such caucus can be held if enough parties are present at the inauguration of Miguel de la Madrid in Mexico. In this context, co-ordination with P.N.P. is important.

(iii) There is the suspicion that contradictions between right forces of S.I. are growing – for example:
(a) between the French and the Germans for Leadership,
(b) between the Nordic and German Socialists.

(iv) I have picked up that there is a move spearheaded by Willy Streit to isolate and remove Heini Gisler. This, it is alleged, is part of the struggle by the German Socialists to tighten their control of the organization.
(v) It was also reported at caucus level that there is a growing momentum for the secretariat to be moved from London to a European Capital - Vienna has been one name mentioned.

(vi) It is felt that Regional Parties of S.I. need to put a lot more diplomatic footwork into the organization - the example is quoted of Ugo of El Salvador who has spent a lot of time lobbying the European Socialists. It is felt that similar efforts from other S.I. Members in the Region can help to exploit contradictions existing even within the Membership of S.I. Parties like the Socialist Party of Portugal.

RECOMMENDATION

I recommended that a full consultation be held between N.J.H., F.H.F., Y.P.A. and F.L.F. on the current situation in S.I. with a view towards working out a co-ordinated approach for the wider Regional caucus leading up to the Sydney Congress.

In closing, I wish to indicate that a decision was made based on a recommendation by the Finance and Administration Committee, that N.J.H. subscription to S.I. be upped to £160 per year.

A copy of the new publication "Making the Peoples' Budget" was given to each delegation present.

Submitted by,

Cdo. Chris Deligges,
International Relation Sec.

Monday 5th November, 1982.
TO: N.J.M., Leadership
FROM: Comrade Whiteman
DATE: March 3, 1981

SUBJECT: Emergency S.I. Meeting in Panama

The emergency S.I. meeting of Latin America and the Caribbean took place in Panama on Saturday, 28th February and Sunday, 1st March. Basically, it was a one item agenda; the situation in El Salvador.

There were representatives of the following countries:
Argentina, Barbados, Bolivia, Chile, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Ecuador, Guatemala, Grenada, Honduras, Panama itself, Peru, Puerto Rico, Dominican Republic, Venezuela, Uruguay and Nicaragua. P.A.P. was absent. From Europe came Spain, West Germany, France, Portugal and Sweden arrived. Brent Carlsson, Secretary General attended. Media coverage was wide.

The Conference was designed to counter these two carefully worked out tactics by the United States:
1. To project the struggle of the people of El Salvador as a direct East West ("Communist - Capitalist") confrontation as a means of completely wiping out from the consciousness of the world the domestic causes, the oligarchy, the semi-feudal system, the incredible poverty of the masses.

2. To show that the freedom fighters ("Marxist hardliners") do not want a negotiated settlement, that they prefer the bloodshed.

Because of airline difficulties, I missed the first day of the Conference. Although S.I. has a clear consensus on El Salvador, somehow Carlos Andre Perez insisted on adding the names of Cuba and the Soviet Union to the resolution demanding an end to the supply of arms to El Salvador!

(He claims that he is not anti-Cuba or anti-Communist but that S.I. must appear to be objective and even handed. He also claims that he whole heartedly supports the El Salvador liberation struggle. This is strange because there was a quiet meeting where the military commanders in the field explained their need for support in this critical situation and Carlos agreed with them).

For hours he persisted. Sweden and Grenada spoke out forcefully on the issue. Grenada pointed out that the U.S. supply of arms to the junta is a notorious fact, that the U.S. officially and publicly stated this; that S.I. should not speculate on where the freedom fighters are getting arms from; that, in any event, we should not equate arms for the oppressors with weapons to defend the people in their just struggle.

Finally, the El Salvador Comrades said they were prepared to accept a compromise formula that names no country but makes it clear that it is the U.S. that is being condemned. The house accepted this approach.
It should be noted that Vernon Walters, the ex-deputy C.I.A. Director insisted on presenting to the meeting (he is on a tour of the region to drum up support for a U.S. invasion of El Salvador and in Panama by 'coincidence') proof of Cuban and Soviet arms supplies. This offer was not accepted.

As a means of defeating the second U.S. tactic ('hardliners, not wanting to negotiate'), the Conference offered the services of S.I. Chairman, Will Brandt as mediator in the conflict.

This initiative ensures that the U.S. cannot propose someone favourable to their own interest. Brandt is sympathetic for the freedom fighters but the U.S. will have difficulty rejecting him for he is a Nobel Peace Prize Winner with stature worldwide.

This counter tactic would therefore give the comrades time to carry on the military and the political struggle together.

Another resolution expressed support for the Nicaraguan and Grenada revolutionary processes and solidarity with the Government and people of Panama who were undergoing pressures from the U.S. There was also support for Michael Manley and for the independence of Puerto Rico.

A Working Group for Latin America and the Caribbean was chosen. The members are Jose Pena Gomez, Carlos Andres Perez, Hector Oqueli, Bernt Carlsson, N.J.M. and P.A.F. This group will propose to S.I. structures and a work programme for the region.

For a number of reasons, I proposed a regional conference in Grenada in May. The conference enthusiastically accepted. Delegates from all over have expressed interest in coming to Grenada to the meeting and this will be (believe me) useful to us also. If the N.J.M. Bureau ratifies this, plans for the conference will have to begin soon after the Festival.

The General Secretary, Bernt Carlsson, will be visiting us for a few days on April 18, and Pierre Schori sometime in May. These are also two important visits for us since they are stalwart supporters of the revolution in S.I. and internationally.

Anselmo Sule will (believe me) speak with Carvajal about arranging the meeting in Mexico? (if the Tom Adams matter is on) towards the end of this month with Pena Gomez and Maurice to work out a concrete programme of S.I. activity on behalf of Grenada. This is a follow-up to Maurice's proposal in Havana. If we wish this same committee to mediate on the Tom Adams affair, then Tom can be invited for a part of the proceedings. We will have to keep in touch with Sule.
Many of the leading comrades will be at the Aruba meeting. They look forward to seeing Comrade Maurice.

The next two major S.I. (International) events are the Party Leaders’ Conference - Amsterdam - April 29, and the Bureau meeting - Tel Aviv on June 11, and 12. I strongly recommend that Comrade Maurice attend one of these.

P.S. On my way back from the Conference I noted the following from press reports:

(1) That the mission of Vernon Walters to the region has been considered a failure. Of course, he claims that his objective was not to gain support for a U.S. invasion of El Salvador but merely to explain and give evidence of Cuban and Soviet military involvement.

(2) That the big four Latin American powers: Argentina, Brazil, Venezuela and Mexico have issued a statement in Buenos Aires rejecting any U.S. intervention in El Salvador.

(3) That Duarte has agreed to participate in the mediation talks as proposed by Socialist International.

(4) That Bernt Carlsson is on his way to Washington to discuss the mediation offer with the State Department.

(5) That the U.S. has announced a massive step up of military aid to El Salvador. (Many U.S. Senators, even Senator John Glenn who once supported such a policy are now opposed to this).

(6) It seems that both sides are applying the tactic of talk more, appear the one more willing to talk, but fight harder.
Draft letter to Socialist Affairs on article carried claiming that you promised in October elections in 1982.

Date: February 3, 1982

FROM: Permanent Secretary, P.M.O.
February 2, 1982.

Socialist Affairs, 8 Flowers News, Archway close, London N19 3TA United Kingdom.

Dear Cde. Carlsson,

I address myself to you in your capacity as editor of the magazine Socialist Affairs, the mouthpiece of our organisation, the Socialist International.

In issue No. 6/81 of the magazine an article on Grenada appeared on page 239 of the English edition. In that article entitled "Bishop pledge to hold elections" it was reported that Prime Minister Maurice Bishop, leader of the People's Revolutionary Government and the New Jewel Movement, "pledged in early October that general elections would be held in the island some time in 1982, after public approval of a new constitution currently being formulated."

Please be advised that the above statement, quoted from the article referred to above, is fundamentally incorrect. Prime Minister Maurice Bishop has at no time placed any particular time frame on the holding of elections and certainly on no occasion has he uttered any pledge to hold elections in 1982.

The People's Revolutionary Government led by Prime Minister Maurice Bishop is committed to the formulation of a new constitution. Currently the necessary legal experts to do the drafting and models of other constitutions are being sought. When those are obtained the concrete formulation will commence with the draft concluded being submitted to the entire populace - mass organisations, workers, women, youth, farmers.

The comments, criticisms and ideas arising out of that process of discussion and debate will be submitted to the experts for study and incorporation and then they will present a second draft which will again be studied and adopted with amendments by the entire populace.
Only out of this process will elections emerge and since there are several phases involved it is impossible and even foolhardy to put any definitive time frame on the holding of elections. This has been the consistent policy and pronouncement of the PRG and its leader Prime Minister Maurice Bishop.

I therefore, request that in your next issue you publish the text of this letter so that the position of the People’s Revolutionary Government on the question of elections can be clarified and made known to your readership.

Yours Fraternally,

Benny Langaigne
Ag: Permanent Secretary
Prime Minister’s Office.
GRENADA

Bishop pledge to hold elections

The prime minister of Grenada's two-and-a-half-year-old revolutionary government, Maurice Bishop pledged in early October that general elections would be held in the island sometime in 1982, after public approval of a new constitution currently being formulated. Bishop's New Jewel Movement (which is a member party of the International) seized power in March 1979 and has since been engaged in the long process of social and economic reconstruction in difficult circumstances.

Earlier in the year a major cabinet reshuffle was announced by Bishop on 29 July. Intended to put the small Caribbean island on a 'war footing' to face the 'tremendous challenge' posed by US hostility to the New Jewel regime. The changes included the creation of a new ministry of national mobilisation and the appointment of the first woman member of the revolutionary government, the full composition of which became as follows: Maurice Bishop (prime minister, finance, trade, industry and planning); Kenneth Radia (attorney-general, legal affairs, and education); Judge John Louis (agriculture, lands and fisheries, cooperatives and rural development); Jacqueline Creff (education, youth, and social affairs); Selwyn Sutherland (national mobilisation) and

Nelson (housing). In carrying out the reshuffle, Bishop stressed the need to increase efficiency and output in the face of economic aggression, and to propound a development programme to combat the impact of US imperialism.

The following month the Grenada prime minister issued a statement detailing US moves against his regime, claiming in particular that recent American military exercises off Puerto Rico were a rehearsal for a planned invasion of Grenada. Bishop added that the government's conclusion concerning the real purpose of these exercises is shared by many in the region and elsewhere who are fully aware of the Reagan administration's hawkish attitude towards our resolution and the steps it has already taken to destabilise our development process', and listed the following as examples of the US approach:

- attempts to block funding, for the construction of an international airport on Grenada;
- attempts to gain the support of other governments in the region for the blocking of Caribbean Development Bank credits;
- allowing mercenaries to train openly in Miami;
- future invasions of Nicaragua.

The Grenada prime minister concluded: 'We firmly believe that the Caribbean will never be able to achieve true justice and equality for people in an atmosphere of tension and insecurity. It surely the right of the people of Grenada, as it is the right of all peoples, to develop their own processes in their own way free from all forms of external dictation, intimidation, and pressure.'

AUSTRIA

Award of Kreisky and Czernetz prizes

This year's Bruno Kreisky Foundation prizes for services to human rights have been awarded to the individuals and two organisations, ranging from the imprisoned South African nationalist leader Nelson Mandela, to the Israeli Histadrut trade-union federation (Pamphlet robust de Carra). Between 100,000 and 60,000 Austrian schillings, the prizes were awarded by Karl Bachea, chairman of the Austrian Socialist Party and including E1 President Willy Brandt and Chancellor Kreisky himself.

Among the individuals awarded prizes were Simcha Flapan, for his contribution to Arab-Jewish dialogue, and Raimonda Tawil, for her work in the field of Arab women's emancipation. The Histadrut was honoured for its efforts to integrate Arab workers in Israel, while Nelson Mandela, currently serving a life sentence in South Africa, was commended for his commitment to the struggle for black equality. Also awarded prizes were two South Korean opposition activists, Kim Dae Jung and the poet Kim Chan Ho. Dumitris Berris de Carra, a Bolivian miner's wife who has championed the rights of workers and women in her country, the late Enrique Alvarez Cordero de El Salvador, who was murdered in November 1980 after playing a leading role in the opposition to the military junta, the Colombian sociologist Orlando Fals Borda, for his relentless opposition to fascist forces; Rostock Mann and Felix Enrico Alvarez Cordero, Ernecora, both of Austria, for their tireless efforts in various human-rights campaigns; the Foundation for the Support of European Intellectuals, for its work in assisting European scientists and intellectuals. The Kreisky Foundation was founded on the occasion of Chancellor Kreisky's 65th birthday. Banks, insurance companies and other bodies have contributed 3 million schillings to finance the prizes which are awarded every five years to individuals and organisations for outstanding service in the cause of human rights.
SUMMARY REPORT ON THE REGULAR MEETING OF SOCIALIST INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN

SANTO DOMINGO, D.R., February 24-25th 1983

SUBMITTED BY: LESSLIA WILLIAMS, NAM'S REPRESENTATIVE AT THE MEETING

This report does not claim to be exhaustive or comprehensive. Further follow up with Cde. Victor Oqueli will be necessary. Please also see the short papers prepared for the press on the Conference. (Press Communiqué)

Basically, the public Conference report reiterated previous previous positions taken by some Latin American Governments on the region. This report attempts to report on some internal issues.

OUTCOME OF THE AGENDA ITEMS:

Item 1: Political situation in Latin America. Oqueli proposed a paper which was quite satisfactory as a start. (appendix 2). Off the record Andres Perez said it was too "anti-American" and introduced a completely new draft. (appendix 3) Several amendments were submitted. Grenada's written amendment is contained in appendix 4. Although a committee of four was set up, no conclusion was reached and all draft amendments etc. are being sent to the drafting committee for the SI Congress which will be in Lisbon, Portugal, April 1983. (although there is still talk from Bernt Carlson about Sydney, Australia)

Item 11: Other Latters/ Special Developments.

1. The SI Congress will be in Lisbon, Portugal. Bernt Carlson is not happy with that but this seems more or less final.

2. Andres Perez (as per usual) was extremely aggressive, almost hegemonic on (a) the content of the assessment on Latin America, which he wanted to be very soft, almost no reference whatsoever to the US.

(b) On the first day, apparently he advanced a thesis on what should be the character of SI. He apparently wants it to be significantly identifiable from the Christians and the communists. Ungo repeated this... o them
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(c) He wanted clear and firm statements against Suriname.

(d) He lost a definitive, but not-made-public bid for the Presidency to replace Pena Gomez. It appeared he was not popularly favoured by forces such as Oqueli.

3. The Guatemalan Carlos Gallarde of the Social Democrats informed the meeting that some elements of the party had been engaged in divisive action. They called a special and contestable 'Congress'.

Also some progressive forces feared these same elements are co-operating with the Rios Lott government. The other side presented this case. In summary, it appears that: (a) there is an ideological and/or tactical difference between those who want to build relations with the freedom fighters (Gallarde's position, more or less) and those who want to concentrate now on rushing to take advantage of Rios Lott March 23rd "Political Abierta" (opening). And (b) there appears to be a struggle for leadership by a younger wing of the party. The SI Presidium advised them to settle the matter internally and they later announced the establishment of an internal Commission which will report on progress/or lack of it at the Lisbon Congress.

4. The Nicaraguans who are only observers, attended very few sessions and delivered less than a one-minute greeting. They were very... active bilaterally and in the other structured activities. e.g. tours.

Item iii. What should be the SI Strategy in Latin America?

Several proposals were put forward.

(i) Andres Perez proposed a committee to look into the situation in Suriname (the Dutch Labour Party Representative gave a very poor report on the human rights situation there).

(ii) A proposal for a fact-finding mission to the Eastern Caribbean

(iii) an SI meeting should be held soon in Brazil to get a better understanding of the situation and work with all socialist parties there, similar discussions around this line as well.

Item iv. Internal organisation of the committee: First Pena Gomez was emphatically urged to remain as President, by Reuben Berrios, (PIP), Carlos Morales (PRC-Chile) from the floor. Pena Gomez said the serious domestic crisis in the D.R. had more or less eased and he was now once again in a position to accept the presidency as offered to him.

Secondly, a paper on "Terms of Reference" was adopted and it is attached as appendix 5. (It does not include all the amendments)
Item 5. *On Christian Democracy in Latin America was not discussed.*

This is more or less in summary what occurred. I arrived at the meeting one day late, and in any case the meeting was not very disciplined so items became merged.

**GENERAL ASSESSMENT**

First on the meeting itself. There are a number of storms inside SI at this time. Among them are: Elections for President and Secretary General; left-right splits over issues such as Nicaragua. It is rumoured that Suarez of Portugal wants the Congress in Lisbon. So he can invite and parade Eden Pastora (Commandante Zero) and thus embarrass the Sandinistas. The Central American situation continues to be an issue of major controversy, to the extent that even Latin Americans are, or seem divided on SI strategy for the region. e.g. Andres Perez vs Hector Oqueli) There is also the charge that the Israelis have and continue to manuver via certain Scandinavian parties to get an indication for Israel's position. Thus the Lisbon Congress could be very important in deciding whether Socialist International takes a more anti-imperialist, anti-zionist position, or whether the right will be victorious.

Second, what is the political situation as seen by Latin American social democrats. Unfortunately, I was not able to get a clear reading on this. I sensed that there is a certain amount of turmoil and most people are waiting to sort things out at the Congress. This appears to be Oqueli's position.

Third, was NLL's presence useful? Jamaica's Paul Liller did not come, neither was Barbados present. So even though we arrived at the end of the second day, an English-speaking party's presence was very useful. Furthermore NLL is well respected and its leaders well-known and equally respected. It was therefore very useful to continue to be working and to be seen among such regio parties and figures. Pena Gomez, Sule (Chile), Oqueli, Reuben Barrios (PH), even Andres Perez took time out to listen and to come out and greet me, but particularly to send greetings to ode. Laurice Bishop. Our input in the meeting may not have been all that critical (with the exception of appendix 4) but as the 1961 hosts, as a member, as English-speaking Caribbean, and as a party well-loved, the answer is yes, the presence was very useful.

The delegate was given about one week's notice and quite unfortunately, no brief whatsoever. This was due to communications/transportation problems encountered by the International Relations Committee.
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Aided to this, the meeting was moved up one day earlier and the delegations missed a full two-thirds of the first day.

On the morning of the second day, Grenada was given the floor without soliciting it. I made a very short statement, the text of which is attached as appendix e.

The third and final interview was towards the closing of the meeting to thank and congratulate the host/president Mr. Pena Gomez, attached as an appendix.

BILATERALS (these were mostly very brief meetings and with no real agenda. I really want to report comprehensively on the meeting)

(a) Carlos Gallard (SDP – Guatemala) we briefed me on the internal conflicts in his party. Regarding the March 23rd Political opening offered by Gen. Rios Lott, he was of the opinion that it could be used but with great caution and without compromise. He thought it would be difficult because the dictatorship has moved large sections of the people into virtually concentration camps, and this makes it even more difficult to do political work, given the repression etc. I told him that our Party/cde. Bishop would welcome him to Grenada anytime. He sends cde. Bishop very warm greetings.

(b) Hector Oqueli (for El Salvador) We did not really speak on the El Salvador situation but on SI, its work and problems. He advised me that there was a personality struggle between Willy Brandt and Bernt Carlson. The popular feeling inside SI is that Carlson should resign. (He has already been offered a post as ambassador-at-large Swedish foreign ministry). Oqueli reminded me and cde. Kogo about the proposed meeting for Grenada, on/around March 13th 1975. I urge someone to contact him. Oqueli’s name has been proposed for Secretary General of SI. He was generally calm and helpful.

(c) Zaarndem from Aruba’s LEP and Carlos Larch were very friendly and advised me that Betico was at this moment in Holland on the independence talks. He said that Holland continues to be adamantly oppos to Aruba’s independence outside of the bloc of six islands.

(d) Rodrigo Borja (Democratic Left – Ecuador) discussed the very poor economic situation in Ecuador. He said public opinion polls inside Ecuador showed that his party, the democratic left will win the next elections in January 1976. Some at this meeting (e.g. Sule) told me this man Borja will be the next president of Ecuador.

(e) Guillermo Ungo (FDR – El Salvador) sends greetings to cde. Bishop. He seems in very high spirits. His circles were Andres Perez and Ans Sule.
Oscar Britez (RFP - Paraguay) remembers visiting Grenada as part of a Latin American Socialist Youth Conference.

Andres Ojorez (AD - Venezuela) He sends greetings to ode. Bishop I asked him when he is coming to Grenada and he said "Sometime hopefully this year" then he moved quickly again.

Reuben Berrios (PIP - Puerto Rico) We travelled to HR together and he selected a hotel for me to stay. He showed me old San Juan, next morning, his party paid for my hotel room and political Affairs chief Hiram Lelandez took me to the Party Office before going to the airport. The PP also gave NML a small tape Recorder. Bro. Reuben told me that some of the US troops used in the recent provocative military exercises in Honduras to intimidate Nicaragua came from the US troop supplies in Puerto Rico. I also learnt that Cyrus/ or someone sitting his description has addressed a CLAT trade union meeting some two months ago, in which he denounced the PIP.
(ref. Hiram Lelandez)

ON P.D.R. AND THE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Pena Gomez seems to be in control, politically speaking. Someone also observed that the military was well under control as well. I did not meet with him though he was very warm, but understandably busy. The party machinery seemed to function well for the meeting (the Party) also paid for room and meals for all 2-3 days. The trade union, youth and women's movement, all are attached as appendices. The delegations were taken on a tour of the city and shown the main political economic centre piece of the Blanco Administration. Housing projects. Some 512 house units are being constructed to satisfy a housing crisis (10,000 units must be built in 4 yrs: a political promise) Meanwhile one party member told me re unemployment was about 50%.

RE. COLLABORATIONS

1. an immediate consultation with Hector Cqueli: The proposal meeting of select regional parties (ref. 1:5) would be excellent followup especially since no one from St. George's attended the meeting. This is of highest importance.

2. We must begin to prepare immediately for the Lisbon Congress, April 7-10 or 4-7 15:3. Two of the important issues NML must raise (which are also likely to win broad support from Latin America) are: 'the Caribbean as a zone of Peace, independence and Development and the need for special consideration of small island states in the context of the severe financial and economic crisis.
Given the many struggles inside SI at this time, we must start early to catch people's attention before they are carried away by internal politicking.

3. NJM could assist the committee with its fact-finding mission to the eastern caribbean. Oqueli could best suggest how.

4. NJM must strengthen its relations with Pena Gomez.

5. Respond to the invitation for the Socialist Youth Conference

Expenses

1. Airline ticket $832.00
2. Tel. Calls and meals 95.55
3. Lodging paid by PDR 0.0
4. Overnight in B/Dos 58.60

Total 986.15

Please regard soonest to Washington Lission.

List of appendices.

2. Resolution on Latin America and the Caribbean - Hector Oqueli draft
3. " " " " " - Andres Perez

Grenada's proposals to the text

Terms of reference for the Latin American / Caribbean committee list of participants, agenda and daily schedule.
This S.I. Committee for Latin America and the Caribbean was held between 19th and 21st of August, 1983. However because of late organisation of our part I was only able to attend the second half of the second day and the final day. The agenda for that period and the entire conference is enclosed. Enclosed also are a list of participants, the (only) Portuguese version of the Rio declaration and all other documents I received from the Conference.

First of all let me raise what seemed to me, the "unexplainable" presence of a number of European Parties. My understanding was that the meeting was a Latin American caucus and as such some fourteen Latin American countries were to be present. This concern of the presence of the European parties stemmed from the fact that most of the representatives of these parties held backward and in some cases reactionary positions during the day and a half.

Two examples in particular could be cited.

One: The position of the Holland delegate on the Social and Economic Crisis was that the crux of the problem with the Third World was and is the question of the internal distribution of wealth. The debt problem and all other external economic and social problems affecting the third world stem from the internal distribution system in operation. True enough, but the position went further to say that the Third world's problems have nothing to do with their external economic relations. In other words we are laying blame on the developed capitalist economics for their problems. This position, however, was successfully defeated by the Brazilian delegation.

Two: In the case of Portugal their position on a solution to the problem was for Portugal and its former colonies (i.e. Brazil, Angola, Mozambique etc.) should bond themselves together in a sort of union to work collectively by themselves to solve the Third World problem.
Those, among others, were prominent points coming from those parties. The European parties came from Holland, Italy, Spain, France, Portugal and even Israel.

On the issue of the Social and Economic problems within the context of a world in crisis most presentations were purely descriptive and less analytical. The Brazilians dominated the floor. As such nothing beyond the general call for a restructuring of the international financial and trading system was called for. There were some feeling (I think it was from the Venezuelans) that Third World countries should unilaterally refuse to pay their debts. There was all tacit support for the creation of a Third World currency. In the context of the entire discussion, I made the call for an inclusion in the final declaration, the meeting support for the struggle for the N.I.E.O. The call was made in my presentation which lasted for about twelve to fifteen minutes. Details follow.

Having arrived late and learning that a number of parties have made contribution on the situation in their respective countries I decided to ask for the floor on the final day. The presentation made can be divided into five parts viz.

1. Attacks made on the Grenada Revolution, centering on a. The International Airport (carrying a similar line as was carried by the Comrade Leader, Hunter College Address) and b. the allegation of human rights abuse in Grenada, constitutionality and elections. Again the Hunter College line was given.

2. The threat of imperialism to the Revolution, focussing on a. negative propaganda around our carnival festivities; the aim of which was to scare our people and detract tourism. b. the presence of Gairy in Barbados at a time of U.S. Military Manoeuvres in the Region. Gairy himself, comfortable in Barbados and using the media there. c. attempts made to isolate Grenada again at the Caricom Heads of Government Conference in Port-of-Spain and the struggle
that had to be voiced by our delegation.

3. The performance of our economy and the negative implications of the world capitalist crisis.

4. The present political situation in Central America and expressing firm support for the Contadora Initiative; also, expressing condemnation of all acts of military (and otherwise) aggression against the Nicaraguan Revolution. Support for the peoples of El Salvador and Guatemala was also expressed as well as support for a negotiated political settlement to the problems in Central America.

5. The final part called on the meeting to express in its final declaration concern and support for the following:
   a. The preservation of World Peace
   b. The struggle for a N.I.E.O.
   c. That the Caribbean be declared a zone of peace, Independence and Development and implemented in practice.
   d. The Contadora Initiative

In terms of criticism of the presentation two specific ones could be made. In the first instance the correctness of naming Barbados as the country from which Geory was operating is questionable. In the context of the type of gathering it seemed later to me that implying Barbados without naming it could have been more appropriate. Secondly, the final part of the presentation did not call on the meeting to express concern and condemnation of U.S. interference in the internal affairs of Grenada. However, implicit in the presentation was the cry for such, but specific reference to it would have gone a longer way. A mild concern for the Grenada situation was in the final declaration.
By way of general criticism of the entire planning and arrangements for Grenada to attend the meeting let me make a few remarks. In the first instance late preparation (or even no preparations) were made. As a result I was able to attend only half of the meeting. Besides that the lateness meant the following:

1. Lost of time and money. I had to fly north and then south and vice versa on my return. It was possible to fly south only.

2. Absolutely no briefing with respect to the content of the meeting (before leaving) and what we as a party wanted from the meeting.

3. No contacts on information as to the venue of the meeting. I only knew it was in Rio. I was therefore stranded in Rio for over five hours.

4. There was also a security problem related to point three in that I had to unavoidably release information of myself and my mission in Rio before I could have established contact with St. George’s. This is in the context of Bernt Carlsson, a V.P. of S.I. been arrested at the airport and detained for couple hours and a murder at the airport only fifteen minutes after I left it. Anything could have happened.

Finally, I want to make a few recommendations on our approach to future missions.

1. The I.R.C. have someone specialised in S.I. matters (and other organs of equal or greater importance). My experience was that continuity and personal contact, relations and familiarity are necessary at these fora.

2. All travel requirements should be found out at least three days before someone goes on a mission. (Visa, routing etc.). This will save time, money and minimise security risks.
3. Thorough briefing of comrades going to these sessions with particular emphasis on objectives.

4. At least two party comrades should be singled out for full time work in IJIC with specific responsibility of carrying our line to these sessions.
TO: THE GRENADA PEACE COUNCIL

SUBJECT: REPORT ON PEACE MEETINGS IN LISBON

DATE: 6TH NOVEMBER 1982

There were two meetings held:

1. Presidential of the World Peace Council from October 30 to 2nd November
2. International Preparatory Committee of World Assembly for Peace and Life, Against Nuclear War on 3rd November.

OBJECTIVES

The main objectives of the meeting were:

a. To review the work of the World Peace Council since the last Presidential Committee met in Havana in April 1981;
b. To work out the 1983 programme of the World Peace Council;
c. To begin preparations for the most important meeting of the World Peace Council in 1983, that is, the World Assembly for Peace and Life, Against Nuclear War to be held in Prague from June 14 to 19.

PARTICIPANTS

Participants for both meetings, representing millions of people, came from all parts of the world. A list of some of the names of the participants is included with the report. It is instructive to note that only two Caribbean countries were represented, Jamaica and Grenada.

OBSERVATIONS

From the Caribbean, both St. Vincent and Guyana (3 Peace Councils in Guyana) are vice-presidents of the WPC and all were absent from the meeting. This cannot be the only indication, but it seems that the Caribbean Peace Movement is underdeveloped and immobilised.

A very important development - in my view - in the World Peace Council and Movement at the present international situation is the great urge to build the strength and unity of the world's peace forces, regardless of political, ideological or religious persuasions, on the question of the need to avert a thermo-nuclear catastrophe. The Leadership of the WPC puts it this way: "the only qualification for joining the Peace
Movement is the support of the struggle to prevent a nuclear war. Furthermore, a very significant focus of the World Peace movement and its leadership is the inextricable link between the struggle for World Peace and the struggle for national liberation, independence, democracy and social progress. This link is profoundly proclaimed at every opportunity.

For this last reason, it is my genuine recommendation for us to develop our Grenada Peace Council to a very high and prominent level. Since Grenada leads the struggle in the Eastern Caribbean for national liberation, social progress and economic independence, it behoves of us that we have a dear role to mobilise and put in action the Caribbean peace forces. The WPC expects that of the Grenada Revolution and the GPC Members of the WPC Secretariat informed that Trinidad and Tobago has a good Peace Council which is a good point for our regional activities; so too is Jamaica and Guyana. St. Vincent's movement is not vibrant.

RESULTS

The assessment of the WPC is that the results of the meetings were good. This is based on the consensus reached by all the participants on the documents and resolutions adopted. A copy of these documents - with the final amendments - forms part of this overall report. The list of the final documents will be sent to the GPC at a later date.

Of interest to Latin America and the Caribbean; the result is as follows:
2. A resolution was unanimously adopted which gives support to progress in the region, including the Grenada Revolution.
3. A press conference was held by all representatives of the region, the focus being the situation around Nicaragua, fully expounded by the Nicaragua delegation. A renunciation of the aggressive activities against Nicaragua was signed by participants of peace movements from Latin America and the Caribbean.
Solidarity with Nicaragua in view of the dangerous scheme planned by the Reagan Administration in collusion with the reactionary Honduran Government in Central America for, the beginning of December.

INITIATIVES BY GRENADA

1. I spoke with the WPC President Romesh Chandra and extended an invitation to come to Grenada for the 4th Anniversary of the Grenada Revolution on March 13, 1983, on behalf of Prime Minister, Maurice Bishop. He was thankful for the invitation and accepted it orally; he expressed his eagerness to come to Grenada. On his suggestion, I publicly announced the invitation from the Prime Minister to President Romesh Chandra, in my intervention at the meeting.

Prime Minister, Maurice Bishop would, therefore have to send this letter of invitation to Moscow by December in order for us to present it to Romesh Chandra, President of the WPC soon afterwards.

2. A meeting was held with WPC Vice-President and several discussions with officials and workers at the WPC headquarters. They all turned out to be very useful.

I presented three proposals for WPC activities in Grenada in 1983.

i. That a meeting of the Caribbean peace movements should be held in Grenada in April as a build up to the Prague Assembly in June so that the region's peace movements could present a united position;

ii. That the WPC should convene a conference in Grenada in November, the Theme being: The Caribbean as a zone of Peace, Independence and Development.

iii. Solidarity posters with Grenada for March 1983. These proposals were presented in the form of a letter to the Latin American Department of the WPC and the last two were also give to the President of the WPC.

It was later reported to me that in principle the suggestions were accepted but that they would be further discussed in Helsinki, headquarters of the WPC, before a final decision is taken.

Additionally, three other suggestions were put forward:

a. That the WPC should circulate information of the World Peace Movement to the Peace committees in the Caribbean;

b. That the WPC should invite representatives of the regions' peace committees to participate in WPC meetings, seminars, conferences etc;
c. That the WPC should send films of the Peace Movement to the GPC.

On this suggestion I was advised that the WPC does not make films, and that we should approach the GDR Peace Movement because they have good films of the Peace Movements. I spoke with a German on the subject and asked that he raise it for the GPC with the GDR Peace Committee.

On this matter the GPC should follow up directly and immediately.

During a meeting with WPC Regional Secretary comrade Hill Arboleda of Panama, a member of the WPC Secretariat, he mentioned that the WPC highly assess the Grenada Revolution and the work of the GPC. However, he pointed out that the flow of information from the GPC to the Secretariat of the WPC is not very good. In fact, this is more or less the same situation with the Peace movements in the Caribbean. The WPC knows little about the activities of the region's Peace movements except Cuba and Guyana.

On this score I firmly recommend, with a degree of urgency and consistency, that the Grenada Peace Council should immediately undertake to circulate regular information to the WPC Secretariat on its activities and events of the Revolution. The information could be in the form of reports on its work, news, articles, upcoming activities, letters etc. This is urgent and absolutely necessary. We should bombard the WPC with information on the Revolution and work of the GPC. This is important if we are going to raise our standing and prestige in the WPC.

The WPC has several magazines and news-sheets, but has never written an article on the Grenada Revolution for the simple reason that the WPC has little or no information on Grenada.

Additionally, the WPC is leaning and depending on the Grenada Peace Council in order that the WPC could develop wider and deeper relations with the Peace movements in Guyana, the Eastern Caribbean, French Caribbean, Cayenne, Barbados and Trinidad and Tobago. This was mentioned to me by Comrade Hill Arboleda.

Further still, Cde. Arboleda of the WPC suggested that the WPC would like the GPC to send addresses of the Peace Movements of the Caribbean to it. Also mentioned was that the GPC could organise visits to the various peace movements in the Caribbean.
Since the new Presidential Committee of the WPC would be elected during the Prague Assembly in June 1983, we spoke about the possibility of Grenada putting up a candidate for vice-president. This was strongly supported. The GPC should groom someone and present a written recommendation to the WPC before the Assembly, i.e. by April 1983 or earlier. Also, the GPC should recommend to the WPC its desire to work in one of its commissions.

Finally, on the question of participation in WPC activities, the one drawback of the WPC is finance to buy tickets. I was told that the Soviet Peace Fund, through AERFLOT, Soviet Airlines and Cubana make some contributions; plus the various Peace committees around the world. For example, at previous meetings the Latin American Peace Committees assisted the WPC by paying 80,000 US dollars for tickets.

Concretely, as far as the GPC is concerned, it would be invited to participate in WPC activities, but would be called upon to pay for its participants for part of the flight, namely, from Grenada to Havana where the future delegates would collect Aeroflot and get a free ticket. If the GPC could raise sufficient funds and pay up to Havana, it could send up to six (6) delegates to the Prague Assembly from June 14 to 19, 1983.

My last recommendation to the GPC is that it should send all information to the World Peace Council via the Embassy of Grenada in the USSR.

Magazines, booklets, newspapers posters are attached to this report.

Bernard Bourne
Minister-Counsellor
SECTION THREE:
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU
AND
CENTRAL COMMITTEE
POLITICAL BUREAU
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
WEDNESDAY, 8th December, 1986

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
George Louibou
Hudson Austin
Ewart Layne

Comrades absent:-
Unison Whiteman
Liam James away

Agenda:-
1. Minutes
2. Correspondence
3. Items for Decisions
4. PB Consultation on Item 5
5. "Areas of work" - "Workers Work"

1. MINUTES
1.1 Corrections
i) Re comrades absent - Cdes. Whiteman and James were out of the country.
ii) Re TFG, Cde. Austin to meet the staff on behalf of the Chief, disciplinary matters.
iii) Reported sub points of the Comrade Leader (re main feature of the present political situation) were points made/ arising out of the discussion on the previous.
iv) The slogan repeated as "Year of Political Education" was not decided on as reported; it was the suggestion of Cde. Bishop reported from discussions held with Cde. Coad.

v) Re visits to countries in 1983, Cde. Whiteman to visit the Latin American and Caricom countries and the Comrade Leader to visit the others. Ghana and Mozambique were also raised as possibilities.

vi) Draft of the Comrade Leader's New Year Speech to be circulated to the mass organisations leaders and Party members for their suggestion/input.

1.2 Tasks
i) Not done.

ii) Done. (Excluded was that Cde. St. Bernard to go to Carriacou.)
v) Done.

vi) Done.
vii) Done; new amendment will be circulated to CC for discussion
viii) Implemented; now a CC member
ix) was not done.

x) Capt. Belfon to be installed on Monday 13 December.
xii) Done.

Only the economic aspect was done.

3/...
1.3 Outstanding Tasks:

1) Discussions have taken place
2) Being done
3) Still outstanding; not completed
4) Still outstanding; not completed

1.4 Other

Cde. Austin reported on the tasks he was assigned to speak to the TFG staff on matters of discipline, he pointed out the following:

- First, first with Patrick Smikle, Peter David and Cheril Easterly, the general staff
- Told them of their high level of ill discipline, their feeling of being indispensable, that they would be dismissed if not improved; that regulations for the use of the vehicle will be implemented with the coming of a new manager; spoke of the budget and the 26 staff members overall compared to the work been done presently; the violation of no use of drugs; the issue of work schedules and tasks assignment; technicians to prepare report on equipment; staff relations raised; spoke on the role of the TV on building a new society; physical condition if the place is very bad.

2. LETTERS RECEIVED

2.1 Letter from Joe Wharter, sent to the Comrade Leader raising the financial problems related to salary and operating costs; requested another diplomatic officer be sent to the mission - suggested Thaddeus McEwen. Proposed James Clarkson to be the other diplomatic officer. Decision - Matter to be raised with Foreign Affairs - financial questions re the actual agreement made with the Libyans re the operations of the mission there.

2.2 Telex was received re the issue of a vessel been shot at while in Robert Harbour and after been given permission to be there. This took place on 21st November, at 11.00 p.m. Tele to be sent in reply stating that the matter is being investigated and a response will be received by them.

3. ITEMS FOR DECISIONS:

3.1 Grand Basculet Issue: A report on the issue was submitted to the PB by the
AGWU Comrades. There was an industrial dispute at the estate, the comrades were given instructions by the PB (through Cdes. Louison and Bishop) as to how to handle the situation, but they acted contrary to the instructions given for the solution of the problem.

The overseer was given six hours to move out of the farm; the keys were handed over to the workers for it to be run by them.

The OC has looked at the matter and sent the relevant comrades to face the Disciplinary Committee.

3,2 International Relations Department: UWI: A proposal from Henry Gill for 20 - 25 UWI students to do an educational visit of Grenada January 31st - February 5. They will hold one hour presentations on mornings with 30 - 45 minutes discussion following the presentations. Topics to be presented on are:

i) Role of the Party.... :Cde. Strachan  
ii) Foreign Policy.... :Cde. Whiteman  
iii) Economic Development.... :Cde. Coard  
iv) The Private Sector in the Revolution :Richard Kenez  
v) Agricultural Development.... :Cde. Louison  
vii) Education, Training, Culture :Cde. Coard  
vii) Women in the Revolution :Cde. P. Coard  
viii) Law in the Revolution :Cde. Eadix  
vii) Presentation by the Comrade Leader

They have requested assistance in ground transportation; they will pay all other expenses. We are also committed to hosting a reception for them. The visits to the projects will take place in the afternoons.

The proposal was agreed to by the Political Bureau.

3,3 Paul Miller: Cde. Strachan reported that Cde. Miller had been here over the last few days for the purpose of discussing a proposal from the Socialist International for the setting up of a Institute for Political Studies.

3,4 IICA Industrial Dispute: Mario Franks, the Head of the IICA office here has recently fired a few sisters employed with the Institute and since then has refused to meet with the Union.

3,5 Atlanta Conference: This conference on the CBI to be held in Atlanta from December 11 (date not absolutely clear) is organised by the liberal left and they are requesting that somebody from the leadership be there, apart from the Ambassador (Dessima). Decision to get more details and then take decision.

/#...
5. Workers Work: Two documents re the report on the workers work were already submitted to the Bureau. The report looked at the structures of the WC and an analysis of each WC member:

- suggested that Cde. Anslem should not be on the Board of Directors of the
- that Cde. Winston Ledlow should be made a member of the WC
- all WC members should be made to submit a work schedule
- Judly's presence on the WC should be looked at; maybe she should be put to deal with emulation at the workplaces
- also suggested for dealing with emulation was Jenny Donald and that she be put full time in the TAWU work
- a check should be kept on the classes every day on a union by union basis; the aim should be to have classes going on 90% of the workplaces
- have to find comrades for all areas of work ranging from political/ideological work to sports and culture
- the following areas should be given priority:
  1) socialism classes
  2) CPE
  3) emulation/production committees
  4) work on the international/airport site
  5) propaganda: WV, billboards and charts at the workplace; declaration of different categories of workers days;

- it was decided that one P2 comrade to be assigned to each of the five areas outlined above.
- Cde. Ventour to head the political/ideological/propaganda work

DECISIONS/TASKS:

1. Matters raised by Cde. Charter to be discussed with Foreign Affairs.
2. Response to telex re been shot at in Edwin Harbour to be sent stating that the matter is being investigated and will send response.
3. Relevant AGWU comrades to face the Disciplinary Committee re the Grand façade issue.
4. "Out of Order" No Traces
   a) "Out of Order" No Traces
   b) List of all illegal... Public... Public
   c) "Out of Order" No Traces
   d) "Out of Order" No Traces
   e) "Out of Order" No Traces
   f) "Out of Order" No Traces
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING

APRIL 22ND, 1981

Comrades present—
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Vincent Boul
Hudson Austin
Kurt Radix
George Louison

Absent—
Unison Whiteman — out of the country

Agenda—
(1) Airport Committee meeting at 11.00 a.m.
(2) Left parties Conference
(3) Me Toukas trip
(4) Comrade Radix’s report

The meeting did not follow the pattern of the planned agenda. Instead, many different topics were discussed, which were not on the agenda. They included:

1) SITUATION OF THE DOCKS — Comrade Strachan reported that Eric Pierre had telephoned him saying that there was a conflict on the docks, as Sawney wanted to use the BGWU workers to off load the cement that is here. Pierre was insisting that the other workers from the SWU be allowed to do it. Sawney was told to the meeting and explained what he was trying to do was to get a compromise of 60% of the workers from SWU and 40% from BGWU. But Pierre was not willing to accept that. When he was leaving he said, they (Pierre and others) were trying to forcibly enter the ship .

Decision — no one solution. BGWU should sign a contract with the MND to do their shipping agent. Comrade Boul that it would be impossible to complete that by the end of the day. This will make it easier for BGWU workers to load the goods coming for the MND. In the meantime, the arrangement Sawney suggested should continue to be as he suggested, i.e. 60% of the workers from SWU and 40% from BGWU.

Also, Sawney should not be playing a visibly leading role in all this. The BGWU should get somebody else to play that role.

Comrades Bishop and Strachan are to meet with the Executive of the SWU on March 7th, at 11.00 a.m. at the M.O. Comrade Strachan to meet with Eric Pierre before then. He is also to contact him for a proposed agenda for that meeting.

2) GRENADA: REPORTS FROM GRENADA - Four names have been suggested for Managers — Paul Boulé, 7 Minor (Manager of Rum Runner), Winston Duffin and Evelyn Ross. It was no ed that the G.C.C. hotels are in dire need of repairs.

Comrade Radix reported that Firaz Gomes of the Dominican Republic wants to come to Grenada and is also interested in forming a Grenada/Dominican Republic Friendship Association. He wants to know when it is best to come. Decision: He should come ten or around May 22nd — the time of the Socialist International Congress here.

Comrade Coard reported that the Board of Directors of both the I.M.F. and the World Bank wanted him to come to Washington. Decision: He replied that he is unable to do so. Instead, they should send somebody to see him instead.

This item formally on the agenda was discussed:

NATIONAL ALIANCE COMMITTEE MEETING 11.00 A.M. — It will be chaired by Kurt Radix, Comrade Strachan to give a short address on the schedule of work at the site and apologise for the later inviations. Jernard will give a detailed report on the Co-financing conference and Maurice will speak on the formation of the Committee.
and on the idea of the formation of Airport Committees in every work place, school and village. A National Committee to draft a programme for the Airport Committees is also to be formed with representatives from the youth, women, business, workers, farmers, middle strata, civil organisations.

Comrade Louis who visited Carriacou over the last weekend reported that George Prime still has not been appointed Deputy Secretary for Carriacou Affairs as yet. Decision - Comrade Radix to work on this. Comrade Louis met with Comrades Prime and Bullen. The latter is thinking of resigning and also responded to allegations made against him, which he said were unfounded and false. Bentley Thomas is now doing his own thing and Comrade Louis said he is to be called here to work for a few weeks.

Comrade Radix reported on a hydro-power report done by some French which reveals areas that we can receive power from. Comrade Coard said that this is being worked on.

Comrade Radix also reported that Minor Spices is in a financial crisis. This is to be discussed on Friday, 24th at Economic Bureau.

The Sugar Factory he said also, is has a large quantity of sugar lying there and a cash flow problem. Comrade Coard said that this is being worked on also.

This was not on the agenda/was discussed:-

The new B.K.P., Comrade Sibbles from Jamaica is to be paid $2,000.00 monthly and given a monthly housing allowance of $500.00 with 50% duty free on the purchase of a car. His contract is to last one year. Comrade Coard is to discuss the matter with him.

Hazel-Ann
RECORdING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC BUREAU
MEETING ON SATURDAY 25TH
APRIL, 1981.

Comrades present:-

Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Hudson Austin
George Louison
Union Whitman
Vincent Noel

Comrades absent:-

Kenrick Radix - out of the country

AGENDA:

1) Prioritisation of the Capital Budget
2) Housing
3) Bestlait
4) MWB
5) PWU
6) Asterdam
7) Mexico
8) Suriname
9) GRC

(1) PRIORITISATION OF THE CAPITAL BUDGET - The plan here is to cut out some $25M from the budget because of not getting some promised $12M from the I.M.F. Some programmes were cut, but it did not amount to the required sum. There has been a drop in the revenue - going lower than expected. But the possible reasons for that are being looked at presently.

-----Comrade Strachan reported that he met with four representatives of the S.W.W.U. Their grievance was that the presence of the BSWU workers was denying them their jobs. Also, they have decided to boycott the May Day Celebration. Comrade Strachan replied to the first issue, that the PRG had no desire to see them without jobs and that is not what was being done. The second, May Day Celebrations - is being organised by the T.U.C. and their boycott will be against the T.U.C. and not the PRG. They also want to discuss the question of the Cuban boats and will send a agenda for the meeting with the Comrade Leader on May 7th.

(2) PUBLIC WORKERS UNION - At the last meeting, they were willing to accept PRG's offer if the letters will then be withdrawn. That was not the agreement - they will be withdrawn when the whole agreement is signed. The PWU has put out a call to its members to come out on May Day and show their solidarity with their members now on suspension. Decision - Lauriston Wilson is to tell them, that if they do as planned, regarding the present stage of the negotiations, PRG will be free to do anything they see necessary.

-----Comrade Noel appointed cut that AGWU must apply to TUC formembership now, in order that the latter remains in our control.

------The CIWU, BWU, AND PFU must ensure to be at the May Day Celebrations.

(3) G.R.C. - Comrade Whitman reported on the GRC situation with specific regard to Crucikshank and allegations of corruptions against him. He reported however that the State hotels had improved, largely due to Alva James's enthusiasm and attention and that the allegations against him (Alva) must be investigated.

The Comrade Leader pointed out that Alva's approach has been one of bypassing the GRC Board and dealing with Unie on a one to one basis. He was refusing to attend board meetings. Comrade Whitman confirmed that he had told Alva that there should be no board meeting in his (Unie's) absence.

At this point, I had to leave.

Hazel-Ann
ECORDING SECRETARY

DOCUMENT 48

48 - 1
REPORT TO THE POLITICAL BUREAU

CH WORLD PEACE CONFERENCE

HAVANA, CUBA, 19th - 21st APRIL, 1991

The Conference was attended by seventy-nine countries, and nine international organisations. Delegates came from working class parties, in and out of political power, religious organisations and national peace movements. Delegates from the caribbean came from Grenada, St. Vincent, Barbados, Jamaica and Guyana.

The Conference got on with a positive start with a fiery anti-imperialist speech from Cuban Conrado Jesus Montane Crepesa alt. member of the politburo of K.P.C, and was then followed by ROHESH CHANDEL, President of World Peace Council (W.P.C).

This session of the presidential committee of W.P.C was held in solidarity with the peoples of Cuba, Grenada, Nicaragua and El Salvador.

At the opening session Col. Whitman delivered a short speech to the conference on Imperialism manoeuvres in and around Grenada, Condemning their attempts to make decisions and their provocation of violating our air space and territorial waters.

Speeches were made by members of Communist parties and Presidents of National Peace Movements, all of which called for mass participation of the peoples in dealing with bringing the arms race to a halt and creating an atmosphere of PEACE. This session was very businesslike. There wasn't any need of militancy on the part of the speakers nor delegates.

The following day April 20th were the sitting of the various Commissions, and discussion of two items on the agenda viz: "THE STRUGGLE OF LATIN AMERICA AND CARIBBEAN PEOPLE FOR GENUINE ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL INDEPENDENCE FOR PEACE AND AGAINST THE ARMS BUILD UP," AND "UNCLAIMED FOR DISARMAMENT AND DEFEATE." These discussions were held in frankness, all findings of the commissions were document and brought forward as resolutions.

On the final day, reports on organisational matter i.e. (finance, regional bodies and campaigns) were discussed. The work of National Peace Committee were discussed, and suggestions were given as to ways in which the movement can get stronger.

Resolution from commission, Grenada and other countries were read and adopted.

The sessions ended with an address from Col. Fidel Castro, President of Cuba.
Besides this general report on the conference, I also took time to meet with leaders and President of various Peace Committees, representatives of El Salvador, Japan, Soviet Peace Committee, U.S.C. and other personal. They are as follows.

RAFAEL GOUINJE: Representative of Organisation of Solidarity with the Peoples of Africa, Asia and Latin America (OSAALA).

A delegation from OSAALA wants to pay a visit to Grenada on May 6th. Ambassador Jacob in Cape will be co-ordinating the visit. OSAALA has proposed doing more solidarity work with G/DA. We also want to carry out an interview with the leadership of N.J.N. for Tri-Continental Magazines.

As co-ordinators of N.J.N. for Latin America and Caribbean they want to take on joint initiative with G/DA, to hold a conference sometime next year, on the experience of the Caribbean peoples.

There were also a proposal of English speaking National Peace Movements in G/DA, so that the Brazilian leaders in co-ordinating the work of the N. American, Africa, Asia and Latin America can be dealt with.

ALBERTO RAMOS: (Int. Relations Department and members of FMLN of El Salvador;)

At present around 7,000 men in El Salvador is under military and political control of the Junta. These are in about one-sixth (1/6) of El Salvador territory.

The Junta troops have never been able to defeat them in that region, which is mainly in the Northern part of El Salvador. At present there have been a lull in the fighting, due to the heavy losses and Generalista state of the Junta troops. Troops are also being trained by U.S personnel and this will take six (6) months.

In the city the FMLN are facing many problems in moving their troops. Their flight take the form of blowing up transmission and power lines, in an effort to halt production. This would affect foreign exchange and the government won't be able to meet the people's need. One third (1/3) of the country has been put out of production by these methods. The country lost $3 billion in 2 years. Imperialism is giving financial and military assistance to the Junta. Due to these measures the FMLN is planning a second offensive. However, their problem is that there are more people, than weapons.

Diplomatic offensives are also taking place. In Europe against the Italian Christian Democratic Party which supports the Junta, they face the election next year. Venezuela Democratic Party also supports the Junta. Pressure is being brought upon them to cease their support.

The FMLN doesn't support the move by Socialist in seeking a political situation.
The reason is the Junta is unpopular and have little support among the masses. A proposal was that the country may be divided in two i.e. North and South. The Cde. want G/C to mobilise campaign for their struggle.

They are also requesting that on May 1st, solidarity is shown with the people of El Salvador and firm support for their struggle. They also ask that we call for direct non-intervention of America in El Salvador.

HURBERTO HERNANDEZ (S.W. GUYANA) The present issue in Guyana is one concerning the border dispute between Guyana and Venezuela. The Cde. think that Burnham shouldn't have opened up talks again in 1970 with protocol. The point is that the British and the Venezuelan did agree with the border lines in 1962.

It is the feeling that Burnham will use this opportunity to whip up political support, by appealing to the patriotism of the masses. They also see it as an attempt by imperialism to leave the question of the border open, so that, it can always be made use of in the future, e.g. if Burnham had to be removed from power and the progressive forces take over.

There is also moves between the Guyanese and Brazilian Gov't to conclude an agreement in the disputed regions.

To sum up, the Cde., see it as an attempt by Burnham to save the image, provocation by Imperialism to push Venezuela to open the issue again, and an overall act to get the progressive forces out of the way.

A BOOK BY D.N. CHEO-JIE JAGAN "BORDER CONSPIRACY EXPOSED" deals more with the issue.

The government of Guyana Representative Agness Kirton, informed me of an invitation sent to the party on a Conference to be held in Guyana from April 30th - May 3rd. The theme being "TOWARDS THE LIBERATION OF SOUTHERN AFRICA"

The Y.S.M of Guyana also extended an invitation to he N.Y.C inviting them to their congress in May-June. Two members are asked to attend.

HURBERTO HERNANDEZ: (Head of U.J.C).

Concretely; The party is offering five(5) scholarships to the N.Y.C to attend their National School in August 1981. Offers have been given for 5 Cde. to attend the International Pioneers Camp to be held from July-August, 1981. One of these places going to a guico.

Two (2) members of the U.J.C will be coming after the Y.S.M conference held in Guyana. They will exchange organisational experience with the N.Y.C.

An update of youth work was given to Cde. We expressed continuation of correspondence and growing and strengthening relations between both organisations.

Meeting
Meeting of a very brief nature were held with representative of the following peace committees: Soviet Peace Committee, Bulgaria, German Democratic Republic, Hungary and the National Committee of Quebec. All of these express wanting to know G/da and to establish relations with our peace committee. The Soviets, Hungary and German Peace Committee will be sending materials films and other sorts of assistance to the G/da peace committee. These relations can go a very far way.

Dr. Carlton Goodlett and Publisher U.S Peace Council, and a Canadian representative in a effort to raise funds for the W.P.C has expressed their desire of organizing cultural exchanges with G/da for this venture. A letter was written expressing this desire. Ode Whiteman took the opportunity, to establish some sort of correspondence with the Canadian representative, to get tourist from Canada to come to G/da.

Other exchanges and update on political situations in countries such as Afghanistan, Ethiopia, Zimbabwe, Namibia and other region in Latin America, could have been held but this wasn't possible, due to the time I had and the fact that we had only one representative present at the conference.

I think that the conference itself was very successful, as far as representatives and the discussions in serious discussions which were held. This was also by the last resolution adopted and the strength and growth of the Nat Committee.

I have benefited and gain some more experience in dealing with such type of conference. There should have been a bigger delegations whish to the conference, so that much more could be achieved. We were most fully prepared for this World Peace Conference.

It is important that when G/da is invited on attending any conference that all information concerning such conference be known. We are locked upon by our Caribbean and Latin American Cde to play a leading role in solidarity support and presenting papers and positions of economic and political issues.

This was also evident in the number of Cdes who checked me out to discuss for eg the latest political situation in G/da etc... and also of giving our support on controversial issues of Guyana.

This was also the case with Cdes from the Socialist world which checked me out, and want to establish some sort of contact with us.

We must move quick to get the GRENADA PEACE AND SOLIDARITY COMITTEE ESTABLISHED. The sooner the better.

Yours Truly

Swinton Lambert.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING  
WEDNESDAY, 29TH APRIL, 1981.

Comrades Present: -  
Bernard Coard  
Selwyn Strachan  
Vincent Noel  
Hudson Austin  
George Louison

Comrades absent: -  
Maurice Bishop  
Unison Whiteman  
Kenrick Radix

Agenda: -  
(1) Beausejour compensation - Report  
(2) Maitland issue  
(3) Ministry of Education  
(4) May Day Programme - a. Content b. TUC Quest  
(5) Ritz discussion - Cuban Technical Assistance  
(6) Johnson Chase vehicle  
(7) Letter from Ralph Thompson's wife  
(8) Bobby Robinson - Labour Department

(1) BEAUSEJOUR COMPENSATION - At the request of the Economic Bureau meeting of April 24th, a report was received from Orgias Campbell regarding compensation for persons who have been affected by the placing of RFC's transmitter there. The report revealed that a total of $10,000 was owing to different persons. However, the profit made from the sugar cane is $2,000. Therefore, the net cost is $8,000. DECISION - All debts owing to be paid today, with proper receipts issued.

(2) Maitland has dismissed two workers because they are unionised. But he has given Comrade Strachan other reasons for their dismissal. After discussion with the Minister, he agreed to take them back, but when they returned, he again dismissed them. Two suggestions were made, as ways of handling the situation - (1) matter should be taken to court, (2) strike. However, the DECISION - Comrade Strachan to give Maitland 24 hours to take back the workers.

On the general question of workers, it was noted that Woodroffe of Y. Delima should be dealt with for firing a worker because she was pregnant. Also noted, was the case of a beer factory worker who met in two on-the-job accidents and has apparently been charged since then, but received no form of compensation - case being worked on by Comrade Noel. He also reported that the Beer Factory is planning to lay off some workers because, they have said that sales have dropped.

(3) MINISTRY OF EDUCATION - Sister Jacqueline Creft, who has been recently appointed Secretary for Education, has not been giving that area any attention, has said she is not capable of doing the job and is not even trying. This has resulted in the Ministry collapsing. This report was given by Comrade Louison, who himself has been directed to concentrate on the farmers' work and spend less time in the Ministry. Somebody is urgently needed. DECISION - Comrades to think about it over the next 48 hours and make suggestions.

(4) MAY DAY PROGRAMME - CONTENT: The programme will be chaired by Comrade Noel. Solidarity messages will come from the following unions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T.A.W.U.</th>
<th>Jim Wardally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.I.W.U.</td>
<td>Chalkie Ventour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.C.W.U.</td>
<td>Nelson Louison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.P.U.</td>
<td>Simon Charles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comrade Strachan will speak on the PRG programmes that benefit workers in particular, on the recent maternity leave violation case won in court and the Maitland issue (without calling names) for about 20 minutes. Comrade Coard will speak on the state of the economy including foreign assistance from the IMF and World Bank, and the points made at the Jeremiah Richardson Day, the race riots in Britain and the blacks being killed in Chicago.

/...His presentation...
His presentation should last about 30 minutes.

A T.U.C. Guest here, Flair is to stay at Horse Shoe Bay at the expense of the Ministry of Labour.

Comrade Noel reported that the mobilisation for the May Day is going good - over 600 jerseys sold and there are demands for more. Comrades in charge of the different cells in the F.W.U. and those in C.I.W.U. B.G.W.U. and T.A.W.U. to bring out their membership. The S.W.W.T.U. and the Taxi-Drivers are expected to boycott the event. The President General and Secretary of the T.U.C. are to meet with the latter to try to convince them to attend.

B.G.W.U. to use Rivera grounds for their May Day Party.

Comrade Noel reported that Raymond Redhead attended a seminar for Bank workers in Barbados. He returned reporting that all the bank employees at the seminar have expressed the desire to come to Grenada and are in support of our Revolution. Comrade Noel sighted the need to establish contact with the trade unions in the region.

The labour budget is to be reviewed.

Nigel James will be helping with the accounts of Chester's bar.

AGWU, CIWU AND RGWU.

(5) RIZO DISCUSSION/CUBAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE - Comrade Strachan reported that Ambassador Rizo has been pressing him for a discussion on the state of our Party and has been “ducking” him until a P.B. decision was taken on the question.

DECISION - Such discussions should take place on a Party-to-Party basis at the appropriate levels of the two parties. This discussion should not take place now, since we are in the process of reorganisation and must wait until Sister Isabelle has done an assessment with us. Comrade Strachan is to convey to Ambassador Rizo the decision i.e. it is to take place at the appropriate level.

A sister is coming in the middle of May to do ideological development with the Party. She needs accommodation - Comrade Strachan to find out the length of her stay.

(6) JOHNSON CHASE VEHICLE - Johnson Chase has received a land rover from England and is requesting the duty off. Reasons - he has a lot of land and will be using the vehicle to do plenty F.P.U. work.

DECISION - agreed. The request to be sent to the Ministry of Finance and then to cabinet. It must be stated also, that the vehicle will be used for militia purposes also and Comrade De Ries to also sign the letter.

(7) A letter from Ralph Thompson's wife which was written to Comrade Bishop was read to the meeting. In it she states that Ralph who is now in hospital is extremely ill, should be forgiven for whatever he did and be released. On this, Comrade Coard pointed out that Maureen St. Bernard had also been to see him on the issue. It is speculated that Ralph is suffering from an advanced stage of Syphilis, which can eventually lead to death.

DECISION - A medical report to be submitted to the P.B. - Comrade's Austin's task to get such a report from the Prison's doctor and the letter should come from Ralph himself.

(8) ROBBY ROBINSON/LABOUR DEPARTMENT - Comrade Strachan wanted to know whether he should pull Robby Robinson into the work now or after the F.P.U. issue is settled. He is anxious to get him back in the fold of the Labour Ministers meeting in Antigua and the I.L.O conference in Geneva, both coming up soon.

DECISION - Robinson to be brought back and sent to Antigua and Philbert to Geneva. It was also decided that Robinson should be a S.A.S. AND Philbert a A.S. Comrade Strachan also reported that two party cadres are needed to fill the spaces.

DECISION - SECRETARIAT to compile a list of all potential applicants and applicants who are unemployed and their qualifications. General headquarters are also needed for the Budget Department of Finance. Unions is to be limited in the Ministry of Trade to work with Clement Kirton and Nazin Burke.

Hazel-Ann

RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING MAY 6TH, 1981
8.00 P.M. - 12.30 P.M.

AGENDA

1. Electricity Company
2. Housing
3. Letter to Richard Jacobs
4. G.U.T
5. Secretariat Budget
6. Party Headquarters
7. Union Headquarters
8. C.P.C Conference
9. Caribbean report - Petite Martinique
10. MBAC
11. SI Report - Marie
12. Court of Appeal Judge
13. Proposal form Caldwell
14. John Stockwell
15. Antonio Langdon

ITEMS ACCOMPLISHED

1. C.P.C Conference
2. Party Headquarters
3. Court of Appeal Judge
4. John Stockwell
5. Secretariat Budget
6. Union Headquarters
7. G.U.T
8. Roads
9. Proposals from Caldwell

C.P.C CONFERENCE

It was reported by Cde. George Louison that the Caribbean Peace Council will be hosting a Conference in the Dome, Grand Anse on May 10th to 14th 1981. Comrade Louison gave the P.B an insight as how the Conference will look like, he mentioned that sixty (60) overseas guests will be attending such a conference. Comrades will come from USSR, Bulgaria, G.D.R, Cardinal Jamaica and other progressive countries. Comrade Maurice asked for an overall theme of the Conference from Cde. Louison.

DECISIONS:— It was decided that Cdes. Maurice and George speak at the Conference.

* That a Press Conference be held on Friday 15th - Prime Minister Office - the Conference Room.

* Cde. Rugoles Ferguson to assist in the mobilisation network and interview the comrades individually.

TASKS:—

The Secretariat to contact all Applicants, C.M's and M's to be at the Dome on May 10th at 5.30 p.m.

PARTY HEADQUARTERS:

After brief discussion in relation to a new Party Headquarters the P.B came up to the need of such a Headquarters and its location. Some of the needs that were suggested are as follows:

(1) Twelve individual offices
2. Two offices that can hold 6 people and six desks
3. An auditorium which can accommodate 500 people and 4 class rooms.
4. A receptionist office
5. Library and documentation centre.
6. Production area in regards to hold printing press, dark room.
7. Four bedrooms in the headquarters.
8. Living quarters for any personnel - i.e. senior visitors
9. A reading room
10. A kitchen, cafeteria, a small
11. A radio transmitter room
12. Two secret rooms
13. A room for Central Committee members where 50 could sit.
14. Room for Political Bureau
15. A bunker
16. Recreation - table tennis, weight lifting etc.
17. Six committee rooms.

The suggested location for the headquarters as brought forward by the R.B - Mt. Royal. It was agreed that the area is a fantastic spot, and should be of tight security.

JOHN STOCKWELL

It was brought to the attention of R.B by a written letter from Cde. Stockwell to reside in Grenada. The matter was taken up and it was decided for the Cde. to come for at least 6 months with his family. Cde. Maurice said that Sister Dessima will handle the tickets up in Washington. It was stated that a detailed report should be sent to N.Y and then N.Y send it to Sister Dessima for preparing necessary documents.

SECRETARIAT BUDGET

A budget made up by the Secretariat was presented to the R.B, it was given full thought by the comrades and agreed to expand it by another 50,000. A number of concrete areas were looked at to expand its expansion.

UNION HEADQUARTERS

Cde. Vincent Noel brought to the attention of the R.B of the small space in the Union Office. Cde. Coard and other members of the R.B gave the comrades concrete ideas in getting another building. Comrade Maurice said that Cde. Vince should get in contact with Mr. Dean Whitman re the building above the telephone company as he had agreed to purchase it.

G.U.T.

Comrade George Louison reported on a seminar which was scheduled for for May 7th at Bucepe St. John's. He said that 60 comrades are expected to attend, he said that it is for mainly the left elements in the Union.

ROADS

Comrade Selwyn reported that the roads throughout the country are in bad condition. He said that the areas around Bangalore are terrible and this will cause us to lose plenty of tourists. The comrades stated that the has received 400 drums of bitumen and that 250 drums will be used for the St. George's road. It was suggested by the R.B that deep drainage be done and a total road plan for the country should be set up to know exactly what is
needed to upgrade the system. Comrades suggested that a team of engineers should be got to look into the roads and a supervision team to overlook the project.

Caldwell Taylor Proposal

A letter came from Cde. Caldwell Taylor re Stone Brook University for students who are interested in doing a field Study Work Campaign. The I.E had a close look at the proposal and came up to some vital points:

(1) Find out from the Cde the cost
(2) Get the full nature of the field work
(3) Curriculum Vitae

The meeting adjourned at 12.30 p.m.

Hazel Ann & Justin
Recording Secretaries
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING ON
WEDNESDAY, 6TH MAY, 1981

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
George Louisen
Vincent Noel
Hudson Austin
Unison Whiteman

Absent:-
Kenrick Radix - out of the country

Agenda:-
1. Telephone Company
2. Electricity Company
3. Comrade Coard's visit to Lybin and Iraq after 9abon
4. CFTC Oil Exploration Report
5. Housing
6. Letter to Richard Jacob
7. FWU - a. Elections, b. negotiations
8. Meeting with the S.W.W.T.U.
9. G.U.T.
10. Mongolian Party Congress
11. Martinique and Guadeloupe activities
12. Secretariat's Budget
13. Union Headquarters
14. Party Headquarters
15. CFC Conference
16. Carriaccu report - Petite Martinique
17. NJAC
18. Venezuela meeting - a. Group of 77 b. Movement for Socialism
19. ST Report
20. Proposal from Cladwell
21. Court of Appeal Judge
22. John Stockwell
23. Antonio Lomton
24. Roads

Before the official start of the meeting, Comrade Coard gave Comrades a brief report on the situation with the IMF regarding the request for a loan from them. The programme has been redrafted and the money can be received, with a repayment period of 3 - 5 years. Decision - the period for repayment is too short and should be 9 - 10 years instead. The IMF has been informed.

(1) TELEPHONE COMPANY - These following persons are to attend Cabinet meeting this afternoon to discuss the offer from the GDR for our telephone system: Terry Moore, James Lashley, ? Lashley, ? O'Brien and Clement Kirtan.

(2) VISITS TO LYBIA AND IRAQ - Requests are to go by two telexes, through our appropriate embassies to Lybin and Iraq, requesting that discussions be held between our two countries to discuss "matters of great concern to Grenada". These talks should take place at the highest possible level. The visit to Lybin will be from 24th - 26th May with a request to them for 375M (US). Iraq should be visited if the request to Lybin has not been met, from 27th - 29th May.

(3) VENEZUELA MEETING - The Group of 77 is sponsoring a conference on Economic Co-operation Between Developing Countries. Officials are to attend from 13th - 16th May and a Minister from 17th - 19th May. Merle Collins and Nathan Williams will attend as officials and Cladwell will attend as the Ministerial representative. b. The Movement for Socialism has sent an invitation to the Comrade leader to speak on the topic "The New Party To Socialize In The Caribbean". Decision - It will be tactically incorrect to do so right now given our present relations with the Venezuelan Government and the potential for receiving other
benefits from them.

(4) CONC OIL EXPLORATION RIGHTS - Comrade Card reported that
the company that has done the oil exploration here have dis-
closed that there might be some oil somewhere between Grenada
and Venezuela. Hence the importance of warning the Venezuelan
Government and building a popular base with them.

(5) MONGOLIAN PARTY CONGRESS - This is to be the 25th - 26th May.
Comrade Austin will attend the Congress. He will carry Comrade
Fraser with him to help seek military and other assistance in
the countries including the U.S.S.R. and Vietnam. Both comradas
Austin and Fraser are to speak to G. Payne, Clement Kirton
and Comrade Burke to get a full briefing on the projects be-
fore leaving. Among the projects to be discussed on the trip
are the International Airport and the Party's headquarters.
Comrade Austin should set up meetings with the foreign and
military ministries in the different countries and should
carry with him (a) Comrade Bishop's address at the SI Con-
ference and (b) Comrade Noel's address while in the GDR last
year.

(6) MARTINIQUE AND GUADELOUPE ACTIVITIES - The Communist Parties
of these countries are holding some activities in the middle of May.
Comrades Noel and Burke to attend and to particularly "tie up" the
economic aspect of things in case of a economic blockade against us. Comrade Noel is to get the specific dates and more
information on the activities and report to Economic Bureau
meeting of Friday, 8th May.

(7) P.W.J. - a. Elections - This could be anytime now and therefore
the OC has formed a P.W.J. Sub-Committee: President - Baz Burke,
Vice President - Ian Jacobs. The work should start immediately
with worker education courses. The question of elections should
not be raised until after the elections. If the elections clash with the visit to Guadeloupe and Martin-
ique, then Comrade Burke should meet. Ian Jacobs should be
brought on to the Party's Sub-Committee. b. Negotiations -
three should not be left with Larry Wilson alone. A letter
should be sent to the Union explaining that our offer still
stands and that letters will be withdrawn after the entire
agreement is signed.

(8) HUNGARIAN PROFESSOR - is presently here - the programme
for him has been inadequate. Comrade Coard reported that he
wants to do a demonstration farm using 25 acres including a
dam. The Hungarian Professor also said that they can send two
experts here for a period of 3 - 6 months, but everything must
be ready for them. The La Sagesse Farm House is to be used to
accommodate them and two rooms need to be air-conditioned. When
the experts can come will depend on when the equipment from
the Airport site will be available. Comrade Whiteman is to
teach this cut.

Comrade Coard reported that the Hungarian re-
scaled that 2,212bs. of hybrid tomato seeds is worth $1,000 (US)
on the world market. He also stated his concern about farmers
using the wrong fertilizers on our soil. It means that the
farmers need to be educated on the proper fertilizers to be
used.

(9) S.W.W.T.U. MEETING - Comrades Bishop and Strachan are to meet
with the S.W.W.T.U. tomorrow (May 7th) The Agenda is as follows:

1. Off-loading of Soviet and Cuban ships
2. F.R.G.'s attitude to the Union
3. Allegations against certain executive members
4. Unions attitude to the F.R.G.

The first three items were suggested by the Union.

The meeting was then adjourned to continue at 8.00 p.m.
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING ON
WEDNESDAY, 13TH MAY, 1981

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Vincent Nobl
Hudson Austin
Kenrick Radix
George Louison

Comrades absent:-
Bernard Coard - away
Unison Whiteman - sick

AGENDA:-
1. New York propaganda
2. Walter Rodney
3. Isabelle
4. Airport Committee
5. Dock Workers
6. Invitations from the U.S.S.R.
7. Regina Taylor
8. Drivers

1. NEW YORK PROPAGANDA - Cde. Owusu who came to the meeting specifically on this question reported that Dave Maresh of CBS television in the United States and was here for the Airport Rally, has now returned to the U.S. and has been on a wicked, massive propaganda campaign against Grenada. Before coming, Maresh had said that he was impressed with the social and economic developments of Grenada.

While he was here he was picked up by the Police, but only spent two, three hours in custody. Now he has returned home and has a thrice-weekly TV programme called "Prisoner In A Police State". This programme comes on at the peak television viewing hours: 6.00 p.m. and 11.00 p.m.

The programme according to Cde. Owusu has been on the defensive and has been advertised in the entire media for the past three weeks. He has also made allegations of torture of police prisoners and about while in custody. He also raised the issue of Grenada being a one-party state and that of the Torchlight. In general, the CBS programme has been on the defensive and apart from the television, the attacks have been coming from both the radio and the press. A half/one hour programme increases according on Saturday and they also plan to feature Grenadians hiding their faces to say what's happening here.

DECISION - Cde. Radix to leave for New York tomorrow (May 14th) to combat the propaganda. Among other things, Comrades felt that a 5, 10, or 15 minute video tape using appropriate clips from the Airport rally with Dave Maresh and the other US press men be compiled for Cde. Radix to leave with. He was also given some political lines to take on the issue, one of them being that he should respond to their local affairs, e.g. the killing of Blacks in Atlanta. Cde. Radix is to carry information regarding detainees, pointing out that if all the people picked up during the first six weeks of the Revolution, only 14 are left in custody. Apart from Cde. Radix's visit, a demonstration is being planned for New York on Saturday, 16th and Cde. Radix will be given TV time on CBS to respond to the propaganda while he is in the U.S., he is also to take the opportunity to meet with the state department, since he is still accredited as our Ambassador to the U.S.

2. WALTER ROONEY - Cde. Bishop reported that in a telephone conversation with Wickie Singh, the latter pointed out that June 13th will mark the first anniversary of Walter Rodney's assassination. He wanted to know if the Party was doing anything in this regard. DECISION - The Secretariat to contact Swinton Lambert and ask him to let the GCC Council put out a statement on that day.

3. ISABELLE - Cde. Bishop reported that Cde. Coard had spoken to Isabelle from the Cuban Federation of Women, who has been here for a while assisting the NGO. He had hinted to her that we want her to stay longer to assist the NGO and suggested that
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both Comrades Bishop and Strachan speak to her on this also. Cde. Bishop disclosed that Cde. Fidel had told Isabelle she should stay here as long as Cde. Bishop requested her to do so. However, she has been hinting that what she think will happen is that Cde. Castro will call her back and send a youth representative to assist NYO. Cde. Bishop will meet with Isabelle this afternoon.

(3) AIRPORT COMMITTEE - Cde. Bishop stated that the drafting committee of the Airport committee has submitted a report to him. This report stated that the committee can sell the project, but will need some details and recommended the following:

4.1 drawings of the project to be placed in show windows islandwide
4.2 national lottery
4.3 tax-free bonds
4.4 charts and figures of expenditure and work done
4.5 telefund system - people calling in on radio to pledge money
4.6 compensation for callisto residents who have been re-housed
4.7 night lighting
4.8 name of the contractors and where they are from
4.9 information of the infrastructure
4.10 stand by generator plants
4.11 expansion and diversification of tourism
4.12 visits abroad to sell the project
4.13 all progress to be known by the committee
4.14 PA/G to ask the Canadian Government to help build the terminal
4.15 getting all the requirements needed for landing here e.g. licence, fees, etc.
4.16 representation from the taximens union on the committee
4.17 public kept up to date - information committee to get radio time
4.18 competition to name the airport
4.19 N/G to help form UBC's Islandwide
4.20 committee wants a guided tour of the airport

Comrades agreed on the above suggestions except Nos. 2, 11, and 17. In the case of No. 2 - that of the national lottery - it was decided that the committee should prepare a more detailed plan, consider a bingo instead and this to be submitted by them to Cabinet for approval. On No. 11 - the expansion and diversification of tourism - same as No. 2. and No. 17 - the public being kept up to date - the committee should meet with Cde. McBarnette of RFG to discuss the idea and then report to Cabinet.

The agenda for the Airport Committee meeting at 3.00 p.m. is set as follows:

1. Recommendations
2. Structure of the committee
3. Regularity of meetings
4. Assignment of tasks
5. Next meeting

(5) LOCK WORKERS - The contract which was to be drafted by Cde. Noel some two weeks ago, still has not been completed. This proposed contract is to let BG/U be the agent for MIB. Cde. Coard had already spoken to Cde. Kizo about contacting the Cuban ships and Cde. Noel is to follow up on this by contacting Cde. Kizo.

(6) INVITATIONS FROM THE U.S.S.R. - They have sent sets of invitations to the Central Committee. The first invites five...
CC members to visit the Soviet Union for a period of rest and recreation lasting one month and another five to come for a period of 18 days for a working visit and also to familiarize themselves with that country. These two sets of invitations will be discussed at the CC meeting for this p.m.

(7) Regina Taylor - Cde. Louison reported that in a conversation with Regina Taylor she stated that there is a great potential for her to get funds for the youth, Cde, and other programmes. In fact, she has already gotten some. But in order to be able to do so at the fullest, she must not be working with Government because those agencies are Non-Governmental Organisations that do not give money to Governments. DECISION - Sister Taylor should be put in an "independent" office with a Secretary/Typist, but be accountable to Cde. Coard.

Cde. Louison is to contact Cde. Miles on the formation of ART (Agency for Rural Transformation) or THRUST (Through U Service and Transformation). This will be the department Sister Regina will be employed with.

(8) Drivers - Cde. Bishop disclosed that 50 persons are needed as soon as possible to be trained to be car, truck and jeep drivers.

OTHER BUSINESS:
- Aerial survey: Cde. Austin to check Joe Campbell on what facilities he has to do the aerial survey of country.
- Carnival plans are on the way.
- Certain mistakes were made in the organisation of the CRC conference:
  (1) leaving June in charge and
  (2) neglecting to invite the CCC
  (3) weak or no mobilisation at all for Sunday's opening.
- Cdes. Bishop and Louison are to meet with the executive members of the Christian Peace Council.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
ON
WEDNESDAY, 28TH MAY, 1981

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop - Chairman
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
George Louison
Vincent Noel

Comrades absent:-
Bernard Coard
Kennrick Radix
Hudson Austin

Agenda:-
1. The Church
2. Dr. Gonsalves
3. C.C.C. of St. Vincent
4. Barbados report
5. P.W.U.
6. Electricity
7. Carriacou report
8. S.W.W.U. situation
9. African Liberation Day
10. Ian Cheret

(1) THE CHURCH - Cde. Louison led off the discussion here stating that four church events have taken place in the past week here:-(1) The CPC Conference, (2) Catholic Teachers Annual Convention, (3) CYC Annual Convention, (4) CADEC Conference. He reported that Bishop Charles raised the issue of two Prime Ministers with him on Friday last, saying that he hopes nobody is peeved about him having made that statement. Cde. Louison responded by saying that as far as we know, there is only one Prime Minister here and that if there is claim about another one, we will have to look for his power base.

He also stated that at the Catholic Teachers Convention last Friday, he (Cde. Louison) spoke of the two interpretations Christian Education can have; that being part of the theme.

At Sunday's CYC Convention - the Nicaraguan priest who was here for the CPC Conference gave a very good speech that went down very well. Bishop Charles was obviously extremely angered and responded by asking the priest questions, which is very unusual for that forum. He even went so far as to ask the crowd questions such as, "Do you want to see your priests in the Militia?" Cde. Louison stated that Bishop Charles was "put under manners" by the thunderous applause the Nicaraguan priest received. The CYC people who spoke also were very good.

CADEC CONFERENCE - Cde. Louison reported here too. He stated that Bishop Charles was very low-keyed and defensive in his presentation. David Mitchell, he said also gave a good speech and the general mood there was very good and warm. Cde. Louison's speech also went down good. He touched on a number of points including: (a) CADEC in development in the past ten years and that the conditions that existed then still exist now, (b) the achievements of the Revolution are similar to the work they are trying to do in terms of the social and economic programmes, (c) the regional and interna-
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tional economic and peace situation, (d) that they as church people, recognising the struggle to form CADEC must not be side-tracked in their efforts.

It was suggested that Peggy Nesfield should work with the CADEC people presently here to show them around.

David Mitchell also made a presentation at the CADEC Conference. In it he spoke of the importance of the black power struggle in the early 70's, the present day World situation, that CADEC must look at the social context in which developments are taking place, deliberate, and developing strategies.

Cde. Bishop then reported on a meeting he had with Rev. Lett of the CCC from Antigua on Sunday morning last. The latter wanted to know what is happening in Grenada and of our relations with the Church. Cde. Bishop told him that there is no other Bishop or head of Church in Grenada that could have made such a statement as Bishop Charles made. He also told him that what Charles was implying when he made the statement about there being two Prime Minister—one for the Church and one for the State—and in another church other than Catholic, and with other denominations present, is that his church is the real church and all others should join it.

Rev. Lett disclosed to Cde. Bishop that the progressive church in the region is under serious pressure in that there is a great chance of the reactionaries taking power in the upcoming elections for the CCC. As a result, he expressed the view that Grenada can not and would not just sit down and not respond to any counter-revolutionary activity from the Church. He pointed out to Rev. Lett that they would have to carry the struggle themselves and expose Bishop Charles if necessary. What he suggested is that Rev. Lett should talk to Bishop Charles himself.

Rev. Lett disclosed that it is hard to dispel all the theology picked up during one's school days regarding the concept of "pie in the sky". He also makes the distinction between what he called "atheistic communism and just plain communism.

The General observation was made that the Church has decided to make a major thrust against the Revolution again and that they are in close contact with the backward Church teachers.

The following points were noted:-

(a) Sydney Charles' clandestine meeting with the Venezuelan OAS Ambassador, Cardozo.
(b) Charles' speech at the CPC Ecumenical Service (at which he made the statements about PR, and FAA, two P.M.'s, etc.)
(c) meeting with Regina Taylor, calling the
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priests at the CPC Conference leftists and querying the decision to hold the Conference here and not in Boucador as was suggested.
(d) meeting with Phil Wheaton of EPICA
(e) meetings with the CTC crowd (some of them)
(f) his interview (the only one) on the recent CBS TV Station, which viciously attacks the Revolution.
(g) his three encounters with Cde. Louison at different occasions during the last week.

Cde. Strachan felt that the time had come when Bishop Charles should be called in and given a strong warning.

Cde. Whiteman expressed the view and Comrades agreed that the present problems being experience with the Church are a result of the Party's negligence in forming a committee for that specific purpose. In this regard, Comrades are to start looking for such possible people.

Here, Cde. Louison reported that he met with the Cuban comrade who is in charge of repulsive affairs in the PPC—he was here for the CTC Conference. He spoke of the development of the Party's work in the Church and said that a CC member is in charge of that area of work.

Comrade Bishop also disclosed that because of Cde. Louison's presentations, he has been the target for criticisms from both Fathers Bernard and Peter.

In light of all the above, the following decisions were taken:

A. The following taped speeches should be transcribed, to be edited by Cde. Louison:
   i. Cde. Bishop's speech at the Opening of the CPC Conference - 10th May
   ii. Costa Rican priest at the opening of the CPC Conference - 10th May
   iii. The Soviet priest at the opening of the CPC Conference - 16th May
   iv. Cde. Louison at the CTC Conference - 12th May
   v. Bishop Charles at the CPC Ecumenical Service - 14th May
   vi. Cde. Louison at the Catholic Teachers Annual Convention - 15th May
   vii. Cde. Louison at the CYC Annual Convention - 17th May
   viii. Nicaraguan priest at the CYC Annual Convention - 17th May
   ix. Cde. Louison at the CADEC Conference - 19th May

B. The following package was also agreed upon:
   i. Cde. Bishop to speak to Fr. Martin (he being the most progressive of the priests).
   ii. Cde. Whiteman to speak to Lucky Bernard (those two discussions will center around the present situation with the Church's relation with the IRG—a "Lett-type discussion").
   iii. A letter to the F.W.I. (decided upon after much discussion)
   iv. Identify the people in the Roman Catholic
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Council and get them to raise the price. Cde. Louison is to check Sis. Judy on that project by Friday, 22nd.

v. To check the Presbyterian mission?

vi. As above Bishop Charles and let somebody speak to the reason above him who will then probably speak to Bishop Charles himself.

There was a very long, intense discussion on the question of religion generally, especially among Cdes. Bishop, Strachan and Louison. This consumed a great portion of the time. The view was expressed that it should be a PB and CC agenda item.

Also taking up much discussion was the question as to where the middle class was now in terms of support for the Revolution. Some comrades felt that presently the middle class was showing firm support for the Revolution, while others felt that this was not the case - that in fact, that strata was moving away from the Revolution. Comrades also questioned the position regarding national unity at this time.

Comrade Louison is to talk to Mrs. Byer. She was requesting that either a group of church people go to Cuba to look at the question of the Church there, or that a group from Cuba come here. It was decided that the latter would be the better model and Cde. Louison is to try to get her to agree to it.

(2) The Dr. Gonsalles issue was discussed.

(3) P.W.U. - Cde. Noel reported he held a meeting with the FWU Cell. He said that it seems that elections will be next week and that the Cell can bring out about 80 people as opposed to 250/250 by the Union. He was suggesting that if an announcement could be made regarding the increased salaries, the turnout would be more. He wondered whether a clear announcement in this regard could not be made about this between now and Monday.

Cde. Bishop explained that this would be difficult, because of certain problems and that the issue was due to be discussed this afternoon at Cabinet meeting.

(4) ELECTRICITY - Cde. Strachan disclosed that Salfarie of the G.E.S. called him yesterday morning, stating that there is a crisis in the company. The crisis is that they only have two days supply of fuel in storage and that the boat is able to last here during that time. However, ESRO has threatened to let them by-pass Grenada unless they are paid the $478,000 in due. He also said that the company has not been able to collect their money because no bills have been issued, which is a result of the NCR machine not functioning.

G.E.S. was told that they should ask for a 60-day
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instead of a 30-day line of credit. This they have done, but R380 has refused to agree.

Cde. Strachan stated that he told Salfari that Taylor should berung up and told explicitly that he must pay off his debts.

Cde. Bishop suggested that Cde. Strachan call him in and speak to him about the money from Taylor.

OTHER THINGS:
- The Hermitage/Tivoli area is "on the bill" again and Cde. Bishop said that he had received reports saying that there was a "jump-up" in the streets there last night.
- There will be the launching of a Party in June, "come hell or high water".
- Stanley Roberts has been saying since April, that when June comes, "they go see".
- The Lybian Charge D'fairs says that he has received information saying that America plans to move decisively on us in the next three months - to get us out of peer in that time.
- All this must be linked and co-ordinated.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON

WEDNESDAY, 27TH MAY,

1981

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Vincent Noel – late
Kenrick Radix
George Louison

Comrades absent:-

Bernard Coard
Hudson Austin

AGENDA:-

(1) GRENLIC
(2) Agricultural Sub-Division Law
(3) GCNA Account
(4) Comrade Radix’s report
(5) Barbados Report/Trinidad trip
(6) African Liberation Day delegates

Before starting the agenda, the decisions of the last PB meeting were “looked through” to see whether they were implemented and the Secretariat was told to keep check RPG to ensure that the tapes get done. On decision Bi., Cde. Bishop did not speak with Fr. Martin, Bi., Cde. Whiteman did not speak to Lucky Bernard as the latter is out of the country, Biii., the letter to FWI was done, Biv., Cde. Louison leaves for Trinidad this afternoon.

Cde. Louison spoke to Sister Judy, but she was not sure as to the members on the R.C. church council and she is to try to get the information and contact Cde. Louison. However, she did confirm that the Bishop personally chooses the people who are to be council members.

Cde. Radix said that in appealing to his mother, she had the same to say about the R.C. Church Council - she said that democracy is dying in there.

(1) GRENLIC - Barclays Bank will send a letter, saying that they will grant GR$ a loan of $400,000 “if Cde. Bishop signs the letter, thus taking on the personal undertaking to see that the loan is repaid. Cde. Bishop asked Mr. Commission to add “or the Minister he designates” to the letter. This was agreed upon and Cde. Strachan signed the letter and taking the money available to GR$ is to be repaid by September, 31st, 1981.

It was noted that some body from Cuba will be arriving here on Friday to look at the machinery and make recommendations, that Trevor Farrel should do a economic survey of the company and that we should look for alternative sources of fuel - GR$ has 8,000 customers.

Cde. Bullen, the new Manager of GRENLIC gave the Political Bureau a idea as to what is being done. He said that the Board of Directors of the company met yesterday (May 26th) and made some decisions:-

a. to pay ES$0 the balance and work out with
Tony Joseph an arrangement for the future,
b. they have set up a committee to look at
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the cash flow situation.
c. they are requesting a loan of $960,000 from the CDB
to do a complete overhaul of the machines and to buy
new ones. In this regard, he suggested that Cde.
Bishop speak to William Dumans
d. considering employing 10 persons temporarily to
write out the outstanding bills
e. Pursue to give a report on the generating plant and
the present supply of spare parts
f. the accountant (Salforli) to give a report on the
company’s fixed assets, cash projection for the
next 6 months and expenditure.

It was disclosed that GES collects about $700,000 -
$800,000 monthly.

Cde. Bullen also said that the main problem now is the cash
flow which has been affected by the NCR machines which have
been non-functional for some time. Consequently, bills are
6 weeks old. In this regard, Cuba might be willing to lend,
give or sell us such a machine. Cde. Bullen is to get the
 specifics of the present NCR machine, inform Shirley Taylor
who will then contact Richard Jacobs to give Sister Coard,
presently in Cuba, to see if it is available there. How-
ever, the following people all versed in computers, will
be checked to see what other possibilities are open:
Boston Radix, Errol Coard and Byron Campbell. There is
also a computer at the Ministry of Planning, which was
given to us as a gift from David Wellington, that is to
be checked for possible use.

Mario Bullen and Dennis Augustine to be telephoned on ob-
taining spare parts.

The new Manager disclosed that under Rodney George’s con-
tract, he received $36,000 yearly, a house, car and $1,000
entertainment allowance. Rodney George is also liable to
get paid for three months after the termination of his
services. The end of May will be the end of the three
months.

Comrades felt that the "surplus" from Rodney George’s con-
tract should be adjusted appropriately.

Comrade Bullen also disclosed that CDC is paid a Manage-
ment fee and a Commission fee which runs into thousands
of dollars. This money comes from GES.

Two-letters are to be sent by Angus Smith, as Acting Per-
mament Secretary in the Ministry of Finance to CDC and
GRENLEC, outlining government’s position.

Comrades suggested that some propaganda be done to persua-
doe customers to pay their bills and that a pamphlet be
done which can be used for house to house, outlining the
present situation in GRENLEC. Cde. Whitman suggested
that Cde. St. Bernard write the pamphlet and said that he
would inform him at CC meeting later that day.
It was also agreed that the 1960 Law on GES be repealed.

(2) AGRICULTURAL SUB-DIVISION LAW - A law has been drafted
to generally bring the land "under manners". It is called
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the LAND DEVELOPMENT AND UTILIZATION TAX. It is based on examples from countries like Jamaica and St. Kitts. It allows for the Land Commission to declare certain lands, farm and rural and idle land requiring for a development plan for the land. It also makes it legal for the transfer of the land title to Government and compensation later.

Cde. Radix has been given the draft which was done by Miles Fitzpatrick to be studied and for him to report to Economic Bureau on Friday 29th May. Cde. Radix is also to check Miles Fitzpatrick on the purchase of a house in St. Paul's, going for $200,000.

(3) GCNA ACCOUNT - Cde. Whitman reported that there are reported irregularities in the GCNA account and that some $1 million has allegedly missing from the Grenville Natrag Pool. He felt that this should be discussed at GC level, but it was decided that it will be discussed at Economic Bureau meeting on Friday 29th May.

(4) COMRADE RADIX'S REPORT - Cde. Radix reported on his trip to the U.S. which was mainly to beat back the adverse propaganda that was being spread up there, through the television programme on CBS, done by Dave Naresh. He said

that a programme on WJAB Radio Station, organized to beat back the propaganda that was supposed to be 1 hour, ended up being 1 1/2 hours. During the radio programme, there was only one caller who supported Dave Naresh.

Comrade Radix said that about 5 meetings were held to mobilize people for the demonstration against the CBS programme. The demonstration did come off and was very good with about 150 persons participating. Most of this number were middle aged people, including some whites. He did not take part.

He said no equal time was allowed on CBS and that lawyers are looking into the programme for possible charges of libel.

When he went to Washington, he held a "get together" at Sister Williams' house where people from the Black United Front and Friends of Grenada were present. Cde. Radix said that in New York, he held a Press Conference with some 35 pressmen, including some from the Caribbean and GCN. He and Sister Williams attended the Conference of Black Journalists. Cde. Radix brought greetings from Tony Mehta.

Cde. Radix reported that he met with Robert Kram, Director of the Caribbean Section of the State Department. They have admitted that they interfered in the airport/IMF issue. They also slipped out the fact that they want to finance an independent newspaper here and wanted to know if we have given Cuba permission to use the Airport as a military base. Regarding to their acceptance of our WI Ambassador, they said that the matter is still under consideration and that we will hear from them, but they could not say what the decision will be. Cde. Radix also raised with them the fact that President Reagan has not replied to
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P.M. Bishop's letter. They replied that a verbal reply was given by their representative in Barbados. Cde. Radix told them that he did not have any knowledge of this. The State Department expressed disappointment that we had given publicity to the letter, without waiting for a reply. Cde. Radix protested their interference in the Airport/IFP issue and they said they saw it as legitimate. They also said that they are still formulating their policy towards Grenada. They also told the questions of election and the press.

Cde. Radix reported that the RUF is gaining in prestige and that the Black Political Party is having a conference in August and they want somebody from the Party to attend it. He said that they were able to mobilise 45,000 people for the anti-war march that took place in Washington. He said also that the Pan African Congress organisers want to hold the Congress here and that they are setting up a Steering Committee with people responsible for different areas. He also stated that there is a conference coming up soon to be held in Paris, to press for Namibia's independence.

Cde. Radix also said that he met Baseo who claims to know a former CIA man. Baseo said that he discovered that a decision was made to bring down the FG. He also felt that we should try to form some support in the churches and that he will soon be seeing Archbishop Pantin who he described as being simplified.

Baseo wants permission to write on Grenada, would also like to ask Kennedy Budhali and wants to know if anybody will be able to see him if he comes here.

Here, Cde. Bishop analysed that Baseo is trying to get back into the West Indian community and is seeking to use Grenada for that purpose. He also made the following points:-

- Bill Schaap and Allen Ray send their greetings.
- Cde. Burke said he send down a fellow to Cde. Louison about some students and 28 teachers who want to come here to help for a while.
- Cde. Taylor should move from Manhattan to Brooklyn in order to do more community work
- Cde. Burke is also not doing enough community work and somebody is needed to do that
- Samorie is to send down his proposed programme
- The Grenada Friendship Society is to be re-launched on June 19th
- the NY mission has put together a March 13th Programme
- the Rally that was held in NY had about 250 persons

Cde. Radix ended his report by suggesting that for future anniversaries of the Revolution, different comrades should go to different places to hold celebrations, e.g. North America, London, Europe and the Caribbean.

Cde. Louison said that Ricky Parris of Barbados wants us to print their minimum programme. Decision—it can be done once WI has the facilities.
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 3RD JUNE, 1901

Comrades present:
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Kenrick Radix
George Louison
Unison Whiteman
Vincent Noel

Comrades absent:
Bernard Coard
Hudson Austin

AGENDA:
(1) Detention Tribunal
(2) OECS
(3) E M A Welsh
(4) June 15th
(5) Grace James
(6) Spare parts from South Korea
(7) FAU/ILC Conference
(8) Sonny Mark's phone call
(9) Foreign Ministers' Conference
(10) Lybia/USSR Ambassador
(11) Letter from Richard Jacobs
(12) Security
(13) Lybian delegation
(14) Ashley Wills/Aussel
(15) Venezuela fuel
(16) Response to CNS
(17) CC assessment weekend

(1) DETENTION TRIBUNAL - Cde. Radix has been assigned the task of getting three new names to replace those presently on the Tribunal.

(2) ORGANISATION OF EASTERN CARIBBEAN STATES - Cde. Bishop said that the signing of the Treaty is to take place next week, but that there are some articles in it that he is not pleased with, eg. Article 8. The decision - the topic will be discussed at Cabinet meeting that afternoon.

(3) WELSH - Cde. Radix stated that E M A Welsh had resigned, but has later withdrawn his resignation. Decision - Welsh is to be transferred to the National Secretariat under Kurt Strachan to do organising and protocol work.

(4) GRACE JAMES - Cde. Radix told the P,B, of Grace James's connection with the rastas. A decision was already taken on this issue - i.e. that she should be fired from her present job. Cde. McBurnette was told to check on it and ensure that it is done.

(5) JUNE 15TH - That day is now called HECRES DAY instead of BUTLER/STRACHAN DAY. A Propaganda Plan and a draft pamphlet were submitted by Cde. McBurnette. The Propaganda Plan dealt with ways of encouraging the masses to come out to the Rally - getting rid of the fear left from the bomb blast last year. Both the Plan and the pamphlet were accepted by the Bureau with minor changes.

2/...The pamphlet will

DOCUMENT 54
54 - 1
The pamphlet will be published by Friday, 5th June. They were submitted to the P.G. on C.C.'s suggestion. A draft letter to the T.U.C. was also accepted.

(6) SPARE PARTS FROM SOUTH KOREA - Cde. Whiteman disclosed that spare parts are needed for some tractors and that they are only available from South Korea.

DECISION - Cde. Whiteman is to organise the purchase of the parts.

(7) P&G MEETINGS - It was noted that there has been a long lapse since the last P&G meeting and that some people are feeling isolated and are drifting. Cde. Bishop felt that if all Ministers are unable to attend the meetings, then a conscious effort should be made to ensure that about 2-4 ministers be present at any one meeting. DECISION - P&G meetings to be held fortnightly on a Tuesday, starting next Tuesday, June 5th at 2:00 p.m.

A Secretary to the P&G is to be appointed in the person of Rachel Bain, who is presently the recording Secretary to the Cabinet. The Cabinet Secretary, Marcella David, has been told to draft a letter informing the relative P&G members of the recommencement of P&G meetings and these meetings are to proceed on a pre-set agenda. Rachel Bain is also responsible for reminding members of the meetings.

(8) FWU/ILO CONFERENCE - Under FWU, it was reported by Cde. Bishop that the negotiations had taken a drastic turn. The Unions are claiming that they know what their members want and that that the teachers and nurses should not yet the increases that had already been agreed upon. Cde. Bishop felt that two errors were made (a) agreeing to the communique and (b) giving Chris Ram the negotiating power. DECISION - We are now back to "Square One".

ILO CONFERENCE - Robbie Robinson is to be recalled from the conference being held in Geneva. Cde. Fennis Augustine is to replace him to be accompanied by Cde. Strachan who leaves here on Sunday. Cde. Strachan will telex Robbie Robinson to return home and will brief Cde. Fennis Augustine on the situation re. FWU negotiations.

(9) SONNY MARL'S PHONE CALL - Cde. Bishop revealed that Sonny Mark had telephoned him about the recent CBS programme. The latter said that he is concerned of the damage done by the programme and that Grenadian nationals in New York are demoralised. He said that he has asked the Mission to send a copy of the Grenada Human Rights Council's report to every national. Apart from that, he is also suggesting that an "independent" person goes to New York to address a rally that will be organised by him.

Comrades felt that the suggestion is worth a try and names as Francis Davi and Danny Williams were suggested for the "independent"
type. However, the tentative feeling of the bureau is that Dr. John Watts should be that person. However, the topic is to be placed on the agenda for next week's full meeting. In the meantime, Cde. Whitman is to check Dr. Watts when he (Dr. Watts) returns.

(10) FOREIGN MINISTERS CONFERENCE - Cde. Bishop gave the bureau an idea as to which countries/islands will be attending the Conference:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>No office reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad</td>
<td>No reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>Said</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Kitts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montserrat</td>
<td>No reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>Will attend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was decided that the following Comrades call these different countries, trying to persuade them to attend the Conference:

- Guyana - Cde. Bishop
- Trinidad - Cde. Radix/Louison
- Jamaica - Cde. Coard (and also to contact the FSL and WUJ to press JA)
- St. Vincent - Cde. Bishop will telephone Cato (also speak to Monica Joseph about the situation there)
- Dominica - The F.S. in the Ministry of External Affairs there said an official will come
- Montserrat - Cde. Louison
- Belize - Cde. Bishop

Cde. Bishop explained that to get a quorum, there must be five independent countries and one MOC. He is also due to meet with Mr. Cato on Mustique Island which is privately owned but has Vincentian Police and Immigration authorities.

(11) The Lybian/USSR Ambassador - It was noted that both ambassadors are urgently needed in these two countries and whoever it is needs to leave here this month. Cde. Bishop said that the only person in mind now is Cde. Gellineau James, but no firm decisions were taken on this issue. It was also noted that Cde. Coard has said that the Lybian Ambassador is more key than the one for Iraq.

(12) LETTER FROM RICHARD JACOBS - Cde. Bishop read parts of a letter he received from Ambassador Jacobs. In it he raised many issues relating to Grenada's relations with different socialist countries. One of the things he said that they are most upset about is that many

4/...offers (particularly scholar-
quires (particularly scholarships) are being made to us and they have not been getting any reply. They felt that if we do not want to accept the offers, we should say so and they will give them to other countries.

Cde. Jacobs raised the issue of the Cde. Leader's visit to the U.S.S.R. in his letter. He said that the visit is not sure and that the best way to "get to" the Soviet Union is through Bulgaria and or the G.D.R. He suggested that Cde. Bishop first pay a visit to these countries. He pointed out that Cde. Ortega has been to Moscow on four occasions, but has not seen Cde. Brezhnev on any of these visits.

Cde. Jacobs also stated that there are plans to have one ambassador to Grenada representing all the socialist countries. He also conveyed an invitation to Cde. Noel to visit Yugoslavia for two weeks in December to look at workers' participation there.

We have also received offers from Bulgaria to send 24 Comrades to their Party School - 12 in June and another 12 in December. They also want 2 children between 6 - 14 years who are good in singing, drama etc. to visit there from 12th - 26th August. The G.C. is to look at that. Ten university scholarships are being offered by the G.S.R. Cde. Louison will check it out.

Still in Cde. Jacobs' letter, the question of the construction of our Party's Headquarters was raised. Ambassador Jacobs said that the S.U. is willing to give us cement and other construction materials worth $150,000(US). They want to get the information on the quantity of our needs, the address it should be sent to and the route the materials should be sent through to reach here.

Two engineers from the G.S.R. will be arriving here on June 12th in connection with a Printing machine that they will be giving FWI - the Secretariat to inform Don Rojas, to organise transport, accommodation and other arrangements for them.

Finally, Cde. Jacobs said that the Hungarians will be sending down some crates of food, clothes and medicine to us. It will arrive here on June 6th.

(13) SECURITY - This item was not really discussed, but Cde. Noel pointed out the Cde. Owusu that he noticed some detainees, particularly "Buck" Buhillall on the prisoners' truck yesterday (June 2nd) on Young Street with very little security. He also told him that they ("Buck" etc.) were in the Court House likewise, to the extent that a priest was able to pass a note to "Buck". Cde. Owusu was asked to check it out.

It was also noted that the Security for the detainees in the hospital is "Jread" and that Langdon (who is one of them) is reported to be in possession of two knives there. Cdes. felt that any day, he (Langdon) can escape.

(14) LYBIAN DELEGATION - Two Libyans will be arriving here this week.
These items not formally on the agenda were discussed and the following decisions taken:

* Cde. Bullen at C.B.N.L.E.C. should be given closer daily supervision. (Nobody was assigned specifically to the task).
* The S.U. wants the CC Comrades scheduled to visit two to come in the two groups of five - they are to go in groups of two and three.
* Cde. Owusu told the F.B. of reports he received stating that Carl Drakes has been putting the money from the Fisheries industry into his personal account. He takes the fish directly from the boats onto the truck and sells to Food Fair, Buy Rite, Holiday Inn, etc. Nobody seems to know how much fish is being sold and how much it is being sold for. Apart from that, he has been "bad-talking" the P.R.G. to the small fish vendors saying that the P.R.G. is planning to take over their business. He has also been heard saying that he cannot work for Government unless he is making something for himself. He also seems to have a line to Angus Smith, with the latter believing anything he tells him. A decision was already taken that Carl Drakes should not be allowed to handle finances of that industry. However, Cde. Owusu is to speak to Cde. Radix on the issue.

* It was reported that there is a conflict between the contractors, St. John and James Phillip. St. John was given the job of construction of the Sauteurs Health Clinic initially, but later the Ministry gave it to James Phillip without recalling St. John, so that both are constructing the clinic. Cdes. also described Arthur George of the Health Ministry as incompetent and guilty of sabotage. It was the general feeling that the Ministry is very disorganised and that there is a group there that are consciously trying to throw out the progressives eg. Candia Alleyne etc. The F.B. will discuss getting a Secretary for Health at the next meeting.

* The delegation to the Conference in Mexico from 17th - 22nd June will be headed by Cde. George Louison.
Grenada should take the initiative at the UN on the zone of peace. Keny Langaine is to do the research by checking the documents he has and checking Cde. Caldwell Taylor.

Cde. Norim Burke is to attend Economic Bureau meeting on Friday, 5th June, to give the Bureau an idea on the state of relations with the socialist world - i.e. our requests to them and their responses.

Cde. Louison should get a taped list of all the scholarships that have been offered to us. Comrades felt that there is need to take a more serious approach to that question.

Cde. Bishop stated that he desperately needs a Personal Assistant. He said that the person has to be to be a CC member - Ian/Kojo type. The topic is to be discussed at next P.C. meeting.

The minutes of Political/Economic Bureau meetings must include; (a) items left back to be discussed (b) decisions requiring follow-up action. The recording Secretary is to ensure that the left over items be put on the agenda of the following P.C./BC meeting.

External Affairs has bust their budget for the year in the first five months of this year.

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:

* Did Cde. Radix get the three names for the Detention Tribunal?
* Was the OCES issue discussed at Cabinet meeting?
* Was EMA Welsh transferred to the National Secretariat?
* Has Cde. Whiteman organised the purchase of the spare parts for the tractors from South Korea?
* Has the letters gone off to the relevant comrades about the recommencement of the PRG meetings and is Rachel Dunn now the PRG's Secretary?
* Is a pre-set agenda been organised for the meetings?
* Has Robby Robinson being recalled from the ILO Conference - did Cde. Strachan telex him?
* Has Cde. Whiteman contacted John Watts about addressing the rally in New York?
* Did the following comrades get in touch with the following countries to persuade them to attend the Foreign Ministers Conference here?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bishop</th>
<th>Guyana, St. Vincent, Belize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radix/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louison</td>
<td>Trinidad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coard</td>
<td>Jamaica and N.I, W.I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louison</td>
<td>Monsterrat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did Cde. Bishop also speak to Monica Joseph on the situation in St. Vincent?

Did The C.C. look at the question of the 24 scholarships to the Party School in the GBR and the 2 children to go there for two weeks?

7/...Has Comrade Louison
Has Comrade Louison:
- checked out the ten university scholarships to the GJR?
- prepared the lists of scholarships offered to us?

Did the Secretariat:
- contact Cpt. Pelfon re. the drawings for the Party's HQ?
- inform Don Rojas about the engineers arriving here on June 12th?
- call the relevant CC comrades re. the change in agenda for the weekend assessment?
- contact Nazim to come to ED meeting on Friday, 5th June?

Has Cde. Cuusu checked out:
- the security for "Buck"?
- the security for the detainees at the hospital?
- the two knives Langdon is alleged to have in his possession?
- speak to Cde. Radix re. Carl Drakes

Who is to supervise Cde. Gullen's GRENLEC work?
Was Penny told to do the research on the zone of peace initiative to be taken at the UN and is he doing it?
Was Grace James fired?
What is the position with the decision that the socialist world send a team to look at the possibility of setting up a manufacturing industry here?

These items were not discussed:
1. Ashley Illis/Russel
2. Venezuela fuel
3. Response to CBS

These new items are to be discussed:
1. Sonny Mark's proposal (re: Watt's addressing rally in New York)
2. P.M.'s personal assistant
3. Secretary for health

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
IN WEDNESDAY 10TH JUNE 1981

Comrades present:
- Maurice Bishop
- Selwyn Strachan
- Vincent Noel
- Kenrick Radix
- George Louison

Comrades absent:
- Bernard Coard
- Hudson Austin
- Unison Whiteman

AGENDA:

(1) Minutes - Decisions requiring follow-up action
(2) IFU
(3) NISTEF
(4) Rodney's Day
(5) NCBL Conference
(6) BUF Conference
(7) A.H. Hall
(8) Soweto Day
(9) June 12th
(10) Detainees
(11) Carl Drakes/NCD
(12) Socialism Classes

(1) MINUTES - From the look at the minutes of the last P.B. meeting, the following is to be done:

a. Cde. Bishop to speak to Kurt Strachan about the transfer of EMA Welsh
b. The CC to look at the offer of 24 scholarships to the Tarty School in the G.M. and 2 children to go there
c. Cde. Radix to get the names for the Detention Tribunal by Friday, June 12th

d. Cde. Louison to complete the type-written list of scholarships by Friday, 12th June

e. The CC to decide if Nigel James is to be put in Fisheries as suggested by Cde. Radix within 72 hours of today

f. Cde. Radix will have the agricultural law package ready by Friday, June 12th.

(2) NISTEF - Cde. Louison reported on this programme - that it is going very bad, basically because of the weak leadership in the person of Judith Bullen. He has not been meeting with the comrades in that programme for a while. The programme, he said had taken a drastic turn in the last 6 weeks and Sis. Creft has only started doing some work with them in the last 2 weeks. Cde. Louison reported that some time ago, "Radio" called a meeting of the students in the programme, trying to close down the programme. However, he was beaten back. There was also indications that the programme was going to see a period of massive absenteeism. Some 75 persons have missed classes 5 or more times already.

Cde. Louison disclosed that what the students are really objecting to is the quality of the lectures, the syllabus is not up-to-date, the lack of co-ordination and planning. He felt that, po-
litical problems could arise there.

He once again said that the fundamental problem is the leadership and that the option of moving Judith Bullem from there has to be seriously considered or the programme will fail. She had initially wanted to give up the programme, but Cde. Louison questioned whether she would be as inclined now.

Chris Searle, who has a MA degree in Teacher Education, can head the programme, Cde. Louison suggested. DECISION - Cde. Louison is to speak to Judith Bullem and according to her response, he should go ahead and let Chris Searle head the programme or discuss it with the Bureau again. Cde. Bishop is also to speak with Winston Bullem on the issue.

(3) FWU - Cde. Bishop told the Bureau that the FWU have been recommending more cuts in the salary increases put forward by the PRG. DECISION - Chris Ram is to "stand firm" on the figures recommended. He had called to get directives as negotiations were to recommence today.

(4) RODNEY'S DAY - June 13th, this Saturday, will mark the first anniversary of the assassination of Walter Rodney. The Grenada Peace Council had sent a draft statement to be published on the day, for the Bureau to look at. It was read and comrades felt that the statement is a bit too "rough" and showed that some guidance is needed. It was decided that it should be done over and Cde. Bishop is to speak to Cde. Lambert about it. The general feeling was that the statement should express concern about nobody being arrested for the crime yet, it should also carry an anti-imperialist line, say something about Rodney's life and urge the authorities to expedite the matter.

(5) NCBL CONFERENCE - Cde. Bishop has received an invitation from the National Conference of Black Lawyers (NCBL) in the U.S.A. to attend and address their conference, taking place in Atlanta, Georgia next month. The theme of the conference is "Commitment, Organisation and Struggle - Moving a Peoples Agenda in the '80's". DECISION - Caldwell Taylor will attend the conference. Cde. Strachan to reply to the NCBL, informing them of the decision, stating that the Comrade Leader is unable to attend.

(6) BUF CONFERENCE - The Black United Front is having their annual conference on 3rd - 5th July. They are requesting that Cde. Coard or a PRG member attend. DECISION - Cde. James will attend it.

(7) A.H. HALL - Cde. Radix said Hall gave up his gun sometime ago. Now, he can hardly walk and thieves have stolen his household items. He is therefore requesting his gun be given back to him. DECISION - Cde. Radix is to explain to Hall that he spoke to the Security Minister who has tolled him:-

3/... a) there are many
a) there are many cases as his, but if he is given back his weapon, it will set a precedence – Cde. Bishop does not want to make exceptions

b) that a review is being done and he will be informed appropriately.

(8) SOWETO DAY – Next Tuesday, June 16th will be "SOWETO DAY". DECISION - The Secretariat to inform the St. George's FCB that they are to organise a indoor rap/ rally for that day.

(9) JUNE 19TH – Cde. Bishop read parts of a note that Jaqueline Creft left before her departure. She said that the Principal of the B.B.S.S., Harris, wanted to know if the school was going to be involved in the June 19th activities. It was suggested that a children's rally be held in that school during the morning of the day. Cde, Louison is to speak to the Principal of the school on something concrete - maybe a student saying a poem at the rally.

As part of the mobilisation for the rally, the following bureau members are to speak at these indoor raps:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CMRA BE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand Mall Com. Centre</td>
<td>Mon. 15th</td>
<td>Bishop</td>
<td>7.00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler House</td>
<td>Mon. 15th</td>
<td>Board</td>
<td>7.30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaulieu</td>
<td>Mon. 15th</td>
<td>Whiteman</td>
<td>7.30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul's</td>
<td>Wed. 17th</td>
<td>Radix</td>
<td>7.00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinsey School</td>
<td>Wed. 17th</td>
<td>Noel</td>
<td>7.00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cde. Bishop told the Bureau that the applicants in his study group had suggested the following to help the mobilisation for the June 19th rally:

- talks in all schools, using the fact that 2 of the 3 murdered on June 16th, were students – Carol Davis is working on that
- work place visits by MG – being organised by Cde. Noel
- block raps
- PA advertisement around town on the morning of 19th
- children voices for a promo
- invitations to groups, trade unions, mass organisations to send a representative to sit at the platform
- the church could probably say something the day before being Corpus Christi - very unlikely
- get the teachers to tell the students about the day/matronys (next week is Teachers week)
- radio interview explaining that security is tighter than last year - should not be done

It was noted that this year, there are four graves to visit instead of one. Therefore, Bureau members will have to split up to cover all four. Mobilisation should not concentrate on the wreath-laying ceremonies, but on the rally itself.

(10) DETAINED – There is need to review the detainees and come up with a package for the release of some. Cde. Noel expressed concern over Ralph Thompson’s health. He said he had received reports saying that Ralph’s health is
Ralph’s health is very bad. Cde. Bishop said he received a report on Ralph’s health from the Cuban doctors and is due to get another one soon.

(11) CARL DRAKES - Cde. Noel reported that Carl Drakes has deposited $11,000 in the NBC recently - $10,000 in cash. He said that the Bank workers are suspecting something and that the word has started going around in the banking world or corruption in the state sector and that the FRG is now becoming the "laughing stock".

Cde. Aidx responded that Carl Drakes has been asked to hand over all the vouchers, and bills that he has and that the accounts are being audited. However, Cde. Radix stated that the Ministry of Fisheries is in dire need of an accountant who is a "party-type". Carl Drakes suggested somebody to him, but other bureau comrades advised against getting him. Cde. Radix was insisting on Nigel James being the person. DECISION - The C.C. is to take a decision and inform Cde. Radix within 72 hours of today.

(12) SOCIALISM CLASSES - Cde. Noel reported that he had spoken to workers who have been attending the classes. They claim that the classes are not related to our situation and uninteresting. He felt that the wrong material is being used also.

A lengthy discussion followed as to whether colonialism is a part/tactic of imperialism. And it was felt that since some comrades have different views, the topic should be one for study.

Comrades also had different opinions on why the numbers at the Socialism classes are falling. Some suggested that it is because of organisational problems, while others felt that it is because of the topic being taught and that too many terminologies been used.

As to the content of the classes themselves, some comrades felt that the first thing that should have been done is the History of Grenada, highlighting the different phases. The way it has been done now, is that the topic Development of Society is taught with reference to our history. Although there is not much documented material on our history, comrades felt there was enough to make a start (could start from Fedon period) and that the syllabus being used presently is wrong. Cde. Bishop thought that a "Why are we poor?" approach might be a good start.

However, it was clearly expressed that the syllabus should be looked at carefully to determine its suitability.

These items not formally on the agenda were discussed:-

- Cde. Raymond Layne's work at the Agro-Industrial Plant is being seriously affected by his regular absence from that job. This is because he is also Chairman of the St. George's ICBC - the two

5/... jobs are clashing.
jobs are clashing. DECISION - The O.C. is to look at the matter.
- Cde. Radix suggested that all the bodies of our matrys be brought together in one central place - have a memorial. It's a good idea, but might meet families' disapproval.
- Fr. Bernard has written Cde. Bishop a letter. In it he says that he went to visit Langdon at the hospital, who knowing that Fr. Bernard was leaving on vacation, gave him the phone numbers to contact his family who he has not been in contact with for a while. However, the guard, having seen Langdon pass the paper to him, took it from Fr. Bernard. Fr. Bernard is alleged to have fired a cuff at the guard in response and is now claiming that the guard took his notebook also. DECISION - Cde. Owusu should do an investigation into the matter and submit a formal report to the Bureau in about 2 weeks.
- Cde. Bishop has received a letter from the Conference of Churches here stating;
  a) complaining that they are asked to do the March 13th Church Service at the last minute - they should receive the invitation 3 weeks in advance
  b) The service should begin at 7.00 p.m.
  c) It should start the activities for the Festival
- Need to make a formal reply to the CBS programme.

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:

* Have the tasks under Item (1) from a to f being completed?
* Did Cde. Louison speak to Judith Bullen re. NISTEP?
* Did Cde. Bishop speak to Winston Bullen?
* Has Cde. Bishop spoken to Swinton Lambert re. GFC statement on Rodney's assassination anniversary?
* Did Cde. Strachan reply to the NCBL re. their conference?
* Has Cde. Owusu been informed that he is to attend the BUF Conference in July?
* Did Cde. Radix speak to A.H. Hall re. his weapon?
* Did the Secretariat contact the St. Geroge's FBI re. Soweto Day?
* Has Cde. Louison spoken to Harris of E.B.S.S. re. June 15th?
* What is the result of the look into bills and vouchers from Carl Drakes?
* Has the O.C. looked at:-
  o Nigel James being attached to Agro-Industries/Fisheries?
  o Raymond Layne - PCB/Agro Plant conflict?
* What is the position with the decision that the socialist world send a team to look at the possibility of setting up a manufacturing industry here?

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 17TH JUNE, 1981.

Comrades present:
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Vincent Noel
Unison Whiteman
Kenrick Radix

Comrades absent:
Selwyn Strechan
Hudson Austin
George Louison

AGENDA:
1. Party Headquarters
2. Mexico trip
3. Libyan delegation
4. FWU
5. Two ambassadors
6. OECS Treaty
7. Heroes Day

(1) PARTY HEADQUARTERS - A third cable from the Soviet Union has been received re. materials we need for the construction of the Party headquarters. DECISION - Rec. Secty. to contact Cde. Burke who is to send rff a response by cable saying that the architectural drawings for the building are in the process of completion. Cde. Radix and Noel are now in charge of the drawings.

(2) MEXICO TRIP - Cde. Louison who is to head the delegation to the conference in Mexico next week was absent from the meeting, but Cde. Coard reported that he had contacted Gloria Payne to co-ordinate the plans for the trip. He also said that Terry and Paul are critical to the trip. It was also suggested that the team should get a briefing from the comrades in Cuba - Cde. Bishop will work on this. He is also to send a letter to President Portillo.

(3) LYBIAN DELEGATION - Nobody seems to be able to say where the Lybian delegation that was supposed to be visiting here is at present - not even the Lybian Embassy in Guyana knows.

(4) FWU - None of the Bureau members have received a report on the negotiations with the FWU which was scheduled to take place last Saturday, June 13th. Cde. Coard felt that teachers and nurses should get a bigger increase.

(5) TWO AMBASSADORS - Grenada has to designate an ambassador to the USSR and one to Lybia. Cdes. Coard suggested Sister Dessima Williams for the first, saying that he felt she will do a good job, plus, gain more experience. He also pointed out that she will be a disaster if she is based here.

To fill her place in Washington, Ashley Taylor, Mathew Williams and Merle Collins were suggested.

As our Ambassador to Lybia, Comrades, Leon Cornwall, Fitzie Bain, "Headache" and Ge'lo James were suggested. The Bureau is to look at the possibility of sending Cde. James again.

It was reported that the Guyanese community here is spreading adverse propaganda about Carrol Davis.

(6) OECS TREATY - Cde. Bishop was due to leave on Thursday
morning for St. Kitts to sign that treaty. He raised the topic of the articles on Security and Defense. Cde. Coard raised that of Foreign Policy. Cde. Bishop read the relevant part of the document. However, it was decided that the issue was to be discussed later that day.

(7) HERCULES JAY AGENDA— Cdes. felt that the three comrades to speak at the rally on that day should be the Comrade Leader, Fitzie Bain and Coard.

THESE OTHER ISSUES WERE RAISED:

- Cde. Coard expressed worry about the need to make some key critical decisions on the economy in the light of the present situation.
- Cde. Ventour called from Havana. Cde. Bartholomew and himself are still stranded there, waiting to go to Moscow. Cde. Ventour told Cde. Bishop that the Soviets are still insisting on all 5 invitees going at the same time. It was pointed out that this will be impossible and that if they cannot accept the 2-3 model, then the comrades might have to return home.
- Cde. Strachan also called. He is attending the ILO Conference in Geneva, which he thinks he underesti-mated, where there are about 2000 delegates. He spoke to the Comrade Leader and told him that he goes to London on Friday and will be addressing a rally there on Sunday. He should be home early next week.

The Rec. Secty. is to ensure that when the pamphlet on "The Grenadian Voice" is out, that it is sent to the London Mission and the other missions, on Friday morning. This information Comrade Strachan will need to have in time to address the rally. The Rec. Secty. is also to ensure that he is sent a telex, summarising the rally.

Cde. Strachan also reported that Lloyd Noel who is abroad has held two meetings. It was disclosed that he also has access to the Gouyave Militia and that he has received ammunition from them already.

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:

- Did the letter go off to President Portillo?
- Were the telexes sent off to Cde. Strachan?
- Did Cde. urke send off the telex re. the Party headquarters to the S.U.?

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
AGENDA:- No firm agenda was set.

(1) Comrades James, Louison and Layne came to discuss the present threat being posed by the rasta elements - particularly since it was learnt that they are planning to attack one of the FRA camps on Friday - and possible measures to deal with that situation.

Major Louison stated that all camps were on alert last night and that a place is being constructed to house the 30C rastas that will be "picked up". Cde. Bishop stated that he was totally against taking up 30C of them and cutting their hair as was proposed.

Cde. James stated that he had spoken to Cde. Rizo about the issue. The latter showed concern about the issue and felt that we would be isolated regionally and internationally. Cde. James questioned what would be the attitude/mood after the operation, the implications for the youth work, how will the rastas be influenced to work, the reactor of the population. He suggested that in order to 'legitimise' our grabbing any rastas, we should allow them to carry out their attack as planned and then take them. The Comrade leader felt that is too dangerous - it could very well end up in people being killed on both sides.

A very detailed discussion followed on the different ways of handling the situation. Cde. Coard reminded the meeting that the party, for the last 2½ years has always been reluctant to take firm decisions on key issues e.g. Torchlight, Nang Nang, Stanley Cyrus, etc.

Major Layne expressed very deep concern on what would be the effect on the Army, Militia and NYO.

At the end of the lengthy discussion, it was decided:-

a. Major Louison continues his camp model
b. Cde. James to focus on identifying major national rasta leaders and sub-leaders
c. infiltration of the rasta camps (at least one - Belmont)
d. amend the powers of the magistrates
E. [Initials unreadable]

(2) PNC INVITATION - The PNC of Guyana has sent an invitation for our party to attend their Fourth Biennial Congress from August 22nd - 25th. The invitation was accepted and the Rec. Secty. is to

.2/...send a reply.
send a reply. However, the Bureau did not decide on any names as yet.

BUFF CONFERENCE - Cde. James was due to attend this conference from July 3rd - 5th. However, this decision has been reversed in light of the present situation. Instead, Cde. Taylor will go - Cde. James is to inform him.

(4) ADDITIONAL FLIGHT - Cde. Bishop reported that if we are to get an additional flight from Cuba, it will mean that the plane will have to stop off at Aruba or Curacao. But somebody needs to go there to discuss the proposal - Cde. Whiteman is in charge of this.

(5) TRAINING - Cde. Bishop reported that Ambassador Rizo has told him that we need to train more specialists for the airport equipment - Cde. Strachan is to be informed of this on his return.

(6) S.I. CONFERENCE - George Trice should be invited to the conference. However, Grenada is only hosting the conference and is not in a position to issue invitations.

(7) EAST GERMAN - A CC comrade who is in charge of his Party's finances is here. Cde. Louison has spoken to him, but other comrades should check him.

(8) RASTA - Desmond Trotter is to be sent back to Dominica before the rasta leaders are picked up. They should be picked up as they are seen.

Hazel-Ann
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MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETINGS ON SATURDAY, 27TH JUNE, AND WEDNESDAY 1ST JULY, 1981

SATURDAY - Cdes. present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Hudson Austin
George Louison
Kenrick Radix
Non-PB Member: Liam James

Cdes. absent:-
Bernard Coard
Vincent Noel

AGENDA:- No firm agenda was set

Only the following two (2) decisions were taken;
1. Hope Vale to be used as the centre for housing the rastas picked up
2. Cde. James to contact Winston Bullen re. switching on the lights in the Hope Vale building

WEDNESDAY - Cdes. present:-
all above plus Cdes. Noel and Coard

AGENDA:- No firm agenda was set. Cde. Louison presented a budget of $2.1M for work among the farmers. It was approved and decided that Cde. Louison should get Cde. Burke to send off telexes to all the countries including the socialist ones to get information re. the cost of fertilisers; this programme should have a separate accounting.

- Winston Bullen rang re. the Medical School. They had owed GRENLEC $159,000.00. However, they paid the amount with a cheque but it has bounced. DECISION - they should be cut off and charged 12½% daily interest on the amount owing.

- Ambrose Phillip has returned to Grenada. He should be placed in the Ministry of Agriculture.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
6TH JULY, 1981

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Kenrick Radix
George Louison
Vincent Noel
Hudson Austin

Comrade absent:-
Bernard Coard - sick

AGENDA:

1. Ministerial reshuffle
2. ESOS/CDC
3. Counters
4. Guyana
5. Socialist International
6. Work places visits
7. LIAT Shareholders meeting
8. Errol Maitland
9. Ken Milne
10. Samsor Merksman

1. MINISTERIAL RESHUFFLE (30 mins.) - Cde. Bishop reported that he met with Cde. Bain yesterday re. separating the Ministry of Health and Housing. According to the former, Cde. Bain is "okay with the proposal" and wanted to know when it would be implemented. Cde. Bishop told him to think about which of the two buildings he would prefer to house his ministry and his staff overnight for an emergency cabinet meeting this morning. Cde. Bishop also reported that he discussed the question of the hospital administration with the McLeishes. However, this he will discuss with Cde. N. Bain.

- Cde. Bishop and "Fayo" Nyack are to discuss the proposal that the latter be made an Ombudsman.
- Cde. Strachan to speak with Leroy Noailles about the latter being Controller of Income Tax.

Regarding Bernard Gittens, it was suggested that he be put in charge of a health plan for schools, a special advisor to the FM, or a resident ambassador.

2. ESOS/CDC (5 mins.) - This item was not discussed

3. COUNTERS (15 mins.) - This item was discussed and certain decisions taken. The discussion went beyond the time allocated, but this was agreed to by the meeting.

4. GUYANA (5 mins.) - Cde. Louison disclosed that he was leaving for Guyana that afternoon and wanted to know if there is "anybody to check". Comrades felt that there is nothing wrong if he does so. Cde. Whiteman said that Guyana Airways has asked for landing rights here and we have agreed.

5. SOCIALIST INTERNATIONAL (15 mins.) - Cde. Bishop reported that the S.I. Conference coming up soon were sent and that the conference will cost the FRG $75,000. St. Kitts and Gu inan will be left out and Bishop (who is very important) has not received an invita-
tion, we should focus on Austria, France, Sweden and the Netherlands.

- Cde. Bishop to telephone Cdes. Jimmy Emmanuel, Mario Bullen and Fennis Augustine
- Kurt Strachan to send regional telexes

A questionnaire concerning our Party was also received and it was noted that NJM has not paid its dues to SI as yet.

- Our dues of £150 - £200 should be paid
- Cde. Strachan, as OC representative, to fill out the questionnaire.

6. WORK PLACE VISITS (2 mins.) - The workers committee is to draw up a new programme for a series of visits to work places by the Comrade Leader.

7. LIAT SHAREHOLDERS MEETING (5 mins.) - Cde. Strachan disclosed that this meeting is coming up soon. He said that Lyden Ramdhany will be attending it and that an item on the agenda will be "Worker Participation". The Managing Director, Ian Acher has resigned. We are to be pushing for a director from each island instead of a rotation system.

8. ERROL MAITLAND - (5 mins.) This item was not discussed

9. KEN MILNE (5 mins.) - Cde. Whiteman said that Ken Milne has complained of not getting his rent fee from the Medical School for his hotel on the beach which they are using. He wants to use it for an economic venture, but first wants to consult with the PRG. It was reported that this issue was raised already and that Ian Jacob was to have replied to him.

- Cde. Radix to check Ian Jacobs on whether a reply was sent

- SAMORI MARKSMAN (5 mins.) - This item was not discussed

* SELA IS ASKING FOR OBSERVER STATUS AT CARICOM COUNCIL OF MINISTERS MEETING. CDE. BISHOP SEES NO REASON WHY THIS CANNOT BE AGREED TO BY GRENADA.

- IRAQ CONVENTION - THE IRAQI SOCIALIST PARTY WILL BE CELEBRATING THE ANNIVERSARY OF THEIR REVOLUTION ON JULY 7TH. THEY WANT US TO SEND SOMEBODY TO BE PART.

- The Secy. to contact External Affairs and let them inform Mario Bullen that he is to attend it.

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:

- Did Cde. Bishop and "Fayo" Nyack discuss the issue of being an Ombudsman?
- Did Cde. Strachan speak to Loroy Neraldes about him being made Comptroller of Income Tax?
- Did Cde. Bishop say to Cdes. Jimmy Emmanuel, Mario Bullen and Fennis Augustine, "Strachan sent the regional telexes?"
- Has our dues to SI being paid?
- Has the relevant questionnaire been filled out by Cde. Strachan?
- Is the programme for the r's visits to work places been done by the Workers Comm?
- Did Cde. Radix check Ian Jacobs re. the reply to Ken Milne?
o Did the Sec. meet with External Affairs for a letter to be sent to Mario Bullen requesting that he goes to the Iraqi Revolution Anniversary celebrations on July 17th?

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

1. 360/CDC
2. E. Maitland
3. Samori Marksman
4. Churches:
   a. Date of meeting
   b. Neeshall's letter

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 29TH JULY, 1981

Cdes. present:-
Maurice Bishop  Kenrick Radix
Selwyn Strachan  George Louison
Unison Whiteman  Hudson Austin

Cde. absent:-
Bernard Coard

AGENDA:-
1. Ministerial Reshuffle
2. US Cable re. Danga
3. GDR Proposal
4. Report on the New York Situation
5. Request from Patrick Bubb
6. Request from Bernadette
7. New York Public Relations Firm

1. MINISTERIAL RESHUFFLE - Cde. Bishop said that the Bureau needed to look at the physical location of some of the Ministries in light of the reshuffle. Comrades suggested a total of thirteen buildings for their location:-
   i. McIntyre Brothers' - Young Street
   ii. Former Veronicas' - The Carenage
   iii. Kirpilani's - River Road
   iv. Ken Milne's building - Albany Inn
   v. Jackie's house - Upper Lucas Street
   vi. Grand Hotel - Upper Lucas Street
   vii. DeAllie's - Lucas Street
   viii. Green Gables - Lucas Street
   ix. Derek Steele's House - Lucas Street
   x. Noble Smith's House - Lucas Street
   xi. Noble Smith's House - Lucas Street
   xii. 2nd Floor HRC - Lucas Street
   xiii. Winston Bullen's House - Lucas Street

It was decided that Cdes. Radix and Strachan will check the owners of Albany Inn and HRC respectively to house the two Ministries of Agriculture and National Mobilisation.

(2) US CABLE RE. DANGA - Cde. Radix read a cable sent to the Prime Minister's Office by the US Embassy in Barbados. They alleged that their staff member Danga, was unduly searched and harassed on the compound of Butler House. They wanted a response from us. It was decided that our immediate response should be that we are investigating the charges.

ITEMS 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were not discussed.

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:-
   o Cde. Bishop to check the respective buildings - Albany Inn and HRC?
   o Was the response sent off to the US Embassy re. Danga's charges?

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:-
See above Nos. 3 - 7.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING ON WASHINGTON,
AUGUST 5TH, 1981.

Comrades present: -
Maurice Bishop
Hudson Austin
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison
Kenrick Radix

8. Tom Adams’ Statement
9. Nutmeg Association
10. Park House
11. Reagan’s letter
12. Workers work report
13. Fionerr Camps

AGENDA:-
1. Minutes
2. In-Service Training Unit
3. Party Headquarters
4. Maureen St. Bernard
5. Chester Humphrey
6. RFG Antenna
7. Mike Forshaw

1. MINUTES - Resulting from the last PB meeting, Cde Louison was assigned to check the possibility of obtaining Albany Inn to be used as the Ministry of Agriculture. He reported that he had done so and found out that Mr. Squires had already paid some money to the owners with the intention of buying it to make a “down town apartment building and hotel”. However, the latter stated his willingness to negotiate with the PRG in order that we get it. It was the general feeling of the Bureau that is going to be a good form of investment and thus should be left as is.

A correction was made to the Minutes of the Friday’s EB meeting, which said that the letter to President Reagan was soon to be completed. In fact, it was already completed.

3. IN-SERVICE TRAINING UNIT - Cde. Bishop received some documents on that programme. He said that Marilyn Harris is requesting a monthly salary of $3,000 (US). (However, she will be paid by an external agency.) She is also supposed to have South Africa connections, which raises the question of whether we want her to work here. It was decided that Cde. Bishop is to look further into the aspect of Canadian assistance and that Cde. Creft will speak to Marilyn Harris on the South African issue. Comrades of the Bureau expressed their concern and worry over the fact that there is no Party input into the I.T.R. although Swinton Lambert is now working at the National Secretariat.

3. PARTY HEADQUARTERS - Cde. Strachan suggested that Lennox Archibald be given the task of doing the drawings for the Party Headquarters. The former said that he wanted to take on the responsibility to get this “off the ground”, as it has been dragging for a while now. Both Cdes. Strachan and Austin will see that the drawings are done.

This topic is to be made a recurring item on each Bureau agenda.

4. MAUREEN ST. BERNARD - Members of the Political Bureau read a copy of a letter from Maureen St. Bernard, sent to the Comrade Leader. The letter was also sent to the Organising Committee.

2/...It was disclosed
It was disclosed by Cde. Strachan that a Sub-Committee of the O.C. will be looking at the issue. It was noted that Sis. St. Bernard was not doing any work at the present time.

5. **CHESTER HUMPHREY** - Cde. Strachan made a request for Cde. Humphrey to be transferred to a job that will enable him to be full time in urban workers work, and that will make him eligible for elections to the executive of TAMU. Cde. Strachan felt that Cde. Humphrey can be replaced at G.F.C. by Ambrose Phillip. The proposal was agreed upon and Cde. Humphrey is to be placed in the Ministry of Communications, Works and Labour.

6. **RFG ANTENNA** - Cde. Strachan revealed that an antenna has to be put up near to RFG which will require 5 acres of land. The decision is that a microwave should be the first option - it will not require much space. If that is not possible, then the space at the back of RFG can be used. Cdes. Strachan and Austin are both responsible for this.

7. **MIKE FORSHAW** - Cde. Radix told the Bureau that Mike Forshaw has resigned from his job at Spice Island Charters and wants to know if the Government can employ him. He had been offered a job at the Fort Authority, but was only going to receive $1200. Cde. Radix suggested that he can be placed in a job with the fishing fleet. Cde. Radix is to check both Cdes. James and Coard, before this is done.

8. **TOM ADAMS' STATEMENT** - Comrades of the Bureau felt that a reply should be made to a statement made by Tom Adams that there is no human rights in Grenada and that we should hold elections. A statement was been drafted by Cde. McBarnette and will be looked at later.

9. **NUTMEG ASSOCIATION** - Cde. Louison reported that there is an urgent need to move on Kenwick and Gittens in that association. He suggested Evelyn Doss and Ambrose Phillip. However, the latter is already being placed in G.F.C. Comrades felt that Evelyn Doss will not be able to work for anybody. In spite of this however, he can still be checked to do the job. It was also noted that both the Nutmeg and Banana boards need an Assistant Manager.

10. **PARK HOUSE** - Cde. Radix reported that concrete dog kennels are being built beside Park house, which is a suitable Protocol house and that was not good. The Comrade Leader is to speak to Cde. Owusu on the issue, since he is the person responsible for putting up the kennels. It was also decided that Park house should be added to the list of Protocol houses.

11. **REAGAN'S LETTER** - Only one copy of the draft letter to President Reagan was received. The Recording Secretary is to contact Ashley Taylor to send copies of the letter to the other members of the Bureau in time for it to be discussed at Friday's bureau meeting.

12. **WORKERS WORK** - Cde. Strachan gave the Bureau a verbal report on the work of the Workers Committee. He said that for the last 2 weeks, the committee has been re-organising. The unions are now compiling a list of about 150 of all their strong Party activists. There is also a plan to set up political committees in each work place. Priority, he said, is been given to the Socialism classes - they will be started soon and the Chris Holness material will be used. Tulco and Grenlec are starting this week. The one at the Airport site has already started.

3/... The plan is
The plan is to meet with the 150 activists to outline the plan for the work, starting with those workers at PMO and CWL. Cde. Strachan stated that the main question is one of structures and implementation. He suggested that Cde. Wardally should he made a Socialism Classes tutor and that a crash ideological course for the 150 comrades should be organised and they should be trained in leadership.

13. PIONEER CAMPS - A letter was received from the organisers of the Pioneer camps. They are requesting a Bureau member to officially open and close each of their six camps, from August 6th - September 4th. The following was agreed upon: -

- 25th Aug. (Carriacou) -  Cde. Louison (Open)
- 29th Aug.  Cde. George Prime (close)

All the camps, except that of Carriacou, will be held at the GBSS auditorium and on the 6th September, there will be a National General Meeting of the Pioneers to which the whole Bureau is invited.

Each comrade who opens a camp, will also close it.

OTHER THINGS RAISED:-

- Hutchinson's is selling out
- The house near Telco can be used for a ministry
- The houses at Grand Etang can be used for Agro-Industries, Forestry and Tourism

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:-

- Did Cde. Creft speak to Marilyn Harris?
- Has Cde. Bishop looked further into the Canadian issue?
- Have Cdes. Austin and Strachan started to work on the drawings for the Party Headquarters?
- Did the Sub-Committee of the O.C. look at the issue of Maureen St. Bernar?
- Has Chester Humphrey being transferred to the Ministry of Communications Works and Labour?
- Did Ambrose Phillip replace Chester Humphrey at GFC?
- Did Cde. Radix look into the question of Mike Forshaw been employed by the PRG?
- Has Evelyn Ross being checked to be on the Mutem Association?
- Has the Comrade Leader checked Cde. Owusu about the dogs at Park House?
- Was Ashley Taylor told to send copies of the letter to Reagan?

ITEM TO BE DISCUSSED:- Party Headquarters
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY,
12TH AUGUST, 1981.

Comrades present:

Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard - late with excuse
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison

Kenrick Radix
Hudson Austin
Unison Whiteman

AGENDA:

1. Carnival/Security Arrangements ... 5 mins.
2. Letter to President Reagan......10 mins.
3. Land Utilisation Law...........20 mins.
4. Nutmeg Board.................. 5 mins.
5. Militia Mobilisation...........5 mins.
7. Paul Miller's proposal........5 mins.
8. Pioneers........................
11. FWI Building..................5 mins.
12. Socialism Classes Report.....
13. Grenlec Propaganda...........

(1) CARNIVAL / SECURITY ARRANGEMENTS - It was clarified that only
Carnival Monday is a public
half holiday. As regards the Security
Festival, Cde. Bishop stated that the S and D Committee will
be responsible for the police,
the others to look at that aspect.

(2) LETTER TO PRESIDENT REAGAN - Cde. Bishop read the changes
made to the original draft of the letter to President Reagan.
Cde. Coard suggested that a copy of the letter be sent to
every head of Government, Kurt Waldheim and every US Con-
gress member at the end of the time period for the President
to reply. (End of September)

(3) LAND UTILISATION LAW - Cde. Louison reported that together
with the Comrade Leader, and Miles Fitzpatrick and himself,
they discussed the law. A long discussion followed about
different technicalities of the law, but it was finally
decided that the law would be gazetted and it was given to
Miles Fitzpatrick.

It was decided that Michael Kirton will be
the Chairman of the Land Commission.

(4) NUTMEG BOARD - Cde. Louison told the Bureau that he met with
the Nutmeg Board and it seems that a woman named Bishop will
have to be given back her job, after being fired, it was
disclosed that, not only must Kenrick and Gittens be removed from the
Board, but the whole Nutmeg Board must be changed. It was de-
cided that Cde. Louison is to submit names for the Board at
Friday's Bureau meeting and he is also to make suggestions
as to what board Sylvia Belmar must be placed on. He is also
to get some detailed information on Kenwick's contract.

(5) MILITIA MOBILISATION - Cde. Strachan gave the Political Bu-
reau an update of the state of mobilisation. He said that
the route marches today will end at different centres. It was
decided that the following Bureau members wukk be at
2... these centres:
at these centres:

Bishop & Austin..............Market Square
Radix & Austin..............Permonteens
Whiteman & Louison...........Sauteurs Court Yard
Coard & Louison..............Gouyave
Strachan.....................Tivoli

Another route march was also decided upon for Sunday, 23rd August before the Militia Parade on Wednesday, 26th August.

(6) NEW YORK REPORT - This item was not discussed.

(7) PAUL MILLER'S PROPOSAL - Cde. Coard reported that Paul Miller said he had arranged meetings for somebody from the party to speak with two of three of the leading members of the NDF of Canada. This is to take place on August 13th and 15th. Therefore somebody (Cde. Whiteman) needs to leave here today, in order to attend the meeting.

However, the decision was made, that in light of the possibility that the arrangements for the meeting were not finalised, Cde. Whiteman should telephone Paul Miller or Jimmy Emmanuel to clarify this question.

(8) PIONEERS - Cde. Bishop told Cde. Strachan that one of the Pioneers Camp is being opened this afternoon, which means that he will have to leave Cabinet in order to open the camp, and then return in time for PRC meeting. Also, the camp is scheduled to close on Sunday morning at 10:00 a.m. - it means therefore, that Cde. Strachan will have to leave Study to close the camp and then return.

(9) PARTY PAPER REPORT - A report on the Party paper which was distributed to CC members the week before was discussed by the Political Bureau. The report came from Cde. Strachan's Study Group which made recommendations about the way the paper must be written and suggested that the Editorial Committee be comprised of ideologically clear comrades.

The Bureau noted that the Party has five organs presently being published, but not one of them has a full-time Party comrade assigned to it, as opposed to the PWC, which has a fairly-large reporting staff.

Cde. Whiteman, Chairman of the Party's Editorial Committee, said there is no representation on that Committee from the Workers and Women committees and that somebody was needed to be a full-time Party propagandist and reporter. Thus, it was decided that Cde. Ken Lewis be such a person.

Comrades also suggested that there be a body to co-ordinate the publication of the five Party organs and that 'Publication Committee be changed to 'Propaganda Committee. It was felt too, that non-Bureau members of the CC be requested to write articles for the paper.

Cde. Whiteman is to speak with Don Ajas re. Ken Lewis' new area of work and try to find a replacement for him.

(10) PARTY HEADQUARTERS - Cde. Austin gave a report on the progress of the plans for the Party's Headquarters. He did meet with Calston and the former promised to bring the draw--
ings of the building on Friday so that the Bureau can look at them.

(11) FWI BUILDING - Cde. Bishop said that he had asked the police to vacate some rooms in the building and that Cde. Whiteman should take a look at the space available with a view to assigning some to the Editorial Committee and Cde. Lewis.

(12) SOCIALISM CLASS'S REPORT - This item was not discussed.

(13) GHENLEC PROPAGANDA - Cde. Strachan read out a plan sent in by Cde. McBarnette, as to how the change and restructuring of the electricity rates (as opposed to 'increases') will be announced to the public. A few slight changes were made to the original plan.

Cde. Coard noted that the changes in the rates include an increase for the high users; a decrease for small users; no change for industrial users; increase for commercial users.

The Bureau agreed that the phrase 'change and restructuring of electricity rates' should be used instead of 'increase in electricity rates'. They also noted that the way the propaganda is worded on this issue will be very important.

OTHER ISSUES DISCUSSED:
- Kamau's Kolum in the FWI should be revised.
- The British Labour Party Conference is coming up soon.
- Study classes on Monday 16th (Carnival Monday) will not be coming off, but those on Tuesday morning remain as scheduled.
- Vietnam and Non-Aligned Day is Tuesday 1st September - Cde. Strachan to get Vietnamese and or Non-Aligned official to come here for the occasion. The O.C. will plan details of the event for that day.

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:
- Has Cde. Louison submitted the names for the Nutmeg Board, suggested a Board for Sylvia Belmar and gotten the details of Ronwick's contract?
- Did Cde. Whiteman call Paul Miller or Jimmy Emmanuel re. the proposed meetings with the NDF members?
- Did Cde. Whiteman speak to Don Rejas re. Ken Lewis' new area of work? Has he found a replacement for Cde. Lewis?
- Did Cde. Austin bring the drawings of the Party Headquarters to show the Bureau? Has Cde. Whiteman looked at the space available for the Editorial Committee to use?
- Did Cde. Strachan get a Vietnamese and or Non-Aligned official to come here for September 1st and has the CC looked at the topic to plan details?

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:
1. New York Report
2. Socialism Classes Report

Hazel Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 19TH AUGUST,
1981.

Comrades present: -

Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
George Louison
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Hudson Austin
Kenrick Audix
Liam James - Non-Bureau member

AGENDA: - An agenda was not set

Cde. James reported to the Bureau that he is organising a programme for Phillip Agee, former CIA agent, who is presently here. Among other things, he is to attend the following: - the St. David's NWO Farish GM, the St. Johns and St. Patrick's NWO Parish GM's and the St. George's Workers Parish Council.

The following decisions were taken: -

a. Public rally on Friday night (August 31st) at the Market Square for Marcus Garvey, which will also be used to bring the people up to date on the present threat of invasion. Agee will also speak.

b. A National rally on September 13th, for the following; 1) formal opening of the Agro-Industries Plant at True Blue, 2) commemorating the overthrow of Allende and 3) the recent threat of invasion.

c. Cdes. Whiteman and (maybe) Bourne to attend the INC Congress starting this Saturday, 22nd August. Cde. Whiteman will attend only the opening and will speak to Forbes Burnham and then return to Grenada. Cde. Bourne will stay on for the rest of the conference.

d. Fugenia Charles' statement on her reasons for not attending the Foreign Ministers conference here, should be replied to.

e. Telex on the United States' manoeuvres in the region will be sent to all Heads of Government. However, it has to be re-written because comrades felt it was too unconvincing. The telex will come from the P. M.

f. The following countries and or fraternal parties in those countries will be contacted re. the present situation: - Puerto Rico, St. Vincent, St. Lucia, Dominica, Barbados, Antigua, Nicaragua, Venezuela, Jamaica, Belize, St. Pitts, Mexico, Canada, Trinidad and Tobago, Surinam, El Salvador, Cuba, Martinique, Guadeloupe and Guatemala. It was decided that the countries will be prioritised.

g. Cde. Bourne should be pulled into foreign affairs.

DECISIONS REQUIRE FOLLOW-UP ACTION: - See a to f above.

FS - Cde. Bishop proposed a package of getting statements on Grenada, solidarity rally, protesting to the US. and informing Party cadres.
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 26TH AUGUST, 1981

Comrades present:
Maurice Bishop  Unison Whiteman  Hudson Austin
Bernard Coard  Kenrick Radix
Selwyn Strachan  George Louison

AGENDA:
1. Visitors Committee Report
2. Solidarity Conference Committee Report
3. Third Festival of the Revolution
4. Health documents
5. Cde. Whiteman's Report
6. NCB
7. Miles Fitzpatrick's contract
8. Cde. Gibson
9. RFG and Beausejour Transmitter
10. Militia Parade and Manouvre
11. Party Headquarters

1. VISITORS COMMITTEE REPORT - This item was not discussed
2. SOLIDARITY CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT - Cde. Bishop reported he
   met with Kurt Strachan of the National Secretariat on the issue.
   The conference is carded to start on November 18th to 22nd. The
   programme includes:-
   16th November --------------Field trip
      Formal public opening (Butler House)
      Speeches and Culture

   16th November --------------Addresses by RFG ministers
      Culture, etc.

   20th November --------------Rap to each other

   21st November --------------Field Trip
   22nd November --------------Field Trip
      "Bloody Sunday" Rally

   The following proposals regarding the conference were made:-
   i. All Governments, fraternal parties, organisations
      and individuals should be invited to the Conference.
   ii. The conference committee should be chaired by Cde.
       Strachan.
   iii. The Ministers' presentations should be prepared in
      advance and circulated to the delegates.

3. THIRD FESTIVAL OF THE REVOLUTION - Cde. Bishop again reported on
   his meeting with Kurt Strachan. This time in regards to the up-
   coming 3rd anniversary of the Revolution. These proposals were
   made:-
   i. Mass organisations, Lpioners, Trade Union representatives
      should be on the committee.
   ii. Waldheim, Ramphal and Samora Machel or Mugabe should be
      invited to the Festival.
   iii. Harry Bellaforte and the Mighty Sparrow should also be
      invited.

2/...iv. There should be
iv. There should be a competition for the design of a Monument of the Revolution.

v. Streets, parks and places should be re-named.

vi. The Tréfaut barracks of March 13, 1675 should be recreated.

vii. The socialist countries should be invited from now and asked to send high level representation.

viii. There will only be two official days of celebration and 6 weeks of village activities.

ix. This Festival must be the biggest in light of the imperialist propaganda.

4. HEALTH DOCUMENTS - This item was not discussed - it will be done at Cabinet meeting.

5. CDR. WHITEMAN'S BE CAT - Cdr. Whiteman submitted a written report to the Bureau on his visit to Suriname and Guyana. He also reported that a CAICOM Foreign Ministers Meeting is being planned for early September. Comrades felt that the meeting could be aimed at taking away Grenada's chairmanship of the Council and that this is a bad time for such a meeting, given the present balance of forces in the region. It was the feeling that it will be politically disadvantageous for Grenada.

It was decided that the CAICOM Secretariat should be checked to obtain information on the status of the meeting. After this is received, a decision can be taken regarding the issue.

6. NCB - Cde. S—— stated that 3 employees of the St. George's Branch of the National Commercial Bank were dismissed and that there are presently about 6 vacancies there. He therefore wants to ensure that firm party supporters are employed to fill the places. It was his feeling that the Cabinet Directive regarding employment in the state sector was not firm and clear enough. It was therefore decided that this will be checked with the Cabinet Secretary, Marcella David and the Bureau agreed that firm party supporters should be the ones to be employed at the NCB.

7. MILFS FITZPATRICK'S CONTRACT - Cde. Radix said that Miles Fitzpatrick's one-year contract includes:

- salary --------------- 2,600
- housing -------------- 550
- travel --------------- 1,000
- small car (to be bought)
- holiday (5 weeks)
- travel to Guyana for 2 (one way)
- gratuity (10%)

8. CDE. GIBSON - Cde. Radix stated that Cde. Earl Gibson in the Ministry of Agro-Industries and Fisheries is asking for a car, as was agreed in his contract. Cde. Gibson responded by saying that this is alright and that the Ministry of Agro-Industries and Fisheries can buy a car from the money allocated to it in the budget.

9. RED AND GREEN JOUR TRANSMITTER - Cde. Austin reported that he met with Galston and the engineers. They said that the transmitter is ready for testing on September 1st and they are requesting that

3/...the engineers and
the engineers and technicians who went to Cuba for training, be with them now. Official broadcast they said, will start in October at 75 KW of power in the day and 50 KW at night.

Cde. Austin also stated that there is a Geneva-based organisation dealing with monitoring radio frequencies used by different stations. He thinks that we should join it. There is also a conference being planned for Canada next year to deal with allocation of frequencies.

Some comrades suggested that PCG should be transmitting from Gausjeur.

It was suggested that try with us to speak to regarding the technicalities mentioned above.

10. MILITIA PARADE AND MANOEUVRE - It was decided that the Militia parade scheduled for this afternoon should be put off in order to place complete emphasis on mobilisation and organisation for the up-coming manouvre. It was also felt that there have been many activities in the last two weeks, that might have caused people to feel a bit tired.

A meeting to discuss the manoeuvres was scheduled.

11. PARTY HEADQUARTERS - This item was not discussed.

OTHER ITEMS DISCUSSED:-

- A regional Lions Conference will be held here soon.
- We should get our regional and that sympathisers eg. Trevor Farrel to write articles in the regional newspapers.
- The NM should respond to Eugenia Charles' recent statement, pointing out the following:-
  i. we are not to interfere in our internal affairs - we will respond.
  ii. we are taking up the challenge of the freedom party.
  iii. we are now preparing a documentation of all violations of human rights violations in Dominica.
  iv. From now on, we will be speaking out on all the incidents of violation of human rights in Dominica.
  v. The region has gotten more aid in the last 2 years that the previous 5 years.

TASKS:-

1. Cde. Whiteman to get Ashley Taylor or somebody else to get the information regarding the question of aid to the region.
2. A department in the Secretariat to be set up to deal with the region, with a progressive from each country on the committee - Cde. Strophan's responsibility to get the name to head the committee.
3. The OC to look at the scholarships for the party school in Bulgaria.

- The Comrade Leader will attend Belize's independence on September 21st.
- The African Peoples Socialist Party is holding their First Party Congress from 5th - 7th September. They are inviting us to send a representative. Cde. Miguel
representative. Cde. Miguel Mitchell at the New York mission will represent the party.

Cde. Radix is due to leave for Rome for the FRAD negotiations and will also be in Cuba. In Cuba he will therefore become the emissary to Cde. Fidel and the ambassadors of the socialist countries.

We should ask Guyana if they want Grenada to put out a statement on the refusal of the loan to them.

The two Korean fishing boats that were caught in our waters last week were expected in Suriname and should be released, as they were not fishing.

The Federation of Senior Workers under Curtis Stuart was registered some time ago. They are trying to re-activate themselves - the Federation should be struck off the books.

"Cottey" on busy of the GFC has been over-due the decision of the Board - he should be replaced.

The International airport is to be discussed at Economic Bureau meeting on Friday 28th August, 1981.

TASKS : REQUIRE FOLLOW-UP ACTION:

1. Does Cde. Strachan have a report for the Bureau regarding the committee for the 3rd Festival of the Revolution?
2. Were the health documents discussed at Cabinet meeting?
3. Was the COTCOM Secretariat contacted to find out the status of the proposed Foreign Ministers meeting in Jamaica?
4. Was the Cabinet directive regarding an employment policy for the state sector looked at, to see whether it was firm and clear enough?
5. Was the car bought for Cde. Gibson?
6. Were the technicalities about the IFC and Fausse-Jour transmitter discussed with Jay Smith?
7. Did Cde. Whiteman get Ashley Taylor or somebody else to get the information regarding the aid to the region in the last 2 years compared to the previous 5 years? And was the statement from the NJM put out in response to Eugenia Charles?
8. Has Cde. Strachan identified somebody to head the regional department in the Party's National Secretariat?
9. Has the CC looked at the Party's Scholarships to Bulgaria?

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

1. VISITORS COMMITTEE REPORT
2. HEALTH DOCUMENTS
3. PARTY HEADQUARTERS
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY 2nd September, 1981.

Comrades present
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
George Louison
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent
Kenrick Radix - away

AGENDA

1. School book and uniforms programme
2. WPJ Five
3. Investment and Tourism Codes
4. Report on 3rd Festival upcoming
5. RPG Antenna
6. Cocoa Law
7. Medical School
8. Party headquarters
9. Rizo's report
10. Delegation for Australia
11. St. Lucia situation
12. Mexico

1. SCHOOL BOOKS AND UNIFORMS PROGRAMME - Cde. Coard reported that the NDP is asking for an additional $60,000 for this programme because of the great influx of request for help in this regard. The additional money was agreed on - this would make the total sum allocated for this programme $44M.

Somewhat connected to this was Cde. Louison's report that Lorianne Of the Pioneers had spoken to him recently. She said that the total money allocated for the Pioneers Camps was not utilised. It was her suggestion that the balance be used for assisting with school books and uniforms for the pioneers. However, comrades felt that giving them those could be co-ordinated with the programme spearheaded by the NDP and that the excess money should not be used for that purpose. Cde. Coard pointed out that the money is desperately needed.

2. WPJ FIVE - This item was discussed and the proposal agreed upon.

3. INVESTMENT AND TOURISM CODES - This item was not discussed and was put on the agenda for Economic Bureau meeting on Friday, 4th September.

4. REPORT ON 3RD FESTIVAL UPCOMING - Cde. Strachan reported on the first meeting of the Planning Committee for the 3rd Festival of the Revolution. He said there were four aspect co-ordinators present. Candia Alleyne has been removed as head of the Education Committee, at her request. Replacing her is Valarie Cornwall or Didacus Jules. Chris Dee Riggs will no longer head the of the Cultural Committee. In his place will be Captain Cecil Belfon. Cde. Strachan reported that the committee has agreed to meet weekly - on Mondays - from now on. The following suggestions were made:

- The March 13 rally to start at 1.00 p.m. instead of 10.00 a.m.

2... - All regional and
All regional and international fraternal parties should be contacted as early as now.
Tours should be organised for the event.
The March into Queen's Park to be done sectionally i.e. women, workers, farmers, youth, students, etc.
Different work places to organised banners for the big day.
A competition for the best design for the monument of the Revolution.

The planning committee is scheduled to meet next, on Monday, 7th September.

5. RFG ANTENNA - Cde. Austin reported on this issue and said that the technicians want a decision on the proposal to test the new transmitter.
   Cde. Coard suggested that we should really have two radio stations. The other one, he said will play non-stop music with breaks only to "hook up" with RFG for the newscast.

6. COCOA LAW - This item was not discussed.

7. MEDICAL SCHOOL - Cde. Bishop reported to the Bureau that the Medical School wants a review of the agreement and he mentioned a few specific areas. However, a committee has been formed comprised of Larry Wilson, Borson, Dorcos Braveboy, Langston Sibbles and "Funjab" who will meet with the school officials.

8. PARTY HEADQUARTERS - This item was not discussed.

9. RIZO'S REPORT - The Comrade Leader reported on a conversation he had with Ambassador Rizo and he got responses from the rest of the Bureau on specific issues raised which involved them.

10. DELEGATION TO AUSTRALIA - This item was not discussed.

11. ST. LUCIA SITUATION - Cde. Coard raised this issue, made some suggestions, some of which were more or less agreed to by the Bureau.

12. MEXICO - This item was not discussed.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:-
1. Investment and Tourism Codes
2. Cocoa Law
3. Party Headquarters
4. Delegation to Australia
5. Mexico

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 9TH SEPTEMBER
1981

Present

Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Hudson Austin
George Louison

Absent

Bernard Coard
Kennick Radix
Unison Whiteran

AGENDA

1. CPTU MEETING
2. DENNIS HENRY
3. JILL MARRIALLY DOCUMENT
4. NON-COMMUNIST
5. NATIONAL BUS SERVICE
6. BULGARIA ICE PLAN
7. ROAD CONSULTANT - WEST COAST
8. INTERNATIONAL SOLIDARITY CONFERENCE
9. PORT AUTHORITY
10. APPROPRIATION LAWS
11. PRG RESOLUTION ON CONSUMPTION DUTIES
12. RFG ANTENNA
13. PARTY HEADQUARTERS
14. CHILE DAY RALLIES
15. SOCIALISM: CLASSES IN THE BUREAUCRACY
16. OC DECISION

1. CPTU MEETING - The Council of Progressive Trade Unions (Trinidad) has organised a public meeting in Grenada for tonight and want somebody to come to speak on the Revolution. DECISION - Cde. DeRubes will represent the Party and Revolution. He was called to the bureau meeting, told of the decision and was given a briefing.

2. DENNIS HENRY - Cde. Bishop reported that Dennis Henry leaves for England tomorrow (10th). The former’s house will be bought by the PRG. He will be allowed to leave here with 50,000 gua (notes) and the rest of his three quarters will be drawn out in three different batches.

Mr. Henry has expressed his willingness to work for the PRG while in London and wants to talk with Cde. Dennis Augustine about it.

Cde. Austin will be checked by Carroll Bristol (Dennis Henry’s lawyer) about the furniture in his house. The former is to find an old man type to occupy the house 24 hours daily.

3. JILL MARRIALLY DOCUMENT - Cde. Marniially submitted a document to the PRG on the question of payment for some 1,344 unestablished workers.

DECISION - These workers are to be paid - Larry Wilson informed accordingly.

4. NON-COMMUNIST - Some will be arriving on the weekend.

5. NATIONAL BUS SERVICE - Cde. Strachan disclosed that the 26 buses will be ordered from the Mitsubishi Company, through Gleam’s garage instead of the other brand through Huggins. The order has been placed and they should be here by November or December.

6. BULGARIA ICE PLAN - Cde. Louison reported that regarding the purchase of an ice plant. Our offer is a letter of credit, two and one half to three percent interest and repayment over 15 years. Their offer is 20% advance payment and 80% by letter of credit.

He said that Cde. DeRubes was recommending we ask them for the $85,000 letter of credit and take the other things apart from the Ice Plant. However.

2...the feeling was
the feeling was that we should take just the ice plant at this time.

(7) N0AW CONSULTANT - WEST COAST - Cde. Terry Moore to telephone Van Lawrence of Jenteck to ask him to reduce their bid further. Secondly, the Pb's preference is that Jenteck and not the other company, gets the contract. And thirdly, PRG will pay additional sum (above the $100,000 being provided by the CUB) out of its resources.

(8) INTERNATIONAL SOLIDARITY - CONFERENCE - Cde. Bishop reported on this. Barbara Lee is here and according to the documents she brought, the proposed conference will start on November 20th instead of the 18th as thought of by us. Cde. Strachan will check her to co-ordinate the proposals. The draft agreement of friendship is to be looked at by Miles Fitzpatrick.

(9) PORT AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS - The Board has suggested Bja Welsh and Kenwick to be General Manager and Port Manager respectively of the Port Authority. Both names were rejected and other names are to be suggested and looked at on Friday's EB, when Cde. Radix should have been through.

(10) APPLICATION LAWS - Cde. Bishop signed the relevant document. However, the figures are to be double checked.

(11) PRG RESOLUTION ON CONSUMPTION DUTIES - PRG or, Cabinet to pass resolution this afternoon (9th).

(12) PRG ANTENNA - Cde. Austin's report on this, is that wherever the antenna is, the transmitter has to be near by. In the case of PRG, it must be placed, not further than the area of Carifita Cottages. It was suggested that the area near Grooves House could be used - Cde. Austin to speak with Bob Evans on the effect it will have on the International Airport.

(13) PARTY HEADQUARTERS - Cde. Austin gave the report. These are to be won - a. structural designs, b. elevation designs and c. soil tests.

(14) GILFAY RALLIES - This is on Friday, 11th with them in St. David's and St. Andrew's. Cdes. Louison and Austin will attend respectively.

(15) SOCIALISATION CLASSES IN THE BUREAUCRACY -- Cde. Strachan said that according to reports given by the tutors taking those classes there has been some resistance from some students. He is therefore proposing that a letter from his Ministry be sent to all Permanent Secretaries, stating that the classes are compulsory and must be attended to by all workers.

However, it was decided that the classes should be linked to the ITU programme, still making it compulsory, but in a different formulation.

(16) UC DECISION - Cde. Strachan told the Bureau of the CC decision/ proposal that Francis Gill should hear the St. Andrew's PCB and wanted to know now it will affect the Army. DECISION - Cde. Austin to discuss it at a meeting Thursday morning, to see if a replacement for Gill can be found.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 23RD SEPT. 1981.

Present: -
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Hudson Austin
Kenrick Radix

Absent: -
Maurice Bishop
George Louisob all out of Unison Whiteman) the country

AGENDA: -
1. Land Utilisation Law
2. State of political work in the army
3. National Bus Service
4. Party Headquarters
5. Mass Activities upcoming
6. Trade Union Conference
7. Dredging contract

(1) LAND UTILISATION LAW - Cde. Radix explained that the final draft of the Law will be ready on Friday morning (25th) and CC comrades are scheduled to meet at 9OC a.m. that same morning to discuss the law.

(2) STATE OF POLITICAL WORK IN THE ARMY - Cde. Strachan told the Bureau that a present and for a long time now, there has been any political education going on in the Armed Forces. He said that a meeting was held with some Party comrades (A's, CM's and M's) in the army to discuss the situation. One of the major decisions from that meeting was to set up a Department of Politics within the Army. Another decision was to start Socialism classes there. A proposal is also to go to the CC, that the person in charge of political education in the Army be a member of the CC. If agreed, the relevant comrade will be brought on to that body.

The Bureau decided to make these temporary changes for a period of six months; Cdes. Layne, Louison, Belfon, Gill, Redhead and Stroude were called to the meeting and told of the changes.

These changes are:-
1. Major Louison - Deputy of Defense, Chief of Planning
2. Major Layne - Chief of Staff, Head of Region 1
3. Captain Belfon- Acting Chief of Operations, Chief of Mobilisation and Organisation
4. Captain Stroude-Chief of Politics and Academics
5. Captain Redhead- Deputy Chief of Staff (For discipline)
6. Captain Gill - Out of the Army: Head of St. Andrew's PCB

Cde. Coard called this a compromise, since it is his strong feeling that the leadership of the Army should be changed.

(3) NATIONAL BUS SERVICE - Cde. Strachan said that Egbert Francis was recommended by Cleveland Jolland for the job of Manager of the NBS and that the latter has displayed the interest in that job. The Bureau decided:-

1. Employment of Egbert Francis as Manager - NBS
2. His tasks between now and when the buses arrive in January 1981 are:
   a. identify a place to house/service the 26 buses
   b. advertise for drivers to be interviewed by Cdes.

27...Cleveland Jolland, Egbert
Cleveland Holland, Egbert Francis and Hudson Austin or Selwyn Strachan.
c. Study the best routes, hours, weekend schedule, schedule to facilitate school children, fares etc.
d. Identify a good accountant.

3. Interview to include questions about the applicants' age, record of accidents, background, etc.

4. The buses are not to travel on the Grand Etage road.

5. The NBS will be registered as a private company owned by the Government.

6. The NBS Board of Directors comprises:
   a. Permanent Secretary - Ministry of Communications Labour
   b. Trade Union representative
   c. NYO representative
   d. NWC representative
   e. Cleveland Holland

7. The Manager (Egbert Francis) will be Secretary to the Board of Directors, but not a member of the Board.

8. Ticket system to be used by NBS

(4) PARTY HEADQUARTERS - Cde. Austin reported that the structural designs are being done and that by next week, everything will be ready.

(5) MASS ACTIVITIES - The list of mass activities taking place and the FB comrades assigned are as follows:

**Thursday, 21th:**
- Rural Workers Parish Council, St. David's RC School, 5.00 p.m.: Cde. Radix
- Zonal Council Meeting, Victoria: Cde. Coard
- St. George's SI E, Zonal Council Meeting St. Paul's Model School, 7.00 p.m.: Cde. Austin
- Panel discussion, Woburn, 7.30 p.m.: Cde. Strachan

**Friday, 25th:**
- NYO Extra-Ordinary General Meetings:
  - St. Mark's, Waltham Junior Secondary School, 7.00 p.m.: Cde. Strachan
  - St. David's, RC School, 7.00 p.m.: Cde. Austin
  - St. Andrew's, Junior Secondary School, 7.00 p.m.: Cde. Radix

**Saturday, 26th:**
- Opening of MNIB, Petite Martinique Branch, 4.00 p.m.: Cde. Coard

**Sunday, 27th:**
- NWC Membership rally, Elizabeth Park, Victoria, 2.00 p.m.: Cdes. Strachan and Radix

**Monday, 28th:**
- Rural Workers Parish Council, West Coast Anglican School, 6.00 p.m.: Cld. Coard
- Urban Workers Parish Council, St. George's

67 - 2

3/... Butler House, 7.30 p.m.
Butler House, 7.30 p.m.: Cde. Radix
St. Patrick's, S.E. Zonal Council Meeting, River Sallee Government School, 7.00 p.m.: Cde. Strachan

Sunday, 4th October:-
AGWO National Get-together, La Sagesse, from 10.00 a.m. until... Everybody
Opening of the 2nd Ecumenical Human Rights Encounter, The Dome, 5.00 p.m.: Everybody

Sunday, 18th October:-
NWO Parish Rally/Opening of Primary Health Care Programme, St. David's, Belle Vue Lark, 2.00 p.m.: Everybody

Saturday, 31st October:-
PFU Annual General Meeting, 10.00 a.m.: Everybody

Sunday, 1st November:-
PFU Mass Rally, Seamoon, 2.00 p.m.: Everybody

Wednesday, 18th November:-
(Taking, Caribbean Trade Union Conference, The Dome, 1.30 p.m.: Everybody

Sunday, 22nd November:-
Bloody Sunday Rally, Seamoon: Everybody

Monday, 23rd November:-
Opening, 1st International Solidarity Conference, The Dome, 10.00 a.m.: Everybody

Sunday, 6th December:-
NWO National Rally,
12th and 13th December:-
NYO Congress, The Dome

Hazel Ann
Recording Secretary
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 30th September, 1981

Present:-
Bernard Coard
Slewyn Strachan
Hudson Austin
Kenrick Radix

Absent:-
Maurice Bishop
George Louison
Unison Whiteman

Agenda:-
1. Cadet Mobilisation
2. Party Headquarters
3. Festival Committee
4. Solidarity Conference Report
5. Letter from WJ
6. Letter from CCFS
7. Cde. hone’s ambassadorship
8. Callist Planing field
9. Upcoming activities
10. Angola Independence Day
11. Analysis of recent council meetings and parish NYO GM’s
12. Decision of Llyode Noel’s letter
13. Recommendations for detainees release

1) Cadet Mobilisation - Cde. Strachan explained that he held a meeting with the comrades responsible for this area. They felt that this must be given more attention and that Cde. Cherubin (Fargie) should have this as his full time job. However, comrades pointed out that this decision was already taken since last year, so it was thus irrelevant now and that the important thing is to get the work done.

2) Party Headquarters - Cde. Austin showed the bureau the drawings of the party headquarters, the estimate of quanities of materials needed is to be done - they should be ready by next week.

3) Festival Committee - Cde. Strachan wondered to look at one aspect of this re: the site for the monument. Places as the Botanical Gardens, the site of heldie’s burnt house in St. Paul, the area between Apple Inn and Carifta Cottages, etc. There was a very strong feeling that the monument be on “Free ion Hill”. A number of ideas as to what the monument should be were expressed including, a sculpture that incorperates the years of NJM struggle, the heroes of the Revolution, the achievements and symbolises the future. Cde. Strachan is to follow up on this.

4) Solidarity Conference Report - The report here, according to Cde. Strachan, is that “things are moving”, but the invitations are outstanding. However, he explained that somebody will be leaving on Friday for North America for the purpose and that the aim is to get 100 delegations.

5) Letter from WJ - The WJ has invited NJM to send 2 comrades to their 2nd Party Congress on 17th - 20th December. It was decided that NJM will attend, but no names were decided on. The topic is to be on the WJ’s agenda on November 11th. A reply is to be sent confirming our attendance.

6) Letter from the G.C.F.S. - A request was received from the Grenada Cuba Friendship Society for Cde. Radix and the FR to attend their upcoming activity on October 8th. The letter’s attendance was confirmed.

2/... Cde. hone’s Ambassadorship

2/...
(7) **Cdre. Bourne's Appointment** - Cdre. Bourne's appointment as Ambassador to Libya was confirmed. He and "Bengie" are to leave for Cuba in the next two weeks and should get to Libya by the end of November. Cdre. Radix is to inform Winston Davis so that the Libyans will have the information.

(8) **Cdr. Strachan's Playing Field** - Cdre. Strachan told the Bureau of a present conflict with an old man who wants back the land that Gairy had acquired from him, but the youths are protesting this. Cdre. Strachan is to handle the matter.

(9) **Upcoming Activities** - The following is a list of zonal council meetings scheduled for St. Andrew's during the month of October:

   a. South West - B/Grove Community Centre - Thurs. 8th
   b. South East - G/ville Anglican School - Thurs. 15th
   c. North East - Pearls Community Centre - Thurs. 22nd
   d. North west - Byelands Old School - Thurs. 29th

   They all start at 7.00 p.m.

   The member to attend each of these meetings will be selected during the week.

(10) **Angola Independence Day** - This is on November 11th. We are to invite Angola's representatives at the U.N. and in Cuba to speak at two indoor parish rallies to be organised. The propaganda committee is to do a "build-up".

(11) **Analysis of Recent Council Meetings** - Comrades reported on the different council meetings and NYO parish GM's they had attended. All went well, except the St. Andrew's NYO GM, which was poorly attended.

(12) **Decision on Lloyd Noel's Letter** - Lloyd Noel has written a letter, seeking permission to have his wife sell his car - this was agreed to by the Bureau.

(13) **Recommendations for Detainees Release** - The list was read out and some names were added to by the Bureau. However, it is to be discussed with the relevant ICB's also.

Hazel-Ann

**Upcoming Secretary**
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING HELD ON
WEDNESDAY, 14th OCTOBER,
1981

Present:-
Maurice Bishop
Hudson Austin
Kenrick Radix
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan

Absent:-
George Louissen
Unison Whitman

LEGEND:-
1. Cde. Coard's bilaterals with Dominicans, St. Lucians and Puerto Ricans
2. Bulgarian Ambassador's visit
3. OC Decision
4. Discussion with Cde. Gahagan
5. Carriacou's Mass Activities
7. Lyle Bullen's Lobster Trade
8. Draft speech for reopening of MISTEP
9. Beryl Rattan
10. Meeting with Korean Ambassador
11. Ray Smith
12. Resettlement of Calliste Residents
13. Eastern Main Road
14. Western Main Road
15. Tourists Rouges
16. Medical School
17. Sonny Mark's letter
18. Regina Taylor
19. Street
20. OCCBA's letter
21. Neehall's letter
22. Date for next CC meeting
23. Education Committee Report
25. Report on Commonwealth trip
26. GDR Communications Equipment
27. Cde. Bishop's visit to the GDR, etc.
28. Antigua's Independence
29. Holiday Inn Sewage Plan
30. Langston - detainee
31. Cde. Coard's visit to Ralph Thompson
32. Meeting with Judy Williams and Ricky Charles
33. Meeting with representatives/members of the Chamber of Commerce

(1) CDE. COARD'S BILATERALS - Cde. Coard reported that he held meetings
with comrades from Dominica, St. Lucia and Puerto Rico, all separately.

(2) BULGARIAN AMBASSADOR'S VISIT - The Bulgarian Ambassador to Cuba
was to visit here on Friday, 15th, as part of the 130th anniversary
of the Bulgarian State. Some of the activities he will attend in-
clude:-
-2-

i) NWO/TRINITY HEALTH CARE DAILY - Sunday, 18th, St. David's

ii) NYO National Council Meeting - Saturday, 17th

iii) South Zonal Council, Calliste Government School - Monday 16th

iv) Reception in his honour (tentative) - Tuesday, 26th

v) Press Conference

(3) OC DECISION - Cde. Coard told the CC that the OC had suggested/de decided that our Trade and Student Attaches in Havana should be Ken Roberts and one Mitchell respectively. Cde. Keith Roberts is to try to convince Ken Roberts to do trade instead of piloting as he wanted to do. A military attaché is yet to be identified.

(4) DISCUSSION WITH GAHAGAN - Cde. Coard reported that he held a discussion with Cde. Akie Gahagan regarding what the latter's job should be. He was given three alternatives. He decided to remain here and be attached to Cde. Strachan's Ministry. He will be part of the delegation to the 25th Congress of the Spanish Socialist Workers Party.

(5) CARRIACOU'S MASS ACTIVITIES - Carriacou's Parish Council to be held on Thursday, 15th and their Women's Parish Council on Friday 16th. Cdes. Coard and Radix to attend them respectively.

(6) SECONDARY SCHOOL EMULATION NIGHT - This was discussed, based on the report sent by Sis. Crest. A few changes were made.

(7) LYLE BULLEN'S LOBSTER TRADE - Cde. Coard received a report/complaint from Cdes. Crest and Jules that the bullen brothers in Carriacou have been sending out lobsters by the planeloads out of Carriacou, apparently without any customs regulations or restrictions.

(8) SPEECH FOR NISTER RE-OPENING - The draft speech was accepted, with only a few changes made to it.

(9) YEYI-NIYAN - Cde. Radix told the bureau that this woman was making requests for the pardoning of her son who was involved in some corruption. It was the feeling that the son involved should travel out of Grenada.

(10) MEETING WITH KOREAN AMBASSADOR - Cde. Radix reported on a meeting he had with the Korean Ambassador. The latter said that his country is willing to give technical, scientific and military assistance to Grenada. They also want the Comrade Leader and a delegation from the Mass Organisations to visit Korea next year.

(11) RAY SMITH - Here again, Cde. Radix explained that a site was being looked at for the re-locating of the radio transmitter. He said that he is "on top of the situation".

(12) CALLISTE RESIDENTS - Cde. Austin disclosed that the Calliste residents have been slow in re-locating themselves. He also said that the specifications for the new building of the residents' houses have not been followed and that he will handle the issue personally.

(13) EASTERN MAIN ROAD - Some problems are being experienced here - a few engineers are needed.

(14) WESTERN MAIN ROAD - A vehicle is needed for this project. The one
being used by "Highard" will be used for this purpose.

(15) TOURIST ROUTES - Some tourist routes are to be repaired.

(16) MEDICAL SCHOOL - They want to add one floor to Ken Milne's building on Grand Anse beach, and are also complaining that they cannot get the use of the dome, although they pay $1,000 (US) monthly rent. No decisions were taken on this.

(17) SONGY MARK'S LETTER - This letter was received by Cde. Bishop who said that Sonny Mark is complaining about the NY mission. It was suggested that Gladwell Taylor be given a copy of the letter and asked to reply to each complaint.

(18) BEGINN TAYLOR - These items were not discussed.

(19) STREET - These items were not discussed.

(20) OCCUA's LETTER - A letter was received from the Organisation of Caribbean and Commonwealth Bar Associations, signed by Elliot Mottley. They want to know the reasons why Lloyd Noel and Tillman Thomas are in detention. The Bureau decided that a reply will be sent to them.

(21) NEEDALL'S LETTER - Cde. Roy Needall of the CCC sent a letter to Comrade Bishop expressing concern over information he received that Lloyd Noel and Kennedy Needall have been tortured. He also stated that a CCC team will be coming to Grenada to meet with the church.

ITEMS 22 to 26 were not discussed.

(27) CDE. BISHOP'S VISIT TO GULF, ETC. - This is planned for 10 - 23 December and also includes Bulgaria.

(28) ANTIGUA'S INDEPENDENCE - This is November 1st and the Comrade Leader is due to attend the ceremony.

(29) HOLIDAY INN SEWAGE SLAN - Complaints had been received by Cde. Bishop that the Sewage Slan at the Holiday Inn is bad. They were given a deadline in which to correct the situation. If it was not met, the Ministry of Health will do the job and bill it to them.

Complaints were also received about the security at that hotel - Cde. Keith Roberts was duly informed.

ITEMS 30 and 31 were not discussed.

Hazel-Ann
(RECORDING SECRETARY)
Present:
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Cord
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison
Hudson Austin
Kenrick Cadix
Unison Whiteman

Agenda:
1. Budgetary situation
2. Nutmeg bonus
3. Low income housing
4. Letter from Cdo. Croft - Cuban schools
5. Mexico Report
6. Ralph Thompson
7. Date for CC meeting
8. Bulgaria
9. Teachers for Nicaragua
10. Solidarity Conference
11. Trade Union Conference
12. Third Festival of the Revolution
13. Washington Mission Administrator
14. Casual workers pay
15. Idle equipment in Queen's Park
16. F.S. for Cdo. Austin's ministry
17. Party Headquarters

(1) BUDGETARY SITUATION - The budget is on the way to a $CM shortfall in the revenue for this year. In order for the fall to be reduced, most ministries' budgets will have to be cut. This item was due to be discussed at the Cabinet meeting scheduled for this afternoon.

* FWI needs a Business Manager immediately - Cdo. Jonas cannot do the job.
* Ministries' budget for 1982 should be submitted soon.

(2) NUTMEG BONUS - Cdo. Louison said that the CCNA has decided tp pay out $3.1M in bonus this year, compared to the $4.1M paid last year and the year before.

He also stated that the nutmeg sales in 1982 could just be the same as this year unless the sales improve.

Comrades agreed on the $3.1M.

(3) LOW INCOME HOUSING - The Ministry of Housing has received a list of persons interviewed who have made application for the houses. The Secretariat is to make copies of the list and give it to the St. Andrew's and St. George's FCM leaders and FB members.
latter is to discuss the item on Friday, 23rd.

(4) SCHOLS FROM CUBA - Cde. Creft has requested that the PB gives her an idea as to what areas scholarships are needed in for the 1982 - 1983 period. A list of the areas given in 1979 - 1981 was sent. The document to be copied and distributed to all comrades. The item will be discussed on Friday 23rd at E.V.,

(5) MEXICO REPORT - Cde. Louison reported on the CPPPAL meeting he attended in Mexico. He said that the regional SI group met. A Grenada Solidarity Committee was formed. It's president is France and Secretary - the Dutch. It includes Norway, Britain and the INP. Some others have given their verbal support and say they will sign.

The next CPPPAL meeting: Panama, end of November

Cde. Louison met with Mexico's Vice Minister of Finance. They are willing to give us some money - a team will be visiting here from 26th October - 6th November.

The CLADE meeting is scheduled for 5th and 6th November.

* The Party needs to decide on an International Secretary very soon - comrades to think of names and the item to be discussed at Friday's E.V. meeting.

(6) SALIH THUNISON - Cde. Bishop visited him, he wants to go home. Cde. Bishop thinks that he should be sent home also. It was decided upon and his name is to be taken off the list of detainees.

(7) DATE FOR CC MEETING - The date for the next CC meeting is October 28th (every last Wednesday in the month). That is, November 25th and December 30th. Time 8.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m.

(8) BULGARIA - There will be an exhibition at Marryshow House on Thursday, 22nd in honour of the 130th anniversary of the Bulgarian state. It is to become part of a reception in honour of Ambassador Dimitrov.

(5) TEACHERS FOR NICARAGUA - About 12 Grenadian teachers are to be identified to go to Nicaragua. Cde. Jules is presently working on this - it was suggested that NVO unemployed members be used.

ITEMS 10 to 12 and 14 to 17 were not discussed.

(13) ADMINISTRATION FOR WASHINGTON MISSION - That mission needs an Administrator - no decisions were taken.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED - 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED AT E.V. MEETING:

1. Low income housing
2. Schools from Cuba
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Hazel Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
REPORT TO POLITICAL BUREAU, NJM

WORLD CONFERENCE OF WOMEN & WIDE CONGRESS, PRAGUE AND VISIT TO BULGARIA
BY SISTERS P. COARD, R. JOSEPH AND E. CALLISTE; OCTOBER 3-30th, 1981

World Conference of Women 8-13th - attended by 1000 delegates from more than 200 organizations.

We travelled via Cuba and had a brief discussion with the head of the International Affairs Department of the F.M.C., P. Coard had also had a discussion over lunch with the International Secretary, Esther Veliz in September. These centred around what Cuba sees as a difference in emphasis between the European organizations and those of the underdeveloped world in the question of the conference theme "Equality, Nationality Independence, Peace." The F.M.C. felt that the European organizations emphasize peace at the expense of the importance of the National Liberation struggles. They felt that this was the result of those countries being so far removed from the underdeveloped world. We were given the impression that this would be the major contradiction of the conference. However, in the event, the Socialist organizations of Europe led with a principled and, we thought, correct position and no such struggle developed. In fact, it was clear that the struggle for peace was closely linked with the struggle for National Independence, both facing the same enemy, US Imperialism - this turned out to be the major theme of the conference. The conference was highly successful in drawing the Socialist World closer to the progressive organizations of the Third World, both because of the firm and factual position taken by the CP organizations, and also because of the excellent treatment given by the Czech Women's Union.

MAIN BENEFITS OF CONFERENCE FOR GRENADA

1) We discovered that Grenada was practically unknown to most of the Women's Organizations. However, by the end of the conference everyone knew about us because of our wide distribution of literature, our presentations in the two (2) major committees, the fact that Grenada was elected to speak for the English speaking Caribbean within the Latin American group, and our extensive contacts made with other organizations. We received fraternal greetings and solidarity everywhere by the end of the conference, and established relations with -2- many............
many organizations.

2) Our two representations were well received and several Socialist countries asked for copies and commented on the high standard and the "firm position".

3) We established very friendly relations with USSR, Hungary, GDR, Czechoslovakia and Bulgaria, whose delegations were led by outstanding women. The GDR will be sending a representative for our December 6th rally. The others regretted that their budgets for 1981 were exhausted because they had paid for many countries to go to the conference. However, they all promised to visit us next year and USSR, Czechoslovakia and GDR invited us to visit them, probably in May-June. This would need to be at the highest level - it would be a decisive step in bringing us closer to them. We need to improve the consistency of our written communications with the Socialist world.

**WIDF CONGRESS 14-15th - 96 ORGANIZATIONS**

Here we were accepted as members. The CMP - JA was also accepted. The MRSU (Guyana) was not accepted - their application was delayed for further consideration. It was our impression that the Socialist organizations of Europe supported the WPO in its plea to have them rejected. All the English-speaking territories, as well as Cuba, maintained a neutral position. The INP-VU stated that their Party Congress, being held at that time, was deciding the issue of their relations with the PNC. We explained our position to the WPO in terms of our desire not to do anything that would increase the rupture in Caribbean unity, they accepted this. However, the WRSI adopted a quietly hostile attitude to all the other English-speaking territories: on two (2) occasions when we tried to join them at lunch they told us they were expecting another delegation. Their tactics were to give a brilliant and progressive presentation in the major committee (by contrast, the WPO's was very weak) and to buy the favours of the Progressive African group by telling them of their party's support for the African Liberation Movements. ($10,000 p.a.). However, this didn't work, perhaps because of Zimbabwe's relationship with Rodney, perhaps because of the closeness of relationships between the Socialist bloc and the African organizations.

3/ Re. the WIDF....
Re: the WIDF Bureau Elections, there was a slight possibility that we could have been elected. In practice, the old Bureau "proposes" the new one after consultations with the different geographic blocs; but candidates should declare their candidature in advance. Because of being unable to obtain up to now a copy of the WIDF constitution despite requests made in the past as well as at the conference, we were unsure as to how things worked, and an indirect hint to the FMC in September (re the WPO proposing us) produced no positive response from them, we had therefore concluded that the organization may not wish a brand new member to stand. However, because of the WPO's bad behaviour during the past year and some unprincipled positions taken, it timed out that the Bureau did not wish to retain the WPO on the Bureau. The day before the Congress, an FMC delegate suggested to us that we could be an alternative. We agreed but did not campaign. It then turned out that because of other Latin American organizations pressure within the Bureau it was decided to drop the WPO and substitute several months ahead. However, this was a tactical error, as the WPO (which would have been forced to accept Grenada as a substitute) immediately mobilized the rest of the English-and French-speaking Caribbean to protest against their replacement on the Bureau by a 5th Spanish-speaking territory of this hemisphere when there were already 5. The final decision was to delay the decision and let the Bureau decide at the next meeting in 6 months time.

However, the WPO also revealed itself as unprincipled by telling a lie against Cuba (that the FMC had proposed their replacement by Nicaragua-actually it was Argentina who did so) and both the CMP and ourselves spoke to Nalini about how incorrect and unwise we felt their attitude to the FMC and Cuba was using many examples. It's clear that it has affected the attitude of the Socialist countries to the WPO.

We are not particularly impressed by the efficiency of the WIDF (as opposed to their ideological stance) - their programme for the next 5 years was very vague, no real work-plan; and they never seem to be able to answer letters although they send thousands of press releases.

We ordered 200 copies of each issue of the WIDF journal, which is very good one for each group.

4/ Visit to
VISIT TO BULGARIA (17th-25th OCTOBER)

This was highly successful. We spent 3 days in Sophia, and 5 in Rousse, a manufacturing town with an ancient history of resistance to both the Turks and Nazis. We were able to learn a great deal about the history, and present level of economic development and social organization of Bulgaria. Our hosts treated us extremely well and spoke very frankly to us about their own social problems and also the problems of Poland (they brought this up).

We formed a very warm relationship with the Women's Committee in Rousse; also with the President of the National Women's Committee, who is an outstanding woman, she was a guerrilla partisan from age 14; is now a CC member.

Prague 25th-26th We were warmly received, put at the CC hotel (Hotel Praga) and had very good discussions with the Women's Union International Secretary.

A note re the Organization of Women and Youth in the Socialist Countries.

Women: The USSR, Bulgaria and Hungary have Women's Committees which organize women on the basis of work-places (85%-90% of women in those countries work). There is usually a woman elected to represent the Committee in the Trade Union branch in each workplace. There is also usually a branch of the Committee in every community, which seeks to mobilize women for social goals, but this is like an executive. Thus, the committees are not mass organizations.

The GDR, Czechoslovakia and Cuba have mass women's organizations like ours, based in the communities. Czechoslovakia had a committee until 1968 - following the Lubuzcheck crisis the party decided to transform it into a mass organization in order to deepen its links in the communities.

Youth: Apparently only the USSR and Cuba have vanguard youth Organizations. In Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia and the GDR the Youth have mass organizations, and very strong ones.
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING ON
WEDNESDAY 4TH NOVEMBER 1981

Comrades present:-

Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn strachan
George Louison
Kenrick Radix
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent:-

Unison Whiteman - sick

Agenda:-

1. West German delegation
2. Plan
3. RPG's transmitter
4. Venezuela Boat
5. Bloody Sunday
6. Solidarity Conference
7. Soviet Union Embassy
8. Libya, Iraq Embassies
9. Deputy Minister from Cuba
10. Discussion with Jenzi Wilks
11. WFA'S Union
12. Military Alert
13. Party Headquarters
14. NACDA'S Board

(1) WEST GERMAN DELEGATION These items were not discussed
(2) FLANE
(3) RPG'S TRANSMITTER - Change: from 1C10 om the medium wave to
2CC. Ray Smith will be attending the international communications
conference, as the CECs and Grenada's representative. However,
it was the Bureau's decision that Ashley Taylor should accompany
Ray Smith, as the latter is too naive and may not make the cor-
correct political decisions in this area.

(4) VENEZUELA BOAT - Cde. Radix reported on the negotiations taking
place with Venezuela's representatives in regard to the boat
that was found in our waters. Cde. Radix is to follow up on
this.

(5) BLOODY SUNDAY - Cde. Strachan stated that this rally will
have to be highly successful and must be the biggest. The mas-
ses will be mobilised sectionally and by parish. A meeting of
the PCB heads and leaders of the mass organisations is sched-
uled for the next 48 hours to work out the plan of mobilisa-
tion leading up to the 22nd. The aim is to have at least 1500
persons at the rally. Transportation, he said, would be the
main problem.

(6) SOLIDARITY CONFERENCE - Cde. Strachan-
6.1 Invitations - between 225 to 250 invitations sent out to Asia,
Africa, Europe, North America and the Caribbean. To date, only
13 responses (12 of which are positive), have been received.
The organisers in the U.S. (Barbara Lee and Carlatta, etc.)
have promised they will bring 1C, 15 or even 2C delegations. Today, 15C telegrams will go out as a reminder. Destina and Cladwell kept the US invitations 2 weeks before delivering them. Overall aim is to bring 10C delegates.

Cde. Coard: Use our seven ambassadors to make telephone call reminders.

6.2 Accommodation:- Lou Done is in charge and has been doing a good job, 111 rooms (hotels and homes) have been confirmed. Attempting to get 50% discount from the hotels. The key to cutting done on accommodation costs is to get delegates to stay at some private homes.

6.3 Programme/Content:-

Cde. Leader's address is the major speech. Solidarity messages should be 2 minutes each. Presentations on Agriculture and Agro-Industries and Fisheries to be followed by workshops and reports. The presentations to be ready by 18th November. Time to be allocated for delegates to make pledges to avoid duplicating.

Cde. Bishop's speech should be made into a booklet and given to the delegates before they leave.

FB: key right now is to "pull out all the stops", to make sure that the conference is a success.

(7) SOVIET UNION EMBASSY

(8) LYBIA & I WAQ EMBASSIES - Cdes: Horune and Benjamin have returned from Cuba and will leave for their respective missions shortly. The countries are to be informed.

(9) DEPUTY MINISTERS FROM CUBA - The deputy ministers of Trade, Economic Collaboration and Foreign Affairs of Cuba will be arriving on Friday, 6th Cdes. Lyden, Rambhany and Radix are to meet them at the airport. In the latter's case, this will be done if Cde. Whitman is still unwell.

(10) DISCUSSION WITH DENZIL WILKS - Cde. Bishop reported that Cde. Wilks had met with him and raised the issue of Clemont Kirton's salary. Cde. Coard felt that the proper channel to deal with these issues like this one was through Cde. Strachan as had already been agreed to with Cde. Wilks so.

(11) WPA's Union -
(12) MILITARY ALERT - Those items were not discussed
(13) FA. CITY HEADQUARTERS -
(14) NACDA'S BOARD -

ERIC SEALEY - has expressed desire to organise a goodwill tour to Grenada by a team of boxers. They will need their tickets and accomodation for 8 persons to be paid for by Grenada. This was agreed to and the last week of January and the first week of February were suggested for the visit.

ANGOLAN SOLIDARITY DAY - November 11th, a outdoor meeting to be held in the Sauteurs courtyard. The West Coast and St. Patrick's will be the main areas of mobilisation.
JAGRO-IN-SUTRIES' RESTAURANT will be opened during the first week in December. Cde. Xadix's suggestion for its name: "The Crucial Factor."

MARCH SHOIVY-DAY - Saturday 11th. Church service and graveside ceremony being planned. The HRAFG will organise the indoor meeting/rally to take place at Mindsey School. Cde. Coard will attend it.

TU CONFERENCE: Cde. Strachan

o everything is going alright

3RD FESTIVAL: Cde. Strachan

o invitations will go off this month

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
WEDNESDAY, 11TH NOVEMBER 1981

Comrades present
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Henrick Radix
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent
George Louison
Unison Whiteman

AGENDA
1. Caribbean and Central American Action meeting
2. Party Schools and visits to S.U.
3. Report on the CDCC Conference
4. Richard Jacobs
5. P.S. for the Ministry of Communications
6. Religious Conference
7. Solidarity with Cuba
8. PA Systems for the 3rd Festival
9. Angola Day Rally
10. PWU Struggle
11. Caribbean Trade Union Conference
12. Activities for November
13. Party Headquarters
14. National Mobilisation's Building
15. Housing
16. Sea World Centre
17. WPJ Congress
18. New Applicants

1. CARIBBEAN AND CENTRAL AMERICAN ACTION MEETING - It was decided that Grenada should "press" to be invited to this meeting which takes place at the end of November. The person to go, if we are invited, will be either Cde. Whiteman, Louison or Radix. However, the decision will be taken when the invitation has been received.

2. PARTY SCHOLARSHIPS AND VISITS TO S.U. - Twelve short term Party scholarships are being offered to us by the Soviet Union. The Secretariat is to get detailed information from Cde. McPhail in Havana. The S.U. has also restated they are ready to receive another 2 CC comrades for rest and recreation there. The OC is to take the decision on who will go.

3. REPORT ON THE CDCC CONFERENCE - Cde. Radix reported that the CDCC meeting held here never reached its ministerial level. The decision to adjourn and reconvene before year's end in New York. The work of the officials was accepted and Grenada retains the chairmanship.

The Jamaican Deputy Foreign Minister, (Galimore) arrived with an armed guard and attempted to use forged currency while here.

4. RICHARD JACOBS - He rang re. going to Mexico and Nicaragua at the same time that he is supposed to be joining Cde. Austin etc. on the GDR, Czechoslovakia and S.U. trip. It was decided that Cde. Jacobs should still go to Mexico and Nicaragua, joining the comrades in S.U. after.

/...S P.S FOR THE
5. P.S. FOR THE IN EARKY OF COPIES - Cde. Byron Campbell will be the P
Permanent Secretary in that Ministry when Ron Iton leaves. However, Cde. Austin, Iton and Campbell will be away at the same time. Cde. Michael Prime will therefore be in charge of the Ministry for that period.

6. RELIGIOUS CONFERENCE - A Conference on "RELATION BETWEEN CHRISTIANITY AND REVOLUTION" will be held in Madrid from 5th - 10th December. Grenada is to send 2 delegates. Judy Williams/Ricky Charles and Fr. Martin/Lin St. Bernard were suggested. Cde. Strachan is to follow up on this.

7. SOLIDARITY WITH CUBA - Cde. Strachan said that Cde. Gaston wanted the mass organisation, etc. to send solidarity messages to Cuba on the present situation there. If possible, they also want a rally held. The latter also brought copies of Cde. Castro's speeches made in Cuba on the issue. It was agreed that one of the upcoming council meetings should focus on this as a way of expressing solidarity.

8. PA SYSTEMS FOR THE 3RD FESTIVAL - Cde. Strachan received a proposal that we purchase our own PA system for the 3rd Festival. It can be bought from Hungary, with parts from Japan and Austria. The Cubans have agreed to train the persons to use it. However, the decision was that we should use the Cubans' own (although still ordering ours), as it will not arrive in time for the Festival.

9. ANGOLA DAY RALLY - The rally in solidarity with Angola is to be held tonight at the Gautiers Courtyard. The programme will include the Angola representative, the SWPO representative and one comrade from the Party.

10. PMU STRUGGLE - The Special C. & M. as requested by the Party's PMU Sub-Ctee is scheduled for Thursday, 12th. However, the PMU Executive has publicised a circular saying that they have agreed to hold the meeting, but have scheduled it for Tuesday, 24th and not Thursday, 12th. They stated a few irregularities in the method of approach to hold the Special C. & M. However, it was noted that the meeting will go ahead as a mobilisation meeting, but that it will not be a "legal" one according to the PMU Constitution.

11. CARIBBEAN TRADE UNION CONFERENCE - The decision was taken to invite the Guyana Agricultural and General Workers Union, after the TUC received a letter from them requesting they be invited.

12. ACTIVITIES FOR TODAY - A list of activities (zonal councils, workers parish councils, etc.) totalling 62 was submitted to the Bureau. It was noted that CC comrades will have to start attending these meetings to take some of the work off the backs of the FB members.

13. PARTY HEADQUARTERS - The drawings were approved and the quantities are now to be done. The Party however, is to make a formal request for the land to be used and the CC is to discuss a programme of fund-raising to help with the cost of labour for building the headquarters.

14. NATIONAL MOBILISATION'S MINISTRY BUILDING - Cde. Strachan suggested that Bereck Bright's house near to Church Street be used as the Ministry of National Mobilisation. This was agreed.

15. HOUSING - The houses, both at Telescope and Grand Anse are to be allocated. This will be done at Friday's (November, 13th) Bureau meeting.

16. SEA WORK CENTRE - Cde. Radix suggested that this centre be set up in a building near to the Fishing School in True Blue in time for the 3rd Festival.
17. W.J CONGRESS - To be held in December. Our delegates will be decided on at next PB meeting - Wednesday, 18th.

18. NEW APPLICANTS - Gle. Strachan suggested that whenever new applicants are being brought into the Party that a small ceremony or so be organised to mark the occasion. The suggestion was not discussed in detail by the Bureau.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDED SECRETARY
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING OF
WEDNESDAY, 16TH NOVEMBER
1981

Comrades present:
Maurice Bishop
Calwyn Strachan
Bernard Coard
Kenrick Radix
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent:
George Louison
Unison "M" Inter

AGENDA

1. TU Conference Report
2. Bloody Sunday - 22nd November
3. International Solidarity Conference
4. SFJ Congress
5. Ministerial designations
6. SELA Meeting - Panama
7. Relocation of Medical School
8. International Airport
9. Call from Cde. Louison
10. Bank activities in Grenville
11. Venezuela's Ambassador's visit

(1) TU CONFERENCE REPORT - Cde. Strachan reported that there are already 32 delegates here already to attend the conference. He reported that there were a few logistical problems, but that the mobilisation of the workers to attend the opening ceremony was going "okay". The programme chairman for the opening is Cde. Vincent Noel and both Septynus Forsyth and the comrade leader are due to speak. It was felt however, that somebody on behalf of the delegates should address the opening.

(2) BLOODY SUNDAY - The organisational aspect was looked at by the IG already - the programme is now to be decided upon. The decision - Chairman - Cde. Radix, solidarity messages from all the mass organisations (youth, union, pioneers, farmers, workers, armed forces, etc.) and one solidarity message each from the TU and Int 1 solidarity conferences. Each messages to be not more than 5 minutes. Mobilisation is reported to be good and transportation is organised.

(3) INTERNATIONAL SOLIDARITY CONFERENCE - Cde. Strachan said - 33 delegates confirmed to attend, could have about 60-70 delegates.

(4) SFJ CONGRESS - this is from the 17 - 20th December. Cde. Strabhan is to attend the Congress on behalf of the Party.

(5) MINISTERIAL DESIGNATIONS - Cde. Coard's Ministry is that of "Planning, Finance and Trade". Cde. Radix's - "Industry and Fisheries, Attorney General and Justice Minister".

(6) SELA MEETING - PANAMA - Mathew Williams will be the official at this meeting on Grenada's behalf.

(7) RELOCATION OF MEDICAL SCHOOL - The medical school authorities have suggested that the school be re-located in the area opposite "Dog Island". It was agreed to, unless it is opposed to by the armed forces.
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(8) INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT was not discussed.

(9) CALL FROM CDE. LOUISON - A few matters were settled between different commands bilaterally on issues that were raised by Cde. Louison.

(10) BANK ACTIVITIES IN GRENVILLE - Rumours are reported to be circulating in that town over the recent purchase of the R.W.C. by the N.C.B. Some people are reported to have been pounding down the RWC's doors to withdraw their money from that bank. Cde. Coard reported that he held a 2-hour long meeting he held with Sharon Lycorish of NCB and the workers were supposed to publish a pamphlet "FACTS YOU SHOULD KNOW" on the situation.

(11) VENEZUELA'S ABBASOUK'S VISIT - Cde. Radix reported on this. They want to send in a military delegation to discuss regional security, etc. They will be donating some equipment to the hospital. The first was agreed to by the Bureau. ie for the military delegation to come to Grenada.

Hazel-Jum
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING ON
WEDNESDAY, 9TH DECEMBER, 1981

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Kenrick Radix
George Louison
Unison Whiteman

Comrade absent:-
Hudson Austin - away
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Agenda:-

1. Vincent Noel
2. Ricky Singh
3. NYO Congress and rally
4. Grenada/US Friendship Society
5. SWAPO
6. Detainees
7. Richard Hart
8. Correspondence
9. Ministers of Labour Conference
10. Workmen’s Compensation Act
11. Christmas tree lightings
12. WPJ Congress
13. Dennis Henry
14. New Applicants to the Party

(1) VINCENT NOEL - This item was discussed in detail.

(2) RICKY SINGH - Cde. Bishop told Cdes. Whiteman and Radix of a telephone conversation he had with Ricky Singh regarding the allegation of human rights violations here and the document Grenada presented at the recent SELA meeting.

(3) NYO CONGRESS AND RALLY - Cde. Coard is to give the feature address at the opening of the Congress on Saturday and Cde. Bishop will do likewise at the Rally on Sunday.

(4) GRENAADA/US FRIENDSHIP SOCIETY AGREEMENT - This agreement is to be signed by Carlatta Scott and Swinton Lambert.

(5) SWAPO - Cde. Coard is to arrange to get the $50,000 promised to SWAPO sent to their representative at the United Nations.

(6) DETAINNEES - Some are to be released

(7) RICHARD HART - He is to replace Miles Fitzpatrick as of August 1982

(8) CORRESPONDENCE -

(9) MINISTERS OF LABOUR CONFERENCE -

(10) WORKMEN’S COMPENSATION ACT -

2/...:(11) CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTINGS
(11) CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTINGS - The only list received was that of St. David's.

(12) WFJ CONGRESS - Cdes. Strachan and Sandiford are to attend.

(13) DENNIS HENRY -

(14) NEW APPLICANTS TO THE PARTY -

Hazel-Ann  
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
ON MONDAY 28TH DECEMBER, 1961

Present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison
Kenrick Radix

Absent:-
Unison Writ &
Bernard Coard

AGENDA:
1. EPICA
2. Nicaragua
3. Agricultural Plan
4. 1982 Work Plan
5. CC Agenda
6. New Year Speech
7. Detainees
8. RC Pastoral letter/Keehall
9. WPJ 2nd Congress Report
10. Visit to Cuba
11. March 13th Anniversary
12. George Lamming

1. EPICA - Regarding the book they are printing on the Grenada Revolution, 5000 copies will be sent to us at a price of $1.50 (US) each. We are to reserve another 5000 copies if possible. This task is Cde. Strachan's responsibility. It was also agreed that a Carifta cottage be given to them.

2. NICARAGUA - Cde. Bishop reported that he had a meeting with Valerie Cornwall and Didicus Jules re. the literary programme in Nicaragua. They came up with a list of 40 names to be sent there as tutors/trainers to help in the programme. However, it was not clear exactly how many persons were actually needed. The group also needs a leader and a top party comrade to assist with ideological and organisational training. Cdes. Cornwall and Jules also asked for assistance from the Bureau on informing the comrades who will be going to Nicaragua on the present situation there. This task is Cde. Louison's own.

The sending of the volunteers to Nicaragua is to be co-ordinated with the Nicaraguan Ambassador in Cuba.

Cde. Bishop wanted to get the Bureau's approval on the suggestion that a few top party comrades be spared to help in this area. It was agreed/approved.

3. AGRICULTURAL PLAN - Cde. Louison reported that Tamayo's visit is a follow-up to that of Risquet's. The former has suggested a new system of organisation for the Ministry of Agriculture. He suggests that there be three sections: organisational, political and production; that there be two vice ministers, abandon the Permanent Secretary and Secretary for Agriculture.
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Cd-. Louison also disclosed however, that Cde. Rizo has suggested that we will have to do the same for the other ministries once it is done for that of Agriculture.

The general feeling of the Bureau was that we are not quite ready for the system as yet.

4. 1982 WORK PLAN - Cde. Louison stated that the OC has already looked at the work plans for 1982 of the women, youth and AGWU; the FCB's and ITU are to be looked at - also the Urban Workers Committee. He explained that some OC comrades expressed the view that Cde. Ian St. Bernard be out in charge of the work in St. George's - however, no decision was taken on this.

Cde. Radix expressed the view that in 1982, some Party comrades will have to be put in the area of the economy and production and that meetings with the unemployed should take place.

5. CC AGENDA - The next CC meeting is on Wednesday, 30th and the Bureau decided that the item on the agenda should be "A Political Review of 1981", including the areas of weaknesses as identified by the OC and comments on them.

It was suggested that there be special CC meetings to decide on the programme for 1982 and one he held for the purpose of discussing the 1982 One Year Plan and the budget.

6. NEW YEAR SPEECH - Cde. Bishop stated that he wanted to get comrades' ideas on what the contents of his new year speech should be. The following were suggested: - review of the major achievements in 1981, the role of the masses and the growth of democracy, growth of the mass organisations, emphasis on the productivity point, a renewed call for the involvement of the businessmen in the economy, 2% growth in the economy in 1981, 14% more nutmeg sales in 1981 than in 80, the soon commencement of the National Bus Service, the development of Carriacou.

The Bureau decided that 1982 be the Year of Economic Construction.

7. DETAINERS - A list of 25 suggested names of detainees was presented to the Bureau for consideration to be released. Four additional names were added and the Bureau decided on 22 of the 25 suggested names to be released.

The following items were not discussed:
8. RC Pastoral letter/Neeshall
9. WPJ 2Nd Congress Report
10. Visit to Cuba
11. March 13th Anniversary
12. George Lamming

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
WEDNESDAY, 7th APRIL, 1982

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent:-
Unison Whitman
Kenrick Radix

Agenda:-
1. Ron Dellums
2. CXC/Grenlec
3. Home
4. Airport Meeting report
5. Outstanding Bills - March 13
6. Journalist Conference
7. Pleassey
8. DINK - Korea
9. Mass Activities:
   Lenin's Birthday, Jeremiah Ncardson's Way, May Way,
   Playa de Giron
10. Correspondence
11. Suriname
12. Fund-raising Committee Report
13. Falkland Islands

1. Ron Dellums is arriving in Grenada on Friday, 6th April and leaves on Thursday, 15th. His programme starts on Monday 12th, as he will be resting from his arrival until then. Comrade Louison was given the task to meet him at the airport. It was suggested that arrangements be made for him to see Carriacou.

3. A seminar will be held on the home on Thursday 8th April. This will involve all Ministries and is aimed at beginning paration for the 3-year plan (1983, 1984 and 1985). Comrade Coard explained that discussion will be mainly on the capital side of the budget and that as many people as possible should be there.

4. Comrade Strachan reported on the first part of a meeting he had with Comrade Austin which was held with the workers at the site of the International Airport. He stated the following:
   - the meeting lasted 4 hours
   - it is clear that the workers and management are to get the blame
   -Rollin "got plenty blows"; he is under great pressure
   - there is a friction/gap between the Grenadian and Cuban workers
   - the Grenadian workers claim they are not getting recognition
   - both sides are cursing each other
   - women workers experiencing problems - inadequate transport etc.
   - claim that management is not giving time to do voluntary work and are not giving support for the Militia
Conrad* Strachan told then the following as means of solving the problems:-

- the union has to be a vibrant force and complaints must be brought to them
- the union must be involved in decision making re. the workers
- political Commissar to be appointed ("Johnny", Gordon or MacLean)
- disciplinary committee must be set up
- regular staff meetings must be held

Conrad* Austin reported that the usual airport problems were discussed, they included:-

- the access road to the airport to be decided on
- tank
- airport terminal building
- the need for a jeep, truck and car
- need for food loan

He also stated that the Sandino Plant will need 10 Grenadian workers from May.

5. The total of the outstanding bills for the March 13 Festival totalled $358,000. Comrade Strachan explained the three "heaviest areas" as: i) protocol, ii) mass mobilisation and iii) protocol transport. The breakdown:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>260,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass mobilisation</td>
<td>37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol transport</td>
<td>161,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>358,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. The Journalists Conference will be held here from April 16th to April 19th.

7. Thesissey. Comrade Coard explained that there are three parts to this:-
   i) to ensure that all the parts/equipment would be in the plan (P.O.)
   ii) legal element (Miles)
   iii) prices for each item

8. Comrade Strachan will be our representative to the birth anniversary of the North Korean leader, instead of the Comrade Leader.

9. A. Lenin's Birthday - April 22nd. A programme will be organise to observe the day, involving the M's, CM's and A's of the Party.
   B. Jeremiah Dickson - This will be commemorated on April 18th, starting at 3.00 p.m.
   C. May Day - the aim is to bring out 10,000 workers. The

77 - 2 Unions are to draw up schedule of visits to work places as a method of mobilising the workers.
May de Giron - rallies to observe this will be held in St. Andrew's, St. George's and St. Patrick's and they are being organised by the armed forces.

16. Comrade George Louison has been invited to the Party and Government Conference on agriculture to be held in the G"t May 12 - 13. They will be sending three tickets - two other comrades are therefore to be identified to accompany him.

12. The Fundraising Committee submitted a report on their activities thus far which stated a balance of $7,607. Comrade Strachan reported that he had held a meeting with them. His suggestion to them was that six simultaneous parties be organised for the six parishes at the end of May.

Another suggestion was that the friendship organisations be asked to donate 10,000 jerseys. They will be printed with "Year of Economic Construction"; "Work Harder, Produce More, Build Grenada". They will then be sold in an attempt to raise some funds - that is to be implemented at around the Carnival season.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
TO ALL POLITICAL BUREAU MEMBERS

Please acknowledge receipt of the PB minutes dated 7th April, 1982.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maurice Bishop</td>
<td></td>
<td>16/4/82</td>
<td>A1.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard Coard</td>
<td></td>
<td>16/4/82</td>
<td>A2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selwyn Strachan</td>
<td></td>
<td>21/4/82</td>
<td>A3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Louison</td>
<td></td>
<td>21/4/82</td>
<td>A4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson Austin</td>
<td></td>
<td>28/4/82</td>
<td>A5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenrick Radix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Let those who labour hold the reins"
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU
MEETING - 14th APRIL, 1982

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Hudson Austin
George Louison

Comrades absent:-
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Kenrick Radix (out of the country)

1. **Journalists Conference** - It was decided that the Comrade Leader should declare the Conference open; that Comrade Whiteman should close it and that Comrade Bernard Coard should host the Press Conference which should be sometime after the Airport Rally on Sunday 18th. The Conference runs from 17 - 19 April, at the Dome.

2. To commemorate 'Playa Giron', the Armed Forces has planned four indoor rallies to take place in Carriacou, St. Patrick's, St. Andrew's and St. George's. The FB members to attend them are Comrades, Hudson Austin, Louison, Coard/Radix/Whiteman and Bishop respectively.

3. An invitation was received from Bulgaria to attend the 100th anniversary of the birth of Dimitrov. This will take the form of an International Conference on the Life Work of Dimitrov and our Time. It will take place in Bulgaria from 15th - 17th June, 1982.

   The Bureau felt that Comrade Whiteman would be the person best suited to attend the conference.


5. Comrade Louison will visit the GDR during 12th - 14th May. He is to be accompanied by two other comrades.

6. The WMR will hold its conference in Havana, Cuba from 26th April. A representative from our Party is expected to attend.

7. The 27th Party Congress of the Australian Communist Party will be held from 11th - 14th June. They want the NJM to forward its greetings.

The Bureau decided to forward items nos. 3 - 7 to the Organising Committee for discussion and decisions.

Hazel-Ann
SECRETARY
### YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMME A/C

#### STATEMENT TO 30th JUNE 1982

**REVENUE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grants - M.N.I.B.</td>
<td>$215,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds</td>
<td>$3,099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Revenues:** $218,099

**EXPENDITURE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Census</td>
<td>$14,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Conferences</td>
<td>$15,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovations to Y.E.P. Office:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>$15,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>$12,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$27,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovations to La Sagesse:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>$32,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>$27,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$60,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery</td>
<td>$1,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expenses</td>
<td>$89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>$7,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Sagesse Training Programme:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$9,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$21,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Furniture &amp; Equipment</td>
<td>$4,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling &amp; Subsistence</td>
<td>$1,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>163,700</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surplus for Period:** $54,399
TO: Political Bureau, New Jewel Movement
FROM: Chairperson, Women's Committee, NJM
RE: Problems affecting women Party members
DATE: 11th May, 1982

Dear Comrades,

At a recent Women's Committee meeting, it was discovered that many women Party members are feeling under considerable strain. An analysis of the situation was done and it was felt that we ought to let the Bureau know of the situation and ask the Bureau to consider the matter, and take any decisions which it may think appropriate. Some of the matters discussed are referred to briefly in the minutes of the Women's Committee meeting of 15th April, 1982.

The main problems identified are as follows:

(1) The special problems of women with children are rarely, if ever, considered when fixing hours of study classes and committee or P.C.B. meetings.

When some women members raise the problems of having no one to leave their babies with at 5.00 a.m. or no one to get the children breakfast or ready for school, the attitude of many heads of P.C.B.'s, Committees and study groups has frequently been that "you just have to solve that problem". As a result, some women members have been deemed 'in disciplined' as a result of missing meetings, others have taken serious risks with their children, like leaving babies in the care of young children of 10 or 12 years, some have faced criticisms of the masses for "neglecting" their children. Recently, an incident occurred when a sister from St. Andrew's was kept at a meeting in town till 6.30 p.m. On returning to St. Andrew's she found the Day Nursery shut and spent 2 hours searching frantically to find out where the nurses lived to recover her child.

The special problems of workers and of Army comrades are considered when fixing hours of meetings. We feel that the C.C. and serious Party comrades should be aware that women's problems in terms of the care of their children should be considered and women members should not be unfairly condemned, when they are not to be blamed. Likewise, it should be recognised that last minute calls to attend meetings at weekends and on evenings does sometime result in women members being unable to attend because arrangements cannot easily be made at an hour's notice to get babysitting.

Likewise, it should be considered that there are some areas where it is unsafe for women to walk alone late at night or very early in the morning.

We recognise that such consideration entails a risk of some members making children an excuse to avoid doing work; however, we feel that each case must be looked at realistically; moreover in our experience the women with children are among the hardest working and most disciplined members of the Party.

(2) When allocating political tasks it should be considered that most women in our Party have to wash, cook, clean and sometimes also have to care for children and elderly parents, in addition to taking on just as much political work as the men who in most
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cases accept little responsibility in the housekeeping area.

At the present time we find that a large number of women, especially those with young children are extremely tired. Also, they are extremely concerned about their neglect of their children in some cases are doing poorly in school. The Party needs to check on overall work-load when allocating political tasks to women members. We have also found in the Women's Committee that the efficiency of our senior women comrades has been greatly increased by giving them even limited staff to assist them (e.g. Teresa now has a personal assistant from among the part-time workers; Blynn now assists Claude in the International area; Faye and Ritu work jointly on training, using part-time workers to deal with some of the logistical back up).

(3) The Maternity Leave Law must be respected by the Party. The experience of the past 2 years shows us that even some senior Party comrades assume that women members will continue political work almost until the gives birth and will take on work again shortly afterwards. This is dangerous to our women's health. The rule should be that women on maternity leave should be free of all political work, except in rare cases where the women volunteers to undertake a few tasks. Furthermore, women with babies or young children should always be consulted before being directed to go abroad for the Party, to ensure that arrangements can be made to look after her children.

(4) One or two single women with children are finding difficulty in paying full dues, where fators are not paying adequate maintenance. We advised them to write to the O.C. on this.

(5) The Party should seek actively to change the attitude of Party men to the questions of baby-sitting, child care, housework and should ensure that all fathers support their children equally both financially and psychologically.

Right now, there are many male Party members who undertake very little or no responsibility in these areas; the Party should make them understand that:

(a) it is their duty to spend equal time looking after their children whether or not they live in the same house as the mother. Otherwise we will see more and more young women seriously held back in terms of political development because of being burdened full time with children. Right now, many young Party men are pressuring sisters to have babies for them, yet afterwards they take little or no responsibility for them.

(b) Where Party men and women live together they must undertake equal responsibility for housework.

(c) It should be clearly understood that Party tasks cannot be used as an excuse for not undertaking (a) and (b). Right now the attitude of Party man is "Sorry I can't do much - any baby care or house work - Party work must come first." But the women Party member could say the same thing! The Party must make it clear that all Party members - men and women - must share housework and baby care equally, in order
that both should have an equal opportunity to develop as Party cadres. Otherwise women Party cadres will always be held back in their development both relative to what they are capable of.

(6) Party members must be made aware of what is a proletarian man and woman and what is a proletarian or socialist relationship. We have the example to set for the broad masses of our people. Therefore our relationships whether with other Party members or with non-Party members should be honest, not using or abusing the other person. We believe for example, that it is time married Party men stop running around with several other women; it is time they stopped having "outside children"; it is time unmarried Party men shortly to be married stopped the practice of pressuring women to have children for them, sometimes even using the possibility of marriage as a bribe - or as blackmail; it is also time that women Party members learn to stop gossiping about other peoples personal relationships.

We realise that Party members will not all change overnight. However, we feel it is time the Party starts to formulate rules of personal behaviour on the man-woman questions and more than this, seek to raise the ideological level of all Party members on this matter. Too many Party members do not see that the struggle for socialism includes the struggle to create socialist forms of relationships in our society; and especially Applicants need to be carefully educated in this area.

We want to suggest that the Bureau directs the Education Committee to include at least one study session on the woman question on the programme for all M's, CN's and A's at some point during the next six months. The Women's Committee has M-L materials which can be used.

The Bureau may also wish to consider circulating this document to M's and CN's.

Finally, we wish to recognize the real effort and progress made by our senior Party members in overcoming male chauvinist attitude and the practical results this had had (for instance in the development of women in the Militia) despite the problems which still remain. Undoubtedly, the NJM is outstanding among other Caribbean Parties in the high degree of equality which it offers to women, both within and outside of the Party.

P. Coard
10th May, 1982.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
ON WEDNESDAY, 15th
SEPT. 1982

Comrades present

Maurice Bishop
George Louison
Unison Whiteman
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent

Bernard Coard - unwell
Kenrick Radix - away
Selwyn Strachan - away

Agenda

1. Discussion with the Minister of Fisheries - Cuba
2. Soviet Union Party Agreement with NJM
4. Chris Stroude
5. New CPO for Keith Joseph/TFG Manager
6. Party propaganda
7. Letter from Maureen St. Bernard
8. Caricom Action Plan
9. USA Front Group
10. Grenada Workers for the GDR
11. Bills in Havana
12. CPE PHASE TWO

1. DISCUSSION WITH THE MINISTER OF FISHERIES CUBA - Comrade Bishop reported on a meeting that was held with himself, Comrade Radix and the Minister of Fisheries from Cuba.

Of the ten boats that were donated to us by Cuba only two that are working - eight are not functioning. The two presently working can collapse any time. It was also reported that the National Fishing Company has lost about sixty percent of its revenue.

The meeting held, took the following decisions:

1.1 Do something about the sunken boats - remove them etc. (what is possible)
1.2 Move those that there is no plan to use.
1.3 Try to save two or three
1.4 Send some or the balance to Cuba or Carriacou to be used otherwise if possible.

It was noted that instead of returing the boats that cannot be used back to Cuba, we should think of creative ways to use them otherwise.

The slipway offered by Cliveland Dolland was also looked at as part of the discussion on this agenda item.
2. **SOVIET UNION PARTY AGREEMENT** - Comrades Louison and Cheneve of the CPSU held discussion on the draft agreement and work programme between the two parties as brought by us and agreed:

a. The Soviets to items for Party Headquarters.
b. A number of scholarships for Party School - 15 NJM comrades can come from October. NJM to decide on the period of study - three, six or twelve months.
c. The CPSU offered to host 5 NJM comrades for rest and recreation; 5 for a familiarisation visit. The NJM offered to host CPSU comrades in Grenada, but their response is that they prefer if comrades assisted with Party building when they come.

In a message from Comrade Bourne at our Embassy in Moscow, he indicated that other points raised by the Comrade Leader in discussions held will be considered and we will be notified accordingly.

On the Party Secretariat, Cuban experts are to come to prepare/evaluate quantities and drawings.

On the question of the leadership's plane, Comrade Bourne indicated that in his meeting with Gosplan on 23rd August, they indicated that they are likely to supply the plane, but needed to know two things:

i) If an agreement on co-operation between Grenada and Cuba is possible for the purpose of operation, maintenance and training of Grenadian technicians to use the plane.

ii) If we have a Pilot lined up to fly the plane.

The following will also be given to us by the CPSU:

a. 2 autobuses (25-seater)

b. 2 Niva cars

c. 3 P.A. amplifiers

d. 12 loudspeakers

e. 6 16 mm projectors

f. 12 tape recorders

g. 150 cassettes

h. 1 photocopying machine

i. 7 duplicating machines

j. 6 English typewriters

k. 4 Guillotines

m. 2000 folding chairs

3. **HUMAN RIGHTS CONFERENCE - NEW YORK** - A letter invited the PRG to participate in a Conference on Human Rights to be held in New York was discussed. The conference is to take place on October 1 - 3 and its theme is "Human Rights and the Struggle for Social, Economic and Political Justice". The invitation came from the Conference on Human Rights in the Americas Co-ordinating Committee.

The Bureau decided that either Comrades Creft or Jules should attend, but that further consideration be given to the matter by the Bureau.

Comrade Louison was given the task to speak with Comrade Creft on the matter, in an attempt to convince her to attend the conference.

4. **CHRIS STROUDE** - He is no longer Political Commissar for the Army and needs to be placed in an area of work. Comrade Bishop reported that himself and Comrade Strachan had discussed the matter and though that he should be named Political Chief. His tasks will be to take the political classes, note the problems raised during the classes and pass them on to the Political Organiser to be
solved. However, no name was yet found for the person to fill that post.

The Bureau noted that the comrade has serious organisational weaknesses, although he has many other outstanding strengths.

5. NEW CPO FOR KEITH JOSEPH/TFG MANAGER - This was not discussed. Instead, the Bureau noted that there were many areas like this that needed to be filled with comrades. As part of the reorganisation presently going on in the Party, this matter will have to be dealt with.

It was observed that comrades were needed for:-

TFG
RFG
FWI
Political Organiser/Politiaal Commissar
Propaganda Chief
NACLA
YEP Chief
CPE

6. PARTY PROPAGANDA - To be discussed at the next PB meeting.

7. LETTER FROM MAUREEN ST. BERNARD - The letter from Maurreen was discussed by the Bureau and a reply will be sent. She enclosed different letters attacking her.

8. CARICOM ACTION PLAN - Comrades Taylor and Emmanuel to leave for some of the regional island in this regard.

9. USA FRONT GROUP

The document from WPJ, MONALI and DLM with information on human rights in their respective countries was not yet received except the one from MONALI. WPJ and DLM to be checked in this regard.

10. GRENADE WORKERS FOR GDR
11. BILLS IN HAVANA
12. CPE PHASE 2

These items were not discussed
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MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING  
ON WEDNESDAY, 22nd  
September, 1982

Comrades Present:-
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
George Louison
Kenrick Radix
Selwyn Strachan
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent:-
Unison Whitman

Agenda:-
1. Wilson Center
2. Papal Nuncio's Visit
3. Venezuela Center
4. Intellectuals Conference/Marryshow Day
5. Mark Nurvine/Dawne Fletcher
6. CPE Phase 2
7. Bill Riviere/Lybia Connection
8. Comrades for key positions
9. Legal Affairs
10. Analysis of Regional Situation

2. PAPAL NUNICO'S VISIT - Comrade Bishop reported on a meeting he held with the Papal Nuncio who was in Grenada on a visit. He said the meeting was very warm. He said a number of things in relation to the activities of the church and of the behaviour of some priest, including the following:

1. sermons on the pulpit; their political activists' role; attitude to class bias, school repair, etc.
2. disrespect for laws/regulations - visit to detainees
3. aggressive, arrogant, destabilising attitude

The Comrade Leader also made the point to him that the Bishop's attitude is generally one of being another Prime Minister.

The Papal Nuncio's proposal in response is that a meeting should be called with all the priests and Bishop Charles in which the laws are all laid down.

Comrade Bishop made the following proposals:-

i) Accreditation of somebody to the Vatican.
ii) All requests for work permits of preists must be sent before they arrive in the country, with their proper CV's.
iii) Removal of the worse elements.
The Papal Nuncio expressed the opinion that there are some priests/nuns that the PRG can check sometimes, e.g. Sister Francis Zavier, and the three new brothers in PIC.

The PB has to look at the appointment of an ambassador to the Vatican and structures for dealing with housekeeping matters relevant to the Church.

The Papal Nuncio said that what the Church wants is the right to spiritual freedom. If they are to co-operate with the State in any other areas, it will be that of education.

3. VENEZUELA CENTER - The Venezuelans want to open a cultural center here. It will operate on guidelines of a co-ordinating committee, made up of representatives from the Ministry of Culture here and the Director of the Center. It was noted that the Director of the Centre will be a very key/important person.

The location for the center was already sited - in the Grand Anse area.

4. INTELLECTUALS CONFERENCE - The Intellectuals Conference will take place from 18 - 20 November; Bloody Sunday will be commemorated on November 21 and the Education is Production Too conference will start on November 22nd. November 7 is to be named National Cultural Day and the local committee of intellectuals will be named on that day too, including Don Rojas, Jacob Ross, Merle Hodge, etc.

5. MARK NURVNE/DAWN FELOTNE - They want to organise a 'Private Meeting with the Prime Minister' on 6 - 13 February, 1983. The theme will be 'Another Development in Grenada'. The topics will include that of 1) Habitat, and Food, 2) Peoples Participation, 3) Economic Development, 4) International Affairs.

It is suggested that the persons taking part in the meeting arrive in Grenada four or five days before the start of the meeting and go to Carriacou (Camp Carriacou) where it will be held.

The NWO delegation came to the PB meeting that morning to discuss the Problems of women party members as put forward in their document to the PB. The delegation comprised Sisters Phyllis Cord, Claudette Pitt and Rita Joseph. They described their concerns as:

1. Tremendous overwork and tiredness of women Party members
2. Financial problems of women party members

The PB, in response, made the following points, apart from others:

- the three points are very broad and important and the PB shares the concerns
- financial problems are also experienced by men
- the dedication committee will give consideration to the suggestion that the women question be studied by the Party.
- CC Sub-Committee looking at the internal state of the Party which will include this
- CC looking at the personal problems of Party comrades regularly on their pre-
scheduled agenda
- there should be somebody at the Secretariat level, that comrades can check on their
  personal problems (suggestion by the women's committee)
- we must see the woman question as one of the contradictions within the society and
  must see the problems of women globally
- study must also look at family education (both men and women)

In conclusion, the PB made the following three important general points:-

1. a) Need to see this as part of the objective state of development of both
   the Party and the society.
   b) Historic attitudes - lack of material resources (day care centres, preprimary
      schools, etc.)
   c) The Party has not fully addressed the woman question, although it has been
      an issue of concern.

2. For all of us (men) we have shown a lack of concern and support for the women we
   have a relationship with. (This is not to excuse women for what they do to earn
   disrespect).

3. The level of human commitment. There is an attitude among women members to
   laziness, mask for excuses, ill discipline.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
WEDNESDAY 29TH SEPTEMBER, 1982

Comrades present:-
Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Kenrick Radix
George Louison
Hudson Austin

Comrades absent:-
Bernard Coard
Unison Whiteman

AGENDA:-
1. Letters to T-Manley, Hander, Lamb, Belfontan
2. Ambassador to the Vatican/Structures re Church
3. Analysis of regional situation
4. Comrades for key positions
5. Militia preparation
6. Robert Vesco
7. Leadership’s plane
8. Human Rights Conference Speech
9. Intellectuals Conference
10. Stamp Duty
11. Black Caucus
12. WFDY Conference
13. Land for new Cuban Embassy
14. Soviet Housing

1. Letter to Manley - re NJM’s membership in the Socialist International. The draft was read out, few changes made and Comrade Bishop delegated the task to finalise it. The letters to Hammer, Lamb and Belfontan was not dealt with.

4. Comrades for key positions - Among the comrades needed for key positions are:- TFG, RFC, FWI, CPE Chief, NACDA, YEP Chief, National Fisheries Company, Political Organiser - Armed Forces. However, these were not decided on.

5. Militia preparation - the proposal is to change the day for Militia training. The proposed change is from every Wednesday to the first Wednesday in every month, with regular weekend/periodic training and once a year training for 7 days of 15 days.

The proposed change will require that a law be passed in order for members of militia who are employed to get the necessary time off to attend the trainings. Each worker is to be allowed a maximum of 15 days.

7. The draft of the speech to be delivered at the Human Rights Conference in New York by Sister Creft was considered too historical by the Comrade Leader. The task was given to Comrade Kamau McBarnette to do another draft.

11. The Black Caucus in the US have said that they do not yet invited to any Caribbean activities; as such, they suggested that they be invited to be observers at the upcoming Caricom Heads of Meeting. However, this was decided against...
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
WEDNESDAY 27th October, 1982

Comrades present:-

Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison
Hudson Austin
Unison Whiteman
Liam James
Ewart Layne

Comrades Absent:-

Bernard Coard
Chalkie Ventour - unwell

The Comrade Leader and Chairman of the Political Bureau, before the start of the meeting, extended a warm welcome to the new members of the Political Bureau - Liam James, Ewart Layne and Chalkie Ventour. He noted that it was the first meeting of the Politibureau since these comrades were made members. He said that the PB looks forward to the contributions of these comrades.

Agenda: A pre set agenda. for the PB/EB meetings up until 22nd December (for the next 9 meetings) was drafted/presented to the Bureau by the Comrade Leader. Each member was given a copy. The agenda will follow this format:

1. Minutes - 5 minutes
2. Correspondence - 15 "
3. Items for decisions - 85 "
4. PB/EB consultation on Item 5 - 15 "
5. Discussion on one of the "Areas of Work" - 120 "

240 "

Item 1 - Minutes - There were no minutes

Item 2 - Correspondence

2.1 Letter from Wayne Sandiford - To be dealt with at Wednesday, 3rd November meeting.

2.2 Telex from the Korean Embassy in Guyana - They sent a telex to Foreign Affairs re an incident over dinner with their Ambassador about payment of a tick for Comrade Strachan to travel return to Korea.

Response: Reply telex to indicate:
- no demand or attack was made as claimed
- the matter was raised by the Chief of Protocol, not by the Minister, but in his presence.

2.3 Letter from Brazil - Comrade Bishop to follow up on this through his Permanent Secretary - Benny Langrine.
2.4 Letter from Arthur Heckles - Has confirmed willingness to accept the job as General Manager of the National Insurance Scheme. PB agrees to him having the job in principle once okay with Comrade Coard.

2.5 Letter from Lybian People's Bureau - They are requesting our assistance in contacting seven of the regional Parties to come here for follow up discussions held in Lybia with these parties earlier in this year. These parties are:
   i. Progressive Labour Party - St. Lucia
   ii. Antigua Liberation Movement - Antigua
   iii. Dominica Liberation Movement - Dominica
   iv. People's National Party - Jamaica
   v. Progressive People's Movement - Trinidad
   vi. United People's Movement - St. Vincent
   vii. Movement for National Liberation - Barbados

This was agreed to by the PB.

2.6 Letter from Islamic Foundation - They are requesting that the main route to the International Airport be called "Palesteine Drive." Reply to be sent - a committee will look at the entire naming of the area. Comrade Strachan to follow up on this.

2.7 Letter from American Friends Service Committee - Comrade Strachan received a letter from Ethrie Martin re an idea of a Summer Camp exchange idea with Grenada. PB's response - Comrade Strachan to study the proposal, then report to PB on it before a decision is taken. "to deadline set.

2.8 Letter from Overseas Press Club of Puerto Rico - They have invited Comrade Bishon to be the main speaker at their lunch and meeting on January 13, 1983. PB's response - Benny Langidge to respond to letter stating the Comrade Leader's inability to accept the offer.

2.9 Letter from Brian Murphy - He has sent a package of newspaper clippings from March 14 1979 to June 29, 1982 on the Grenada Revolution from the leading newspapers in circulation in the United States. Response/Action - Comrade Strachan to reply to the letter and also to get copies of the clippings to all PB members; to the Media Centre, Foreign Affairs. Gail Rizo should be asked to do an analysis of the document. 

2.10 Letter from MUAC - Somebody is being requested to attend the MUAC Caribbean Information Centre sponsored two-day conference on Information, Colonialism, Underdevelopment and Development in the Caribbean Region." PB's response - OC to decide on representatives.

2.10 Communication from Richard Jacobs - Request re provision of jobs for a few displaced PLO persons. PB's response - should accept between 5 - 10; Comrade Louison to follow up on this.

Item 3 - Items for Decisions

2.1 November Activities - Many activities will be held this month: zonal council meetings, women parish council meetings, special village meetings leading up to Bloody Sunday Rally, conferences, etc. PB's response - the Secretariat to assign speakers to the many activities using the GB, plus NWO leadership, NYO leadership.
WC leadership, Comrades Norris Bain and Sydney Ambrose.

2.2 March 13, 1983 - Comrade Strachan wanted to know at what level will the celebrations be held next year. The guest of honor to be Sam Nujoma and a El Salvador representative to be invited, but a back-up for the guest of honor to be also decided on. It was decided that a lot of people will have to be brought out but that ways and means will have to be found to cut down on expenditure. PB's tentative decision - The budget should be within a $500,000 range.

2.3 15 Comrades for Soviet Union - 15 Party comrades will leave for the Soviet Union on a one year political training; Sister Rita Joseph will lead the group. They leave on Saturday 6 November.

2.4 Regional Youth Seminar
2.5 Party Headquarters
2.6 Intellectuals Conference
2.7 Education Is Production Too Conference
2.8 Ben Roberts' Land
2.9 Lybian Anniversary
2.0 Meeting with Bob Pastor - The Comrade Leader met with Bob Pastor, a close associate of the US Administration - they discussed US-Grenada relations; the outcome is that both sides (Grenada and the US) will cease all denunciations of each other for a period until he has been able to speak to the Administration. Their response to come to us through Ambassador Williams in Washington.

Item 5 - Discussion on the Regional International Situation - The paper presented on this topic and written by Comrade Winston Devi was discussed and briefly commented on. Comrades added these points (among others not recorded):

- we must see the region as part of the international scenario
- imperialism is on the offensive
- imperialism has recently got some "blows" - forced to cool down
- Europe is seeing the resurgence of the Social Democratic movement
- we must consider what assistance we can give the liberation movements in the region experiencing major internal problems (these points above were made by Comrade Whiteman in his short introduction to the document)
- the document is good, but lacks any information on the attitude of the masses in the region to the Grenada Revolution

Some time was spent on discussion on the situation in Guyana:

- three wings in the PNC (i. left opportunists; ii. nationalists/racists; iii. corrupt/facists/rightists
- the main obstacle is the subjective factor - not fully developed
- OECs is more important to Grenada objectively than CARICOM

PB's response/action:

1. A Secretariat to be set up in Butler House for the upcoming CARICOM and OECs meetings. Secretariat to comprise: Dave Bodoo, Claremont Kirton, Dennis Dewar, Lyden Ramdhany, Paul Coulon, Wayne Sandiford, Ashley Taylor, Winston Davis
Under-Development and Development in the Caribbean Region."

8. Cde. Leader to follow up on letter from BGF through his Permanent Secretary. (Reg: Benny instructions)

9. Party Secretariat to assign Party leadership and other decided Party leaders to November Activities.

[Signature]

[Initials or code for CARICOM]
Jimmy Emmanuel, Veronica Regis and typists/secreteries. Also Nelson Louison. They are to be supervised by Comrade George Louison and to be based in Butler House for the week or two.

2. A document "Human Rights in Grenada" is also to be prepared with the assistance of Ron Green who is to be called into Grenada, with help from Chris Searle who will be here.

3. During the activities of November 7 (specifically Harryshow Day) the Comrade Leader will make a main presentation/speech on the question of regional integration/regional unity, etc.

2. 10 of Correspondence (communication from Richard Jacob) 
   Invitation for Athie Martin to attend the 60th anniversary of the USSR. Comrade Strachan to attend in principle.

Tasks Arising:

1. Cde. Strachan to reply to the Islamic Foundation on their proposal to name the main route to the International Airport - Palestine Drive. Responses committee being set up to look at names for that general area; idea a good one.


3. Comrade Strachan to study proposal from Athie Martin of EFSC for the Summer Camp with Grenada idea, then report to PB on it before responding to Athie Martin.

4. Comrade Strachan to get copies of the newspaper clippings from Brian Murphy for all PB members; one to go to the Media Centre, another to Foreign Affairs. Gail Rizo to do possible analysis.

5. Reply to telex from the Korean Embassy in Guyana re incident over dinner about payment of ticket for Cde. Strachan to travel return to Korea. Respond:--
   - no demand or attack was made as claimed
   - the matter was raised by the Chief of Protocol, not by the Minister, but in his presence.

6. Cde. Louison to respond to information from our Embassy in the Soviet Union re providing jobs for a few PLO displaced persons.

7. The QC to decide on representative to attend the NJAC-CIC sponsored two-day conference on "Information, Colonialism, ..."
Agenda:

1. Minutes
2. Correspondence
3. Items for decisions
4. PB Consultation on Item 5
5. Discussion on one of the "Areas of Work" - Workers

1. MINUTES

1.1 Corrections

i) Ben Roberts' land - discussed; PB approved to put it to Cabinet. Issue - the seizure of his land. (See page 3).

ii) Pg. 3 "briefly commented" re discussion on the regional/international situation, should be "analysed in detail".

iii) Decision re Cde. Strachan to attend 60th anniversary of the USSR in December was not "in principle" but was definite. (See page 4).

iv) Pastor instead of "passer". (See page 3). "Period" to be "10 days after his return to the US, ending approximately mid-November.

v) Decision to establish Secretariat of OLES/Carico document preparation to have been listed as a task. This to be supervised by Cde. Louison. Task accomplished.

1.2 TASKS ARISING

i) Reply to Islamic Foundation: verbal reply given by Cde. Strachan, but committee not set up as yet.

ii) Letter to be sent to Beany Langansie - accomplished.

iii) Cde. Strachan to study proposal re Summer Camp (Athie Martin). Done.

Report: This has been held in other countries before - Mexico, Cuba; will involve ten persons from the US and Grenada each and five each from the Caribbean and Latin America. The objective - "Building Bridges"; to learn about Grenada and what is happening here. Idea agreed to in principle.
iv) J.c. totally completed; has to be distributed - i.e. distribution of newsclippings to PB members.

v) Reply to telex from the Korean Embassy in Guyana - not known whether it was done. Foreign Affairs to be checked on this.

vi) Cdo. Louison to reply to our Embassy in Moscow re providing jobs for a few displaced FLQ persons. This not done - deadline next Wednesday.

vii) CC to decide on representative for the NJAC-CIC sponsored conference on information...etc. Not done - now irrelevant as date already passed.

viii) Response to letter from Brazil re attending parliamentary conference. Given to Benny for action. (Cf. (vii))

ix) Party Secretariat to assign leadership to speak at the mass activities Done. Few changes were made and may be necessary from time to time.

x) Cdo. Louison to set up and supervise the Secretariat for preparation for the OEC and CARICOM meetings.

2. CORRESPONDENCE

2.1 Letter from the NEC: Given to Cde. Strachan will respond and follow up.

2.2 Letter from Wayne Handford: Asking to be relieved of having to repay monies given to him by default (while in Canada study he received a stipend, while his salary was being paid in Grenada). Response: money must be paid at a rate of $50 monthly from the end of November.

2.3 Memorandum from the DEPR: requesting our co-operation in giving support to them on the issue of South Korea and the way it is being used by the US imperialism. Response: decide to give "wide publicity". Cde. Strachan to follow up on this.

2.4 Letter from UNGA re the formation of Health Brigades. Response: copies to be circulated to all PB members for discussion on Wednesday 10th.

2.5 Letter from Conference of Churches of Grenada re a visiting delegation from the World Conference of Churches - they will hold a public meeting at Highrise School on Saturday night (6th) and will be available to meet with Government Ministers of Monday 8th November. Response - Cdes. Whitman and St. Bernard to meet with the delegation.

3. ITEM'S FOR DECISIONS

3.1 Matters Arising from 2-day CG meeting: A Special Party CG to be held on Saturday 13th November, 4.00 p.m. for Members and Candidate Members; then on Saturday 20th for Applicants. This is for the purpose of reporting to the membership on an extra-ordinary Central Committee Meeting held recently. (Cf. (viii))

3.2 Soviet Proposals - not discussed.

3.3 Upcoming National Meetings - OEC and CARICOM: Comrades were briefed on the preparations being made for the upcoming meetings and the issues to be raised at them both bilaterally and unilaterally relating to areas of economic assistance/cooperation, ports, Culture, etc. The delegations to these meetings will be high powered, including Comrades Bishop, Louison and Whitman. The OAS and CARICOM meetings are also being held around the same time.

3.4 Prisoners/Stainesses: Some are to be released - Cde. St. Bernard to check with the Party comrades in the respective areas on the suggested names, then to report on it. (Cf. (vii))

3.5 Line of March Decision: Candidate Members and Members to study Line of March (as presented by Comrade Leader on September 13th) on 11th and 12th December, from 9.00 a.m. - 3.00 p.m. each day (if two days are needed). Applicants' own will be done separately. Cde. Louison to identify additional reading material for use in studying the Line of March.
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3.6 The Dome - Not discussed

3.7 PB Pre-Set Agenda Change - Not discussed.

3.8 Regional Youth Seminar - This seminar is to be held at Pope Paul’s Centre, 21 - 22 November, with 35 participants from 14 countries. It is being financed by two church groups of North America and the topics down for discussion include:

- Can Christian Principles Affect Development Issues?
- Christianity: Principles of Hope
- How Do Economic Approaches Affect Development?
- What Political Approaches are necessary for Development?
- Is There A Need For A New International Information Order?
- The Grenada Revolution - Its Effect on Development In The Region.

The Seminar will end on 28th November, but will also hear reports from the countries represented, including Haiti. The choice was given to Comrade Bishop to whatever way possible for him - either have an informal “rap” with the participants or to formally close the Seminar. The latter was chosen.

3.9 Party Headquarters - Not discussed.

3.0 Puerto Rico Fishermen - Not discussed.

PB CONSULTATION ON ITEM 5: Not relevant - document distributed to comrades.

DISCUSSION ON ONE OF THE "AREAS OF WORK" - WORKERS WORK: This was not discussed, because of the limitations of time. However, it is to be discussed along with the Present Political Situation on Wednesday 10th November. On the question of the Present Political Situation, Cde. Layne is to prepare an introduction to the discussion on this topic.

NB: It should be noted that under No. ix of Tasks Arising, it was announced by Cde. Strabhan that 108 work centre meetings will participate in on the spot work centre meetings. 408 meetings will not be held, because some will be held in clusters.

ITEMS FOR DECISIONS THAT WERE NOT DISCUSSED:

- The Dome
- Puerto Rico Fishermen
- PB Pre-Set Agenda Change
- Party Headquarters

SOVIET PROPOSAL

1. Security Joint November

2. Proposals

- W.I.T.H. Sports Council (Bendell’s proposal)
- I.S.D. Budget

3. Proposals

- 1952 Health Proposal
- I.C.A.S. Conference

TAM Econ. DEm. InF. Ed.
DECISIONS ARISING FROM THE PB MEETING OF WEDNESDAY 3RD NOVEMBER, 1982 (From Vol.)

1. Minutes of the PB to be structured along the lines of the CC minutes.
2. Agreement in principle to the idea of the proposal of the work camp as put by Athie Martin.
3. Comrade Leader to address the closing of the Youth Seminar at Pope Paul's Centre on November 28th.
4. Comrade Strachan to respond to MSC letter sent to the Comrade Leader.
5. Money outstanding by Wayne Sandiford to be repaid at £50 monthly.
6. A sub-Committee of Comrades Louison, Bishop and Strachan to look at Decision 16 of the Draft CC Resolution on the for C.C. Meeting on Fri. RegToy.
7. Special Party GH's to be held on Saturday 13 and 20 November respectively (for GH's and L's, then Applicants) to report on an Extra-Ordinary Meeting of the Central Committee that was held recently.
8. The Comrade Leader will do the presentation at these GH's.
9. All PB comrades are to make notes on the issue to be discussed at the GH; these notes are to be presented to the Comrade Leader by Monday 8th November, in order for him to start making notes on the presentation.
10. Party members to discuss the Line of March as presented by the CC in September, during the weekend of December 11th and 12th (using one or two days as necessary).
11. Tightening of the Line of March to be done by November 11th.
12. Comrade Layne to prepare opening presentation on the present political situation for discussion on Wednesday 10th November.
13. NWO's proposal re Health Brigade to be distributed to all PB comrades, and discussed on Wednesday 10th November.
14. All relevant comrades to be informed re their relevant area of work to be discussed by the PB on the relevant date. The report they are to prepare to be submitted to PB members one week in advance of the scheduled date for discussion.
15. Comrades St. Bernard and Whaite to meet with the delegation from the World Council of Churches during their visit to Grenada, on Monday 8th November.
16. Comrade Louison to identify additional reading for comrades to use relevant to the line of March in order to assist them in the study of the document.
17. Our response to request for action re South/north Korea issue - from the Central Committee of the DPRK - and the aggression of the US to be to give wide publicity to this action.
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1. Basal to check Benny re reply to two letters sent to the Comrade Leader (i.e. from Puerto Rico Press Club and ii. re a Parliamentary Conference in Brazil)

2. Copies of newssheets still to be distributed to FE comrades, Foreign Affairs, etc. (Task outstanding from meeting of 27/10/82.)

3. Reply to Korean Embassy in Guyana - telex to be sent. (Task outstanding from meeting of 27/10/82.)

4. Cde. Strahan to follow up on decision re giving wide publicity to the South/North Korea issue and the aggression of the US.

5. Basal to:
   5.1 Distribute copies of NWG Health Brigade proposal to FE comrades for discussion on Wednesday, 10th.
   5.2 Inform relevant comrades of their report to be sent to FB one week in advance of the scheduled date for discussion on the PB agenda.

6. The CC to follow up on decisions to:
   (i) Hold Special GK re Extra Ordinary Central Committee Meeting.
   (ii) Weekend Kenebe ship study on the Line of March.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING 17th November, 1982

Comrades present:-
Selwyn Strachan
Ewart Layne
Hudson Austin
Chalkie Ventour
Ivan James
Hudson Austin

Agenda:-
1. Minutes
2. Correspondence
3. Items for Decisions:-
   i) Welcome Ceremony for the Comrade Leader
   ii) Blood Sunday Programme
   iii)SA/T's Constitutional Amendment
   iv) MNC Health Brigue Report
   v) Bed Cross Visit Proposals
   vi) Delegate for FES Conference
   vii) Report from Cde. Austin on visit to Cuba
   viii) Present Political Situation

4. PB Consultation on Youth Report
5. Discussion on Youth Work

1. MINUTES

1.1 Corrections
   i) Cde. Ventour reported present, was in fact absent.
   ii) Decision to open a cinema that will show Soviet films and a bookstore
       that will sell Soviet books, not "Soviet Cinema" and "Soviet Bookstore".
   iii) Issue re procedural steps to be taken before serious disciplinary measures
       including expulsion are taken against party comrades - Cde. Layne to have
       done a research and report to the PB.
   iv) Issue re Armed forces - on full alert, not "semi alert".
   v) Reported discussion/decision re Brezhnev's funeral wrongly reported.
      To be fully deleted. Discussion/decision took place at PB meeting on
      Friday, 12th.
   vi) Re USSR report "they raised the .....", instead of "they rose the...
   vii) Decision was not taken to reply to Gemma's letter.

1.2 Tasks
   i) Cde. Strachan re Gemma's issue: Disciplinary Committee has not met
      as yet; meeting scheduled for Friday 17th.
   ii) Ongoing: Done and YFR
   iii) Not done. Cde. Strachan himself has been trying to sit on top
       the propaganda himself.
   iv) Done. Suriname said they do not think this is the best time for ages
to visit there. He will go to West Germany and contact them from there. According to Sisir Creft, he raised with her, him taking up permanent residence in Germany.

v) Done
vi) Not completed. Will be done for 24/1/62
vii) Not done yet.
viii) No report. Cde. Whitman absent from meeting.
ix) Has been taking place - ongoing.

1.5 Decisions
1) CC should have been directed to follow up on decision that fundraising/propaganda work on the building of the Party's Headquarters needs to be improved/increased.

2. Correspondence
2.1 Letter from the PPS: they requested PB representation at all their parish celebrations to commemorate/celebrate International Students' Day. The following allocation has been done:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Church</th>
<th>Cde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Andrew's</td>
<td>Cde. Hudson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Patrick's</td>
<td>Cde. Lenz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. David's</td>
<td>Cde. Charlie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George's</td>
<td>Cde. Selwyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John's</td>
<td>Cde. Dent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Marks</td>
<td>Cde. Hunt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Letter from Jacqueline Creft. She has sent in her letter of immediate resignation from the Party and has requested that a Minister of Education be identified by December to replace her. Decision: She is to be persuaded to stay on for a while until a replacement is identified.

3. Notes for Decisions:
3.1 Welcome for the Comrade Leader: It is extremely important to have a big welcome for the Comrade Leader, on his return from the Colonial Heads of Government Meeting. To this end, the masses from St. Patrick's and St. Andrew's have been organised. It is to go to the ceremony. The CC/Party/EFG/Cabinet members to be mobilised to go to the airport for this purpose also. Includes: 21-gun salute, Guard of Honour.

3.2 CHEP's Constitutional Amendment: The proposals for the amendments are to be studied by Cdes. Ventour, Strachan and Bishop.

3.3 Sunday Programme: The programme is to basically include the following:

- Culture ("Armed Forces Band, etc.) from 1.00 p.m.
- Solidarity messages (mass organisations, etc.) from 1.30 p.m.
- Speeches by foreign guests: 2.00 p.m. (Michael Hanley, Bellafoonte, Lemming)
- Chairing to be done by Fitzie (first half of the programme), then by Cde. Strachan
- Cde. Leaders speech to begin at 3.30 - 4.00
3.6 Report from Cde. Austin on visit to Cuba:

- visit to Cuba was to discuss:-
  i) Airport
  ii) Satellite Dish
  iii) Party Headquarters

on the Airport:-
- main thing is the terminal building, an additional 5,000 square meters
  was agreed to for this purpose;
- the VIP area will also have a Conference room and a Dining room which Cuba
  will furnish
- terminal building will "air tight" by August 1952.
- in principle, Cuba has already agreed to furnish the "Airport"
- the generating station to be completed by March 1953; IRS station will also
  be completed by that time
- we will have to supply the fittings for the Fuel Depot (the list of necessary
  suppliers will be here soon)
- the Fuel Depot must be completed by 1963
- the hill behind the terminal building which was causing some concern,
  will be able to be easily without affecting the Terminal
- the runway will be finished by 1963
- Cuba has agreed to send 100 men to help finish most aspects of the Airport
  in 1963 and they will then help with other construction projects

on the Satellite Dish:-
- Cuba will send an expert next month to look at whether the Satellite Dish
  will be able to carry out the role/function of Cable and wireless or whether
  we will need to keep them
- the Soviets will be giving us the Dish with experts from Cuba, giving us
  assistance

Other matters:-
- spoke with the Minister of Transport re the AW26 plane for the leadership
- the parts will come from the Soviet Union and Cuban experts will assemble
  it in Cuba
- Cuba say that we should get the S.U. to train our pilots; Cuban only up-
  grade pilots
- Cuba will give us the technicians for the plane
- the possibility of using the plane on a commercial basis between here
  and Cuba was also discussed

Cde. Austin stated that he will prepare a more detailed report on the
discussions held for the PB. He also stated that Dr. Clark hand raised
the point that he was not receiving information from Foreign Affairs.
Cde. Clarkson is also complaining of having no work to do. Cde. McPhail has
also complained that many times comrades come to Cuba, stay at the house
and do not assist financially; that instead of using their advance to pay
for accommodation in a hotel, they stay with the comrades and use the money to purchase other things.

Items 4 and 5 were not dealt with because of the limitations in time. The meeting ended at about 10:00 a.m. in order for comrades to go to address the different ISD rallies throughout the country.

DECISIONS:

1. PB comrades' addresses to the different ISD rallies to address the issues of the Caricom Heads of Government meeting, the theme as decided by the ISC ("Students' Action for Peace, Friendship and Solidarity), Brezhnev's role in supplying for World peace, to be addressed in this context. There should be one minute's silence observed for the martyrs and the death of Leonid Brezhnev.

2. All CC/Party/Cabinet/PRG members to be at Pearl's Airport on Friday afternoon to welcome the Comrade Leader back from the Caricom Heads of Government meeting.

3. Efforts should be made to identify an appropriate Minister of Education to replace Sister Creft. In the meantime, she is to be persuaded to stay on still.

4. Proposals for amendments to BWU's Constitution to be studied by Cdes. Ventour, Strachan, and Bishop.

5. PB needs to decide on a separate meeting for the purpose of "catching up" with the pre-scheduled agenda items under "areas of work". They are:

   (i) Work among the Working Class
   (ii) Present Political Situation
   (iii) Youth work

Tasks:

1. Cde. Strachan to speak with Sister Creft re her remaining as Minister of Education for a while until someone else can be identified.

2. Cdes. Bishop, Strachan and Ventour to study proposed amendments to BWU's Constitution.

3. Organising Committee to follow up on decision re fundraising/propaganda for Party Headquarters building.

4. Cde. Layne to report on research re procedural steps to be taken for disciplinary measures.

5. Cde. Ventour to complete additional material on the report of the workers work.

6. Cde. Whiteman to respond to CCG re the radio release over ".time - Haiti - meeting with the WCC delegation.
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MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
WEDNESDAY, 22ND DECEMBER, 1982

Comrades present:-

Maurice Bishop : 8.10 (with excuse)
George Lewis : 7.50 *
Unison Whiteman : 7.55
Hudson Austin : 6.01 *
Ewart Layne : 6.03

(*) Left 8.40 to attend meeting with Cosplam)

Comrades absent:-

Liam James
Selwyn Strachan (away)
Chalkie Ventour

Agenda:

1. Minutes

2. Correspondence
   2.1 Report from Cde. Carmanall re Line of March
   2.2 Letter from Bernad Bourne re Richard Jacobs
   2.3 Report from Jackson
   2.4 Letter from Bogo re Teacher/Typist
   2.5 = = re Division of Labour of Embassy Staff
   2.6 = = re Consular Affairs
   2.7 Suriname Report
   2.8 Report on meetings held in London recently by Cde. Whiteman

3. Items for decisions:
   3.1 Nicaragua SI Meeting
   3.2 Vatican Ambassador
   3.3 Caricom/CES Report
   3.4 IICA Situation
   3.5 Opening/Opening of Florida School
   3.6 UWI Work Shop
   3.7 Wesley College Plan
   3.8 George Lewis and Intellectual Workers Secretariat
   3.9 Christmas/New Year Speeches (Rev to DC)
   3.0 Approval for Expenditure of roads (January - March 1983)
   3.10 Visits in 1983
   3.11 Don Nujas Visit to Angola
   3.12 Special Party £ in January
   3.13 New Buses ---
   3.14 Directive to Workers Committee re new Contracts
   3.15 Elaboration of Wages Policy
   3.16 Agricultural re-organisation
   3.17 Security Measures - Christmas/New Years
   3.18 Timing of request for diplomatic Assistance

4. PB Consultation on Item 5

5. Areas of Work:-
   5.1 Youth Work
   5.2 Workers Work
      i. Report from PWU Sub-Committee
      ii. Dock Workers (Co-ordination)
      iii. Central Directive to all Party Work Committees re Workers Work
      iv. Assigning PB Comrades to the five areas of workers work as decided

2/...

DOCUMENT 86
86 - 1
1. MINUTES

1.1 Corrections

i. Total detainees re release - 53; 21 from Hope Vale and 32 from Richmond Hill.

ii. Pg. 2 should read "Cde. Whiteman to withdraw invitation extended by Cde. Taylor to visit Grenada to the Inter-American Commission of Human Rights, using as basis, Grenada's desire for legal submission to be fully considered first."

1.2 Tasks

i. Done. Letter of response and thanks to be sent.

ii. Done.

iii. Decision recorded.

iv. Decsima to deliver note to relevant person.

v. Merle Hedge and Carroll Davis to be Lecturers/Professors at the University; Hilda Roberts, Margaret Francis, Keith Jeremiah, Sugges Ferguson to attend the University for the Journalism Course.

vi. Done. Lyden asked to contact Memes, etc.

vii. Kurleigh King out at the time; Person at the Caricom Secretariat read out the text of the resolution; promised to mail the documents to us.

viii. Done; Cdes. Str chan and Cout to raise matter in SU with Richard and Bourne.

ix. Done; Meeting held, pamphlet to go out by today.

x. Being implemented.

xi. Cde. Whiteman met with IICA representative who came from Barbados.

xii. Not done.

xiii. Lyden and Icine met with Keith Roberts; telex sent to St. Lucia; Jane Belfon going as emmissary tomorrow (23rd December)

xiv. Cde. Layne to follow up on these (measures to be implemented as a result of the Egmont Harbour incident).

2. CORRESPONDENCE

2.1 Report from Cde. Cornwall re Line of March: Suggestions and comments on the Line of March were received from members and candidate members in Cuba. This is to be reproduced and distributed for consideration to CC and Education Committee members. Response to be sent to the comrades, acknowledging receipt of the document and the Party's appreciation for the obvious hard work and thought put into the document.

2.2 Letter from Fernand Bourne re Richard Jacobs: Enquiring about his brother's health.

2.3 Report from Clarkson: Cde. Bishop reported that he had received a 9-page from James Clarkson on the situation in Central America.

2.4 Letter from Bogo re Teacher/Typist (to replace Val); Cde. Cornwall has proposed that a teacher/typist be sent to Cuba from Grenada to do Val's teaching and assisting with the typing. It was decided that Christine Clarkson should be made to assist with the typing presently done by Val. Cde. Whiteman to send reply to Cde. Cornwall.

2.5 Letter from Bogo re division of labour of Embassy Staff: Proposals received from Bogo re division of labour of embassy staff:

Deputy Head of Mission; in charge of Consular Affairs: Cde. James Clarkson

2nd Secretary; in charge of Trade, Accomodations: Cde. Don McPhail

Student's work; personal problems, etc.: Cde. Val Cornwall

...
It was also stated that all comrades of the Embassy staff are responsible each for monitoring/events/developments in different areas of the world and that daily morning meetings are held to co-ordinate and share information gathered.

Cde. Whiteman is to respond to Cde. Cornwall re proposed structuring of division of labour, stating PB's approval in principle and Cde. Layne to send letter of authorisation to the military in Cuba stating Cde. Cornwall's powers to deal with military matters on Grenada's behalf.

2.6 Letter from Bogo re Consular Affairs: Cde. James Larkson is now in charge of Consular Affairs including all immigration/passport/visa arrangements in place of Cde. Don McPhail, Cde. Whiteman to inform Immigration Department accordingly.

2.7 Suriname Report: Cde. Layne gave the Bureau a very brief report on the situation in Suriname based on a word of mouth report he himself had received from Cde. Rupert Hoopnarine. The situation there was described as "serious" with clear evidence of the involvement of the Dutch, British Canadian and American; inability to work with the masses was also noted.

Cde. Hoopnarine was due to attend PB at 1.30 p.m. for the purpose of a more detailed report.

2.8 Report on meetings held recently in London with Cde. Whiteman: He tabled his brief report on meetings held while in London during the period of 29th November to 1st December, 1982.

3. ITEMS FOR DECISIONS:

3.1 Nicaragua SI Meeting: A meeting of progressive parties within the (Cuba, Nicaragua, Jamaica, IICA, Grenada and Hector O'Queli) to be held in Nicaragua from January 9th, 1983 to discuss joint strategy for the SI Congress to be held in Australia in 1983. Cde. Chris DeRiggs to attend on Grenada's behalf.

3.2 Vatican Ambassador: Cde. Hopkins noted that it must be ensured that an Ambassador to the Vatican is appointed/accredited in the person of Jimmy Emmanuel.

3.3 Caricom/OECS Reports: These are still outstanding.

3.4 IICA Situation: Cde. Noel (BGWU) met with the IICA representative from Barbados who came to discuss the IICA issue. The former told him of the Union's position, that:

i. Franka should not return to Grenada
ii. The two sisters that were fired should be rehired.

The IICA representative stated agreement with No. i, but says it means that it will take some time to get cheques signed as a result of this.

PB decided that the BGWU (Cde. Noel) to write directly to the Director-General of IICA stating the present situation, giving the background and asking for his personal intervention in the matter. In the meantime, the normal industrial procedures are to continue - Ministry of Labour, etc.

According to Cde. Whiteman IICA says they will be willing to recognise the union and rehire the two workers.
3.5 Opening/Naming of Florida School: New primary school to be opened in Florida - to be named the "Demo Grant School".

3.6 UWI Work Plan: A few changes and inclusions were made to the draft UWI Work Plan as prepared by Carrol Davis and submitted to the PB, but it was agreed to in principle by the Bureau. Cde. Bishop is to raise with Carrol Davis in this regard.

3.7 Wesley College Plan: A draft plan for dealing with the Wesley College issue was submitted, PB decided on the following to deal with the issue:

1) Amend the Education Act to enable the Minister of Education to re-locate schools.
2} Use the new powers to re-locate the Wesley College to the Park.
3) Start meeting with the PTA Executive.
4) Start repairs and logistic arrangements for relocation of the school.
5) Make the school government-owned.

3.8 v) Make a 11-page report on the present state of the youth work presented to the PB by the Youth Committee. Much of the time during the meeting was spent reading and clarifying the document, with Cde. Tan Bartholomew present during that time.

Cde. Bartholomew was criticised for not carrying out his role as Secretary of Sports by visiting and being present at sporting activities and discussing with the different sporting associations in the country.

At the end, the Penthouse requested that Cde. Bartholomew return to the PB meeting on January 5th, 1983 between 11.00 a.m. and 12.00 noon to discuss the report in more detail. He is to prepare a list of all the sporting associations and a plan to take them over and to also prepare a work plan for culture which should be discussed with Cde. Jackie Crefet.

Decisions/Tasks:

1. Suggestions/comments from Members and Candidate Members in Cuba re Line of March to be reproduced and circulated to CC and Education Committee members.
2. Cde. Whiteman to do the following:
   2.1 Reply to Bogo re: Christine Warkson to assist with typing.
   2.2 Inform Immigration Department re Cde. Clarkson in charge of Consular Affairs - passports, visas, etc. (To be closely coordinated letter).
3. Ambassador to the Vatican to be accredited.
4. Cde. Noel to send letter to Director-General of IICA re issue here.
5. New Florida School to be called "Demo Grant School".
7. Decision on Wesley College (See above, 3.7; Nos. 1 - v).
8. Reply to be sent to comrades in Cuba re suggestions on Line of March.
9. Cde. Layne to send letter to Cde. O'callaghan's authority to deal with military matters on Grenad's behalf.
10. Cde. Layne to follow up on decisions taken as a result of the Egmont Harbour incident, i.e. obtaining list of prohibited ports, putting up "IYA" OF BOUNDS sign, training new officers more carefully; careful instructions to the comrades
11. Cde. DeRigge to attend SI meeting in Nicaragua from January 5th.
12. Plan for Wesley College issue as decided. (See measures on page 4; No. 3.7)
13. Cde. Tan Bartholomew to return for more discussion on the Youth work, January 5th with a list of all sporting associations, plan to take them over and a work plan for culture discussed with Sister Crest.

Outstanding Agenda Items:

Items for decisions: Nos 3.6 to 3.18 (See page 1)
Areas of Work:

1. Report from PWU Sub-Committee
2. Dock Workers
3. Central Directive to all Party Work Committees Re: Workers Work
4. Assigning 13 comrades to the five areas of Workers Work as decided.
5. Directive to New Committees
6. Workers Policy
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING
SUNDAY, 29TH DECEMBER, 1982

Comrades present:
Maurice Bishop
George Louisen
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Hudson Austin
Bruce Layne

7.56
7.50
7.59
7.55

Comrades absent:
Linda James
Charlie Ventour away

Agenda:
1. Minutes
2. Correspondence:
   2.1 Communist Party of Cuba on Ocmo
   2.2 Ralph Consalves
   2.3 Denmark-Grenada friendship Society
   2.4 Message from H-19, Columbia
   2.5 Suriname
   2.6 Compton's Cable — Caricom/Canada
   2.7 Meetings of 24th and 30th December
   2.8 Outstanding plans/Budgets/TMS
   2.9 House of Commons Report on Grenada
   2.10 60th Anniversary Report: Cde. Strachan
   2.11 Letter from Fennie Augustine
   2.12 Report from Alva James

3. Items for decisions:
   3.1 Red Cross Proposal
   3.2 Proposed Non-Aligned Meeting in Grenada
   3.3 Rev. Letson/Rev. Rock
   3.4 Friday's Economic Bureau Meeting
   3.5 George Lamming and Intellectual Workers Secretariat
   3.6 Christmas/New Year Speeches
   3.7 Approval for Expenditure on roads (January - March 1983)
   3.8 Visits in 1983
   3.9 Don Rojas' trip to Angola
   3.0 Special Party Gr. in January
   3.1 New buses (....)
   3.11 Agricultural re-organisation
   3.12 Security Measures — Christmas/New Years
   3.13 Timing of requests for diplomatic assistance

4. PB Consultation on Item 5

5. Areas of work:
   5.1 Workers work:
      i. Report from FWU Sub-Committee
      ii. Dock Workers
      iii. Central Directive to all work Committees re Workers work
      iv. Assigning PB Comrades to 4 new areas of Workers work as decided
      v. Directive to Workers Committee re new contracts
      vi. Elaboration of wages policy

---

---
1. MINUTES
1.1 Corrections:
   i. Pg. 3; 3.1 should read "progressive parties within SI within the region"; and should include Chile- Aniceto- rule and state El Salvador after the name Hector O'Queli.
   ii. re IICA issue, should read "require one of the two workers".
   iii. Pg. 2; 2.2 Bourne-Richard, should state letter dealt with outstanding issue and Cde. Streethan and Ventour to deal with issue while in the S.U.
   iv. re discussion on the Youth work, Cde. Bartholomew to also prepare a check list of all playing fields and other projects to be undertaken by the FYO in 1983 when he returns for discussion on January 5th.

1.2 Tasks:
   i. Not done.
   ii. Done; letter to be sent on Friday 31st; Immigration orally informed; letter to follow.
   iii. No reply received on this as yet.
   iv. Cde. Noel notified of decision; not sure if implemented. Decision also included Cde. Noel to pursue normal industrial procedure - Ministry of Labour, etc.
   v. Ongoing.
   vi. Report to be made on "Wednesday 15th January.
   vii. Original deadline impossible; actual relocation unlikely to take place before end of January.
   viii. Not done.
   ix. Not done.
   x. Cde. Lewis spoke to Keith Roberts; important to note/mention the question of co-ordination of channels on the radio.
   xi. Informed of decision; Cde. Dubiggs to meet with Cde. Hiteman re briefing.
   xii. "Agition of task no. 11.
   xiii. Task to include No. iv of 1.1 above.

1.3 Tasks not mentioned:
   i. Cde. Hiteman to have called in Cde. Matthew Williams re security reports on Cde. Campbell of the Grenada Venezuela Mission. Message was sent to Cde. Williams to come to Grenada.

1.4 Other:
   i. The PB decided that given the importance of Cde. Farquharson in getting the party's paper off the ground away, he should not attend the Journalism Course in San Francisco as decided at last PB meeting.

2. CORRESPONDENCE:
2.1 Communist Party of Cuba on Demo: A condoleance message from the Central Committee of the Communist Party of Cuba on the death of "Gacacemo" Grant; message to be aired on NJG.
2.2 Ralph Gonsalves: He wrote on behalf of the movement for National Unity (the newly formed Party in St. Vincent) expressing the desire to establish "special links with NUN and to come to Grenada for that purpose and to discuss the events in St. Vincent. Attached was a copy of the MUN's programme and press releases re his "break" with the UFL.
Cde. Streethan is to contact Cde. Gonsalves asking him to come in as he requested.
2.3 Denmark-Venezuela Friendship Society: They have requested that Government change the sentence of death against the four terrorists to that of life imprisonment. The letter was originally sent to Cde. Bishop and copied to Cde. Lambert, Cde. Bishop to reply stating that their concerns have been noted; the court passed sentence; GIS was reporting the news the government has not taken a position on the issue; that ordinary criminals who were sentenced to death before and after the revolution for ordinary crimes have had their sentences changed to life imprisonment.
2.4 Message from M-19, Columbia: The 8th National Conference of the M-19 of Columbia sent greetings to the NRM and stated their desire to develop best possible links with our Party. No reply to be sent; letter to be filed.

2.5 Suriname: A report from Cde. Nelson Louison on his visit to Suriname was received and noted by the Bureau.

2.6 Cremona's Cable - Caricom/Canada: A Trudea's proposed Caricom/Canada/Commonwealth meeting is planned for 20-21 February, 1983, in St. Lucia. Grenada: to respond saying the dates are alright, but delegation to attend has not been decided upon as yet.

2.7 Meetings of 24th and 30th December: Meeting of 24th December to have drawn up guidelines for a system to daily monitor the performances of the state and Para statal enterprises - Cde. Louison to have chaired the meeting. The meeting did come off, but Cde. Louison was absent because of the Gosplan session.

Meeting of 30th December of state enterprises comrades from the relevant Ministries - that of Planning and Finance to meet together with Cde. Coit. Cde. Isyone to be in attendance.

2.8 Outstanding Plans/Budget/TBS: List of Ministries and departments who have not submitted either their plan, budget, or TBS or all of these.

The relevant responsible PB comrades were asked to ensure those outstanding documents are submitted to the relevant Ministry.


2.10 Soviet State 60th Anniversary Celebration Report: Cde. Strachan reported on his recent visit to the Soviet Union to participate in the celebration marking the 60th anniversary of the Soviet State:

- occasion was tremendously successful and highly organized
- main activity was the joint sitting of the CPSU, Supreme Soviet, Russian Federation; session lasted two days
- 140 foreign delegations; 51 sat on the Presidium (including NRM)
- the entire socialist community was present at the level of leadership
- Andropov's presentation of one hour was superb/very firm and very well received - tremendous applause by the foreign section
- short addresses were made by the Secretaries-General of the 15 different republics - all their speeches shown a deep love for the Russian people
- a moving speech was made by a 82-year old Communist who was present at the first Congress called to discuss the formation/establishment of the Soviet State (1922)
- many of the delegations that did not speak at the joint session, spoke at factories; Grenada's presentation was well received

- meetings held with our Party activists there - they are in a good mood; not clear whether ita, etc. will return in June or later
- meeting held with Cdes. Einstein Louison and Cecil Prime - they have settled down; suggested that other comrades coming for the five-months course be of a high political level
- meeting held with Cde. Cheyne - looked at the implementation of the Party-to-Party agreement; Cde. Louison to follow up of delivering the leadership's plans - check written agreement; material assistance to be delivered by March 1983; large PA system to arrive before March (in time for the 6th anniversary celebration); introduced the idea of the Party bookstore and independent cinema - was agreed to and enthused by the idea; inter-Party exchanges were discussed - delegation of CPSU comrades led by a CC comrade to come in February; in May or June a Party delegation from NRM to go up; letters from Comrade Leader to Cdes. Tikonov and Andropov were formally delivered at the meeting

4/...
Grenada to prepare article for June Wall issue; statellite dish deleguton arriving in March; East Port delegation arriving 14th January

- Richard Bourne issue - meeting held jointly with the two parties; tension still exists; each comrade was asked to make presentation on the problem(s) and suggestions for corrective measures; Bourne listed 30 lies/distortions against himself made by Richard; were told by Cde. Strachan that their actions have been damaging to the image of the Party and Revolution, that they were not acting as Party comrades, their behaviour is petty bourgeois in nature; told them each of their strengths and weaknesses; at end of the meeting the following nine points were agreed upon, "To achieve functional co-operation and unity":-

   i. Cde. Jacobs accepts there was no accusation of corruption against himself; sign.
   ii. Both comrades to struggle hard to end written and unspoken polemic;
   iii. Both comrades accept complete honesty and respect;
   iv. Principle of criticism and self-criticism to be adhered to;
   v. Party's commitment to wide participation to be instituted;
   vi. Collective leadership; overall leader to be recognized;
   vii. Financial controls;
   viii. Embassy to operate on the basis of work Plan
   ix. Monthly reports on the implementation of the above to be sent to the C.C. and copied to the Chairman of the CC.

2.11 Letter from Fennis Augustine; Letter from the NNC re assistance; agreed to.
2.12 Report from Alva James: Cde. James made this points to Cde. Whiteman recently:
   - 1983 will be rougher (economically speaking) year than 1982
   - wide feeling in St. David's that "Morgan" is involved in stealing
   - re the Grand Ecolot issue - the feeling is that the Government should take control of it, but that the debt owed to NCB be paid off;
   - problem of prodeal larceny
   - feeling that Chase has gotten close to Kerch - Nutmeg Association Board of Directors
   - should review profit sharing issue

2.13 Request from Cubana: Cde. Austin reported on a letter he received from Cde. Cornwall which stated that in the latter's conversation with the Director of Cubana in Cuba re lower air fares for students returning home on vacation, he (the Director) expressed a request for special refueling concessions to be granted to them upon the completion of the International airport - they will bring their fuel and have it stored here.

Our official response to them is that the request is to be studied. Also Cde. Cornwall is to tactfully find out the real source of the request.

Cde. Austin to reply to Cde. Cornwall's letter.
3. ITEMS FOR DECISIONS:

3.1 Red Cross Proposal: The regional Red Cross representative was recently on a visit here and according to Cde. Whiteman, the former has explained a service made available by the association. That is, they can be asked to visit our prisons (must be all the prisoners in their normal environment - cell), and then the option is for them to publish a report or can informally let people know that the prisoners are alright.

It was decided against accepting the offer at this time.

3.2 Proposed Non-Aligned Meeting in Grenada: At the last Non-Aligned Meeting, it was decided to set up a group/committee of experts to look at small island states; the Cubans want to know whether the PB can host the meeting - they will be financing it. This was agreed to by the PB, but it was noted that this should be co-ordinated with the Ministry of Planning as there is an upcoming conference to be held also on the same issue - small island states.

3.3 Rev. Letton/Rev. Lock: The methodist priest, Rev. Letton was served a deportation order on Thursday 23rd December to his refusal to perform service for both Cdes. Gradsen Grant and T.A. Harrybow. As a result, Ricky Singh called the Comrade Leader on behalf of the new General Secretary of the CCC, Letter to be sent to Rev. Taylor (Methodist Superintendent for the region), copied to Rev. Lock (Sub Superintendent) and Kirton, (the new General Secretary - CCC outlining the background to Rev. Letton's deportation order and stating that the action/events were regarded as consistent hostility and contempt for the State.

3.4 Friday's Economic Bureau Meeting: Agenda to include:

i. Agriculture Reorganisation
ii. MINB
iii. New taxes
iv. 1983 budget/Plan
v. Tourism Proposal
vi. Housing Report

5. AREAS OF WORK:

5.1 Workers Work:

i. FWU Sub-Committee report: This dealt with the plan by the committee for the upcoming elections next year; the report to complete report/analysis

ii. Dock workers: Copies of their Constitution received, but no analysis; Cde. Sawney to be contacted re analysis of present SWU executive and proposals for work in the Union.

iii. Central Directive - It was the general feeling that all work committees already knew of the priority being placed on the workers work as stated in the line of March and that most draft work plans of committees were already submitted to the Organising Committee.

iv. Assignment of PB comrades:... Not discussed.

6/...
16. Cde. Valentine to follow up on delivering of leadership plans from the S.D. to the ALG. (Cde. Ainsworth to attend December 9th meeting with State ministers, Ministry of Planning, Finance, etc. for collection and distribution of these plans.)

17. CoP to offer membership to the new Union, Fishing, Finance, etc.

18. AGRICULTURE: Maintain, to follow up on delivering of leadership plans from the S.D. to the ALG. (Cde. Ainsworth to attend December 9th meeting with State ministers, Ministry of Planning, Finance, etc. for collection and distribution of these plans.)

20. Cde. Ainsworth to attend December 9th meeting with State ministers, Ministry of Planning, Finance, etc. for collection and distribution of leadership plans from the S.D. to the ALG.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING

TUESDAY 5th JANUARY, 1983

Comrades present:

Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Liam James
Hudsoon Austin
Ewart Layne
George Louison

Chalkie Ventour 1 away

Agenda:

1. Minutes
2. Correspondence:
   2.1 GDR re banabas
   2.2 NJM - Washington
   2.3 SI invitation
   2.4 Cde. Ventour
   2.5 Denis Renwick
3. Items for decision:
   3.1 Venezuela Houses
   3.2 March 13 Proposal
   3.3 House in Carriacou - Military Compound
   3.4 PB Work Plan
   3.5 Archdeacon Huggins
   3.6 Cyril/Wememela
   3.7 Devil Besouza
   3.8 Stanley Roberts
   3.9 PB/CC Assignment - Armed Forces Week Activities
   3.10 PB/EB Pre-Scheduled Agenda: January - March, 1983
   3.11 Review of Past Pre-Scheduled Agenda
   3.12 PB Report to CC (clerk)
   3.13 George Lamming and Intellectual Workers Secretariat
   3.14 Visits in 1985
   3.15 Don Rojas' Trip to Angola
   3.16 Special Party NW in January
   3.17 New Buses
   3.18 Timing of requests for Diplomatic Assistance
4. PB Consultation on Item 5

Areas of Work:
5.1 Workers Work:
   - Socialism Classes
   - Workers Research
   - Social Rep.
   - Industrial Rep.
   - II. Building for WC.
5.2 Youth Work

For 1984:

Education

MINUTES

Corrections:

2. (a) pg. 6  "the economy is expected"; should read "2 percent growth in the economy in 1982."
   (b) re elaboration of wages policy; should read "wages increase running at 17 percent an against 6.2 percent inflation."
   (c) should also read "wages policy how being studied by Ministry of Planning so that the PB can await results."

(document 88)
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of their technical findings; matter to come back to PB on Wednesday 26th January, 1983.

iii. pg. 4 re Grand Bacolet issue, it should include "feeling is that NCB should take control of Grand Bacolet".

iv. pg. 5, re "Ed Cross; not for them to publish, but Grenada's option to publish the report in full.

v. (a) re Non-Aligned meeting in Grenada, "Grenada to host meeting", the Cubans will help with the financing.

(b) re Ministry of Planning upcoming conference, should read "as there may be an upcoming conference...

vi. re Rev. Lettson, not "deportation order" but "vocation of work permit order to take effect within two weeks".

1.2 Tasks:

i. Done

ii. No reply yet - re accreditation of Ambassador to the Vatican

iii. Done, written report to be sent by Carrol Davis; appointment of emissaries - a problem

iv. Done.

v. Cde. Layne to write formal note.

vi. Done.

vii. Done; will come to Grenada about 27th January.

viii. Talks given to Nelson Louison.


x. Done.

xi. Cede.

xii. Done; no reply as yet.

xiii. Co-ordination has started.

xiv. Done.

xv. Done.

xvi. Agreement not yet signed.

xvii. Done.

xviii. Done.

2. CORRESPONDENCE:

2.1 GDR re Bananas: Great has written to Windban stating that they have received a request from the GDR to transport the bananas they have ordered from Grenada.

Cde. Louison is to point out in Grenada that the banana industry in the Windward Islands is only viable with Grenada's input.

2.2 NJM - Washington: A sympathy card on Cacademo's death was received from Party and Party Support Group members in Washington, D.C. Message to be sent to RFG.

2.3 SI Invitation: SI Congress being held 7 - 10 April in Sydney, Australia; Congress theme "World in Crisis: Socialist Response. NM delegates: Chris Diggins, Lindwaill Purcell, Caldwell Taylor (possibly) and for tactically reasons, Cde. Maurice Bishop's name to be included on the registration form enclosed.

2.4 Cde. Ventour: Telex received stating that a new health problem has been identified in Cde. Ventour and so he will not be going
the Black Sea as planned, but instead will have to go into Hospital.

2.5 Denis Kenrick: This comrade has reported fall and broke his neck, he is said to be paralysed. WC is requesting that PB/leading Party comrades visit him in hospital. Agreed.

3. ITEMS FOR DECISIONS:

3.1 Venezuela Houses: House near Personal Security Unit reportedly bought by the Venezuela Embassy, said by Paul Scoon, Cde. Bishop to speak to the latter, expressing Government's intention to buy the said building. Arrangement to be made for the purchase of the house.

3.2 March 13 Proposal: Proposal to have a much "scaled down" 4th anniversary celebrations. The last of the three options in the written 2-page proposal submitted by Cde. Strachan was agreed to by the PB. Cde. Strachan to hold a Press Conference in this regard. 14 instead of 21 rallys (mini) to be held.

3.3 House in Carricou - Military Compound: Efforts to be made to have this house be bought by the Military; house situated in strategic position to the camp there.

3.4 PB Work Plan: Not discussed.

3.5 Archdeacon Eugene: Archdeacon Eugene is reported to have made recent anti-FGB and anti-Revolution sermons in the Church at the Christmas and New Year's Church services. It was suggested that somebody close to the Anglican Church be called in and spoken to about the matter, who will in turn speak to the Archdeacon. Cde. Whitesman to follow up on this.

3.6 Cyrus/Venezuela: Cde. Whitesman to call in the Venezuelan Ambassador.

3.7 "Devil" Desolza: (*)

(*) Cyrus travelling on a Venezuelan passport.

3.8 Stanley Roberts: 

3.9 PB/CC Assignment: 

3.10 PB/EE Pre-Scheduled Agenda: Not discussed.

3.11 Review of last Pre-Scheduled Agenda: This was not discussed.

3.12 PB Report to CC: 

3.13 George Morrow and Intellectual Workers Secretariat: This Secretariat is to be in Grenada - meeting in regard to have been held this week. It was noted that offices are needed for the following also:

- Grenada Peace Council
- Grenada-Caribbean IGO Office
- Grenada Committee for Friendship with the Peoples
- Grenada Human Rights Council
- National Science and Technology Center

It was suggested that when the students from Wesley College on Lucas Street are relocated, that the building be used for housing CPE and the present CPE office be used for housing the above-mentioned offices.

However, Cde. Strachan stated that if any building for office space is to be identified, that it be used for the Workers Committee to use as their Union offices.

It was decided that Regina Taylor make attempts to identify money to purchase "Altar Inn" which can be used for either one of these purposes. The PB is also to keep looking for this purpose.
...The 2nd Conference on National Sovereignty, to be held in Grenada on 16 - 20 June; theme "Food, Science, Technology"; 40 participants; hoping to get Alistair McIntyre and William Demas; Regional Planning Committee to meet 29 - 30 January.

3.14 Visits in 1983: The following countries were looked at for possible visits during this year both by the Comrade Leader (in some instances) and the Foreign Minister alone (in other cases):

1. Iran, Iraq, Algeria: Funds, oil
2. Yugoslavia, Korea: Tourism possibilities
3. Romania
4. Angola, Tanzania, Zambia: Funds, oil products
5. Kuwait, Saudi Arabia
6. Hungary, Czechoslovakia
7. Sweden
8. Argentina, Brazil, Columbia
9. Austria, Switzerland

It was also noted that these meetings will be held this year:

- Caricom Heads of Government
- Non-Aligned
- Commonwealth

Cde. Whitman stated that the Government of Kampuchea has invited Comrades Bishop and Whitman to visit their country between 1 - 3 March (just before the Non-Aligned Meeting) in place of their Foreign Minister visiting Grenada in January as was previously planned. It was agreed that if agreed to, Vietnam and Laos can be visited around the same time. The visit to Kampuchea was agreed to by the FB.

3.15 Don Hosie's Trip to Angola: This comrade is going to Angola as the Caribbean IOJ Representative; he is to carry with his letter to Santos from the Comrade Leader inviting him to come to Grenada.

Cde. Whitman to co-ordinate this.

3.16 Special Party Ct. in January: This Ct will be held to discuss the economy - budget/plan etc. and for "pushing the Party on the economy". Date: 31st January, 5.00 p.m.

3.17 New Buses: Theft is reported to be taking place on the NTS buses by the conductors. Twelve new buses are being ordered to add to the present amount. It was recommended that a new system of payment be used to ensure the minimum of theft of funds - installing a slit for payment of fares. Cde. Louison is to contact the firm to make the necessary changes on six of the 12 buses.

3.18 Timing of requests for diplomatic assistance: Not discussed.

3.19 Cacadeno Grant: Cde. Louison explained that there is a problem existing over the land ownership on which Cacadeno's house was built. Cde. Louison to contact Fred Grant re Government's intention to use the area.

4. AREAS OF WORK:
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5/...
5.1 Workers Work:

Socialism Classes: Cde. Valdon Boldeau to assign PB/CC comrades to visit the Socialism Classes for the period up to the end of March. This to be done in time for the PB/Socialism Tutors meeting on Thursday, 6th January.

It was the feeling/concensus that the new year address by the Comrade Leader be used first as the material for the classes and then to return to the notes on the economy.

Also to be discussed at the Thursday meeting - package of measures re emulation at the workplaces.

PB Meeting with WC: Decision to hold meetings every six weeks with the Workers Committee and the CPE (separately) to review the progress being made in this two areas of work given the priority for this year; and as one way to ensure the leadership supervises this priority.

The first meeting is on February 9th: PB and WC from 10.00 - 12.00 noon and PB and CPE (plus Didos and Jackie) from 1.00 - 3.00 p.m. and continuing every six weeks thereafter.

Building for WC: This was more or less discussed under item 3.13. The Workers Committee (especially comrades in BCWU and CWU) have expressed the deep need for a building to house their offices, as the present one is too small.

5.2 Youth Work: Cde. Tan Bartholomew, Lorriane F SX and Chris Brown came to discuss the youth work. A 6-page report on this was previously prepared and distributed to PB comrades. The document was discussed by the PB and the following was decided:

i. NYO to arrange priorities of matters raised in the document.

ii. Arrange the schedule for objectives in the document.

iii. Identify, within 48 hours, a Director of Sports to replace Blandell.

iv. Cde. Louison to investigate the possibility of getting a transactor from the S.U. to assist with the building of NYO hard courts.

The NYO comrades were told of two houses in the St. Paul's area that can be used as a Pioneer Camp as requested/suggested by them.

Cde. Tan Bartholomew to obtain draft laws/statutes on the ESO and work out a strategy for working with the middle ground in the area of sports. Regular meetings should also be held with the sporting teams and the Sports Department. A careful study of the constitutions of the sporting associations and strategy and tactics for capturing them. It was suggested that the emphasis be on cheap sports so as to encourage mass participation in sporting activities - e.g. Volleyball, etc.

6/...
Cde. Layne to write a formal note to the Military in Cuba re Cde. Cornwall's authorisation to deal with military natter on Grenada's half. (Task/natter outstanding).

Cde. Louison to follow up on bananw issue.

HJM to Washington message re Cacodemo to be ent to n*v.

Cdes. Chris DeRiggs, Lindwall Pufcell, Caldwell lfeylor (possibly) to attend Si Congress — Sydney Cde. Leader's name to be included on the registration form.

EB/leading Party oomrades to Deni* enwick who is in hospital.

Cde. Strachan to hold press conference — re decision to have a different March 13 this year.

The military to purchase house in Carriacou nee: to the military' compound.

Cde. Whiteman to follow up on Archdeacon Huggins issue. (Whiteman to call in the Venezuelan Ambassador rd Cvera-)

Cde. PB to keep looking for a tual Workers, etc. and o$e Cde. He

Letter to be sent off to Sir of the twelve new NTS.

Separate meetings to be held every six weeks with the WC and CPE.

First meeting to be held on 31st January, 1986. Six of the twelve new NTS to be made 1-3 March by Cde. Layne with the WC and CPE.

Decisions from discussion on fourth work record, page 5 (see 5.2).
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU MEETING  
WEDNESDAY 12th JANUARY, 1983

Comrades present—
Maurice Bishop  
Selwyn Strochan  
George Louison  
Ewart Layne  
Ivor Austin

Agenda—
1. Minutes
2. Correspondence/Reports—
   2.1 Cde. Ventour
   2.2 Letter from Kai Schnuphals
   2.3 " Canasave
   2.4 Letters/reports from Cde. Cornwall
   2.5 Security Report
3. Items for Decisions—
   3.1 UK Festival Committee Request
   3.2 Car for GES Manager
   3.3 Carlson/Canada Meeting
   3.4 Resolution on Agriculture
   3.5 PB/EB Agenda
   3.6 Port Juedy (Medical School)
   3.7 Devil Desouza
   3.8 Stanley Roberts
   3.9 PB Report to CC
   3.10 Theme for March 13th
   3.11 Director of Sports
4. Areas of Work—
   4.1 Education Report
   4.2 Workers—
      a. Socialism Classes — Explanatory Document
      b. SWM Report
      c. Workers Committee Report

1. MINUTES
1.1 Corrections—
   1. Pg. 2 re Geest Imanas — Cde. Louison to point out to the Minister
      of Agriculture in St. Vincent, Grenada's intention to continue with
      Geest.
   2. Pg. 3 re office space needed, includes:
      a. Caribbean Education — Production Conference Secretariat
      b. Grenada Committee of Intellectuals
   3. Pg. 4 re visit to Kampuchea — to be made by Cde. Whiteman.
1.2 Tasks—
   1. Tasks not completed — letter to be redone.
   2. Done; to be followed up by a letter.
   3. Done.
   4. Ongoing; Form Seen.
v. Done; visited by Cde. Austin and Strachan.
vi. Done.
vii. Ongoing; message sent to George Prime to follow up.
viii. Cde. Whitman left the country; Cde. Louison then asked to follow up; not done.
ix. Not done.
x. Ongoing.
x. Cde. Louison spoke to Squires; said that the building is being worked on to start Guest House.
xii. Ongoing.
xiii. New rearrangement of dates, but from 1,000 - 10,100 p.m.
xiv. To be done.
xv. Being done - ongoing.
xvi. Done.
xvii. Ongoing.
xviii. Ongoing; dates rearranged.

February, 9th
March, 30th
May, 11th
June, 22nd

xix. Rearrangement of priorities: time schedule for objectives.

2. CORRE PON DENCE 

2.1 Cde. Ventour: Cde. Bishop reported that Cde. Ventour's mother rang him concerned about Cde. Ventour's health. Cde. Layne to contact Keith Roberts re tolex that should have been sent off in this regard and to follow up.

2.2 Letter from Kai Schoephil: Letter sent to the Comrade Leader stating that despite many requests to get involved in some work in Grenada, he has not being invited to even speak at public occasions - only two exceptions to this; has been promised to help in some work - but never materialised; was told by a US citizen that the PRG does not trust him. Decisions:

i. Dén Rojas to be checked re his background.
ii. Cde. Miguel to be asked to check the EPSA University.
iii. CSUSA/ Phil. share - check.
iv. Cde. Strachan to meet with him, discuss the progress being made on his book and assign him to speak at some zonal council meetings.
v. Cde. Layne to co-ordinate follow up on this and item to return to PB agenda in two weeks.

2.3 Letter/Report from Cde. Cornwall: Cde. Strachan received this letter/balance report from Cde. Cornwall for the period September to December.

3/...
Cde. Cornwall stated the objectives of the missions and the outstanding problems being experienced as:-

i. Tendency to spontaneity
ii. Failure to publish an Embassy news. letter.
iii. Not being assertive enough in dealing with Cuban comrades.
iv. Financial difficulties.
v. Slow response from Grenada on urgent matters.
vi. Poor internal distribution system for mails.

Solutions to these problems were decided upon by the Embassy and stated in the letter. A list of 200 activities conducted by the Mission was attached.

2.5 Letter from Cde. Cornwall to Bde. Strachan:

Document on how Funduras is used by the US against Nicaragua.

Copies of Selected Speeches - Maurice Bishop: 20 copies to be sent on Saturday.

20 copies of “up to date Bishop’s pictures”.

Request for steelbeds to be sent to Cuba in March to be raised with Cde. Creft.

The PB noted that the Embassy has improved tremendously since Cde. Cornwall’s appointment to the post in the latter part of 1982. A letter commending this to him was sent to him.

3. Items for Decisions:

3.1 Items Nos. 3.1 to 3.4 were not discussed.

3.5 PB/EB Agenda: Cde. Bishop stated a few changes in the format for the PB/EB Pre-Schooled agenda; the agenda for January - March to be prepared for next week’s meeting.

3.6 Fort Juedy (Medical School): Not discussed.

3.7 Devi Desouza: Have left the country last Saturday; check to be made with Tom at the airport to re-confirm.

3.8 Stanley Roberts: Bde. Strachan reported that the WC expressed shock over his release from detention; they have reported the following:
   - Stanley stated he will organise bolder now
   - He is checking writers constantly (SWU), especially those won over by SWU Sub-committee.
   - He is about to start political education classes (his own)
   - The right wing has been much bolder now - they were also surprised of his release.
   - Eric Pierre has just returned from a 7-week tour of Israel, London, USA.

Decision - The Subcommittee to be asked for a written report.
3.9 PE Report to CC: Sub-committee of Cdes. Bishop, Louison and Strachan to meet on Thursday, 13th.
3.10 March 13th theme: Not discussed.
3.11 PE/CC Tables: Not discussed.
3.12 Director of Sports: Track from discussion on the Youth work; reported difficulty in identifying appropriate person. It was the general feeling that Cde. Tan will have to perform that function indefinitely until a suitable can be identified.
3.13 Doctor of PE/CC: Not discussed.
3.14 Review of last PE/CC Pre-Planned Agenda: To be discussed on 17th January.
3.15 Timing of request for diplomatic assistance: To be discussed on 19th January.

4. Areas of Work:
4.1 Education Report: Cdes. Croft and J.les were in attendance for discussion of their written report sent to the PB. The following were to be discussed, but only the first two were completed:
   i. CPE;
   ii. Community Centres;
   iii. Primary education;
   iv. Culture

1. CPE:
   o Committee of Cdes. Louison, Croft, Marie Clarke set up and meeting weekly.
   o Media committee set up and meeting fortnightly.
   o Meeting of CPE and PCC reps held; looked at mobilisation fortnight - 17th - 30th January; 22 - 25 January - days of intensive mobilisation.
   o National advisory Committee has been restuctured; now comprises TU reps, PCC's, Service Clubs.
   o Parish Committee restuctured - all TU's, mass organisations now represented.

6. New centres to be opened in February (some churches included); Cde. Croft to follow up with the church leaders.
   o CPE leadership seeks supervision; daily political guidance of the office staff is weak.
   o There is a surplus of CPE teachers.
   o Transport needs.
   o New propaganda work plan drawn up - to be discussed
   o Need for a full time person to handle CPE's propaganda.

11. Community Centres:
   (Decisions taken after discussion):
      contact ET re John Campbell - outstanding designs centres and proposal to send additional person
      track with "Solid" Archibald re possibility of full with the PCC specifically for community centres.
      to be built in Marquis, Manacaine, Duquesne and

There was a report on centres.
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This proposal was not decided upon definitely.

iii. This was not discussed.

4.2 Workers Work:

i. Socialism Classes: the schedule for visits to the classes by EL/CC was done, but did not take into account EL/CC meetings on Wednesday and Friday mornings and CC study on Thursdays. Thus, it is to be re-done.

ii. SWU report: Outstan9 n/g to be received from Cde. Sewnay - Sub-Committee plan/strategy for capturing the union.

iii. Workers Committee report

Cde. Strachan stated that the PWU Sub-Committee's present approach now is to strike an alliance with Basil Harford. They are concerned about putting Cde. Ferron low to work in the Ministry of National Mobilisation, which they realise as the Party's Ministry (the PWU) because he may lose the respect he has won in the Management Council.

DECISIONS/TASKS:

1. Cde. Lyson to follow up to meet issue with letter to St. Vincent's Minister of Agriculture.

2. Efforts to be made to purchase house in Carriacou next to Military compound - Cde. Lyne.

3. Cde. Louison to speak with Fred Grant re Cadence's house and land spot.

   (Task outstanding from 5th January)

4. Letter to be sent to the Military in # re Cde. Cornwall's authority to deal with military matters for Grenada. (Task outstanding).

5. Cde. Louison to follow up on Archdeacon Hugrins issue - task originally Cde. Whiteam's. (Task outstanding from 5th January)


7. Sports Director to be identified. (Tao)

8. Negotiations for purchase of Deallie's House in St. Paul's to start - matter to be brought to Cabinet. (Tao + Donald)

9. Trenchcavator/tractor with appropriate adjustments to be made available to the youth for work on the play fields.

10. Cde. Hayne to follow up on matters of Chalkie's health by his mother.

11. Cde. Layne to follow up on Schnophals issue - See page 1 for decisions.

12. Letter commending Cde. Cornwall re his reporting and performance of the Havana Embassy to be sent off. (Masad)

13. Document on Honduras to be circulated to P3 communes. (Masad)

14. Cde. Crefte to be checked on:

   i. request for steelband to go Cuba in March

   ii. April scientific conference in GMB

15. Cde. Crefte to be informed that he should meet with Canave.

6/...
16. PB/EC Pre-Scheduled agenda for January - March period to be presented on Wednesday 17th.
17. Check to be made with Ton - ascertain if "v1" Daouza left the country.
18. SWWU Sub-Committee to be asked for written report re Stanley Roberts.
19. Cde. Bishop, Louisen and Strachan to meet re PB report to CC.
20. Review of past IT/EB Preset agenda for discussion - Wednesday 17th.
22. Cde. Ckaff to follow up with Church Leaders re use of the churches as part of the new 48 CPS centres.
23. Decisions re Community Centres - (see page 4)
   i. Cde. Strachan to contact ILT
   ii. Cde. Ckaff to contact "Solid" Archibald
   iii. New community centres to be built.
24. Schedule of PB/CC visits to Socialist Classes to be redone.
25. Outstanding report from Cde. Sawney re SWWU Sub-Committee strategy to be sent to PB.
26. CC Quarterly review meeting 28th March - 1st April.
27. Main areas of work to be taken on PB/EB agendas before Items for decisions.

Items for Decisions to be discussed: 3. 3.2. 3.3. 3.4. 3.10. 3.11.
3.13. 3.14. 3.15.
**Minutes of the Political Bureau Meeting**  
**On Wednesday 23rd February, 1983**

Comrades present:-

Selwyn Strachan  
Liam James  
Evart Layne  
Hudson Austin  
George Louison

Comrades absent:-

Unison Whiteman (away)  
Chalkie Veitour  
Laurice Bishop - excused

**Agenda:-**

1. Minutes
2. Correspondence/Reports:-  
   2.1 New York Report  
   2.2 Cde. Austin's Report  
   2.3 Cde. Layne's Report  
   2.4 Michael Layley  
   2.5 Letter from Caldwell  
   2.6 Message from Cde. Bourne  
   2.7 National Conference of Delegates of Mass Organisations on the Economy
3. Main Areas of Work:-  
   3.1 State Propaganda  
   3.2 Farmers Work  
   3.3 State of the Party
4. Items for Decisions:-  
   4.1 Kenneth Noel  
   4.2 Kenrick Radix  
   4.3 Rose Henry  
   4.4 Venice Hutton  
   4.5 Gladwell/Dessima

---

**Minutes**

1.1 *Corrections:-*

i) Pg. 2 re A&J Conference minutes to include "Co-ordinating committee for the Conference to be headed by Dick Hart and include Allan Alexander, Langston Sibbles, Ashley Taylor. The Comrade Leader to follow up on this.

ii) Pg. 2, No. 2.7 re Denzil Wilks, minutes to include "Cde. Strachan to meet with him to discuss his work."

iii) Pg. 2, No. 2.13 re Chappell, minutes to be corrected...

---

1.2 *Tasks:-*

i) Not done

ii) Done; Leroy McMillan expelled from the party consequently.

iii) Ongoing; PB decided that the plane should be "packed up" until sale; in the meantime to be serviced and maintained; it is not to be used by any member of the leadership.

iv) Done; messages sent, but no reply as yet.

v) Done; issue to be dealt with at the upcoming National
Conference of Delegates of Mass Organisations on the Economy.

vi) Done; date changed to 17th March since then.

vii) Ongoing

viii) Ongoing

ix) Decision recorded.

10.1 Task to return to PB next week
10.2 Not started yet
10.3 Decision; not implemented yet
10.4 Done
10.5 (Now included) PB to get a report on the possibility of having more than two terms per year - next week.

x) Not done.
11.2 Started; ongoing
11.3 Ongoing;
11.4 Ongoing
11.5 Done

2. CORRESPONDENCE REPORTS:

2.1 New York Reports - Cde. James reported on his visit to Washington, D.C. and New York:

- the political situation and mood of the Grenadians is good - the best since the Revolution; mood is high despite the fact that no political work is being done amongst the Grenadians;
- reasons for the good mood:
  - visit of the National Performing Company in November
  - the large number of Grenadians who visited home and are still on a "high" from the visit
  - the World Bank report on the economy
  - recent good news reports in newspapers and magazines
- there are presently no rumours circulating; the "counters" are operating on a very low profile, but doing plenty travelling; (analysis is that they are planning to make a move)
- met with GRL and discussed their transformation into a mass organisation; they have agreed to this in principle and a work plan is been drawn up in this regard; they have stated improvement - have been studying consistently since July last year and have a work plan and work schedule; there is a serious problem between GRL and Cladwell; they (GRL) have lost respect for him, is called "timid" and "brambling"; he has continued to deteriorate ideologically (his preference for feature address at their March 13 celebrations is Edward Lamb over Harry Belafonte; GRL has raised possible purchase of a building in New York to assist them; a house has already been identified;
- held meeting with Tourist Office; they have reported a renewal of interest in Grenada; reasons been good news articles recently; average of 250 letters per month received by them on how to get to Grenada; there is also a big interest in
Carriacou and Camp Carriacou; this interest has to be 
exploded now; the tourist office needs to be strengthened  
to deal with this work;

2.2 

Cde. Roberts 
nounced to 

issues out of the report for PB decisions:-

1) House purchase proposal: agreed, Cde. Coard to 
   follow up to find the money required;
2) Possibility of establishing radio station: PB agrees 
   in principle, Cde. James to follow up through Miguel;
3) Party to decide/dicuss perspective for Grenadians 
   abroad; agreed.

2.2 Cde. Austin's Report:- Cde. Austin reported on his 
monthly meeting held with the Airport Management and 
the Ministry (himself and Cde. Prime):-
1) issue of theork: education classes raised by 
   Bob Evans and Della Rosa, saying that 30% more 
   work is expected this year and the classes will 
   affect this negatively as the classes staff and 
   end late, thus affecting production: Cdes. HA, 
   Vincent J.oe, Strachan, Layne and Airport Manage- 
   ment. Party support Group included if possible, 
   to meet to resolve this problem;
2) a mechanism needed for dealing with Plessy now 
   they are in Grenada; Cde. Prime to chair the 
   meetings held with them and Airport Management, 
   with a representative from the Ministry of Hanning;
3) hanger for executive plane to be sand blasted; 
   also the water tanks; however the only sand blas- 
   ster is in prison; he is to be put on payroll in 
   order to get the work done.

2.3 Cde. Layne's Report:- Re restricted ports and areas; 
area of Hog Island to be clarified; Cdes. Layne and 
Roberts to visit the area for clarification.

2.4 Michael 'anley:- Comrades were reminded of Manley's 
arrivals in Grenada today (reason for Comrade Leader's 
absence at meeting) and of his book launching tonight.

2.5 Letter from Gladwell: Not discussed.

2.6 Message from Cde. Bourne: Re a 2-man delegation from the 
CPSU arriving on 7 March for 10 days; draft programme 
being drawn up for them to meet with all committees of 
the Party, visit and observe Socialism classes (to be 
chosen carefully); they are to be accommodated in Groome's 
4/...
House": Cde. James to check Bernadette in this regard.

2.7 National conference of.....: Comrades were reminded of this upcoming conference on Thursday 24 February and to be punctual.

3. MAIN AREAS OF WORK:

3.1 State Propaganda: A 16-page report was submitted to the Bureau on the state propaganda and Cde. MaBarnette who apologised for the absence of Cdes. David and Smikle was present for the discussion on the report. The PB criticised the report and pointed out the following to "de. MaBarnette:-

- no strategic, policy issues; lacks a clear overall conception of the work and how it is to develop - in order to take policy decisions and give guidance to the work; it does not mention anything about propagandising the Line of March for the year - political education and academic education.

thus, the PB was not satisfied with the report.

It was also noted that report dealt with too many reports on personalities.

Cde. McBarntette stated that he was given some guidelines by the Comrade Leader which included:

- present situation in the media houses, difficulties, manpower, space, equipment;

Cde. McBarntette were given these further guidelines for including in the document to be done over:

- rationalisation of space, equipment etc.) possibilities; how to bring the working people into the media; conception on the regional media (which media house will do that); analysis/evaluation of present position re listenereship and readership.

Bearing the above in mind, the PB did not discuss this area of work and the document is to be done over, conscious of the new guidelines by Cde. McBarnette etc.

3.2 Farmers Work: A 5-page report with a document on the Education Programme for FPU as an appendix was presented to the PB with Cdes. Dhteldred Gittens, Chester Louison and Lucius Hastick were in attendance at the meeting.

The PB expressed total dissatisfaction with the content of the report, that the organisational questions as contained in the document, are for the consideration of the Organising Committee of the Party and not the PB; the PB is concerned with policy matters, key strategic questions and concerns; analysis of FPE etc.

The discussion on this area of work was postponed until further report - the comrades to do a draft for submission to Cde. Louison (the PB comrades for Farmers Work) by Saturday, 26 February; re-done report to be circulated to
the PB members by Monday 28th February for discussion on Wednesday, 2nd March.

3.3 **State of the Party**— Cde. Strachan apologised for the not preparing and the non-submission of a report; the item is to be discussed one week after the return of comrades' from the Non-Aligned Movement Conference in March.

4. **ITEMS FOR DECISIONS:**

- **Kenneth Noel**— Has returned from Study abroad; choice of employment is Foreign Affairs for GPC; he is to be placed in GPC and Cde. Nite man to be informed of decision.

- **Kenrick Radix**— Item put for discussion when the Comrade Leader is in attendance at meeting.

- **Rose Henry**— Has been unemployed for the last six months (since August of 1982) areas been sought for possible employment. She is to be placed in either Tourism, Information or in the YEP.

- **Vince Hutton**— Has raised with Cde. Strachan her problems re maintenance of her son because money cannot be received from his father, who is Cuban. She wants to know whether the Party will assist her in enabling the father to return to Grenada for a while to work. Cde. Strachan is to raise the issue with Cde. Gaston discreetly.

- **Caldwell/Dessima**— Cde. James raised that for these comrades' three months home assignment that a tight programme should be drawn up in this regard. Cde. James tasked to prepare and present a draft programme.

**DECIIONS TASKS:**

1. Grenada to re-establish relations with the VSO - cabinet to follow up with formalised agreement. (Task outstanding from meeting of 16/2/83)

2. Re PB/CPE discussion— (Outstanding from 16/2/83)

   - **Cde. Jules** to co-ordinate with Cde. Lacomblan re CPE incentives.
   - **CPE** to assist the PRA with commencement of secondary education in the armed forces.
   - **PB** to get report on possibility of having more than two terms per year.

3. Cde. Coard to identify money for the purchase of the building in New York for GRL.

4. Cde. James to follow up with Miguel re possibility of establishing a radio station in N.Y.

5. PB agrees in principle to discuss/decide on a perspective for Grenadians abroad.

6. Cdes. Strachan, Austin, Layne, Noel and Airport Site Party Support Group to meet to solve all problems related to the holding of the Worker Education classes.

7. Cdes. Layne and Roberts to visit Hog Island area re decision for part that is restricted.

8. Cde. James to check Bernadette re accommodating 2-man CPSU delegation for 10 day period.
9. Report on State propaganda to the PB to be re-done taking into consideration the guidelines and criticisms stating in the meeting.
10. Report on Farmers work to be re-done, with the guidelines/criticisms and to be circulated to the PB by Monday 28th February after been checked by Cde. Louison on Saturday, 26th February.
11. State of the Party to be discussed one week after the return of comrades' from the Non-Aligned Movement Conference.
12. Kenneth Noel to be placed to work in GFC and Cde. Whiteman to be informed of this decision.
13. Item for decision - Cde. K. Radix to be discussed at meeting with Cde. Bishop's presence.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU DATED

20TH APRIL, 1983

COMRADES PRESENT

Maurice Bishop
Unison Whitman
Selwyn Strachan

Ewart Layne
John Ventour

COMRADES ABSENT

Liam James - OUT OF THE COUNTRY
George Louison - EXCUSE

AGENDA

1. Minutes
2. Correspondence/Reports
3. W.C Work
4. Manouvere
5. Operative situation
6. Items for decision

(a) Protocol building
(b) U.I Festival
(c) Wesley College
(d) Acting Secretary for Information
(e) Ramon Codona
(f) Cde. Bizo
(g) W.P.J Matters
(h) Lenin's Birthday
(i) Cde. Leon Cornwall Letter
(j) 5Th Festival preparations
(k) C.C Plenary
(l) Cde. John Ventour

2. CORRESPONDENCE

- Governor General
- Surinam
- D.P.K.R Report

- C.P.E Report
- G.M Report
- Cde. Unison's Report

2/..................

DOCUMENT 91
91 - 1
1. **MINUTES**

**CORRECTIONS**

- Re C.C. Plenary it should have been Guidelines for reports should be prepared, instead of what was seen on page four under C.C. Plenary line 2.

- It should be decided on the different quarters of the year to hold C.C. Plenary. (Line 5 on page 4)

- Dates and deadlines should be set for preparation of such documents (line 7 on page 4)

- Instead of Airport Site on page 5 sub-heading no. 1 it should have been AIRPORT ACCESS ROAD

- Sub-heading number 2 should have been OTHER AIRPORT MATTERS (page 5)

- Sub-heading number 3 should have been OTHER MATTERS (page 5)

- Someone in the Ministry of Construction should be identified to work with Cuban Comrades.

- Cde. Selwyn Strachan to establish contact with Cde. Julien Rizo between Thursday and Friday re Finance and to meet with Plecy.

- A new design should be done re the Protocol Building. It was noted that the Protocol Building was too big. (Last paragraph on page 5)

**TASKS** - Omitted

- Cde. Selwyn Strachan to speak to Cde. Bernard Coard re the airport question

**REPORT ON TASKS**

- It was reported that Plecy and Metex and Grenadian Officials held meeting on Monday trying to coordinated and integrate their work programs.

- Cdes Evans and Smith will report on the results with Cdes Hudson Austin and Bernard Coard after the Cdes meet with both of them.

- Cuban architects to meet on Monday 25th April with Cdes Charlie Campbell Karva moor, with a view of doing new designs for the Community Centres (Belle Vue, Wester Hall and Concord).
2. **CORRESPONDENCE**

**LETTER SUBMITTED BY SISTER JACKIE CHEET RE GOVERNOR GENERAL P A U L S O O O N**

- The letter reflected a number of areas not notifying the appropriate bodies before doing such and the number of statements made in different activities which the Minister of Education was present.

- Some of the points highlighted by the Political Bureau are as follows:

  - He cannot contradict Government's line.
  - That there is a church impetus.
  - Whenever he is visiting school the Ministry of Education should be contacted before entering the schools.
  - Periodic sessions should be held with him so that he would be in line.

3. **WORKERS COMMITTEE**

- It was noted by Cde. John Ventour that the Ocles on Workers Committee cannot do the work.

- Plenty of time usually been spent on confusion.

- On Monday 18th when report at W.C meeting most of the tasks were not accomplished.

- The discipline problem is still there, no improvement.

- Oles on the Committee are demoralised.

**B.G.W.U**

- Nothing is happening in that Union.

**C.I.W.I**

- Cde. Winston Ledlow is ill

- Cde. Elliot Bishop is doing his beat in that union.

**P.W.U**

- Plans are on the way for their up coming elections and it appears that the Union stands a good chance.

- Cde. Ventour suggested a number of new names to work on W.C. the following are the names:

  - Teresa Stroud
  - San Brathwaite
  - Hugh Romain
  - Karen Leslie
  - Paye Thompson
  - Moses Jeffrey
  - Rudolph Ogilvie
  - Nq Lona Williams
  - Patrick Superville
  - Elson Louison
  - Ama Folkes
  - Claudette Pitt

**P.K.**

- Two

- Rocks - Good
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU

27th APRIL, 1983

COMRADES PRESENT

Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
George Louis
Unison Whiteman

Hudson Austin
Liam James
John Ventour
Rwairt Layne

AGENDA

1. Minutes
2. Correspondence/Report

ITEMS FOR DECISION

1. Cde. John Ventour
2. C.C. Flinmary
3. 5th Anniversary
4. Wesley College
5. Acting Secretary for Information
6. Shane Julien
7. U.K. Festival
8. May Day Labour
9. Emulation Documents
10. New York Trip
11. Caricom Plan of Action
12. Work Among Grenadian Overseas
13. C.P.E.
14. Protocol Building
15. Church

CORRESPONDENCE

1. I.L.O.

REPORTS

1. Suriname
2. Cde. Unison Whiteman
3. Cde. Caldwell Taylor
4. Cde. Bernard Bourne
5. Cde. Bernard Coard
1. **CORRESPONDENCE/Reports**

   Letter submitted by Cde. Leon Cornwall re the Afghanistan Revolution re an article to be published in the F.W.I and Party Paper.

   **DECISION** Cde. Ferron Lowe to do a draft and pass onto Cde. Selwyn Strachan.

2. Cathy Slone seeking employment in Grenada re the media. A Formal Letter should be done acknowledging receipt of her letter.

3. Letter sent to the Party from the Soviet Union re two auto buses and two ladders. It should be noted that invoices for the vehicles have already delivered.


**REPORT FROM CDE. LEON CORNWALL**

A report was submitted to the Political Bureau by Cde. Leon Cornwall re him being Grenada Ambassador in Cuba. Cde. Cornwall highlighted the following:

1. That the party forgets that there is an Embassy in Cuba.

2. F.W.I and Party Paper is scarce re not receiving it on a regular basis.

3. Whenever a delegation is coming to Cuba from Grenada never inform the embassy before. It is only when Cdes land he usually knows.

4. The following was disclosed by the Political Bureau:
   - The party attitude is quite sickening towards correspondence.
   - It is a historical fact that we have a poor attitude of keeping our Embassies in touch with the latest development in our country.
   - Lack of follow up work on key issues are failing.
   - It was stated that our Party has to work in regards to develop such an area.
   - That Cde. Leon Cornwall always send a constant flow of reports to our Party.

   Cde. Leon Cornwall should know of all our Party activities viewing that he is a Central Committee member, he should know of the constant development of our Party.
- That a policy decision should be taken when we are sending Cdes to Cuba noting Cde. Leon Cornwall position.

- Cdes ought to be specifically clear that Cde. Cornwall is a C.C member.

  Cde. Maurice Bishop noted:

- All letters should be replied to when sent to the Party and Ministries.

- That Cde. Unison Whiteman do a document for his staff on the Importance of Communication re our Embassies abroad.

- Ensuring that when Cdes write to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs/Party Headquarters a response is sent.

- A system should be put in place in the Party Headquarters to handle the constant flow of correspondence to Cde. Cornwall and other Cdes outside the country.

**SURINAME**

- It was reported by Cde. Liam James re the trip made by the Surinamese to Grenada to observe the Grenada National Manouver was quite successful. He noted that the Cdes left with high spirit.

- Appreciate the trip and found it was quite interesting.

- That a delegation should be sent to Suriname in the future to observe its development.

**BERNARD BOURNE**

- That a delegation from the Soviet Union will be visiting our country on the 14th - 15th February 1984.

- The delegation would involve Young workers, Farmers, intellectuals etc.

- It was noted that the National Youth Organisation should do the necessary preparation for the group visit.

- A programme should be worked out for the visit.

- A specific plan should be worked out.

\*\*\*

92 - 3
Submitted a report re his work in the U.N. (No analysis was done re the report by the Political Bureau).

- the trip went well.
  Things to be done he outline areas as follows:
  - Someone full time coordinating Friendship work, and Solidarity Committees.
  - Not getting Main Party paper and other literature re the revolution.
  - Cde. Whiteman pointed out that the Investment Promotion trip should be attended by Cde. Lyden Ramdhanny and someone from the Ministry of Trade.
  - Cde. Brigid Coha
  - Requesting holiday leave for himself and family
  - Three weeks holiday leave in S.U and one week in Cuba.
  - Cde. Selwyn Strachan to tie up trip.

WOMEN'S COMMITTEE

MAY DAY

Cdes did not have their full schedule organised.
B.G.W.U, C.I.W.U, T.U.W.U - done some work
F.W.U - focussing on their election.

Cde. Maurice noted that days of the week have been worked out to meet with the Sub Committee of the Unions.

Cde. Liam Jomes to sit on top of G.G.W.U work

SOCIALISM CLASSES

It was reported by Cde. Selwyn Strachan that Cde. Brian Meeks has been placed in the Secretariat to assist in coordinating Socialism Classes. He noted that since Cde. Bizo has been placed in the Secretariat and visiting the different classes, reports submitted stated that the classes are going well.
Cde. Selwyn Strachan also noted that the analysis of the classes would be handled by Cde. Martin Marryshow.

- 115 classes on paper
- 82 functioning
- 15 not going well

PROBLEMS
- Not enough centralisation.
- Cdes are not following the structures that have been done.

OTHER MATTERS
- Specialist suggested for taking socialism classes are as follows:
  (a) Rita Joseph
  (b) Wayne Stadford
  (c) Brian Meeks
  (d) Ferro Lowe

It was noted that the Cdes mentioned above will be full time in that particular area. (There are still more names to be added to the list).

PROTOCOL BUILDING
- The expansion of the Protocol building will cost the Grenadian Government $150,000.
- That an analysis should be done re the Protocol building as to where the money could be got.
- Cdes Bob Evans and Bernard Coard to be spoken to by Cdes Hudson Austin and Unison Whiteman re the Protocol Building.

U.K. FESTIVAL
- Re the U.K. Festival is was noted that sis. Jackie Croft should attend.

It is reported that sister Jackie was hesitant of going, while discussing the matter with Sister Jackie at the Political Bureau meeting on 27th April, 1983 she still insisted that she is not physically fit to attend. The sister got vexed and then walked out of the meeting. (It should be noted that Sis. Croft came to the Political Bureau meeting to report on the C.I.E work.)
C.C PLENARY/Political and Economic Bureau Pre-Schedule Agenda

- New dates suggested for the C.C Plenary are the 13th - 18th of July, 1983.
- Also the Political bureau and Economic Bureau pre-schedule agenda was looked at:

C.P.E

- The Chairman of the Political Bureau reported to the Comrades from the C.P.E Centre that maximum input cannot be put into the report due to the lateness of the report reaching most of the Members of the Political Bureau, so the preparation if the Members of the Political Bureau is not what it should have been.
- Another meeting with the C.P.E Comrades is four week from now.
- Submit the full list of Cdes who are C.P.E student Nationally.
- List of Cdes who are active and not active.
- The seminar held was quite successful.
- Some of the problems raised never surfaced before but coming from the seminar: most of the New Parish coordinators for the parishes ought to be found;
- St. Andrew's - new person
- St. Patrick's/St. David's - very weak.
- Children are posing most of the problems for the Cdes in solving the classes.
- When doing house to house Cdes could creatively link it with C.P.E to solve most of the problems.
- C.P.E Propaganda Committee should be meeting weekly.
- Get very good adverts for the C.P.E propaganda.
- Get Cdes to write the promos and change them from time to time.
- Ask Paul Kern Douglas to assist with a Programme on C.P.E also Sister Merle Collins;
- A C.P.E programme should be played before the news as to the boosting of the propaganda aspect.
- Need someone from the Centre to call the Radio station everyday re the propaganda aspect.
EMULATION

- That emulation be held on a monthly basis.
- The following are proposals made by the Political Bureau
  - That monthly report should be submitted to the Political Bureau
  - Analysis of the workshops
  - Monthly Parish Emulation
  - Propaganda
    - Cdes Jackie Croft, Herle Clarke and Aden Slinger to meet with Cde. Peter David to work out the document that they had done in the past re the Propaganda aspect of C.F.E and that a copy should be sent to the Political Bureau,
    - Ring up the Radio Station re the propos whenever cannot be heard.
    - C.F.E Village Committee should be set up.
    - Fund Raising - in all centres.
    - Parish workshops should be held to solve most of the problems - the 6 week parish workshop should be used, to help solve some of the problems.

TASKS

1. Cdes Hudson Austin and Unison Whiteman to meet with Cdes Bernard Coard and Bob Evans re the Protocol Building and its financing.
2. Cde. Hudson Austin to meet with the Cuban at the International Airport.
3. Cde. Ferron Lowe to be informed re draft article to be done re the Afghanistan Revolution.
4. Sister Jackie Croft to get in contact with Cde. Paul Keens Douglas re a programme for C.F.E.
5. Cde. Selwyn Strachan to ensure that a system which has been put in place in the Ministry of National Mobilisation re sending documents to the Embassies and replying to letters are functioning within the Ministry.
MINUTES OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU

4TH MAY, 1983

COMRADES PRESENT

Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Ewart Layne
George Louison

John Ventour
Liam James
Hudson Austin

COMRADES ABSENT

Maurice Bishop - On leave

AGENDA

1. Minutes
2. Correspondence
   (a) Letter from Cde. Justin Campbell, recording Secretary, requesting to be excused from the meeting due to illness.
   (b) Letter from Cde. Hazel Ann Williams requesting all P.D members to settle their account with the Party Secretariat re May 2nd Fund raising activity.
   (c) Letter from Aiden Slinger C.P.E National Coordinator.
   (d) Telex from New York Embassy re visit of U.S Congressmen to Grenada May 19th-23, 1983.
   (e) Telex from Richard Jacobs re World Peace Assembly, Prague, September, 1983.
   (f) Message from Cde, Sashnev Soviet Ambassador re Propaganda assistance.

2. ITEMS FOR DECISION
   (a) Cde. John Ventour
   (b) Cde. Bishop's New York Visit
   (c) Cde. George Louison re House in St. And. 
   (d) Wesley College
   (e) The Church
   (f) Acting Secretary for Information
   (g) Work among Frendians overseas
   (h) Shane Julien
   (i) Caricom Plan of Action.
1. **MINUTES OF P.D MEETING 29th APRIL**

All members felt that the minutes did not adequately express the deliberations of the meeting. Some discussion was held around Cde. Campbell performance as P.D/C/C recording Secretary. It was noted that his performance at the O.C was much greater than at present. Most Comrades expressed the view that Cde. Campbell's psychologically incapable of performing at the P.D. Alternations to Cde. Campbell were examined. It was felt that Cde. Hazel Ann Williams would be unable to perform adequately due to her frustration. The O.C recording Secretary Valdon Poldeau was agreed upon principle as a possible alternative. However, the O.C was directed to settle this due to Cde. Poldeau's organisational tasks.

**REPORT ON TASKS**

1. Completed. New design for Protocol Building to be presented to the P.D in first two weeks of May.


3. No information.

4. No information.

5. Completed.


2. **COURSES ONCE/REPORTS**

(a) Letter from Cde. Campbell was noted.

(b) Letter from Cde. Hazel Ann Williams was noted.

(c) Letter from Adien Slinger apologizing for not being able to complete documents requested by the I.B. Promise to have them completed by Friday May 6th.

(d) Telex from New York Embassy informing that the team of U.S. congressmen to visit Grenada may 19-33 are requesting free hotel accommodation.

**DECISION:**

1. Not possible. However, P.R.G will provide ground transportation for sight seeing tour, etc.

2. Cde. Unison Whiteman to arrange negotiations with Hotel Association for concession rates for the Congressmen.
3. Cde. Whiteman to telephone Cde. Caldwell Taylor to inform him of the P.B decision and to obtain further information re congressmen visit.

4. Cde. Liam James pointed out that the visit of the Congressmen is very important for us because three of them are from Brooklyn and represent the Caribbean Community.

Telex from Ambassador to USSR, Richard Jacobs requesting that he be included in the delegation to the Peace meeting in Prague, September 1983.

Decision: Agreed to.

Cde. Ventour reported that he held brief meeting with the Soviet Ambassador Cde. Sashnev who expressed great concern over the absence of our propaganda organs (newspaper) for three weeks. He also requested to receive copies of all the Party's propaganda organs where they are published. Also that he can request propaganda assistance for us.

DECISION: Cde. Selwyn Strachan to follow up with Cde. Sashnev.

3. ITEMS FOR DECISION

Cde. John Ventour reported to the meeting on the state of his health. He pointed out that although he was adhering to the four hour per day schedule, there was not any marked improvement in his health. He therefore requested that the four hour be reduced or he be on total leave for two months. He also pointed out that the Cuban Dr. Martinez had informed him that based on the positive results of his blood analysis it was possible that he begin to work 8 hours per day. This Cde., Ventour pointed out, however, was not possible due to the tiredness he felt everyday.

In reply to a question from Cde. Liam James Cde. Ventour pointed out psychological pressure was possibly one factor contributing to his present state due to his mothers daily statements that he was ill, loosing weight, etc. and expressed the view that he should leave his parents home soon. In this regard the meeting was informed of his decision to be married on August 20th, 1983.

DECISION: Cde. Ventour's schedule be reduced to one hour per day except on days for meetings of the P.B E.B, and study. At the end of 2 weeks it will be reviewed.

(b) PBM's visit to the U.S.A at the invitation of TRANSAFRISA CORP. Cde. Liam James informed the meeting that this powerful Black Organisation issued an invitation
sixth

to Cde. Bishop to address their Annual Dinner on June 4th, 1983.

Invitation has been accepted and will include visits to four U.S cities - New York, Washington, Detroit and Chicago from 3rd - 13th June 1983. Three broad strategic objectives for the visit were outlined:

(i) Conveying to the U.S press and people the image of our P.M as a sober and responsible statesmen who is committed to normalising relations with the U.S.A.

(ii) To develop firm unshakeable links and bonds of identity with the black community in the U.S.A

(iii) To promote Tourism primarily among the Black Community.

Cde. James further pointed out that logistical preparations for the visit were already started and that 2 Secretariats one in Grenada and the other in New York - were already established. The delegation was also agreed on. Apart from Cde. Bishop it will include:

Cde. Unison Whiteman - Minister of Foreign Affairs
" Lyden Ramhanny    - Minister of Tourism
" Denis Noel         - Washington Embassy
" Dessima Williams   - Ambassador to O.A.S
" Ian Jacobs         - Foreign Affairs
" Merle Collins      - Protocol
" Shahiba Strong     - Coordinator for entire visit.
" Liam James         - Secretary
" Jenny Francis      - Documentation
" Kurt Strachan      - Cook
" Theresa

One driver/F.S Cde. also security.

Advanced team of Ian Jacobs, Merle Collins and Dessima Williams to leave on Thursday May 5th, 1983.

Cost of Trip - E.C 130,000

Documents already being prepared. Total 15

Books on Grenada Revolution to be sold approximately 1500.

DECISION:- Owusu to check with Cde. Bernard Coard re financial arrangements for obtaining Books from GRENCA and FT.

(iii) Cde. Whiteman to call in the Political Attaché of the U.S Embassy in Barbados, FLOWER, at the end of this week informing him that the P.M requests a meeting with President Regan during his VISA to the U.S.
(iii) Cde. Selwyn Strachan to meet with the media (Peter David, Keith Joseph, Cecil Belfon etc) requesting that they tone down the attacks on the U.S during this period. Reason: If not can jeopardize the visit.

Cde. George Louison re Home in St. Andrew's. He informed the meeting that a house was located in Felon, Ladigue (where Cuban doctors used to stay). However, it will need repairs costing approx. $25,000.00. Cdes agreed to the location of the house. Concerns were expressed by Cde. Vontour re security arrangements because the house was partly wooden and in one of the worst political areas in the country.

DECISION: 1. Cde. Louison to obtain materials to repair the house from Hou Repair Scheme and money for labour cost approximately $6,000 from Ministry of Agriculture.

2. Cde. Liam James to organise Security arrangements for the house.

(iv) Workers meeting with Workers Committee

C. members present

James Wardally, Jennifer Donald, Vincent Noel, John Jones, Derek Allard, Timothy Toussaint, Val Sawney, Winston Ledlow, Michael Prime and Trevor Noel.

Comrade Strachan informed the members present that due to (1) the poor quality of the documents prepared by the Committee and (ii) the fact that many members of the P.B did not receive copies of the new three months workplan in advance in order to properly study them, the P.B had decided on the following approach to the discussion:

1. Analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of the work

2. Problems
   (a) BGW
   (b) Areas of political work among the Working Class

3. (a) As a result of (1) and 2 to determine t whether the three months workplan is realistic.

Cde. Vontour began the discussion by pointing out that in his opinion the main area of weakness of the Committee, the main problem is poor personal discipline on the part of many Comrades.
Jim Wardally - Main problem is comrades low level of organisational skills.

Mikey Prime - agreed with Cde. Ventours position but also added that Cde. Wardally's contribution is also very relevant.

Cde. Selwyn Strachan - supported Cde. Ventours position and gave several examples:

(i) failure by RGWU to settle the International Airport Workers contract

(ii) no weekly mobilisation for ideological crash course, comrades being absent from the course, improper organisation re bus tickets to enable workers to attend the classes.

(iii) failure to keep the party support group functioning.

He further pointed out that the meetings need to decide whether apart from illdiscipline, there is great need for assistance in organisational skills to the Comrades.

Cde. Val Sawney: main problem - illdiscipline comrade. However, other problems are low organisations’ skills and low morale which he personally has experienced. This was due to the specific problems of the Dock Workers where he has to physically do most of the work on most occasion.

Cde. James Wardally then volunteered to state reasons for the low morale of committee members.

(a) the workers Committee was being criticised unfairly

(b) more attention has been given to other Committees of the Party

(c) Women's Committee

Cde. Vincent Noel then gave one example for the low morale of Comrades. He recalled that the C.P.E list from the C.P.E Centre was not received by RGWU until last week after several efforts and the list when submitted was incorrectly prepared. This allocation was by Cde. George Louison who stated that the lists were submitted to Cde. Derek Lidard in February 1983. This was later confirmed by Cde. Allard.

Cde. Strachan then replied to the reasons put forward by Cde. Wardally re low morale. He pointed out that historically the Workers Committee was not given priority treatment by the party re allocation of material resources, Other party committees received much more assistance than the W.C. However, there was no lack of manpower resources as suggested by Cde. Vincent Noel.

It was the failure of the Committee to make proper use of and develop the cadres on the workplaces which has led to this...
apparent "manpower shortage". He further went onto point out that it was the indiscipline - the petty bourgeois conduct of W.C members who are always exposing themselves before the Party's rank and file at various forums which has led to the decrediting of the Committee and criticism from rank and file Party members. He noted the reason advanced by Cde. Wardally; Cde. Strachan then informed the Committee members on the need for clarity on the main problem because this will determine whether the three months workplan is scientific or ambitious.

Most of the Workers Committee members who spoke disagreed with Cde. Ventour's position that there was no improvement in the personal discipline of members during the past month. Cde. Michael Prime expressed the view that the work will improve over the next three months.

A criticism was made of Cde. Ventour by Cde. Liam James re his manner in criticizing Workers Committee members. Cde. James felt that it could be interpreted as arrogance by the W.C members and could have also led to the low morale of the Comrades.

Cde. Ventour apologised for an emotional outburst in criticizing the Comrades during the meeting but did not accept the criticism made by Cde. Liam James.

At this point Cde. Ventour was excused from the meeting.

**TASKS**

1. Cde. Unison to arrange negotiations with Hotel Association for concession rates for the Congressmen.
2. Cde. Whiteman to telephone Cde. Caldwell Taylor to inform him of the P.B decision and to obtain further information re congressmen.
3. Cde. Selwyn Strachan to follow up with Cde. Sashnev re meeting.
4. Cde. Liam James to check with Cde. Bernard Coard re financial arrangements for obtaining books from GRENADAFT.
5. Cde. Whiteman to call in the Political Attache of the U.S. Embassy in Barbados at the end of this week informing him that the P.M request a meeting with President Regan during his visit to the U.S.A.
6. Cde. Selwyn Strachan to meet with the media re the attacks on the U.S during this period.
7. Cde. Lousion to obtain materials to repair house at Felon (Ladigue)

9. O.C. re VALDAM as REC. See...

10. CPE documents from Aden

11. Recond to be sent to DEBT. TD prepare A.C.T. on Place

12. Affirmation

13. J.C. re P.K.D. on CPE Round

14. O.C on Sec. Trip (Secruity Tomahawk Round...)
CENTRAL COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF CENTRAL COMMITTEE SPECIAL ONE-DAY MEETING ON 26TH APRIL, 1981

Comrades Present:-
Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Chalkie Ventour
Leon Cornwall
Pitroy Bain
Vincent Noel
Hudson Austin
George Louison
Tan Bartholomew
Phyllis Coard
Liam James
Unison Whiteman
Ewart Layne
Ian St. Bernard
Chris DeRiggs
Kamau McBarnette

Out of the country:
Kenrick Radix
Galloway Taylor

Agenda:-
1. Farmers - PFU Report
2. Youth - NYD Report

(1) The two documents on the state of the PFU work, which was submitted to the Central Committee on Wednesday 15th, was looked at in more detail. Comrade Louison gave a summary of the two documents which noted among other things that:-
- the union now has a membership of 400 farmers
- village meetings have started
- the work of the big farmers against the union is holding back the PFU's work in St. Andrew's and St. Patrick's
- St. George's needs somebody to do the work
- St. David's picking up
- the membership will rise if the material benefits start reaching the farmers; seeds, fertilisers, supplies and road repairs.
- right wing farmers still remain a major threat.
- the farmers have been raising the issue of the merger of three boards (cocoa, nutmeg, banana) and to hold elections in June.
- PFU to set up office in Grenville
- there is a need to develop more leadership skills in the executive members

Other Comrades made different points including:-
- the merger of the three boards has to be carefully timed.
- tactics could not be based only on that the progressive farmers are saying.
- May Day celebrations to be used as a test for mobilising the farmers.
- depots should be set up and the number increased based on the agricultural production
- the need for a tight team work approach with the relevant ministries and departments to bring the benefits to the farmers
- the political education among the farmers must take a more concrete form, exposing the class contradictions
- agricultural exhibition and emulation programmes must be organised

Comrade Louison was highly recommended on the comprehensiveness of the documents and the following decisions were taken:-
1. The formation of a three-man committee, Comrades Louison, Bain and Bel to produce a pamphlet on the stage of negotiations with the big farmers (b) draft guidelines on a general policy for time off for workers to attend seminars etc., (c) the use of Grenada Farmers Corporation's vehicles and transport generally, (d) draft education programme, plan seminars. This is to be submitted to the Political Bureau and then sent to Cabinet for approval.
2. Comrades Louison, other members of the PFU executive and Mc Lean Williams of the Ministry of Communications, to go to the areas in St. Andrew's, select the roads badly damaged by the recent rains, and have them repaired. Comrade Louison and the PFU members must be clearly identified with the repairs.

3. Comrade Louison to go to all Parish Councils, NWO's, NYO's, and Militias, with a view to identifying the farmers in these groups and getting them to join the PFU. He is also to get from the PCB heads a list of all the farmers in the parish and their political outlook.

4. A committee of representatives from the Party, PFU and State to be responsible for bringing the benefits to the farmers and to determine the grant that should be given to PFU for the purchase of seeds, fertilisers and supplies.

5. On elections, this should be put off, for another year or until the PFU is fully prepared. In the meantime, a lot of work has to be done.

6. The Political Bureau to work on tactics for stalling the elections for another year.

7. Production co-operative among the small farmers should be organised.

8. The report was accepted and approved.

9. Comrade Louison to present a written report to the Economic Bureau meeting of May 15th on the level of implementation of the above decisions.

***************

(2) Comrade Cornwall presented two documents dealing with the youth work. The first, a Report on Youth Work, gave reasons for the bad state of the work, the signs of its poor state and ways of improving the work.

The second document is a two-part Draft Programme For Youth and Student Work. This deals with 1. roots, nature and work of the March 1st revolution and 2. the strengthening and building the Revolution among youth and students and deepening their participation.

In his analysis, Comrade Leon Cornwall concluded that the approach to recruiting youths last year was wrong and recommended that only two weeks should be put aside for recruitment at any one time. He stated that the unemployment question was acting as a fetter on the youth work and it needed a solution urgently. The land question is also crucial. Comrade Cornwall presentation was long and detailed, summarising the two documents.

Comrade Coard's criticism of the programme was that it did not relate to the present state of the economy.

The following things should be done to push the youth work forward:

1. Solve the problem of unavailability of land, Comrade Radix is responsible for drafting a law in this regard.

2. Draw up a detailed, comprehensive plan for the development of Sports - a SPORTS PLAN. (To be brought to the meeting with the Cuban officials negotiating the second collaboration agreement, on Tuesday 28th)

3. Same for Culture - a CULTURE PLAN - including a budget, for Thursday 30th.

4. A CADET PLAN - for forming cadet cores in every primary and secondary school.

5. The cadres doing the youth work should be of the highest quality - locate the youths in the work places and use them.

6. The speakers at the courses organised by the NYO, should be PB and OC comrades.

... 7. Draft a
7. Draft a YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PLAN to deal with solving the unemployment problem, looking at Forestry, Agro-industry, small livestock, Tourism, Construction, Co-operatives, expanding employment on the State Farms. A committee with representative from the State, Party and Mass organisation (NYO) to do this.

8. Have a youth budget out of the comprehensive YOUTH PROGRAMME.

9. Bogo and Bourne should spend one week each in the G.D.R., Bulgaria and the U.S.S.R. to learn from their past and present experiences, tactics, forms and methods. They should meet with the highest level of the youth and students there. They are to negotiate with them, assistance for the programme. The YOUTH PLAN should be sent to them in advance, giving them time to study it.

10. The NYO should merge with the Ministry of Youth, as the NWO has done.

11. Work out a way to deal with rastas and ganja question

It was noted that the Church was trying to replace the CYC with one that is more under their control. They are presently planning to send some 11-year olds to Ireland and Scotland - this to be stopped. Owusu's task.

The C.C. also decided that the Secretariat is to inform all members, candidate members and applicants that they are to march behind either AGWU, CIWU, BGWU banners on May Day and to be in the pavilion.

The Central Committee Weekend meeting scheduled for May 16th-17th will now be on May 30th and 31st.

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
Comrades present: -
Maurice Bishop - Chairman
Selwyn Strachan
Vincent Noel
George Louison
Kenrick Radix
Unison Whiteman
Chalkie Ventour
Ewart Layne
Kamau McBarnette
Liam James
Ian St. Bernard

Comrades absent: -
Bernard Coard - away
Iyllis Coard -
Hudson Austin -
Leon Cornwall - sick
Tan Bartholomew (on one week's rest)

AGENDA - Urban Workers Committee Programme

Before the start of the meeting, Cde. Strachan said that he had seen Tan the previous day and that the latter was sick and requested a week's rest, which he agreed to.

Cde. Noel, Chairman of the Urban Workers Committee presented the programme of that committee, outlining the historical development of the present working class, the political orientation of the trade unions, the trade union, state and political needs of the working class, what the Party wants of them and the tasks from the needs of both the Party and the working class. The programme included a schedule for the Cde. Leader to speak to twenty work places in the next few weeks.

Comrades felt that the programme was good but lacked the following:

i. the number of people involved in the work

ii. the possibility of imperialism working through the trade unions and the way the cteee will deal with that

iii. the objective problems faced by the workers committee over the years and the ways they will be solved

iv. no detailed analysis of the working class over the years

v. scholarships for workers and their children as one means of bringing them closer to the Revolution

vi. workers participation

vii. raising the levels of production and discipline in creative ways, e.g. emulation, quizzes, etc.

viii. attempt to generally bring the trade union movement closer to the Revolution

ix. address itself in a structured way to getting workers involved in the programmes of the Revolution (e.g. Militia)

x. the recruitment of workers into the Party (using the sale of party papers and the Parish Council meetings)
xii. a breakdown of the amount of workers in trade unions, how does the workers committee plan to reach the unionised ones
xiii. a link between the urban and rural workers
xiv. material conditions of the working class
xv. the role of the workers in bringing about the Revolution, their present and future roles in transforming the society.

Comrades suggested the following as concrete ways of improving the workers' work and should be included in the detailed programme:

i. distribution of literature
ii. using the workers to mobilise for rallies etc.
iii. letting the most outstanding worker sit with the leadership during rallies, at the platform
iv. regulating the food and transport costs
v. inter-union windball and cricket competitions
vi. workers committee/cell in places where there is no union representation
vii. yearly checkups for workers (medical)

Cde. Noel said that a lot of the suggestions were new, while the Workers Committee had thought of the others, included them in the programme but had not elaborated on them. He also said that comrades' work needs to be rationalised and used Cde. Burke to illustrate his point - the latter is under tremendous pressure. He has much state work, workers committee's work and other political work, e.g. the Socialism classes. Cde. Noel said that most comrades on the workers committee are "falling down", e.g. Chalkie, Nelson and Desjourge.

Cde. Layne informed the CC that the A Study Group had suggested to the O.C. that the latter be a supervisory body for the work of the W.C. and that its work must come up more regularly on the O.C.'s Agenda for review.

Cde. Bishop concluded that from the programme, the W.C. had submitted, nothing really needs to be implemented, that it was all "up in the air" still. He questioned when was the work schedule going to be done.

DECISION:

- The W.C. is to do a more detailed programme/work-plan/work schedule in two weeks time. This programme is to elaborate on all the ideas in the first programme, taking into account all the above criticisms and suggestions. They are also to do a comprehensive breakdown of our population.
As a general observation, it was noted that almost all Party Comrades are reluctant to join a trade union. It was therefore DECIDED that all Party Comrades - applicants, candidate members, members - are to join the appropriate union. In particular, all those who are public workers must join the T.W.U.

Comrade Whiteman informed the CC of the total collapse of the Publication Committee because of the departure of some comrades and the placement of other comrades in different areas of work.

DECISION: The following comrades are to form the new Publication/Editorial Committee: Jaqueline Creft, Kamau M'Charnette, Ken Lewis, Juggles Ferguson, Carrol Davis, Ian Jacobs and Sandra Ventour. Cde. Whiteman heads the committee.

It was suggested that the Party's applicants be given the tasks of writing an article as one means of deciding the ones most appropriate to write for the paper. The paper is now being published on Wednesdays, at the FWI. Cde. Bishop said that the Party paper should strive to be a mid-week national newspaper.

Cde. DeRiggs raised the question of the present situation with CDC/GES/ESSO. Cde. Bishop briefly informed the Central Committee that Barclays Bank has granted GES the loan and that ESSO has agreed to continue supplying oil. He also disclosed that two new members are now on the GES Board of Directors, that requests are being made to countries for alternative fuel/power, that Cuban experts are arriving here to check the GES machines and that we can now spend the allocated $1M on them because the IMF has approved our loan.

Hazel-Ann
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95 - 3
RESOLUTION I

The Central Committee resolves the following on this day, 5th April, 1981:

1. The Organising Committee appoints three recording secretaries of the highest security clearance for recording all meetings of the Political Bureau, Central Committee and organising committee. A further three recording secretaries of lower security clearance be appointed by the O.C. for recording meetings of workers, youth, women and other committees of the Party.

2. Copies of all decisions and resolutions taken at these various levels be immediately typed and circulated to the appropriate levels of the Party. These bodies should function on the principle of pre-set agendas and as far as humanly possible and in particular, meetings of O.C. and C.C., presentations by members of these bodies must be in the form of writing, preferably distributed twenty-four hours in advance of these meetings through the recording secretaries.

3. A special sub-committee of the O.C. will be responsible for supervision of the implementation of all decisions made at all levels of the Party utilising resources of the Party's Secretariat to physically check implementation on the ground.

4. The Party applies the principle of the utilisation of one, two and three-man sub committees of the P.B., C.C. and O.C. with authority delegated of these decisions on behalf of these bodies within specific areas so as to greatly speed up decision-taking and implementation.

5. Tight and firm chairmanship be applied in all committees of the Party.
6. The study group and committees of the Party should be utilised as a two-way conduit between Party members and the higher organs of the Party so that members of the Party can influence higher organs, but also decisions taken at a higher level would be quickly known through the study groups and Party committees for their study, discussion and implementation.

7. All committees of the Party immediately draw up a programme for the specific class or section for which it has responsibility for the period now until 13th March, 1982.

That programme should deal, both with the mobilisation of State and Party resources for the bringing of concrete material benefits to the particular class or strata.

Also, the programme should contain the concrete political objective that the Party committee has for the relevant class, strata and section.

These programmes and the Work Plan derived from them should be submitted to the C.C. and O.C. within twenty-one days of today, properly typewritten.

8. The rigorous application of the highest standards of discipline, conduct and organisation by all members of all committees, including within that framework, the application of the principle of criticism and self-criticism in all committees and at all levels of the Party.

***************

RESOLUTION 2
The Central Committee hereby resolves on this day, April 5th, 1981.

That for the period April 5th to May 17th, the C.C. will continue to meet on Wednesday fortnightly as usual and additionally, will hold a Special Evaluation Session on the weekend 16th to 17th May, 1981.

The agenda for the C.C. for this period will be as follows;
RESOLUTION 2 CONT'D

1. Minutes of decisions
2. Recent developments:
   a. analysis and implications
   b. shifts in the political situation
   c. tasks arising
   d. resolutions

3. Committee reports - party building
   a. farmers (15th April)
   b. urban workers (29th April)
   c. youth/student/militia (13th May)
   d. party education
   e. A.G.W.U./teachers
   f. All parishes - P.C.B.'S

4. Affairs of State
   a. agriculture/forestry/N.A.C.D.A. (15th April)
   b. agro-industries and fisheries (29th April)
   c. national security (13th May)
   d. tourism
   e. health and housing (16 - 17th May)
   f. external affairs

5. Criticism and self-criticism
   a. Evaluation of period
   b. Determination of next period
   c. Agenda for next period

6. Other business

RESOLUTION 3

Whereas the work of the Party is being severely held back by the absence of an adequate and functioning Secretariat.

Be it resolved that at this meeting of the 5th April of the Central Committee:

That a massive fund-raising be launched with a view to raising the necessary funds to build or purchase a Party Headquarters and Secretariat.

Bearing in mind the enormity of the cost involved, all organs of the Party, including auxiliaries, parish councils and party support groups accept this task as one of their priorities in the coming period and must aim to hold their first major fund-raising effort no later than 17th
May, 1981.

For the time being, the primary responsibility for the organisation of the fund-raising activities for this purpose be undertaken by the P.C.B.'s, each of which must make a written report to the O.C. of the planning of this proposed programme of activities in regard, no later than 27th April, 1981.
MINUTES OF CENTRAL COMMITTEE MEETING HELD
ON WEDNESDAY, 24TH JUNE, 1981

Comrades present:

Unison Whiteman - chairman
Kenrick Radix
Geordie Louison
Vincent Noel
Kamau McBarnette
Pitzie Rain
Iphillis Coard
Ewart Layne

Comrades absent:

Maurice Bishop - sick
Bernard Coard - with excuse
Cwecu James
Roger Cornwall
Ian St. Bernard
Selwyn Strachan - away
Hudson Austin
Chris Bridges
Tan Bartholomew
Chalkie Ventour

Agenda:

The present political situation
Decisions on activities

THE PRESENT POLITICAL SITUATION - Cde. Whiteman, who chaired the meeting, led off the discussion on this topic. He told the CC that there is evidence that the rastas are planning to go on an offensive in the Northern part of the country within days and that we must consider preventative measures.

Cde. Coard (i) said that she thinks it's time for a selective pickup: e.g., William Thomas, Stephen John and Leslie Pierre. She also felt that the key rasta leaders should be picked up, that the Political Bureau should visit the Army camps. A security officer should also speak at the AWU FTC meetings, was her suggestion. Sister Coard estimated that we should prepare to pick up about 50 rastas. She felt: - we have to handle the situation with "manners"! we should look at not only the reaction of the rastas, but also at the rest of the masses; the rasta movement has weakened our support base; should not play up the line that this is a move against the rasta movement generally; we don't have the capacity to hold all the rastas in the country; the quality of the guards used to guard the rastas has to be the best - they must be politically educated.

Rain - Cde. Rain reported that some people have been saying that we prohibit people living in the hills; there are some rasta agricultural workers; must find places to house the rastas that are picked up and a programme to keep them occupied - put them on a estate to produce and also give them a library; over the last 3 weeks, people have been coming to him, saying, "Take care of yourself" they are probably sensing something.

Radix - Cde. Radix felt that we will have to prepare for the reaction from the army and militia; need to have an effective film censorship board (especially after the film, "Koppie Sunsplash") which helped in the recent upsurge of the rastas.

Noel - Cde. Noel said that there is a difference between the rastas who are planning the offensive and the rank and file; the Rasta Bings must be a preparation for a movement; Cde. McBarnette should prepare a propaganda team for the dissemination of the news regionally; must consider the possibility of a national address by the Comrade.

2/...Leader; the army
Leader: the army should prepare a programme for the rastas who will be picked up - wake up time, eat time, books, films, pacifying music etc. - a rigid programme.

Louison - Cde. Louison said that some rastas look as if they are definitely armed and have received training, from their movements st thr Nya Singis.

Whiteman - He felt that one of our greatest weaknesses is the lack of precise information.

DECISIONS: - The following decisions were taken: -

a. every comrade to gather precise information - list of dangerous, 'counter' rastas and other hostile persons that are probably involved. This to be done by Thursday 25th. midday
b. Comrades to write down lines to deal with action to be taken, slogans, etc.
c. the army to draw up a programme for the P.B. to visit the army camps

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
The Party Comrades of the Study Group Guided by Cde. Selwyn Strachan wish to express our disquiet at the irresponsible attitude and behaviour of some Party Comrades during mass gatherings and other mass forums.

We have noticed that at rallies public meetings, parish councils and other forums at which our Party Leadership has been present, Party Comrades have been guilty of the following:-

1. Comrades lack a sense of commitment to punctually with respect to these events. In fact comrades have been turning up to rallies and meetings extremely late as much as one (1) hour after the scheduled commencement of some of these events even when they have no other commitment before the said event. It should be noted also that some Party Comrades do not turn up at all.

2. Some Comrades have shown a failure or inability to lead the masses in giving attention to and endorsing the main points made by Party Leaders.

3. In fact, instead of inciting and conducting agitational work among the masses, Comrades organise and/or partake in "pocket" discussions even when the Party Leaders including when the Comrade Leader is speaking.

This attitude towards and pattern of behaviour on the part of Party Comrades lends little to the deepening of the Party's influence among the masses and to the building of the stature of our Party Leaders.

As members of the vanguard in our Revolutionary Process as a part of the Party which guides and leads our masses we suggest that Comrades will have to adopt a more responsible attitude to these events.

WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND THAT:-

1. Party Comrades treat these functions with maximum importance and as such make every effort to attend and be on time.

2. Comrades take the lead in moulding and popularising all important points and slogans put forward by Party Leaders.

3. Comrades should demonstrate the maximum amount of attentiveness and enthusiasm. Comrades should cease to partake in these "pocket" discussions and fully encourage non-Party members to be attentive to addresses by Party Leaders.
PART B

THE URGENCY OF SETTING UP STRUCTURES TO DEAL EFFECTIVELY WITH SOCIAL PROBLEMS

The Comrades in discussing this problem pointed to the many personal, social and community problems faced by the masses and the increasing sense of hopelessness among the masses concerning the ability of the Party to assist them in solving these.

Some examples given were:

- farmers going to plant preparation stations and not getting (sometimes being refused) plants.

- Bellevue workers not getting profit - sharing for so many months - open counter-revolutionary comments of work in the Vendome area.

- the many local exploitation problems suffered by the masses especially in the area of rents, sharecropping and workers victimization.

- the many problems being experienced by the Guard company which are not being solved.

- many broken down machines in Carriacou

- many social problems in areas concerning the Béauracracry’s inefficiency,

- frustration of people with Grenville Police.

It was pointed out that

(a) village contacts system is not coping effectively with these.

(b) party offices used to be used by the masses to report problems but because of the quality of persons running Party Offices and they not being trained to deal with such problems, we failed to assist the masses and as they rarely come now.
It was felt that

Proper structures for dealing with the social problems of the masses need to be set up URGENTLY.

(1) A person in every trade-union support, mass org., or Trade Union responsible specifically for this area of work, liaising with.

(2) A full time person at parish level in the PCE and in each mass org., responsible only for social problems, and having as co-ordinators.

(3) National level person/in the party and/in each mass org., or Trade Union entirely dealing with this area of work.

------------ On ------------
CENTRAL COMMITTEE MINUTES
HELD ON 22ND JULY, 1901

COMRADES PRESENT

Maurice Bishop
Bernard Coard
Selwyn Strachan
Ian St. Bernard
Kamau Mc Barnett
George Louison
Vincent Noel
Tan Bartholomew

Fitzroy Bain
Kenrick Radix
John Ventour
Ewart Layne
Hudson Austin - late with excuse
Phyllis Coard
Leon Cornwall - late with excuse
Liam James - late with excuse

COMRADES ABSENT

CHRISTOPHER DE RIGGS
UNISA WHITEMAN

AGENDA

1. Minutes
2. Land Reform Document
3. W&G series of reports
4. O&G report on Cde, Vince.

The meeting began at ten minutes past two with 16 Comrades present and two absent. The minutes were read and a number of questions were raised.

LAND REFORM DOCUMENT

A document was submitted to the Central Committee of the party by the land Reform Committee headed by Cde. George Louison. The document was studied by the Central Committee and the Committee was commended for such great work in putting such detailed information of good quality covering all aspects re Land Reform. Cde. B. Coard said the main question that have to be considered is the overall managerial structure and cost of the programme, and that a key person have to deal with such a programme if it have to be a success. He said that one have to bear in mind, that the youths are the main factor of the Land Reform Programme. He

2/...... stressed that

DOCUMENT 99
99 - 1
stressed that it must be of number one priority to bring unemployed youths together from the different parishes to make such a project fruitful. He outlined seven variables to get the whole programme off the ground:—

(1) Machinery
(2) Equipment from Socialist Countries
(3) Pesticide from Socialist Countries
(4) (a) Living barracks from Cuba
     (b) Cash-financial reform ECCA.
(5) Labour - young unemployed
(6) Management - best existing managers
(7) Markets - (a) agro industries
     (b) NIB
     (c) Göt and other Socialist Countries.

He also emphasised the programme needs two thousand five hundred youths and the multipliity of devicises in getting this figure must be borne in mind on the following:—

(1) Tremendous work of sleepless nights and technical work.
(2) Who will comprise the labour force to get the method working.

He said the F.C.B’s should do some proposals and suggestions among the mass Organisations in relation to the programme. He pointed out that a number of youths could use a number of models of national service and devices, including one model that of voluntary action campaigns with the present Land Reform campaign. He concluded by saying that the youths are the existing reserve army of labour.

2. O.C REPORT ON COE. VINCENT NCEL

On July 22nd, 1961, the Organising Committee of the Party raise the issue re the performance of the Workers Cttee. The O.C had received written information on the performance of the Workers Committee re their work among the Urban Workers, and the failure of the Committee in giving sufficient leadership to the Committee.
The Central Committee was totally dissatisfied with the work of the Workers Committee, and which developed to a major scandal to the party. The reports which was studied by the Central Committee of the party from the different Comrades on the W.C arrived at:-

(a) That a shock wave should go through the W.C to move a bit faster on tasks etc.

(b) That Cde. Vincent Noel had failed to push the work forward during the past two (2) years.

(c) That the Workers Committee wasn't working with a work plan and that the sub committees of the W.C are not functioning.

(d) Cde. Noel accepted that he himself is guilty of been indiscipline failing to carry out the directives from the party and failing to attend his study group with applicants on the West Coast area.

Cde. Maurice said, if we have to move forward by taking the revolutionary struggles in a serious way, creative measures have to be taken in implementing certain structures, of the party. He said the issue of the Workers Committee came up time and time before, but no serious thought was given to it in a firm and disciplined way and that the Central Committee and the Political Bureau should shoulder some of the blame in this respect.

It was also stated by Cde. B, Coard that the Central Committee and the Political Bureau have to be constructively criticised, of their timidity, unprincipled and softness in dealing with such a situation. After all these charges were laid against Cde. V. Noel the C.C took the following decisions:-

(1) That Cde. Vincent Noel be removed from the Chairmanship of the W.C.

(2) That Cde. Chalkie Ventour should be warned by the C.C to be much more organised in the work of the Workers Committee.

(3) Cde. Selwyn Strachan to replace Cde. Vincent Noel as chairman of the Workers Committee.

(4) That the Organising Committee do an overall shake up of the Workers Committee and that the best elements remain.

4/...... 5 That the
5. That the principle of our Party of the Political Bureau and Central Committee is dependent on Comrades leading major areas of Party Work, and seeing that Cde. Vincent Noel would no longer be leading such a major area of work they Cde. Noel should be removed from the membership of the Political Bureau and Central Committee of the Party.

The meeting ended at 6.30 p.m.

JUSTIN CAMBELL
RECORDING SECRETARY for
HAZELANN WILLIAMS.
Comrades present:-
5. Hudson Austin 12. Phyllis Coard

Agenda:-
1a. The Present Political Situation
   b. CC resolutions to guide the Party's work

Cde. Strachan led off the discussion on the present political situation in the country. He observed that there has been a rapid step up of US imperialism against the Revolution, mainly on the military front. He saw the manoeuvres in Puerto Rico as an act of preparation for invading our country and said that the US has been operating on many fronts.

His analysis was that we are in one of the most dangerous periods and also noted that in the past couple weeks, some regional islands have become openly hostile. He viewed the attacks by the Dominica Freedom Party and Eugenie Charles as the most striking.

Cde. Strachan's view was that the social base of the Revolution was widen and that the Carnival (which was organised solely by the working people) could be used to help in the analysis. He described the mood of the NVO Youth Campers as "electric". Cde. Strachan felt that overall, the situation is rough externally and on the internal side - our masses are holding firm. The bourgeoisie, he said had a "wait and see" attitude.

He concluded that the main essence is the stepping up of efforts to turn back the Revolution; both economic and propaganda wise.

The other comrades agreed that the main feature of the present period is the threat to the Revolution being posed by imperialism - US imperialism in particular. Comrades mentioned other things as:-

- The hotel section of the bourgeoisie still warm towards the Revolution.
- The Land Reform Act will cause some general concern.
- The working people are still in full support of the Revolution.
- The Socialism class's has had great impact - the workers are enthusiastic.
- Within the Party, comrades are becoming serious.
- Some people really don't believe that an invasion will come.
- AGM membership showing more respect and support for the Revolution, their mood is high - they are asking for Government to take over the badly-run estates.
- We must consider Venezuela's attitude towards us and Campines' meeting with Stanley Cyrus.
- The bourgeoisie is "licking their wounds".
- All the recent programmes have had the effect they were intended to have - the farmers programme, youth camp, socialism classes, school uniform and books programme.
- The tighter centralisation in the Party has helped.
- One weakness is that too few people know what to do in a situation of invasion.

2/...o The Youth Campers
The youth campers will be trying to form 47 new NSA groups by the end of the camp.

The camp has had a positive effect in St. Andrew's, but has not accomplished its original objective.

Workers' morale is improving - there is greater confidence in the Party and Government.

Torchlight issue is significant - the workers there feel that state power is on their side.

US imperialism's aggressiveness is growing - they shot down 2 Libyan aircrafts.

The mobilisation for the Militia is important.

Grenada's isolation is at hand.

The mood of the masses will not improve if it is not complimented - material benefits must be stepped up.

Imperialism realised that they cannot rely on local counter-revolutionaries to turn back the Revolution.

The US has launched a propaganda campaign against us, which has had its effects and has alienated our nationals.

The consciousness and discipline of our people growing.

The final details for the invasion are being planned - a date may have already been fixed.

The spy flights are probably to gather last bits of information.

We must have a plan for the CC and the entire Party in a situation of invasion.

The social base of the Revolution has been broaden, but not strengthen. Our best response, has been from former Gairyites.

Some of the hardest areas for Party work are some areas of our traditional supporters.

The Revolution has gained more supporters than what it has lost.

40 - 50% of agricultural workers are willing to attend rallies.

David Karesh is back with a new TV show on Grenada.

The Comrade Leader shared most of the views already expressed. He commented on the visits to Grenada by many nationals and non-nationals and felt creative ways need to be found to bring groups here in an organised way. He sighted the Youth and Pioneer Camps as very important and spoke of the recent militia route March, resumption of work at the airport site and the Torchlight issue.

However, Cde. Bishop also mentioned the negative areas, as follows:

1) Grenada's weakness on the international front.

2) We have lost the propaganda fight on the regional front, (we failed to respond to attacks in the media).

3) Our response to Stanley Cyrus and Michael Sylvester was very weak.

4) The USA has been very successful in bringing about our isolation by the CARICOM countries.

5) The low level and non-involvement of Party cadres in the Militia.

Comrade Bishop's views were that this is a total all out assault on Grenada and that we are facing an impending invasion, which could come any day now. This he felt, we will have a problem convincing people of. He also sighted a number of 'coincidences' in the evidence that an invasion was being planned for Grenada.

Cde. Coard, in his presentation also noted that the previous comrades were too "loose" in their analysis, referring to the recent plans to invade Grenada as imperialism stepping up its aggressiveness.
He questioned where is the peasantry at and where will they be when the Land Reform Act is passed. He also felt we should get more details on US-Guyana relations, which he felt are not so good.

Cde. (F) Coard suggested that in our foreign affairs thrust, the following countries, individuals, organisations and methods be involved:

1. France
2. Sweden
3. Non-Aligned countries
4. Socialist countries
5. Caribbean countries
6. Fraternals (NYO and NWO to write fraternal organisations asking for support)
7. Middle ground individuals and groups
8. US Senators, Congressmen, etc.
9. Letter campaign (letter to all Grenadians living abroad)
10. Letter to Grenadians from the missions abroad

DECISIONS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP ACTION:— See Decisions 1 - 5 above

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
Minutes of Central Committee Meeting Held
Wednesday, 30th December, 1981

Comrades present:-
1. Maurice Bishop
2. Selwyn Strachan
3. George Louison
4. Hudson Austin
5. Kenrick Radix
6. Unison Whiteman
7. Ian James
8. Ian St. Bernard
9. Kamau McBarnette
10. Ewart Layne
11. Fitzroy Bain
12. Leon Cornwall
13. Chalkie Ventour

Comrades absent:-
14. Bernard Coard
15. Phyllis Coard
16. Chris DeRiggs
17. Tan Bartholomew
18. Cladwell Taylor

Out of the country

Agenda:- Review of 5th April, 1981 Resolutions

The Central Committee reviewed the three resolutions passed by the said committee on April 5th, 1981.

Resolution 1.1: This resolved that the Organising Committee appoint three high security clearance and three low security clearance recording secretaries for recording decisions taken at committee meetings. The C.C. concluded that the three low security clearance R.S.'s were found, but only two of the three high security clearance ones were found. Presently, only two high security clearance R.S.'s are functioning.

The C.C. therefore decided that the workers, youth and women committees appoint somebody on their committee to perform the duties of the R.S. (i.e. take the minutes of the meetings). The Secretariat will have it typed and circulated thereafter.

Resolution 1.2: This resolved that all decisions, etc. taken at committee meetings be typed and circulated to the appropriate levels in the party.

The C.C. analysed that this was been but not in a totally satisfactorily way.

The same resolution resolved to have the party committees function on preset agendas and have presentations to these committees in the form of writing circulated twenty-four hours before the meeting.

The C.C. realised that most committees have not been functioning on preset agendas and not in all cases, presentations are written. In instances where they are written, quite often, it is presented/distributed at the beginning of the meeting and not before as resolved.

Resolution 1.3: This resolved that a Special Sub-Committee of the

2/... O.C. be responsible
O.C. be responsible for the supervision of the implementation of decisions taken.

That Committee is Cdes. Coard and Strachan. The CC concluded that Cde. Coard has been supervising the work of the women and youth. Cde. Strachan has been doing that of the rural and urban workers. Also, Cde. Louison has been supervising the farmers work.

**RESOLUTION 1.4:** Resolved that the principle of one, two, and three man sub-committees of the F.B.C.C. and O.C. be utilised to speed up implementation of decisions taken as is necessary.

This has been happening.

**RESOLUTION 1.5:** That tight and firm chairmanship be applied in all committees. This has not been the case in all committees.

**RESOLUTION 1.6:** That the study groups be used as a two-way conduit between Party members and the higher organs of the Party.

The CC concluded that this was been done effectively prior to the move to all day study twice monthly. It was suggested that the parish GM's could perform this function or that a committee be appointed to deal with this.

**RESOLUTION 1.7:** That all committees submit work plans and programmes for the period then until March 13th 1982.

The CC found that the farmers and youth committees did theirs on time; the urban workers own was late and was sent back to be re-done; women's own has been done on a month-to-month basis and the rural workers (AGMW) did a programme, not a work plan.

**RESOLUTION 1.8:** That the highest application of standards of discipline, conduct and organisation by members of all committees and the application of criticism and self-criticism at those levels.

The CC concluded the highest levels of discipline, etc, were not been adhered to, but that the CC had adhered to the application of criticism and self-criticism.

**RESOLUTION 2:** That the CC continues to meet fortnightly between April 5th to 17th and also hold a Special Weekend Evaluation session.

The CC did continue to meet fortnightly during that period and the special session was held on June 6th and 7th.

**RESOLUTION 3:** That a massive fund-raising drive be launched to raise funds for the building or purchase of a Party Headquarters and that the F.B.C.B.'s submit a written report on this to the O.C. by April 27th, 1981.

This was not done. St. George's F.C.B. submitted a plan - they had one small event. St. Patrick's F.C.B. asked to be excused and was agreed. The others failed to do anything.

The Central Committee therefore issued a directive to the Organising Committee that the latter appoints a fundraising committee within two weeks, primarily for the purpose of raising funds for the building of

3/...a Party Headquarters
...a Party Headquarters. Along with this, should go a propaganda buildup. The C.C. is to receive a report on the formation of the fundraising committee at the next CC meeting, January 13th, 1982. The O.C. is also to "get back to" the F.C.B.'s regarding the fundraising events for the Party Headquarters.

In summarising the work of the Central Committee during 1982, Chairman of the C.C., Cde. Bishop, pointed out the following:

A. **STRENGTHS**
   1. Compared to 1980, there has been progress in the work of the C.C.
   2. Attempt at conducting the CC's work more scientifically were made.

B. **WEAKNESSES**
   1. There has not been sufficiently serious followup on decisions taken; lack of implementation.
   2. Have conducted the CC's work along lines that the committee agreed to.
   3. Has failed to guide guidance to the Party.
   4. Circularisation of decisions taken have not been sent down to the lower organs effectively.

Other comrades pointed out the following, in analysing the CC's work during the year:

1. The C.C. has been self-critical.
2. The committee's ideological unity has developed.
3. The "Gang of 26" was handled firmly.
4. Before the 5th April resolutions, the C.C. did not function properly; after then, the C.C. "started to kick".
5. Too much time was spent in getting meetings started.
6. The C.C. has been guilty of gross ill-discipline regarding study.

The C.C. decided that effective from the next CC meeting, all comrades do the following when coming to meetings:

a. Carry a hard back notebook for CC notes only (TOI SECURITY).
   b. Carry a file of all their CC minutes.

The Central Committee analysed that a Secretary exclusively to the FB and CC was needed, whose job it would be, among other things, to ensure the implementation of preset agendas, getting minutes to comrades and act as a reminder to comrades of their tasks, meetings, etc. It was therefore decided that the present Recording Secretary to the FB/BB, CC and WC be removed from the workers Committee (as RS) in order to perform those tasks effectively.

The following is a list of the Party committees that need to have

1/...Recording Secretaries attached
Recording Secretaries attached to them:

1. Central Committee
2. Political/Economic Bureau
3. Organising Committee
4. Urban Workers
5. Youth
6. Women
7. Farmers
8. Rural Workers (AGWU) Committee
9. Socialism Tutors
10. National Militia
11. Propaganda
12. Teachers
13. Fundraising

(*) These are to find temporary S.S.'s.

The following are other committees/organ that need recording Secretaries:

15. Workers Parish Councils (six)
16. Zonal Councils
17. Women's Councils
18. Youth General Meetings
19. National Party General Meetings
20. Party study (GM level)
21. Parish Co-ordinating Bodies
22. Parish Party General Meetings
23. Farmers Parish Zonal Councils

For security reasons and to have a register of the recipients of the minutes, the following is to be done:

a. Number the minutes with the code numbering;
b. Put two lines through each page of the minutes;
c. Have recipients sign for their minutes;
d. Purchase a safe to put undelivered minutes/sensitive document.

The Central Committee looked back at its meetings of June 6th and 7th and its resolution of June 28th. The resolution resolved to develop a series of well thought and well planned tactics to crush counter-revolution, broaden and deepen all sections of the masses in the revolution.

It was also resolved to (a) make more active use of the study groups and GM's and (b) to implement zonal councils, get socialism classes started, involving the largest section of the masses, hold house meetings with the middle class, start work with the four main strata: workers, youth, women, small/middle peasantry.

The tactics for the crushing of counter-revolution were done. More active use of the study groups was done up to before the new system...
of studying, the zonal councils were implemented, the socialism classes got started (although presently with some problems: 21 at the village level and 37 at the workplaces), the meetings with the middle class were never started and the work with the four main strata was started.

On the question of the Party's work for 1982, the Central Committee heard that the O.C. was presently looking at the different committee work plans for that year. CWM, farmers, women and youth and some of the I.C.'s were looked at. On Monday 4th, January, the O.C. will look at the work plans of the workers, national militia, propaganda, education and teachers committees.

Comrades expressed the view that the O.C. should have a work schedule of all the zonal councils, WIC's for the first half or quarter of the year.

Some comrades also expressed the view that the Central Committee needs to have a 1982 work plan and programme.

It was felt that things were happening in a roundabout style, that is, that the C.C. should have told the different committees what it wants to see included in their work plan, instead of waiting for the plans to be prepared and presented, then to criticise it and if necessary send it back to be redone.

Cde. Radix pointed out that it is stating that the Party should play an increasing role in the economy, "we have not come down to specifics.

Cde. Bishop stated that he prefers to see the C.C. go back to study as a Central Committee (together) instead of with the rest of members. He also suggested that the members and candidate members study session be from 8.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. instead of 8.00 a.m. to 5.00 p.m. as present.

He also said that more thought must be given to the importance/necessity of rest and suggested that regular exercise be made mandatory for Party comrades.

Out of the CC meeting, these are the decisions taken:-
1. More security for the minutes:
   a. code numbering of the minutes
   b. signing for the documents
   c. two lines through the pages
   d. purchase of a safe for undelivered documents
2. Central Committee comrades to (a) carry a hard back notebook for
CC notes (top security) say (a) carry a file of all their CC minutes with them to CC meetings.
3. Present CC Recording Secretary to be received as CC Recording Secretary and to function as Secretary to the 13/16 and CC to ensure better functioning of those committees.
4. Workers, youth, and women to find temporary JS's for their committees.
5. Education Committee to meet, Tuesday 5th, 10:00 a.m.
6. Propaganda Committee to meet.
7. Teachers Committee to meet and decide on work plan.
8. The O.C. to appoint a fundraising committee. Cde. Strachan to give a report at next CC meeting.
9. The O.C. to "get back to" the I.C.C.S.'s re. the fundraising for the Party Headquarters.
10. The O.C. to look at the week's schedule re. adding the zonal councils, socialism classes, etc.

The C.C. also briefly discussed the draft New Year speech of the Comrade Leader. 1982 is to be "Year of Economic Construction." The speech will speak of the successes of this year: Year of Agriculture and agro-Industries and call for a start up in the land reform programmes.

The Central Committee decided to meet on Wednesday, January 13th, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The agenda set is:

   (Strengths, weaknesses, etc.)
2. Review of work plans and programmes of the committees.
3. Criticism and self-criticism.
4. Line of march. (Reset agenda for the first quarter of 1982.)

Hazel-Ann
RECORDING SECRETARY
MEETING OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF THE NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT

Held on the 21st April 1982

The Meeting began at 9 a.m. with the following cdes present:

Maurice Bishop
Gebrè Louison
Unison Louison
Liam Owusu James
Ewert "headache" Layne

Leon "Bogo" Cornwall
Ian St. Bernard
Tan Bartholomew
Fitzroy Bain

The following cdes were late:

Bernard Coer
Phyllis Coer
Selwyn Strachan - who was out of the country - Arrived 1200

Absent were:

Kenrick Radix - out of Country - Cuba
John "Chalkie" Lentour - out of Country - GDR
Chris "Koi" De Riggs - USA
Hudhin Austin - sick
Kimau Mc Barnett - no cause given

It was noted that according to the present agenda the following should be looked at:

1 - Agro-Industries
2 - Fisheries
3 - New developments in the political scene

Owing to the absent of Cde. Six it was stated that items #1 and 2 could not be discussed in details as they were his responsibilities. The agenda finally decided upon however, was:

1 - Agro Industries/Fisheries
2 - The present state of the Party
3 - Recent developments with particular emphasis on the political, economical and security situation regionally and hemispherically as it affects Grenada.
In respect to this item it was impossible to deal with a full scale report as stated before. However, both Cdes George Louison and Bernard Coard were in a position to give a report on Fisheries and Agro Industries respectively.

Cde George Louison had held a meeting with a Cuban Commission on Fisheries which had recently visited Grenada. He stated that the analysis of the Commission is basically that while there are objective problems in fisheries they believe the main one is subjective, particularly organisational. An example given was that 60% spare parts negotiated for has arrived here from Cuba and up to now some boxes have not been opened while others cannot be found! Apart from this a number of other problems were raised.

The Commissions made a number of recommendations.

1. Some boats don't have gears. We need to consider buying some of the gears.
2. Winches could be placed on the boats to assist in pulling ice long line which was proving difficult.
3. Small boats without board engines were needed to assist in identifying fishes.
4. Changing some of the boat engines so as to make them faster.
5. We need to develop an integrated plan based on our manegerial resources.
6. They are willing to assist in pushing fisheries-forward based on the preliminary researches done previously by the Koreans and the Soviets.....

The following were also noted:

- After one year the boats haven't been dry-docked when this should: be done twice a year.
- Halifax harbour previously identified for this was found to be inadequate as it is not deep enough - much work will have to be done to prepare it.
Secondly, labour force is absent there. Grenville was thought to be better. However, further discussion produced an idea of extending a jetty out into the sea thus solving the immediate problem.

On the question of inland fishing the following emerged from the discussions:

- Grand Etang Lake and Lake Antoine were not potentially suitable for such fishing as plant life is absent there.
- Palmiste and Mardi Gra§ have potential.
- In all there are about 42 acres available for developing inland fishing.
- The Cubans are prepared to give material assistance in developing this area.
- They are also willing to give manpower assistance in developing management.

Also present at the meeting with Cde George was Roberts of Fisheries.

The following were discussions on the report:

- Cde Phill raised the question as to whether the new Fisheries Board was yet functioning to which the reply was no.
- The Cabinet Secretary has been failing in this aspect.
- A number of key new chairman of boards have been having objective problems.

(Then as a by-product of the agenda the boards of other industries such as Agro, Coops and Fisheries were discussed.)

It was also noted that the Grenada/Cuba agreement has been going exceedingly well in comparison to previous years. It was registered, though the level of chaos in Agro Industries and Fisheries were really frightening and further noted that what was really required in Agro Industries and Fisheries is systems which the relevant Minister needs to implement but has so far failed to do so even though he has been criticised time and time again.

- In comparison the Ministry of Construction has been seriously organising itself with Cde Prime in charge.
- The above Ministry has drawn up a complete document of all projects in the country and the entire manpower
recommendations, etc needed so as to move ahead rapidly.

Cde Phill then suggested that either Cde Radix comply with CC decisions or he'll have to be moved to another area of work though he has improved from a year ago! It was noted that the Cde was impressive, creative energy which needs to be harvested.

Recommendations made were:

1. In respect to Agro Industries and Fisheries the new boards and management have to be set up rapidly and must be made to function.

2. The CC expressed its concern and recommended that all Ministers and in particular the Minister of Agro Industries and Fisheries should act on policy matters, rather than as Managing and Chairmen of Boards, in carrying out their ministerial functions.

3. Weekly reports should be submitted to the Economic Bureau on production and productivity, expenditure, etc.

4. Cde Laine to be used for 2 months as Chairman of the Board of G.F.C. and Agro Industries after which he will be accused. - Leroy Neckles as Chairman of the Board of Fisheries.

5. To generally implement a policy of political Commission particularly in big enterprises so as to deal with personnel problems.

The CC noted how unfortunate it was that the Minister responsible for Agro Industries and Fisheries was absent in the face of the foregone discussions.
ITEM #2. STATE OF THE PARTY

Cde Layne was asked to lead off on this item as he had proposed it. The following points were outlined by him:

(a) Discipline within the Party
(b) Study within the Party
(c) The level of present standards of the Party
(d) Work performance of Party cdes
(e) The grieving trend of arrogance of Party cdes
(f) Planning in the Party - Work plan/Work schedule
(g) Guidance given to Party applicants: How and who does so.
(h) Specifically the work performance of leading cdes including CC and PB.
(i) The flow of information from the top to the bottom of the Party.

Cde Layne then went on to further explain the above points. He stated that the quality and quantity of work to be done has a bearing on the points stated above. On the question of planning and organizing work he stated that some cdes find this difficult to do as many times new tasks are given with prior notice. He also said that there seem to be a total breakdown in organization by committees and organizations which affects Party cdes generally.

In respect to standards, he said many cdes are only carrying a tag - they are really not doing anything. At the same time cdes performance in their area of work is not being assessed, relating to the Pro-Applicants of the Party, he said that some comrades in the Pro-Applicant classes have stated that they don't mind doing the courses but they don't want to be members of the Party.

In terms of arrogance he pointed out that there has been a development of such in the Youth Committee. It is very difficult to advice or speak to them, he stated.

Study - members of the Party have not been involved in study for the year. He further questioned whether C.M. study of 6 hours on Saturday was scientific.
The following were responses to Cde. Layne's contribution

**CDE. FITZROY**
He endorsed the points raised by Cde. Layne and indicated his belief that the level of party organisation has a bearing on the present attitude of Cdes. He cited the socialism tutors meeting as a serious disaster which the party must harness quickly.

**COMRADE TAN**
Endorsed the previous speakers and stressed that his greatest concern was planning within the party. He himself, finds it very difficult to implement his workplan. Looking at the arrogance displayed by Party Comrades, he said the masses was complaining. He also cited the serious lateness at which meetings and rallies are started. This is annoying the masses. Cde. Tan pointed out people are not turning up to meetings our level of organisation is poor. We are in a crisis and we need to do something about it.

**CDE. BOGO**
Agreed with the previous speakers. Further, he said, the present state of the party has to do with the organisation of work. Secondly, the quality of our ideological work. Thirdly, the rapid growth of the party has unintentionally lowered the quality of party work. He also stated that sufficient time is not given towards organisational guidance.

- We are not developing deep convictions in Comrades so that they can face the day to day struggle of building the society.
- We are creating problems for app by the way in which we carry out our ideological and organisational work.
- Cde. Bogo also accepted a criticism made of him by Cde. Layne in his opening presentation.

7/......
CPE. OWUSU

Believies that all of us are aware of the lowering of standards in the party and raised a question as to the basis for recruitment of Proposed applicants into the party, in some cases people recently hostile to the party.

- This he says this makes the party a laughing stock.
- He cited annoyance at the highest level of the party and pointed out the failure of the party to create structures to shape character of Comrades.
- Large numbers of Comrades do not function within a party Committee thus making discipline difficult.

COMRADE PHYLLIS

Agreed with most points raised but not all. She made two main criticisms.

1. The petty bourgeois attitude still existing in a number of comrades, including the leadership.
2. The under-developed structure of the party such as the Secretariat etc.

- In response to the above she cited the following:
- our inability to refuse unrealistic tasks.
- last minute organisation
- our attitude to self criticism
- that our sense of timing is bad
- Cde. Phyl doesn't agree that we have casted our nets to wide as was indicated by Cde. Owusu. She agrees that we have made some mistakes, but there is good quality among the Pro. A's.
- we need to marry their ideological work with organisational work.
- A comparison has shown that the morale of Pro. A's is much higher than A's said Cde. Phyl.
- Lastly the O.C needs to decide on what specifically is its task—what kind of work it will do and she stressed that a disciplinary committee is needed as this takes up too much of the O.C's time.
COMRADE BERNARD

1. Cde. Layne must be commended for the quality of points he made and the amount of thoughts he put into them.

2. He agrees that there is a crisis in party organisation and highlighted that we are discussing these matters of the party in a time when the internal political situation of the country is good.

3. Our main problem is that we are trying to do too much.
   - He pointed out that we have listed 25 national events for the year not including 4 Playa Galon rallies in one night.
   - our human and other resources do not permit us to do what we are setting ourselves.
   - complaints have been coming even from technocrats and bureaucrats that excessive demands are being made on them by the ministers, etc.
   - He further endorsed the critical nature of building the character of party comrades. On the question of the prestige of the party and the willingness to join the same he says...
   - people have been identifying the quality of their work and wondering how come they did not make it into the Pop. A's classes.
   - this tells us that the party's prestige is growing despite the weakness we have displayed organisationally.
   - The masses are much more cleared now as to who are party members and who are not.
   - when the masses stop coming forward with criticisms that is the time we have to worry.
   - In this respect he doesn't agree with other Comrades who believes the prestige of the party is falling.
CDE. MAURICE

- Also complimented Cde; Layne for the comments he made and the C.C and the party owes the Comrade a depth of gratitude for the thoughts he has given to the matter.

- He further agrees that we are doing too much and we are overworked.

- added to this there are weaknesses in our method of work.

- in respect to party life and norms one weakness that younger Comrades face is lack of rules and written guidelines.

- rules and guidelines must tell what is expected of an applicant, Prq. A's, C.M and M, their rights and obligations.

- He also stress the need for more serious organised social activities for the party but under clearly defined security principles.

- Following this the decision was taken to draw up a list of Party rules and regulations. A sub Committee of the Party made up of Comrades Phyll and Tan was decided on to draft the above and submit it by Wednesday 28/4/82.

ITEM # 3

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This item was discussed in great details and at length. A number of decisions were taken which are attached to this report.
MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE MEETING
ON SATURDAY, 26th JUNE, 1982

Comrades present:-
1. Maurice Bishop
2. Bernard Coard
3. Calvyn Strachan
4. George Louison
5. Unison Whiteman
6. Huddon Austin
7. Kenrick Radix

Comrades absent:-
14. Linn Jones — out of country
15. Ewart Layne — sick
16. Chris Dodges — out of country
17. Tan Bartholomew — back

AGENDA:-
1. O.C. analysis of Party
2. Political/Economic review
3. Criticism/Self-Criticism

- Party's internal state and links with the masses at its worst since the Revolution

(a) Internal Party Organisation

1. implementation
2. supervision, control
3. internal study
4. bureaucratic apparatus - Party Secretariat *

(*) Part of i and ii but listed separately.

(b) Party's work among the masses

- 6 national rallies held in the last 14 weeks
- the activities this year have been aimless, purposeless, with no direct objectives, etc.

1. Standards of bourgeois trade unionism not being met.
2. Tendency towards rightism in handling of grievances of workers.

(2) Peasantry

- very little work done in the past 6 months
- the level of political organisational work cannot be felt
- failure to do propaganda work on the 30 miles of feeder roads
- and its impact on the farmers
- mood of farmers worse than 6 months ago;
(3) YOUTH AND STUDENTS
- worse area of mass work in the party
- quality of political work quite unsatisfactory
- problem of consolidation of large membership
- hierarchies work much better than the above two, best organised of the three
- the bourgeoisie forces re-organising their youth arm - religious, scouts, cubs, etc.

(4) WOMEN'S ORGANISATION
- also failed to consolidate the large membership
- has a significant number of groups not functioning or semi-functioning
- not enough members of their groups active

- political/ideological work among the masses has not got off the ground
- socialism classes/peas
- Party Papers: a disaster area
- Parish/Zonal Councils not being treated seriously; not enough mobilisational work being done

STATE MEDIA.
FMI - not contributed much to the political/ideological work
TTG - patronised for some time but have slipped backwards due to technical problems
RFG - very little local news

MOOD OF THE MASSES
- the mood of the masses not as high as recently as May Day
- at the time of Kachel's visit, the mood was already slipping
- the mood of the masses was highest on March 13; for the three years of the revolution - the broadest section of the masses were involved in the rally
- the mood now is not as low as last year around this period

REASONS FOR LOW MOOD
Economic: layoffs and rotation in Construction, Health in urban areas; rural areas - slide in world prices; terms of trade against Grenada; it's affecting farmers more than anyone else; However in areas where little benefits have gone to the masses of particular villages, the political work there has helped to keep the mood high; the party is not involved in house-to-house work.
INTERNALLY

Party Leninist standards have become worse; no Party study - CH's M's; PCB's very badly off

O.C. functioning in a very bureaucratic and routine way giving no assistance to Party committees except in crisis periods. It has not played a role in assisting in the discipline of Party comrades (approach to the work, cooperation in committees, character building, etc.)

PARTY SECRETARIAT: Failure of this to expand has affected the Party's professional nature of its work.

PARTY LEADERSHIP: The CC and PB devoted very little time to Party work and more to state work (economy, defence, etc.); no time for internal Party building, expanding Party structures, building Party's links among the masses, etc.

MILITIA: Difficulties in regions 1, 2, and 3.

CAYMACOU: No.3 about 4 (on 1 to 5 scale) however the political work has

Party members' morale is lower than that of the masses. The Armed Forces is

on a low also.

TASKS:

1. Nationalization of Party comrades' work.
2. Training of Party cadres (ideological work and organizational training)
3. Expansion of the Party Secretariat.
4. Introducing systems for control of work, monitoring, guiding work.

CRITICISM/SELF-CRITICISM:

O.C. recommendation re Clearglass 'Rex' Cornwall. Decision - removal from youth work and placed as ambassador to Cuba.


Next CC meeting - Friday, July 23rd, 1982. The PB to prepare a pre-set agenda for that meeting.

Comrades Strachan, St. Bernard and Ventour to prepare summary of OC's analysis of the Party to send to Party comrades.

NB - The minutes were prepared from notes taken at the meeting by Comrade Ventour.

Hazeldine
RECORDING SECRETARY
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MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE MEETING
HELD ON FRIDAY 27th AUGUST, 1962
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Comrades present:-
1. Maurice Bishop
2. Selwyn Strachan
3. George Louison
4. Chalkie Ventour
5. Fitzroy Bain

Comrades late:-
6. Hudson Austin
7. Tan Bartholomew
8. Kanau McBurnette
9. Liam James
10. Phyllis Coard (gave excuses re
11. Bernard Coard, their late arrival

Comrades absent:-
12. Unison Whiteman
13. Kenrick Radix
14. Chris BeRiggs
15. Martine
16. Ian St. Bernard
17. Leon Cornwall (preparing to leave

Agenda:-
1. Minutes
2. Tasks arising from the Minutes
3. Report from Weekend Members Study: Committee Workshop Reports
4. Line of March/Forward

The meeting started at 8.30 a.m. with 5 of the 11 CC members in the country present. The first almost 2 hours was used for reading the Report from the Weekend Members Study.

At 10.05 a.m., discussion on the agenda items started.

1. MINUTES
1.1 Corrections
(a) The minutes did not reflect the CC's doubts/questioning of a fraternal comrade to help build the Party, as suggested by some comrades.

(b) The task of the four-man committee (Strachan, Coard, Ventour and James) was not clearly defined. It should be stated that the four-man committee was set up for the purpose of conducting a thorough study of the internal state of the Party with a view to making recommendations to the Central Committee. A deadline of the end of October was set for the accomplishment of this task. In this regard, a one day meeting was scheduled.

1.2 Criticism of the minutes
Comrade Phyllis Coard made a general criticism of the minutes, stating that it does not reflect decisions taken at CC meetings and that it focuses more on comments made by the different comrades. Other comrades added the following points:-
- there is deficient recording/writing of the minutes
- lacks enough tightness in formulating decisions taken, etc.
- at intervals in the meeting, there should be a pause to give the Recording
Secretary formulations as may be necessary
- for each agenda item there should be a conclusion/consensus
- those ideas with no consensus/conclusions should also be stated
- at the end of each meeting two comrades should sit back with the Recording.
  Secretary to compare notes
- after writing up the minutes, they should be shown to Cde. Strachan before typing, for the purpose of corrections, etc.

Towards the end of the general discussion on this topic, Cde. Coard made the proposal that the minutes should be written under these five headings:

1. Main points - made and developed
2. All conclusions
3. Recommendations/Referral
4. Decisions/Resolution
5. Tasks Assigned

The above proposal was agreed to by the Central Committee.

4. REPORT FROM WEEKEND MEMBERS STUDY.

Two hours of the CC's time was given for the reading of this 52-page document, put together/comprised of the committees presentations and workshop reports given to the weekend study of the full members, 20 - 23 August.

However, this item was not discussed in any great detail. It was stated that it should act as a preliminary help to the formulation of a line of march/way forward in the present/coming period for the Party. Concretely, this means that the document will go to the Candidate Members, for them to study and make criticisms/proposals. (It has already reached the full members as they were involved in its drawing up.)

LINE OF MARCH/WAY FORWARD.

The Comrade Leader first stated the reasons for the postponement of the Special Party General Meeting originally fixed for Thursday 26th August. The reasons he explained as:-

(a) lack of full CC/PB input in the discussion, as the item re way forward was not discussed by the CC/PB up to the morning of the meeting day;
(b) "confusion on the ground";
(c) security - the entire Party was going to be at one location; two separate GM's will now be held - one for applicants and another for full members and candidate members.

The main points which would have had to be included in the main presentation/guidelines at the Special G.M. were the following (which were not even yet discussed centrally by the leading/higher Party organs):-
The character of the Revolution, bearing in mind the character of the society and of the Party, what was inherited.
Our economic path must be explained.

Explain what is the dictatorship of the working people.

The tasks were outlined as the following:

1. Building the Party through:
   (a) Sinking the ideas among the working class and working people - Socialism Classes
   (b) Organisation of the masses through zonal councils and other organs of popular democracy, mass organisations, culture, sports, etc.
2. Building the economy:
   (a) Raising production.
   (b) Strengthening our ties with the Socialist countries.
3. Strengthening our defense capacity.

The following important points were made:

1. The need to agree with analysis made.
2. Decide on tasks from the analysis and order of prioritisation.
3. The need to creatively apply the guidelines.
4. Organisational/tactical considerations to bear in mind; How does the Party develop its work among the masses?

Some observations were also made:

- Any comrades have lost a sense of perspective over the past few years.
- We should have a new party programme to replace the 1973 Manifesto.
- Written explanation of the way we are on this path of development has not been done.
- We are now more organized than one year ago (quantity has changed to quality).
- In the last 3 1/2 years our main areas of focus have been on: bringing benefits to the masses; mass organisations; popular organs of democracy; construction and defense.

We should decentralize sports and cultural activities?
We have had insufficient discussion on the character of the Revolution; we are now entering a new stage.

The following was given as some guidelines to deciding what is the Character of the Revolution (political):

- The Revolution is anti-imperialist and is at the national democratic stage.
- Our Party is more and more on the trend of the working class - it has that orientation; has a working class leadership/has a working class outlook.
- It is not the Party that holds power by itself; we need an alliance with sections of the national bourgeoisie.
- The class essence of the Revolution since March 13, 1979 has always been that of the dictatorship of the working people in alliance with the national bourgeoisie; however, the latter does not enjoy the same rights as the former.
- Generally, there has been no fear in the king up members of the bourgeoisie - they do not have control over the State; are not involved in the zonal councils, militia, etc.
- We have pursued very good strategy and tactics and have dealt with the things that really matter.
- There are different forms/methods/structures for strengthening the alliance with...
the national bourgeoisie

the question may be asked why do we need that alliance? To help run the economy
the peasantry and the working class also have an alliance; the material for this is
the exchange of the commodities produced by each other
the working class does not have monopoly over power; it has hegemony over power

t was pointed out that the Non-Capitalist Path or the Path of Socialist Orientation
is not fully understood by all comrades. Under this, these points were made:
this can be led by different classes and strata - petty bourgeoisie or the working
class must be the dominant class
only the working class can build Socialism because only them that own no property
we must build the State sector into becoming the dominant sector
we have set up a rivalry for the banking system through the establishment of the MCE
have been getting the private sector into areas of investment we want them to get into
we cannot have control on foreign trade unless we have control on the financial in-
stitutions - the aim is to have total control over these
MNIB presently has $35M worth of stock; between January - March 1962, 294,000 lbs
of farmers produce was sold by MNIB; one in every ten farmers sell their produce to the
MNE
internal distribution; public utilities (electricity, water and telephone) are very
important
manufacturing sector also important
must decide on what we want to use tourism for - the total cost of investment in this
area will be $232.7M (International Airport, hotels, etc.)
$217M will be earned in the 9th year of the International Airport
must concentrate/pull effort into tourism/agriculture/industry and the industrialisation
of agriculture
the danger of the path we are taking is that the bourgeoisie will try to take power

in the question of Culture:
- all local prostitutes should be picked up
- the foreign prostitutes should be deported
- the Ministry of Culture should have control over the cultural groups; they should all
  have Political Commissars attached to them
- ideological work is important, even while continuing on the economic development
- the path is very dangerous because it hasn't been tried before.

These key questions/points were raised:
- How do we effect the alliance with the bourgeoisie?
- At what point do we merge the urban and rural workers committees
- we must try to see how we can tie in the organisational tactics with the broad
  overall objectives, bearing in mind the priorities
- we have not been giving the working class enough attention - as much as it needs;
  we have treated all committees as equals, but the worker's committee should take pri-
  ority; how do we put this into reality

2. All Conclusions

2.1 The writing of CC minutes needs to be improved.
2.2 Many committee heads/study guides do not pass down the thinking of the CC to
their relevant bodies.
2.3 The security aspect of the Secretariat to be looked at with a view to being improved.
2.4 The weekend members study report to be used as a preliminary help re the discussion/formulation of a line of march/way forward.
2.5 The guidelines for the formulation of a line of march/way forward should be discussed at all levels of the Party.
2.6 There is need for a new Party Programme to replace the 1975 Manifesto.
2.7 The character of the Revolution can be described as anti-imperialist and at the national democratic stage.
2.8 The Party is being guided more and more by the outlook of the working class.
2.9 Must place importance/lay emphasis on our economic development and make strides towards the path of socialist orientation.
2.10 Must recognize the importance of our alliance with sections of the national bourgeoisie at present.

3. DECISIONS/RESOLUTIONS
3.1 The CC minutes to follow the 5-Leading proposal made by Cde. Coard.
3.2 The Education Committee to examine closely and make concrete recommendations re the proposal that YFR building be used to start a Party School. Education Committee meeting dfixled for 14th September.
3.3 The O.C. to follow up on the proposal that a fraternal comrade come to assist us in Party building.
3.4 The Weekend Members study report to be used as a guide to the Candidate Members' and Members' discussion (re the members' report contents).
3.5 The guidelines for developing a line of march for the upcoming period to be drafted into resolution or directive form by Comrades Strachan and Ventour. This to be sent to Study Guides and Committee Chairman.

A presentation on the line of march/way forward to be made by the Comrade Leader at the two upcoming General Meetings.
MINUTES OF EXTRA-ORDINARY MEETING OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF NJM
FROM TUESDAY 12th - FRIDAY 15th OCTOBER, 1982

Present:
Maurice Bishop
George Louison
Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Kenniick Radix
Hudson Austin
Liam James
Chalkie Ventour

Ewart Layne
Phyllis Coard
Leon Cornwall
Kaman McBurnette
Galdwell Taylor
Fitzroy Bain
Ian St. Bernard
Tan Bartholomew

On Tuesday 12th October, 1982, the Central Committee of NJM was convened by CC Chairman Cde. Maurice Bishop in an Extra-ordinary plenary to discuss a letter of resignation from Cde. Bernard Coard, Deputy Party Leader and to examine the issues raised in the latter related to the state of the Party and the crisis in the work of the higher organs.

Within the period of the meeting, the CC held four sessions totalling 32 hours. The crisis in the work of the higher organs was analysed, the performance of each member of the CC was assessed and a number of decisions on the way forward were taken.

Subsequent to two hours of initial deliberations, the CC settled on an approach to the meeting. Cde. Strachan was asked to summarise his discussions with Cde. Coard in relation to the matter of his resignation. Cde. Strachan made the following points:

i) Cde. Coard had indicated that his decision to resign from PB and CC was taken 6 months previously;
ii) His decision to resign from CC was primarily on account of strain but this was hastened by certain developments - linked to this was the undermining of his authority as Chairman of the CC.
iii) He had made reference to the slackness of the CC and its unwillingness to speak up on issues, the lack of preparation for meetings by CC comrades; and the unwillingness of the CC to study.
iv) In order to take corrective action it would result in personality clashes with the Chairman of the CC.
v) His presence was a fetter to the development of the CC if viewed dialectically.
vi) His resignation is not negotiable.

vi) In the final analysis stringent Leninist measures are required.

The meeting also listened to what was explained to be the main theoretical options presented by Cde. Coard to the Central Committee:

i) His own resignation
ii) He remains and tolerates slackness of CC and PB
iii) The introduction of Leninist measures, namely:
   a) Change Chairmanship of CC
   b) Chop dead weight from CC
   c) Put all members of CC into work committees
   d) Expand the Political Bureau
The meeting agreed to address itself to the issues raised by Cde. Gurd in his communication with several CC members. Cde. Layne was asked to comment on resolutions and decisions taken by the Central Committee since April, 1981 relating to the introduction of Leninist measures in the Party. Cde. Layne pointed to the following:-

**April, 1981:** The resolution of the CC addressed itself to:-

- a. Tight chairmanship
- b. Application of high standards of discipline and self-critical approach by all committees
- c. The setting up of a Secretariat and appointment of Recording Secretaries.

**September, 1981:** Analysis was done on:

- a. State of comrades’ health
- b. Lack of personal work plans

Decisions:-

- a. CC would meet once per month
- b. CC would draft schedule of work for comrades
- c. CC members would go personal work plans

**December, 1981:** In this period some 30 hours of review was done — a look was taken at earlier resolutions on strengths and weaknesses. The following was concluded:

- a. There was a lack of follow up work and a failure to implement major decisions.
- b. Information on decisions taken was not sent down to all levels of the Party.

**April, 1982:**

The CC considered problems related to:

- a. Discipline
- b. Study
- c. Standards
- d. Planning
- e. Arrogance throughout the Party
- f. Work-performance of members of the higher organs
- g. Of information

**June, 1982:** The CC analysis of the Party had indicated that:

1) There was a collapse of nearly all areas of Party work, namely:

- a. Workers
- b. Women
- c. Youth
- d. State

In this period the mood of the masses had been described as lower than May Day. The work of the CC had been described as bureaucratic and giving no guidance to work committees.

**July, 1982:**

In discussing the present state of the Party, the following weaknesses were pointed out:

- a. Control mechanism were not working
- b. There was looseness in Party organisation
- c. Activities were controlling the work of the CC
- d. A Party School was required for Party members to master the science of Marxism-Leninism.

The CC agreed that there were certain recurring, glaring weaknesses:

1) Improper functioning of Central Committee and Political Bureau:
2) Lack of control at the level of Chairmanship
3) Low level of discipline by members of the leadership.
Comrades also cited additional evidence of the crisis—

i) lack of collectivity in building the Party—few PB and CC comrades were giving serious thought to the work and this resulted in low levels of participation in the work;

ii) PB and CC had been "ducking" the real issues;

iii) there was dead weight at CC and PB level and this urgently had to be addressed;

iv) the CC was not studying while seeking to tackle the most explosive issues of the Church and the land.

The CC also addressed itself to the Basis for the crisis:

1. Material Basis: The material basis for the crisis could be found in the backward and underdeveloped nature of our society and the consequent existence of a large petty bourgeois influence in our society. This predominant petty bourgeois composition of the society as a whole reflected in the practical work of the CC.

2. The Political and Ideological Basis: As seen in the failure of the CC to study for close to one year which has weakened the extent to which the ideology of Marxism–Leninism acts as a guide to the actions of the members of the higher organs. This failure to study is definitely linked to the non-Leninist manner of functioning, slackness, timidity and "ducking" from making principled criticisms.

3. The Organisational Basis: Seen in the poor functioning of many Party structures, the non-Leninist practices of comrades of higher organs, the inadequate functioning of other Party members in work committees, the lack of reporting, and the objectively based inability of the O.C. to deal with all matters of discipline further feeds and allows petty bourgeois tendencies to dominate the life of the higher organs of the Party.

CROSSROADS:
The CC concluded that the Party stood at the crossroads—

i. The first route would be the petty bourgeois route which would seek to make B's resignation the issue. This would only lead to temporary relief, but would surely lead to the deterioration of the Party into a social-democratic Party and hence the degeneration of the Revolution. This road would be an easy one to follow given the objectively based backwardness and petty bourgeois nature of the society.

ii. The second route is the Communist route—the road of Leninist standards and functioning, the road of democratic centralism, of selectivity, of criticism and self-criticism and of collective leadership. The Central Committee reaffirmed the position taken by the General Meeting of September 12th and 13th, 1982—the Party must be placed on a firm Leninist footing.

INDIVIDUAL ASSESSING
The CC agreed on the following format for assessing the performance of each individual CC member:

1. Discipline—consistent political work.
2. Ideological level—including attitude to study.
3. Work performance—including professional approach, ability to supervise and guide, technical and professional job skills.
4. Relations with the masses—including the question of being an outstanding example.
5. Character/Integrity—including respect for the working class, co-operative-ness, modesty, self-criticism, honesty, arrogance and timidity.
6. Analytical ability—including ability to cope with difficult situations.
judgement, appreciation of strategy and tactics, overall leadership qualities.

7. Dues, attitude to Party and State property.

8. Functionability - general performance as a CC Member.

The scores on the following table summarises the collective assessment of the performance of each CC member. It should however be pointed out that the CC was particularly dissatisfied with the performance of the following comrades:

i. Cde. Kenrick Radix
ii. Cde. Caldwell Taylor
iii. Cde. Kamau McFarnerette
iv. Cde. Fitzroy Bain
v. Cde. Unison Whiteman

In the case of Cde. Kenrick Radix, the Central Committee had taken note of his lack of political work, his extremely bad attitude to study and deep seated individualism and petty bourgeois opportunist attitude to criticism. The consensus was that his performance was exceedingly below that befitting of a CC member.

In the case of Cde. Caldwell Taylor it was also the view that his performance did not measure up, particularly his low level of ideological development and sign of deviation into mysticism.
## ASSESSMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISCIPLINE</th>
<th>IDEOLOGICAL LEVEL</th>
<th>WORK PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>RELATIONS WITH THE MASSES</th>
<th>CHARACTER</th>
<th>ANALYSING ABILITY</th>
<th>DUES</th>
<th>FUNCTIONABILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oka</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5 to 3</td>
<td>1.5 to 5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kojo</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>3.5 to 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5 to 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.I.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalkie</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fittie</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bago</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 to 2.5</td>
<td>2.5 to 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diz</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unie</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllis</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4 to 4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sello</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5 to 4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2 to 2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaman</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** Scale of 1 to 5:
- 5: highest possible score
- 0: lowest score
- +: upward trend
- -: downward trend
1. DISCIPLINE

i. The CC established a Disciplinary Committee under the authority of the C.C. to handle all matters related to Party discipline.

ii. The Disciplinary Committee comprises following:
   a. Cde. Selwyn Strachan
   b. Cde. Dave Bartholomew
   c. Cde. lan St. Bernard
   d. Cde. Nelson Lonison
   e. Cde. Faye Thompson

iii. This Committee will draw up a Code of Conduct and Discipline to be submitted to be submitted the CC by December 10, 1982.

2. PARTY CONSTITUTION

i. A Party Sub-Committee has been established to work on the existing draft of the Party's Constitution.

ii. The Committee comprises the following:
   a. Cde. Maurice Bishop
   b. Cde. George Lonison
   c. Cde. Selwyn Strachan

iii. This committee must complete its work by the end of January, 1983.

3. MEETINGS OF THE C.C. AND P.B.

The following procedures will govern the conduct of meetings of CC, PB.

   i. Leninist Chairmanship
   ii. Discussions will be conducted on the basis of pre-scheduled agendas.
      a. Cdes. Strachan and DeRiggs will prepare a draft pre-scheduled agenda for CC meetings by the end of November, 1982.
      b. Cdes. Bishop, Strachan and Lonison will prepare a draft pre-scheduled agenda for PB meetings by October 22, 1982.
   iii. Decisions will be made in the form of resolutions or conclusions.
   iv. Meetings will start on time and end on time.
      v. There will be a specific duration for each discussion.
   vi. Members of the CC and PB will take careful notes in all meetings.
   vii. The Chairman will determine who speaks at any given point in time.

4. SEQUENCE OF CC MEETINGS

i. The CC will meet quarterly for five days of intensive wholistic assessing.
ii. This quarterly review will start at the end of March, 1983.
iii. The regular monthly CC meetings will be paused out.
iv. Between the present period and the end of the year, the CC will meet on three (3) occasions to look at specific areas. The CC will meet on November 1 - 5, 1982 to look at the Economy (8.00 a.m. to 6.00 p.m. on both days).

The CC will meet from 8.00 a.m. to 6.00 p.m. on December 10, 1982 to look at the re-organisation of the Party. The CC will use the last of the five (5) day study (Tuesday 19 - Saturday 23, October 1982) to take decisions on Land Reform.

5. WORKPLANS AND WORK SCHEDULES

i. All members of the CC and PB will prepare yearly workplans. The workplans...
for 1983 will be presented to the Secretariat by mid-January, 1983.

iii. All members of the CC and PB will prepare quarterly (3-monthly) workplans. Those for the period January - March, 1983 will be presented by mid-January, 1983.

iv. The aforementioned schedules and workplans will be assessed on a quarterly basis.

v. Each member of the CC and PB will submit self-assessment on the fulfilment of their workplans/schedules in writing on a quarterly basis at the quarterly CC meetings.

vi. These documents will be submitted to the Party Secretariat.

6. CONTROL CARDS/FILES FOR CC MEMBERS

i. A system of building files on each member of the CC will be commenced.

ii. These files will contain such information as:

   a. The records of CC members based on the quarterly assessing.
   b. Comrades' workplans and workschedules.

iii. Cde. Strachan will be in charge of this area and the system of filing must commence before the end of October, 1982.

7. PERSONAL ASSISTANTS

i. Each member of the CC will acquire a personal assistant of Secretariat by the end of November, 1982.

ii. A Personal Assistant of high political reliability and efficiency will be found for the Comrade Party Leader by the end of December, 1982. All CC members are to assist in obtaining such person.

8. DOCUMENTATION AND MINUTES

i. Documents to be discussed in CC and PB meetings must be submitted to all CC/PB members one week in advance.

ii. The Party Secretariat will prepare guidelines on the format for reporting by mid-November, 1982.

iii. A CC comrade, namely Cde. Strachan be given the task of sending out important CC resolutions to CC comrades abroad.

iv. The minutes of CC and PB meetings will indicate the arrival times of members. A record of punctuality will also be kept of study.

9. CC MEMBERS AND THEIR INVOLVEMENT IN MASS AND POLITICAL WORK

i. Every member of the CC will supervise an area of mass work or political work. The details of this decision will be worked out by the CC at its meeting of December 10, 1982.

ii. The Comrade Leader will be put in charge of supervising the rural workers work. Supervision means:

   a. Meeting with the Rural Workers Committee to prepare a Work Plan.
   b. At least one monthly meeting with the committee to provide guidance in strategy and tactics and organisation.

iii. Cde. Fitzroy Pain will present a paper on the political issues involved in this area of work by December, 1982.

10. CENTRAL COMMITTEE STUDY

i. The CC will immediately resume study. The first regular session
will be Thursday November 11, 1982.

ii. There shall be an intensive 5 day study for the CC to start on Tuesday October 19 and end on Saturday October 23, 1982.

iii. The study material shall be:

a. Organisational Principles of a Political Party
b. Lenin on the Transformation of Agriculture
c. Political Economy of Capitalism

iv. A more scientific method of assessing Comrades' level or preparedness will be utilised. Cde. Louison will prepare a draft evaluation scheme by Tuesday October 19, 1982 and will present it to the CC study group.

v. Disciplinary action will be taken against CC members who are late or absent without good excuse. This will be subject to the Code of Conduct and Discipline.

vi. A crash course in Marxism-Leninism will be organised for the following comrades:

   a. Austin
   b. Bain
   c. Whitman
   d. Taylor
   e. Partholomew

Cde. Bernard Gourd will be asked to handle this course which will begin in two weeks time for a duration of 8 weeks.

vii. The material for the crash course will include the following:

2. Dialectics by J.V. Stalin
3. Found items of Leninism by J.V. Stalin
4. The three sources and three component parts of Marxism

viii. Comrade Louison will act as CC Study Guide.

11. TIMIDITY AND CONSULTATIONS

i. It is considered a serious violation of the norms of Party life for any CC member to have knowledge of any problem which affects the Leninist functioning of the Party and fails to raise the said problem at CC meetings.

ii. CC members have the right to consultations and bilaterals but should not display timidity in tabling issues for full CC discussion.

12. DEVELOPING LEADERSHIP OUTSIDE OF THE CC

i. "Conscious" efforts will be made to develop leadership outside the CC.

ii. In this respect membership of the Party will be fed with information and will be provided with background to the strategy and tactics of CC resolutions.

iii. The CC will utilise the Parish General Meetings to brief members on CC resolutions.

13. MEMBERSHIP OF CC AND PB

The following decisions were made on the question of membership of the CC and PB.

i. Cde. Radix has been removed from membership of the PB and CC.

ii. Cde. Taylor has been removed from membership of the CC.

iii. The details of Cde. Taylor's future political and state work will be worked out by the PB. Cde. Taylor will be recalled to Grenada in two weeks time to inform him of the CC's decision.

iv. Cde. McBurnette is put on a probationary period of 6 months. He will...
take immediate rest from all political and state work for a period of one month. During this period he will also receive medical attention.

v. Cde. Bain is put on a probationary period of 6 months.

vi. Cdes. Bain and McBarnette will be assessed in March, 1983.

vii. Cde. Whiteman will be severely warned for his weak performance.

14. EXPANSION OF THE POLITICAL BUREAU

i. Cdes. Ventour, Layne and James have been made members of the PB.

ii. Cde. Phyllis Coard will be assessed in March on the question of membership of the Political Bureau.

15. The CC accepts the resignation of Cde. Bernard Coard from the CC and PB. The details of this will be worked out by the Political Bureau.

16. ORGANISATION OF PB'S WORK

i. The PB is directed to organise its work according to the idea of "clusters of work".

ii. The PB will report to the CC on this by December 10, 1982. A sub-committee of Cdes. Strachan, Louison and Bishop to look at it.

17. PARTY PROGRAMME

i. A Sub-Committee of the Party will draft a new Party Programme.

ii. The sub-committee comprises:

a. Cde. Louison

b. Cde. Phyllis Coard

iii. The deadline for the completion of the draft programme is March, 1986.
FOREIGN POLICY REPORT

THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE OF FOREIGN POLICY

Foreign policy is the extension internationally of domestic policy. It is the projection on the international scene of the national policy line pursued on the homestfront.

This does not mean that a country's domestic policy can be simply shifted to and imposed on the international scene. What it means is that the foreign policy of a country has to be rooted in its domestic situation; in its politics, its philosophy, its economy, its geography—these factors are the main determinants of a country's foreign policy.

While the major determinants of a country's foreign policy are of a domestic nature, external factors also impact upon the foreign policy line. Therefore, the foreign policy must always be sensitive and alert to the dynamic regional and international situations and must be cognizant at all times of the world's constellation and array of forces. Foreign policy has to be flexible enough to respond to changes in those situations and in the international status quo.

GRENADA'S FOREIGN POLICY

Grenada's Foreign Policy reposes on the scientific ideological foundation irreconcilably opposed to the exploitation and domination of nation by nation. This is consistent with Grenada's domestic policy which is opposed to the exploitation of one to one. Thus, Grenada's foreign policy is inevitably manifested through non-alignement, anti-imperialism and peaceful co-existence.

(See "Grenada's Foreign Policy" annex )

/ Gooda......
NEEDS AND GOALS OF GRENADE'S FOREIGN RELATIONS

Arising out of its domestic situation a country's foreign relations is geared to fulfilling certain concrete needs and accomplishing clearly defined goals. For Grenada twelve such needs and goals have been outlined:

1. grants;
2. soft loans;
3. lines of credit;
4. machinery and equipment (either through loans or gifts);
5. technical assistance;
6. markets - guaranteed long-term;
7. tourism;
8. diplomatic support;
9. moral and political support;
10. military and security consideration;
11. material support;
12. electoral support.

These needs and goals are grounded in Grenada's domestic reality of underdevelopment and economic backwardness. Another significant determinant is Grenada's geographic reality as a small island state lacking vital economic endowments such as:

1. a viable infrastructure;
2. human resources (especially skilled and trained personnel);
3. a resilient resource base;
4. a large market;
5. financial resources;
6. a diversified economy;
7. natural resources.

The accent on support in Grenada's foreign relations is determined not only by domestic factors but is significantly influenced by the regional and international situation. The Grenada Revolution, the supreme factor delineating Grenada's reality, is confronted by the hostility and might of U.S. imperialism. As a small country Grenada requires extensive and intensive international support to combat successfully U.S. imperialism. The foreign relations must reflect this need for support. Grenada's foreign relations must be the front line of the defence of the Glorious March 13th Revolution.

ISSUES AND CONCERNS OF GRENADE'S FOREIGN POLICY

Grenada's foreign policy has been consistent. The major themes are constantly reflected in the foreign policy statements.
in the speeches by the Foreign Minister and other Ministers both in international forums and before the assemblies of Grenadian people.

Common themes are (1) the call for world peace; (2) the question of detente; (3) disarmament; (4) the resumption of the SALT II talks; (5) peaceful coexistence. Also, on the question of peace Grenada has pioneered and continues to champion the concept of the Caribbean as a zone of peace. On this Grenada was able to have a Resolution adopted by the Organization of American States (OAS) at its Assembly in La Paz, Bolivia in 1979.

Central also to Grenada's foreign policy pronouncements and positions are the hallowed principles of non-alignment - anti-imperialism, anti-racism, anti-zionism, anti-colonialism, anti-neo-colonialism, anti-fascism as well as irreconcilable opposition to the hosting of military bases.

The principles of national sovereignty, respect for the territorial integrity of states, the legal equality of states, the right to develop one's own politics-socio-economic process free from outside interference, non-intervention in the domestic affairs of states and ideological pluralism are consistently stated in and defended by Grenada's foreign policy.

Revolutionary Grenada has steadfastly supported the call for a New International Economic Order (NIEO) and for the democratization of the international economic system especially the international monetary system. Along with this call Grenada has advocated the widening and strengthening of South-South Cooperation, Economic and Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries (ESLC) (TCDC).

Beginning with the Commonwealth Heads of Government Meeting in Lusaka, Zambia, August 1979, Revolutionary Grenada has led / the ........
the call for special consideration and assistance for small island states, a category of states facing particular problems. At the meeting of Foreign Ministers of the Non Aligned Movement, New Delhi, India 9-13 February, 1981 Grenada was able to have the movement recommend that the problems of small island states be particularly considered.

For more on Grenada's position on small island states see the Prime Minister's speech in Aruba in Annex.

In sum then Grenada's foreign policy is very active. It addresses itself to the international situation and issues of the day reflects the national interest and concerns.

CONSTRUCT OF THE WORLD

The world, as the Ministry of External Affairs has it, is divided into seven (7) geopolitical regions. They are
(1) the Americas; (2) Eastern Europe; (3) the Middle East;
(4) Western Europe; (5) the Caribbean; (6) Africa; (7) the Far East.

Of course these geopolitical regions satisfy Grenada's foreign policy needs and interests to different extents and levels. Therefore, the degree of closeness in the relationship between Grenada and each of the regions is not uniformed. This also holds for Grenada's relations with individual countries.

GRENADA DIPLOMATIC MISSIONS

Grenada currently maintains seven (? embassies abroad. These are in Cuba, Venezuela, the United Kingdom, Belgium (the EEC), Canada, the OCS/USA Mission and the United Nations Mission in the United States.

Plans are fully in train to establish an Embassy in Iraq. Grenada's Ambassador designate to Iraq is Mr. O. Benjamin.

The possibility of setting up an Embassy in the Union of Soviet Socialist Republic (USSR) is also being actively explored and should be realised before the end of 1981.
As can be perceived from the fact that Grenada maintains five missions in the Americas, this is a region of importance to Grenada. For one, many of Grenada's nationals reside in the U.S.A., Canada, and Venezuela. The missions in these countries have the high responsibility of looking after the interests of these nationals abroad. Secondly, many of these nationals are able and are willing to assist the revolutionary process unfolding in Grenada economically, financially and politically. The missions have the task of mobilising the nationals abroad to that end. In this regard the missions have done good work particularly the OAS and UN Missions.

Since Grenada seeks to develop very close relations with the Latin American countries despite the language difference our relations with this region show Grenada as involved in many organisations and groupings which bring together the countries of the region. SELA, ECLA, OLADE, the OAS, IICA, are examples of groupings in which Grenada develops relations with the Latin American countries. Grenada has diplomatic relations with 11 of the Latin American Countries (see Annex) but has ambassadors accredited to only two countries. This will be improved shortly as Cde. Richard Jacobs, Ambassador to Cuba, is Ambassador designate to Panama, Nicaragua and Mexico while Cde. Matthew Williams, Ambassador to Venezuela, is Ambassador designate to Colombia and Ecuador. Cde. Vernon Simon is the Ambassador designate to Surinam and should present his letters of credence in June 1981. Five Latin American countries have ambassadors accredited to Grenada; only two are resident.

Grenada's most remarkable foreign policy initiative in Latin America was to pioneer the concept of the Caribbean Sea as a Zone of Peace and to have the OAS Assembly in 1979 adopt a positive Resolution on this in La Paz, Bolivia. Other countries have recently attempted to pretend to be the pioneers and supreme champions of the concept. Grenada must not allow this farce to be successful ....
successful and must tighten up its definition of Caribbean and the Zone of Peace concept and take it into other forums such as the United Nations, the Non-Aligned Movement and CARICOM.

On the El Salvador question Grenada's position is clear and irrevocable: the Junta in El Salvador is a genocidal regime backed solely by U.S. imperialism and devoid of any internal base and consequently any legitimacy. The true and authentic representative of the Salvadoran people in the Frente Democratico Revolucionario (FDR).

Grenada has excellent relations with the Revolutionary Governments, people and countries of Nicaragua and Cuba. Grenada desires the closest relations with these two countries. Grenada has several agreement with Cuba and receives tremendous and invaluable assistance from Cuba in numerous areas - the New International Airport, Fisheries, Education, Military, Agriculture, Health. Cuba has also consistently supported and defended Grenada in international forums.

Nicaragua has also supported Grenada internationally and Grenada has received technical assistance, in the form of military uniforms, from Nicaragua. Grenada in return has supported and defended Nicaragua internationally being the second country to recognise the Provisional Government of National Reconstruction in 1979 and Grenada sent two teachers to assist the Nicaraguans in their literacy programme in 1980.

Grenada has also received technical assistance from Panama (training of policemen), Mexico (scholarships in sports), Argentina (1,000 tons of wheat in 1980) and Venezuela. The Venezuelans have given assistance in several areas: Health, Communication (a new beacon for Pearls Airport), Sports, Energy. A technical assistance agreement between Venezuela and Grenada exists and covers areas like roads. Brazil has extended to Grenada a line of credit for the new people's plane chartered by LIAT.
In addition the above Grenada will soon be holding discussions with Brazil and Mexico to explore and arrange areas for technical assistance and cooperation. A delegation from Grenada is due to visit Mexico for this purpose in June. Already a delegation headed by Lyden Ramdanny, member of the P.L.O. has visited Brazil for similar discussions. Out of these discussions, the plane emerged.

Grenada's relations with Latin America have been active at many levels. One thinks though that there is room to intensify and widen these relations. For one, Argentina, like Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela, is among the most developed countries in the region. Perhaps the same initiative pursued in regards to intensive discussions with Mexico, Brazil and Venezuela for technical assistance and cooperation agreements can be pursued with Argentina who has indicated strong interest in the Caribbean countries. Of course the domestic policies of repression, the links with South Africa and Israel, the part she played in the Bolivian Coup, and the rumours of her interest in the South Atlantic Treaty Organization (SATO), are factors which have to be considered and weighed before moving too close to Argentina. However, the possibility should at least be considered.

EASTERN EUROPE

Grenada now has diplomatic relations with all Eastern European countries. However, Grenada has no diplomatic representation in Eastern Europe nor does she have any ambassador accredited to any Eastern European Country on a non-resident basis. The U.S.S.R., the G.D.R., Bulgaria, Hungary and Czechoslovakia all have ambassadors accredited to Grenada on a non-resident basis.

Despite the lack of representation Grenada's relations with the Eastern European countries is substantial. Grenada has Trade agreements and Scientific and Technical agreements with the USSR, Hungary, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, the GDR and Poland. Under these agreements and the many Protocols attached to some of them
Grenada has received material assistance from Eastern Europe—means of transport, scholarships and assistance in agriculture among other areas.

Grenada's relations with Eastern Europe was significantly developed and began to bear fruit after two visits to that region by Deputy Prime Minister Cde. Bernard Coard in 1979 and 1980. The Cde. Deputy Prime Minister also attended the 26 CPSU Congress in 1981 and further developed Grenada's relations with the USSR.

Grenada perceives the key role the Socialist Community has played in defending the developing countries and in consolidating the hard won independence of these countries. Also, the support National Liberation Movement have had from the Socialist Community has been tremendous and often decisive. Thus, for Grenada the Eastern European Countries can be a real source of political and diplomatic support apart from the vast potential they have for technical and economic assistance. For these two reasons Grenada must continue intensifying its relations with Eastern Europe. Therefore, the possibility of establishing an Embassy in Moscow must be seriously and positively explored.

Another initiative in Eastern Europe which must be considered is a visit to Romania. At the recent meeting of Grenada's Heads of Missions in March 1981, Butler House, Belmont, St. George's, Grenada, High Commissioner to Canada, Cde. Jimmy Emmanuel, reported that the Romanians had approached him expressing interest in having the Cde. Prime Minister visit Romania.
THE MIDDLE EAST

Grenada recognises that the Middle East with its petro wealth offers great scope for:

(i) technical and economic assistance and cooperation;
(ii) Economic Cooperation among Developing Countries (ECDC) - South-South Cooperation;
(iii) a stronger role for the developing countries in the world economy.

Thus, Grenada's foreign relations with the Middle East have been guided by the recognition as well as by Grenada's principles of anti-zionism and anti-imperialism. Grenada has developed close relations with Algeria, Libya, Syria and Iraq. Today it has technical assistance arrangements with Libya, Algeria, Syria and Iraq and has received substantial assistance from these countries. Algeria is providing all the oil, gas, used by the machines employed in the construction of the New International Airport for 1981.

Grenada's relations with these Middle East countries was strengthened and developed after Prime Minister Maurice Bishop visited them in 1980. The Prime Minister brought back over EC$21m. in grants. The possibility of a visit to other Middle East countries such as the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Kuwait is currently being explored.

Mr. Ashley Taylor, Legal Adviser to the Minister of External Affairs, visited Algeria and Libya in April 1981 as part of the mobilisation for the recently concluded Co-financing Conference for the international airport held in Brussels, Belgium in mid April 1981. Also, it is likely that the Deputy Prime Minister will pay a visit to Libya, Algeria and Iraq this May 1981.

Thus, Grenada has pursued an active policy in the Middle East designed mainly to harness the immense potential the region has for grants,
lines of credit, soft loans, technical assistance and energy. However, Grenada’s Middle East policy is also geared to develop close relations with the anti-imperialist, anti-zionist forces in the region and to support the Just Struggle of the Palestinian people. Thus, Grenada has recognised the PLO as the legitimate representative of the Palestinian people and remains unswerving in its conviction that no settlement can take place without the involvement of the PLO. Therefore, Grenada has taken a position irreconcilably opposed to the Camp David Agreement.

On the Iraq-Iran war Grenada supports the initiatives taken by the Non-Aligned Movement to mediate between the two countries and bring a Just solution to the conflict. The war between two anti-imperialist countries, two members of the Non-Aligned Movement, two members of OPEC, two anti-zionist countries is fundamentally regrettable particularly as it consumes tremendous energy and resources which could have been gainfully utilised in the anti-imperialist, anti-zionist struggle.

It must be pointed out here that despite the invaluable assistance Grenada has received from the Kid Last, finance, energy, petrol boats from Libya, Grenada has no diplomatic representation in the region. Grenada has diplomatic relations with seven (?) countries in the Middle East but has not even a non-resident ambassador accredited to any of the countries. It is therefore key that Grenada implements the decision to send Mr. O. Benjamin to Iraq as Ambassador.

WESTERN EUROPE

Grenada has two missions in Western Europe:

(1) the High Commission to the United Kingdom;

(11) the mission to the EEC located in Brussels, Belgium.*

Diplomatic relations have been established with 14 West European countries and ambassador accredited to eight.

As a source of tourism and as a market Western Europe is of importance to Grenada. Also, in the United Kingdom are many of Grenada’s
nationals; this adds importance to relations with Britain particularly as the British Nationality Bill is so topical. However, the Thatcher led government of Britain has adopted a hostile posture to Grenada and there has been very little bilateral contact between the two countries.

Grenada's more fruitful relations with Western Europe has been through the EEC Commission. The EEC has funded projects in Grenada including the building of community centres and the supply of milk.

The best known foreign relations initiative Grenada has made in Western Europe was the recent staging of the Co-financing Conference to mobilise funds for the International Airport.

Grenada has also sought through the Socialist International to develop strong relations with the Social Democratic Parties of Western Europe some of which are in power in their countries. This is a policy which has tremendous potential for realising material assistance for Grenada. Also, through the Socialist International Grenada can make its contribution to détente, disarmament and peace in Europe.

With France Grenada has a programme for Technical Cooperation. This includes road communication, energy, bio-gas, agriculture, mineral water and the training of teachers in French. These areas were raised by Grenada during the visit of the French Technical and Cultural Mission headed by one Mr. André in October, 1979. The victory of François Mitterrand of the Socialist Party, a member of the Socialist International, in the recent presidential elections should permit closer cooperation with France.

*The EEC Mission covers many countries. See Annex*
Most of Grenada's relations with the Caribbean has been through the regional institutions: CARICOM and its Ministerial Committees, WISA (soon to be the Organisation of East Caribbean States (OECS)). Grenada has been consistent in its attendance of most of the meetings, seminars and workshops organized by regional bodies.

The more important ones are the CARICOM Council of Ministers Meetings, the WISA Council of Ministers Meetings and the Meetings of the Standing Committee of Ministers Responsible for Foreign Affairs. In these forums, Grenada seeks to cooperate closely with its Caribbean counterparts while not sacrificing national goals and principles.

Grenada has agreed with the other Eastern Caribbean Countries on the transformation of the West Indies Associated States Council (WISA) into the Organisation of East Caribbean States (OECS). The OECS should come into being on 4th July. Grenada has agreed in principle but the proposed treaty must be carefully studied.

Despite the hostility of some Caribbean countries Grenada has displayed its good neighbourliness on several occasions; for one, after the Union Island uprising and the apprehension on its territory of Bumba Charles, Grenada returned the latter to the Government of St. Vincent; again Grenada has offered, despite its weak economy, scholarships to the other countries in its Fisheries Training School.

In order to strengthen and promote its relations with the Caribbean, Grenada has designated a High Commissioner to CARICOM. Already St. Lucia and the Bahamas have agreed to Grenada's request to the Caribbean. St. Vincent has refused arguing to the effect that the relations should be on a multilateral basis; that is, through the regional institutions.

AFRICA

Grenada's main foreign policy concern in Africa thus far has been the situation in Southern Africa. In all international forums Grenada
has been very consistent in its condemnation of South Africa's apartheid and racism, its illegal occupation of Namibia, its genocidal repression of the South African and Namibian people and its military aggression and acts of destabilisation against the Front Line States.

As a concrete manifestation of its commitment to the liberation struggle in South Africa Grenada in February 1981 gave £150,000 to the Namibian Liberation Fund set up by the Organisation of African Unity. (OAU)

Due to the acute state of underdevelopment of the economies of the African countries Grenada does not view Africa as a source of financial and economic assistance. However, individual countries such as Nigeria with its booming oil economy can provide substantial assistance. As a matter of fact Grenada received a grant of some £200,000 from Nigeria for banana rehabilitation in 1981. The potential for intensifying relations with Nigeria exists and is being developed.

Africa can be a source of great diplomatic moral and political support. When the imperialist sponsored bomb went off on June 19, 1980 several African countries came out condemning the vicious attack. Also, when U.S. imperialism attempted to sabotage the Co-financing Conference held in Brussels recently the African countries of the ACP all came out with a strong resolution condemning the manoeuvres of the U.S.A.

Therefore, Grenada must intensify its diplomatic relations with the African countries. Presently Grenada has relations only with less than one half of the African countries and does not have an ambassador accredited to any. Moves have been made to accredit Cde. Dennis Augustine to the Front Line States. Grenada has recognized and has established diplomatic relations with the Saharan Arab Democratic Republic showing once again its support of just liberation struggles.
In Asia Grenada has developed close relations with very few countries. Diplomatic relations exist with nine countries and four have ambassadors accredited to Grenada while Grenada has none accredited.

Grenada has been critical of the South Korean fascists and has developed healthy relations with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK)(North Korea). Cde. Selwyn Strachan's visit to the DPRK significantly firm up relations while Korean trade and cultural delegations have visited Grenada. Also, the non-resident Ambassador Mr. Li Jung Ok has made several trips to Grenada. A technical and economic cooperation agreement between Grenada and the DPRK has been discussed and negotiated. One of the areas is an irrigation scheme on the Paradise Estate.

With India Grenada has discussed the possibility of technical assistance. This involves a cotton ginnery for Carriacou. Nothing definite has yet emerged from the discussions.

A Grenada delegation is scheduled to visit Mongolia and Vietnam very shortly. This visit should really widen and deepen Grenada's relations with those two countries of the Far East.

**Membership in International Organisations**

Grenada enjoys membership in several international organisations. This reflects Grenada's active foreign policy geared to promote peace and cooperation among the members of the international community.

**The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries**

This international organisation is key to Grenada's foreign policy. Grenada joined the Movement in 1979 and was immediately made a member of the Coordinating Bureau. In the Movement Grenada has expressed organised support for the liberation struggles the world over and has

---

*See Annex*
reinforced its anti-imperialist, anti-colonialist character.

Reciprocally the Non-Aligned countries as a movement have expressed firm support for the Grenada Revolution. Statements to that effect were adopted by both the Havana Summit, 1979, and the Foreign Ministers' Meeting, New Delhi, February 1981. This moral and political support is indispensable for Grenada confronted as it is by U.S. imperialism; the point is that Grenada's membership in the Non-Aligned Movement is a weapon in the struggle against alien domination.

Grenada's membership in the Movement has won it the respect and material support of many countries. It was through attendance of the Havana Summit that Prime Minister Maurice Bishop made the vital contact with the Middle East countries and was able to visit Algeria, Iraq, Libya and Syria subsequently and obtained tremendous financial and other assistance.

So far Grenada has not yet been very actively involved in the Coordinating Groups of the Movement; that is the groups of countries responsible for particular areas such as tourism, fisheries, women, housing, etc. Cde. Kendrick Radix recently attended the Fisheries Meeting in Cuba and it is expected that Sir, Hyllis Gourd will attend the Women's Meeting Cuba this May 1981. Attendance of the Meeting on Tourism in Cyprus, June 1981 should be actively explored. These Coordinating Groups can enhance Grenada's prestige and also bring concrete gains for Grenada.

THE UNITED NATIONS

Grenada has used the United Nations to maintain contact with the many countries in which it has no representation. This adds importance to Grenada's membership in the United Nations.

In that Organisation too Grenada has been able to show support for liberation movements, support initiatives for peace and disarmament and has been able to explain the Grenada Revolution. Grenada has not so far initiated any action in the U.N.
Membership in the United Nations has allowed Grenada to benefit from U.N. Agencies such as UNESCO, The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and The Economic Commission for Latin America (ECLA).

In 1979 Cde. Maurice Bishop addressed the 34th General Assembly of the United Nations while Cde. Selwyn Strachan addressed the 35th General Assembly in 1980.

SOCIALIST INTERNATIONAL

In the Socialist International Grenada has been able to show organised support for peace, peaceful co-existence, disarmament, détente, cooperation between nations and for the national liberation struggles. Grenada's relations with the SI, developed through the attendance of its meetings by Cde. Unison Whiteman, has earned for Grenada statements of solidarity with the Grenada Revolution. These statements are of vital diplomatic and political importance.

Membership in the SI has also permitted Grenada to develop relations with progressive parties and sectors of Western Europe. Cde. Maurice Bishop's recent attendance of the SI Meeting in Holland served to make good contact with the leading personalities and parties of the SI.

THE COMMONWEALTH

Grenada's main interaction with this grouping was the attendance of the Heads of Governments Meeting in Lusaka, Zambia in 1979. In that meeting Grenada's main initiative in the Commonwealth was made; that is, the advocacy of the need for special consideration and assistance for small island states. The Cde. Prime Minister lucidly presented a paper on small island states outlining clearly the severe constraints which these countries must surmount.

FOREIGN POLICY SUCCESSES AND ACHIEVEMENTS

Grenada has scored some successes in its foreign relations. Among these can be cited

(1) promotion of the special situation of small island states - through its determined efforts Grenada has been able to
have a wide cross-section of the international community recognise the particular problems confronting small island states;

(ii) pioneering and championing of the concept of the Caribbean Sea as a Zone of Peace - the OAS has recognised it as such when in 1979, La Paz, Bolivia, Grenada tabled a resolution which was subsequently passed;

(iii) being the second state to recognise the Provisional Government of National Reconstruction in Nicaragua, June 1979. This move consolidated the revolutionary process in Nicaragua and accelerated Somoza's fall;

(iv) being the first state in the Western Hemisphere to recognise the Saharan Arab Democratic Republic;

(v) giving material support, £150,000 to SWAPO;

(vi) the tremendous, invaluable economic, financial and technical assistance received from external sources.

**BALANCE OF FORCES IN THE WORLD**

Grenada's foreign policy, as can be perceived from the above information, is highly active. It is rooted in Grenada's domestic situation and is guided by Grenada's principles of non-alignment and anti-imperialism. However, any successful foreign policy must be aware of and respond to the international conjuncture.

One of the main characteristics of the present international conjuncture is the changing balance of forces. Previously imperialism led by the U.S.A. held unchallenged sway over mankind. Now the socialist community is strong and growing still. The U.S.S.R. is in the equal, at least, of the U.S.A. Other poles of power have developed through the ability of the OPEC countries to exact from the rapacious West adequate compensation for their natural resource: petroleum.

Thus, today when the U.S. imperialism launches economic aggression against Revolutionary Nicaragua and Mozambique, refusing to sell them
wheat, the U.S.S.R. can supply the two countries with the necessary wheat. When the U.S.A. refuses to loan money to Nicaragua, Libya provides the money. When the CIA destabilises Angola and sends in mercenaries against the popular MPLA, Cuba moves to assist Angola militarily and successfully. When the U.S.A. tries to stop Grenada building an international airport other countries assist Grenada in the construction of the airport.

The point is that U.S. imperialism no longer holds sway over mankind. Though it remains powerful U.S. imperialism is on the decline. Today as oil rich Saudi Arabia increases its contribution to the International Monetary Fund and its voting power increases the basis for the U.S.A. veto control of the international monetary system is being eroded. Doubtless other oil-rich countries will follow the example of Saudi Arabia.

Thus, Grenada's foreign policy must be cognizant of and reflect this change in the balance of forces. A foreign policy which is not mindful of the international conjuncture is doomed to failure. As a country embarked on the construction of a revolution, the change in the world's balance of forces is for Grenada a positive factor.
LIST OF COUNTRIES WITH WHICH GRENADA DOES NOT WISH TO DEVELOP CLOSE RELATIONS

(i) BOLIVIA
(ii) COMORO ISLANDS
(iii) CHILE
(iv) CHINA
(v) EGYPT
(vi) EL SALVADOR
(vii) HAITI
(viii) HONDURAS
(ix) ISRAEL
(x) GUATEMALA
(xi) PARAGUAY
(xii) SOUTH KOREA
(xiii) TAIWAN
(xiv) SOUTH AFRICA
(xv) URUGUAY
(xvi) ZAIRE
**GRENADA'S MEMBERSHIP IN INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL ORGANISATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CARICOM</td>
<td>Caribbean Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTC</td>
<td>Commonwealth Technical Assistance Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAD</td>
<td>Latin American Centre for Development Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACP/EEC</td>
<td>Lomé Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLA</td>
<td>Economic Commission for Latin America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agricultural Organization (UN Agency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3RD</td>
<td>International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (World Bank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF</td>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFAD</td>
<td>International Fund for Agricultural Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Finance Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IICA</td>
<td>Inter-American Institute for Agricultural Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSR</td>
<td>Member of Commonwealth of Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEC</td>
<td>Non-Aligned Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLAEO</td>
<td>Latin American Energy Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS</td>
<td>Organization of American States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHO</td>
<td>Pan-American Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Socialist International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNO</td>
<td>United Nations Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Education, Scientific and Cultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCTAD</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Trade and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization (UN Agency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WICSA</td>
<td>West Indies Associated States</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OUR COUNTRY IS IN DANGER

DRAFT RESOLUTION FROM THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE OF THE NEW JEWEL MOVEMENT TO PARTY MEMBERS, SUPPORTERS AND THE BROAD MASSES OF THE PEOPLE OF GRENADE, ON THE PRESENT NATIONAL SECURITY SITUATION: FACING OUR COUNTRY AND REVOLUTION

The Central Committee of the New Jewel Movement, having met and analysed the current threat to our Peoples' Revolution, issues the following call to our people:-

AN IMMEDIATE THREAT TO OUR REVOLUTION NOW EXISTS

(1) The New Jewel Movement wants all party members as well as the entire people of Grenada to be fully conscious that there is now a real and serious danger of an immediate military attack in one form or another, against our country and revolution. Such an attack could come within a matter of days, or even hours. This is the most serious threat our country has faced since March 13th 1979.

(2) The proof of this lies in the following: On Budget Day, last Thursday, 11th March, the Comrade Leader of our party and Revolution, Prime Minister Maurice Bishop made a speech in which he warned of the danger of stepped-up military aggression in our region. This was followed, not even forty-eight (48) hours later, by the large-scale military invasion against Nicaragua which took place in the early hours of last Saturday morning, 15th March.

(3) Our party's analysis of the threat to the region and to Grenada in particular, comes not only from the verbal attacks of senior officials of the U.S. administration not only from the major attacks by President Reagan against both Grenada and Nicaragua on March 10th; not only from the large scale military manoeuvres right now being conducted in our region. Our understanding of the serious threat to our revolution also comes from a wide range of concrete intelligence information available over several months, and especially in recent weeks, demonstrating clearly the detailed planning and organisation of an attack on Grenada by counter-revolutionary forces abroad working in close collaboration with US imperialism, and in particular with the CIA and the US Defence Department. We even have the names of the CIA case officers who have been working together with Grenadian counter-revolutionaries overseas.
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The only unknown factor, therefore, is the date of the launching of the attack, and the precise form the attack will take. However, based on intelligence reports we do have some definite ideas as to the possible forms of an attack. We repeat that the fact that such an attack is concretely planned for within a matter of days or hours is not in doubt.

**SEIZURE OF OUR COUNTRY MEANS THE END OF ALL GAINS**

Our people must understand clearly that the military seizure of our country by imperialism would mean the destruction of many lives, would mean widespread repression and brutality, would mean the end of all the benefits our masses have received from the revolution. It would mean that Grenada would be thrown back many years and that the glorious process of construction which has taken place since the revolution would be totally destroyed.

**THE NJM HAS LED THE PEOPLE THROUGH PAST THREATS AND ATTACKS**

The NJM over many years has led the people through Gairy's brutality and murder. The party mobilized the masses to the Peoples' Convention on Independence, on May 3, 1973, and the Peoples' Congress on November 4th, 1973. The party mobilized the people to seize power and led the people in seizing power on March 13th, 1979. The party has led the people and mobilized the people in the defence of our revolution through the October and November plots of 1979, the bomb attack of June 19th, 1980, the terrorist attack in St. Patrick's on November 12th, 1980, and the 'Amber' and the Amberines' threat from US imperialism which was met by our 'Heros of the Homeland' manoeuvre in August 1981.

At this critical time the NJM once more calls on all our people to mobilize actively in defence of the revolution, in defence of our homeland!!!
The first and most important task is the immediate and total mobilisation of all patriots into the militia. All Grenadians who love their country must join the militia now. All militia members, no matter how well trained, must show up for every call-up of the militia at this time, to receive further intensive training for defence in times of invasion.

Those who believe that Nicaragua has been attacked but we shall escape, either because we are an island or because we are small and not important to the United States, or just because "we are always lucky", are like Ostriches burying their heads in the sand and putting the lives of their children and the future of our revolution at risk. Cuba, the Seychelles and the Comoros are also islands — and all have been invaded. Our people in every village must ensure that all patriots sign up to join the militia, and report for duty immediately.

There will also be an immediate recruitment into certain sections of the P.R.A., to strengthen our army.

Our older citizens have a vital role to play

Our Armed Forces will need assistance in many areas including the guarding of beaches and vital targets in the country, the digging of trenches throughout the country, donation of large bags (crocus bag size) and old sheets, donation of food and water to militia comrades who must go into immediate training, assistance in driving or lending vehicles, assistance in first aid for civilians as well as militia, in looking after children so that young mothers can go to the battlefront in time of invasion, and cooking for both the armed forces and the children.

Central Committee members of the party will be in every parish of the country starting tomorrow. They will be holding meetings with various groups of citizens and will be organising medical and service (cooking) support groups in each parish.

Comrades who want to join the army or militia, who can do guard duty, drive vehicles, or dig trenches should contact their local militia or phone 2090 or 2265 (St. George's) 7-623 (St. Andrew's); 9-128 (St. Patrick's); 2009 (St. David's), St. John's Police Station or St. Mark's Base, G230.
(12) Comrades who can render first aid assistance, cooking assistance, who can donate food, large bags etc.; or who can look after children during militia call-ups and at time of attack should contact your local party office or phone 3434 or 2303 (St. George's) 7242 (St. Andrew's), 6412 (St. David's) 9340 (St. Patrick's) 8230 (West Coast).

* FORWARD TO DEFEND OUR HOMELAND!!!
* UNITED, CONSCIOUS AND ORGANISED, WE WILL WIN!!!
* LONG LIVE THE REVOLUTION!!!

March 21st, 1983.
Historically, the Central Committee has met on many occasions to analyse all areas of the Party, State and Mass work. This however, is the first full scale wholistic plenary of the C.C.

Between Wednesday 13th July, 1983 and Tuesday 19th July, 1983, the C.C. of M.J.M. spent six and a half (6½) days in plenary - a total of 54 hours assessing all areas of Party, Mass and State work. The strengths and weaknesses of the Party's performance were highlighted and a number of conclusions were made.

SECTION I

(1) Main Feature

In its analysis of the present political and economic situation, the main feature was identified as follows:-

The continued failure of the Party to transform itself ideologically and organisationally and to exercise firm leadership along a Leninist path in the face of the acute fise in the complexities and difficulties facing the evolution on all fronts - economic, political, social, military and international.

The evidence to support this conclusion is as follows:-

THE PARTY

Over the period under review our Party has demonstrated many weaknesses - ideologically, politically, and organisationally. Most strikingly, there has been the emergence of deep petty bourgeois manifestations and influence in the Party which has led to two ideological trends. This feature came to the fore when the Party had to step up its work amongst the masses, after recognising, as we saw in March, how dangerously close the Party came to losing links with the masses. The petty bourgeois response and attitudes have continued as can be seen by the low rate of attendance at house to house and community work and the lack of consistency by large sections of the Party in carrying out compulsory areas of Party work.
In the face of all this, there was a slowing down of the important task of Party building. The Party has also failed to recruit into its ranks members of the working class, the most strategic class.

**THE POLITICAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL WORK AMONG THE MASSES**

In the period, the work of the mass organizations has stagnated and the lack of their presence and influence has opened a definite gap in the political work among the masses. In addition, the political work in the regions has been weak and ineffective, as a result of poor leadership by the P.C.B.'s. In the particular case of St. Andrew's the situation has reached crisis proportions.

Coupled with this, we have witnessed the intensification of the ideological struggle and at the same time the spread of anti-communism within the country, as seen through the Jack: Education Classes. The response of the Church has been to step up its activities organizationally and ideologically to spur on this trend and strengthen idealism. At the same time, our propaganda machinery has been incredibly weak leading to the near collapse of our propaganda work among the masses. This has resulted in an overall lack of the masses being low.

**THE ECONOMY**

While our economy has continued to grow we are experiencing extreme difficulties in mobilizing external finance and receiving already promised amounts. This has led to a serious cash flow problem which has slowed down and is even threatening to halt key capital investment projects, caused limited layoffs and shaken the confidence of broad sections of the masses.

The alliance with the local bourgeoisie linked to development, a national economy, has become much more complex. Recent IMF negotiations and imperialism's growing attempts to provide ideological guidance and possible finance through CFI to the local bourgeoisie has made the need for a much clearer appreciation
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by the entire Party of the strategy and tactics on how to maintain that economic alliance, and use it in the interest of the working people, even more urgent. We need to bear in mind therefore, that the coming two years will be particularly difficult and complex on the economic front.

SOCIAL BENEFITS

Notwithstanding an increased milk distribution programme, a more efficient housing repair programme, a deepening of free health and medical care, expanded education opportunities, commodisation and consumerism have remained deep in the society.

MILITARY

In this period, we have seen the development of the Regional Defence Force, increased aggressive U.S. manoeuvres in the region and stepped up covert activity against our revolution.

On the other hand, our village militia units have decreased in quantity and size in most geographic regions, and the workplace militia units which are now developing are still at an embryonic stage and thus need to be strengthened.

REGIONAL

In the Caribbean region there has been signs of increasing co-ordination between the more developed countries (particularly Jamaica and Barbados) and U.S. imperialism. They have also begun to increase their pressure on the OCS countries in their attempts to isolate the Grenada revolution. At the same time there have been strong indications of a gradual shift in the balance of forces in CARICOM in our favour based on our economic performance, international work, prestige and growing mass base in their territories.

INTERNATIONAL

The Reagan administration and U.S. imperialism are growing more and more aggressive and are at present rushing ahead with plans to deploy nuclear weapons in Western Europe later this year. This has led to the heightening of East/West tension, posing a grave danger to peace, at the same
time, the World peace movement is growing and the World Socialist system is continuing to be consolidated.

(ii) OTHER FEATURES OF THE PERIOD

The C.C. also took note of the following features:

LOCAL
(a) The positive impact of our regional and international work on the masses:
- our propaganda victories against Reagan on the airport question;
- victories in Ocho Rios and Trinidad CARICOM Summits;
- victories at OCS meetings
- impact of the P.M.'s successful visit to the USA
(b) Greater co-ordination between regional progressive Parties.
(c) Our continued efforts at building links with the Socialist World.
(d) The growing role of the state sector in the economy.

REGIONAL
(a) The continued victories of FMLN in El Salvador.
(b) The growth of left and progressive organisations in the Caribbean, together with the growing positive sentiments towards Grenada in the OECS countries and the growth of our mass base in the Caribbean countries.
(c) The improving relations with World Socialism and some Caribbean countries.
(d) The growing contradictions among and within Caribbean countries.
(e) Our improving relations with some key Latin American countries eg. Columbia and Argentina.
(f) Growth in formal and informal relations between Grenada and other Caribbean countries making it objectively more difficult for U.S. imperialism to achieve their strategic objective of isolating Grenada.
(g) Our developing relations with Trinidad.
(h) The recent passage of the CBI.
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(i) The consolidation and advancement of the National Liberation struggles - Namibia, El Salvador, South Africa.

(ii) The survival of the Front Line states in the face of tremendous pressure.

(iii) The survival and expansion of the Non-aligned Movement.

(iv) The aggressive role of U.S. imperialism in Africa, the Middle East, Central America and the Caribbean using reactionary regimes - South Africa, Israel, El Salvador and Honduras.

(v) The victories of Margaret Thatcher in Britain and Kohl in West Germany.

(vi) Great strains and problems in the P.L.O.

(vii) The ongoing senseless Iran-Iraq war.

REVIEW OF THE LINE OF MARCH

During the Plenary, the CC reviewed the Line of March of the Party which was laid down in September '82. The CC analysed that events of the period demanded higher ideological conviction, greater sacrifices, stronger leadership of the causes by all Party comrades.

Additionally, the CC calls on all Party comrades to better prepare the masses for the sacrifices that they will have to make in the coming period by giving them clearer and more detailed explanations of what will be required of all sections of the people in order that we continue to build and advance the revolution.

The CC also agreed that the main feature of the present political situation demonstrates very clearly that if the Party was to fail to pursue our Line of March, then our process will be most definitely turned back. Furthermore in reviewing the six specific tasks of our Line of March, we can see that in the few areas where we have made any progress, it was as a direct result of our pursuit of the Line of March.
Proof of the above can be seen in the following:

(1) The positive response by the working class to the keen attack, and its emergence as the most active and leading class force in the revolution today is a direct result of the ongoing socialist classes. In this respect, the failure of the CPE to function has weakened our implementation of priority number one.

(2) Our failure to build the mass organizations, sports and culture, and the organs of popular power has adversely affected the need and political disposition of the masses.

(3) The Party has failed to make any progress in strengthening its Leninist character.

(4) We have failed to build the sectors which can ensure the sustained growth of our economy, making it possible to expand the programmes of the Revolution from a tax revenue. However, at the same time, we have witnessed the expansion and development of the state sector and improvement in the structural adjustment of the economy.

(5) The Party has also failed to live up to its responsibility of building the Militia quantitatively and qualitatively. Our only area of progress here has been the workplace, Militia.

(6) Despite the fair amount of progress in our international work, we still need to resolutely pursue the follow up work or the potential will never be realised.

History has therefore proven the correctness of the Line of March, and it must therefore remain the guiding principle for the coming period.

SECTION III

MAIN FOCUS IN THE NEXT QUARTER

Following this review of the Line of March, the C.C. concluded that these are the main focus of this present period must be—
(1) Internal Party development, with special emphasis on ideological development and character building.
(2) Stepped up ideological work amongst the working class, students and the working people.
(3) The reorganisation and massive stepping up of the work in CPE.
(4) The adoption of concrete measures to expand and deepen the work in the geographic regions.

1. Internal Party Development:
Here the CC concluded that the following are required:-

a. The systematic development of the internal political and ideological work of the Party. Continuation of the "weekend" training courses is vital. However, we will have to find more creative methods of implementation since the courses will be held once every six weeks.

The main focus of these courses will have to be:-
   i. Further development of the character qualities of Party cadres within an ideological framework.
   ii. Further development of the organisational skills and talents of Party comrades.

b. A methodology of systematic guidance, supervision and control of Party comrades at all levels and in all areas of Party and state work - political, ideological, economic, military and overseas must be developed.

c. A methodology for the professionalisation of the Party's work in all areas. This means that the question of specialisation is of paramount importance.

d. A systematic approach to our work. This has assumed enormous importance since we do not have a "structures" problem. The key is to ensure that our structures function permanently.

e. Gradual weeding out of the worst elements from within the ranks of the Party. Guarantee
f. A system to a more careful selection of cadres entering the Party.

g. Permanent structures for the development of the Party overseas.

h. A time perspective for our new Party Constitution.

i. A time perspective for the development of a new Party programme.

j. The creation of a Party School.

k. Time perspective for the construction of a new Party Headquarters.
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2. Ideological work:
   a. Worker Education classes will commence in the private sector. The appropriate steps governing the implementation of this decision will be worked out by the Workers Committee and the Ministry of National Mobilisation. A special programme for these classes will be developed by the Socialism Tutors Committee.
   b. The ideological work among the farm workers must be stepped up.
   c. The NYO has been directed to make more creative use of their radio programme on HFG.
   d. Political Education for all primary and secondary school students.
   e. Political education for all teachers.

3. Reorganisation of CPE

The CC analysed the work of the CPE as being weak and identified the main problems as follows:

a. Subjective weaknesses of the leadership at the national and parish levels.
b. Low involvement and inconsistent attendance of workers in the classes.

c. Inadequate and inefficient mobilisation by the mass organisations.

The CC then concluded that:

i. Cdo. Tan Bartholomew will now lead the CPE.
ii. Material incentives are to be linked to each phase of the programme, with a small incentive to students who successfully complete each level.

iii. The curriculum be expanded to include History and Social Studies, Science and the equivalent of secondary school education.

iv. Fundraising activities be stepped up.

v. A more adequate administrative office be found for CPE

vi. The leadership of CPE at the parish level be strengthened through the appointment of new parish co-ordinators.

vii. The C.C. ensures that the mass organisations make CPE a main focus of their work.
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1. Work in the geographic regions

The C.C. examined the state of its work in the geographic regions. The work in St. George's was analysed as being the most positive while that of St. Andrew's was cause for great concern. The CC was of the view that consideration should be given to the role of the regional G.M.'s and regional Party committees. This arises from the need for greater Party guidance of the work regionally and the dynamic development of regional structures including the P.C.B.'s.

The parish of St. Andrew's was also identified as a major source of counter-revolution characterised by the following factors:

a. many social benefits, but little political results;
b. a proliferation of petty rumours in the parish;
c. the continued inflow of marijuana and the increased planting in some areas;
d. evidence of hoarding of money by some elements of the rural bourgeoisie.

The CC therefore concluded the following:

i. The redeployment of some Party comrades to live and work in the outparish;

ii. Cde. Ian St. Bernard to visit Carriacou forthnightly.

iii. A task force of two comrades to be identified and sent to Carriacou to live and work there.

iv. Cde. Karau McDonald to become the resident Political Chief of St. David's.

v. Special emphasis on house to house, community work, formation of Village Co-ordinating Bodies (V.C.B.'s) with Party members as the chiefs and the stepping up of our propaganda work.

vi. That St. Andrew's is a particular problem parish and therefore special attention must be given by all committees to all aspects of their work that affect St. Andrew's.

10...vii. A task force
vii. A task force of four party committee to be formed.
Andrew's (to live and work there), one of whom will head the political work there, under the weekly guidance of the Chairman of the O.C.

viii. Counter-intelligence, the P...A. and the Police to find good chiefs to be placed in St. Andrew's, immediately.

ix. St. Andrew's to be given priority in our industrialisation programme.

SECTION III

REVIEW OF PARTY COMMITTEES & BILLING OF THE REVOLUTION

The Central Committee further analysed all other areas of party work:

1. ECONOMY

The Central Committee analysed the economic sectors of the country and noted the following:

Problems and Difficulties

i. Continued various negative effects of cash flow on capital programmes hence:
   a. brought some projects to a halt and threatened to halt even key investment projects, such as, Airport, GPC, Eastern Main road, agro-Industries, etc.
   b. shown the confidence of many sections of the masses and provided the basis for more vicious tactics.


iii. The failure of one of our most strategic sectors to get moving: export (marketing).

iv. 1963/1964 will be difficult years and requires maximum efforts of the Party on the economic front. Hence the ideological work has to be stepped up to combat consequent difficulties that these two years will pose for us.
v. Extreme difficulties in mobilising external finance and receiving already promised amounts.

On the positive side-

i. Continued progress in the structural adjustment of the economy:
   a. increased domestic savings;
   b. continued positive reduction in the food import till, from 27.5% in one year to 25.5%. On March 13, 1979, it was 20.4%;
   c. sizable sections of our overall imports continue to be capital goods for investment and not for consumption;
   d. decrease in the rate of inflation;
   e. drop in unemployment - increase in jobs.

ii. The rise in the production and export of traditional crops,

iii. Continued good performance in export on non-traditional crops.

iv. Further growth in the state ownership of the banking sector.

v. State control of approximately 5000 new acres of land.

vi. Improved performance of GFC and GIC.


viii. Continued growth in the capital programme despite all the difficulties.

ix. The commencement of long-term trade with the socialist community.

x. Continued progress in state planning.

xi. Acquisition of Holiday Inn - (Grenada Beach Hotel).

xii. Grenada's improved credit rating.

xiii. We have been able to mobilise massive external assistance over the first four and a half years of the revolution.

After much deliberations, the Central Committee concluded the following:

i. The creation of a Ministry of State Enterprises, with Cde. George Louison as the Minister. The new Ministry will be run by two Boards of Directors, one Board comprising the productive sphere headed by Cde. Louison and the other comprising the non-productive sphere to be headed
by Minister Lyden Handhary.

11. Some of the major projects to be considered for collaboration in the upcoming two years are, first of all, a new twenty (20) mega watts power station to be requested from the Soviet Union. Secondly, in the area of tourism development, we need to build seven (7) more hotels, each one hundred and fifty (150) rooms, through state, private and/or joint venture investments.

Other projects here include water expansion with Soviet assistance, the development of our road network (forty (40) miles of main roads, and many more miles of farm and feeder roads with assistance from Cuba and the Caribbean Development Bank (CDB). Also to be listed here is the industrialization of the geographic regions, particularly St. Andrew. This can be achieved in part through collaboration with countries such as Bulgaria, DMK, etc. with a focus on agro-industrialization.

111. The continuation of our land policy and the study of the possibility of the State securing more land for agriculture.

11IV. The private sector must be encouraged to explore investment opportunities offered by the CBI. However, this area must be closely monitored by the Party in keeping with our strategic objectives.

11Ve. must pursue tactics that will ensure that our CARICOM partners continue to give us solidarity in the trade and investment possibilities of the C.I.

2. INTERNATIONAL WORK

Foreign affairs

The C.C. analysed the International relations work of the Party and State and concluded that there was significant improvement in the quality of this work, at the level of the state.

The C.C. noted that the main feature of the International situation was the increased aggression of U.S. imperialism under the leadership of the Reagan administration which is planning to deploy nuclear weapons in Western Europe, leading to heightening of East-West tension and a grave danger to peace.
danger to peace and World civilisation.

The C.C. noted that regionally, there is the beginning of a shift in the balance of forces in Caricom towards Grenada, as a result of:

a. the continued economic problems of Caricom countries;
b. the fact that some Caricom countries are contesting seats in regional and international organisations;
c. the increasing respect for Grenada's economic achievements.

However, it is too early to determine how long this will last. The C.C. concluded that in seeking to improve this work there is need to:

1. Step up the work in the USA, Western Europe and the Caribbean, especially Trinidad.
2. Reorganise the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to ensure rational and strategic deployment of the staff.
3. Ensure greater co-ordination between Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the International Relations Department of the Party.
4. Follow up on all contacts made during the Caricom leaders' U.S. visit. This responsibility to be shared between the International Relations Department and the Foreign Affairs Ministry.
5. The International Relations Department to intensify its work with fraternal and Friendship Societies worldwide, bearing in mind the need for material assistance and the development of political tourism.
6. Ensure that the NDC's, particularly Jamaica and Barbados which are co-ordinating closely with US imperialism, do not succeed in isolating Grenada nor in developing a strategic imperialist control of the region. To achieve this the following measures must be implemented:

i. Move rapidly to firm up relations with Caricom.
ii. Be discreet and cautious in our relations with
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left opposition parties in OECS states.

iv. Establish a Consulate in Trinidad.

7. Ensure that we move rapidly to firm up relations with the Socialist world.

3. NATIONAL DEFENCE

The CC spent some time analysing the problems and difficulties being encountered in developing this area of work. The CC acknowledged the failure of the Party to live up to its responsibility of building the militia quantitatively and qualitatively. Our only area of progress here is the workplace militia, and even that needs to be strengthened.

The CC concluded that:

i. a serious Political Department of the Armed Forces should be organised and that the Chief of such department be vigorously supervised by the C.C.

ii. That dormant and defunct Party cells in the Armed Forces should be revived and a weekly report sent to the C.C. and C.I.C.

iii. That Party cadres should play a leading role in the Militia, so as to inspire and lead the Militia. In addition, the regional Militia Chiefs must submit weekly reports on all Party cadres who fail to turn up for Militia training.

4. WORKING CLASS

In analysing the work among the working class, the C.C. took particular note of the following:

i. The Party has failed in its stated objective to recruit workers into its ranks.

ii. The Workers Education Classes have done relatively well primarily due to the work of the Socialism Tutors Committee.

iii. C.P.E. among the workers was one of the weakest areas of the worker's work, but this was in itself linked to the weakness of the C.P.E. administration centre.
iv. The Party Support Groups at the workplaces were beginning to show signs of improvement during the last two months but there was need for them to take responsibility for organising production and sanitation activities.

The CC then concluded the following:

1. **Party Support Groups**: In order to better equip the Party Support Groups with the necessary skills for carrying out their day to day political task at the work place, the CC decided:
   a. A 25-week ideological training programme for members of Party Support Groups would be organised. (Participants to be selected immediately).
   b. One day sessions for dealing with right-wing propaganda lines would be organised every two months.

2. **Nationalisation**: In order to advise the C.C. on a policy for the nationalisation of works, an existing committee from the Ministry of Planning was strengthened and tasked to:
   a. submit proposals for standardisation of wages;
   b. prepare a draft policy on profit-sharing;
   c. submit statistics on the classification of workers;
   d. submit statistics on the size of the Grenadian working class.

3. **Emulation**: In order to get this vital area of work among the workers going, it was decided:
   a. To accept the format of the document prepared by Cde. George Louison on this question.
   b. To appoint Cde. Kenneth McFarlane to be overall Political Head of this area.
   c. To appoint an organiser who will be guided by Cde. McFarlane.

4. **Membership on Workers Committee**: The CC took note of the need to strengthen the membership of the Workers Committee. In this respect the C.C. decided to appoint:
   a. Cdes. Fitzroy Bain and Bertie Lessey as members of the Workers Committee representing AGU Sub-Committee.
   b. Identify new heads to lead the work in some of the sub-committees.
v. **Regional and international Work:** In the face of an intensified thrust by imperialism to control the regional trade union movement as seen in the (2M) offered to these unions, through the C.E.I., the C.C. recognised the need to step up our work in this area. To this end, the C.C. decided to:

- Direct the Workers Committee to study the possibility of Grenada winning the post of General Secretary and other key positions in the Caribbean Congress of Labour.
- Direct the W.C. to build and strengthen the International Department of T.U.C. in order to facilitate expanded regional and international work.
- Direct W.C. to deepen its contact with W.F.T.U. while seeking to maximise the value of its contacts within the I.C.P.T.U.

5. **Findings**

The Central Committee summarised the work of the Farmers Committee as having shown signs of improvement, but expressed concern over the failure to solve persistent problems particularly the total absence of propaganda, the delay in the enactment of the practical larceny law and the problem of marketing.

The CC concluded that:

i. The Farmers Committee needs to be strengthened given the strategic role of the farmers in the development of agriculture.

ii. The Committee through the P.F.U. organises a massive recruitment programme linked to the provision of material benefits for the farmers.

iii. All activities of the I.F.U. be consistently highlighted in the media.

iv. The Ministry of agriculture and the P.F.U. seek to obtain markets locally, regionally and internationally for the farmers produce.

v. The Farmers Committee to be given closer supervision by the Political Bureau, C.C. and O.C.

vi. The ideological work among the farmers to be stepped up im-

---
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vii. The F.N.U. seek to start service co-operatives and to work out an incentive scheme for the farmers in the co-ops in order to convince other farmers of the value of co-ops.

5. TEACHERS

The C.C. summarised the work of the Teachers Committee as fair. It however, noted that although progressive forces control the G.U.T., the majority of teachers remain backward politically.

The C.C. concluded that in order to ensure that the progressive forces retain effective control of the G.U.T. and deepen the work among the teachers, the teachers committee must:

i. carry out political education among all teachers;
ii. commence political education of all primary school students;
iii. assist the Ministry of Education in purging reactionary head teachers from schools;
iv. Co-ordinate with the Ministry of Education to ensure the implementation of all new strategic programmes in Education.

v. Ensure that there is continued development of cultural and academic level of all teachers (HILITES and beyond).

7. YOUTH & STUDENTS

In analysing the work of the Youth Committee, the C.C. concluded that the work among the youth and students was poor. The C.C. noted particularly that there was a general absence of zeal in pursuing the fundamental task of the construction of bale courts.

The C.C. concluded:

i. That the Youth Committee should focus in the coming period on Sport and Culture in order to win the youth hearts. The committee should creatively lay the basis for taking over the Sports Department and thereafter the Sports Associations.

ii. Streamlining the Youth Secretariat bureaucracy which is to be reduced from thirteen (13) to four (4), and redeploy the extra workers into other areas.

iii. More creative use be made of the radio programme in KPG.

iv. The C.C. place special emphasis on proper planning, the train-
There must be greater co-ordination between the students committee and the teachers committee to implement political work among the students, including Pioneers.

v. The International Department of the NYO must be strengthened.

vi. There must be greater co-ordination between the Youth Committee and the Workers Committee in order to ensure that young workers are recruited into the NYO.

vii. There must be closer supervision by the National Executive of the work at the parish, zonal and group levels.

8. WOMEN'S WORK

1. The CC summarised the work of the Women's Committee as being weak and stagnant and concluded this was a result of the failure of the National Leadership to provide effective leadership for the period due to both objective problems and their insensitivity to deep petty bourgeois trends in some of the members of the Women's Committee. This weak national leadership and absence of supervision and control at the parish and zonal levels have exposed the petty bourgeois side of many elements who have not been accustomed to working on their own. This petty bourgeois side has to be seen in the context of the deep petty bourgeois character of our Party.

2. The CC discussed an allegation made by the Women's Committee that the Party as a whole has failed to appreciate and pay due reward to the special problems faced by Party women with young children. The CC concluded that this allegation is without substance. In the view of the CC, there is no doubt that there has been steady and discernible improvement in the arrangements which have been made by the Party to facilitate Party comrades with young children in carrying out their Party duties. The attitude of the Women's Committee on this therefore, reflects a disguised petty bourgeois attitude and reaction.

3. Further afield, the CC noted that the Political Bureau and Organising Committee have held discussions in the past with the Women's Committee on these questions. At these meetings the point was repeatedly made that the inequality of the woman was one of the contradictions inherent in the capitalist system and had to be resolved by the
Party in a systematic way in accordance with the material development of the society. At these meetings, it was further pointed out that concrete attempts were being made to solve the objective problems faced by the women (through provision of day care centres, kindergartens and pre-primary facilities, jobs, skills training, and educational opportunities) and that the resolution of the subjective difficulties (bad culture, bad views, old prejudices, ill habits, and ill values) was a matter for the party as a whole—men and women—to resolve in a principled and systematic way through collective study on the Woman Question and through recognition in practice on the part of both the Party women and men that a conscious attempt at change in attitude and practice was required.

4. The CC, therefore concluded that in seeking to resolve this problem, the party cannot encourage weakness, breed cynicism or encourage wrong subjective attitudes, but the party in the position of a privileged clique, or encourage divisiveness between men and women in the party's rank and file, bearing in mind the paramount importance of the need to ensure that both men and women unite in the struggle to defeat our principal enemies of capitalism and imperialism and achieve our strategic objective of building socialism.

5. The CC also arrived at the proposal of the Women's Committee for the revitalising of the women's work.

9. In conclusion:

The CC analysed that the Party and State propaganda work is in a state of deep crisis. This state of crisis is the consequence of a number of factors including:

i. The lack of an ideological perspective of the comrades involved in propaganda work; ii. The weakness in the number and quality of these comrades; iii. Poor system of management.

Objective factors impacting negatively on the work were also noted.

The Propaganda Committee was criticised for its failure to adequately project the working:
project the working class and working people as required - the Party's Line of March in order to guarantee the ideological and organisational development of the working class as the leading social force.

The failure to effectively utilise community workplace structures as a constant source of news about the working class and working people was also reported.

The CC concluded:

i. Free West Indian and Government Printery to be merged into one company with Keith Joseph as Manager, Horby Jones as Technical Head, James Ferguson as Editor of FWI and JEWEL.

ii. Peter David to become new Deputy Secretary of Information.

iii. Technical training for personnel from FWI at Cole's Printery in Barbados should be pursued.

iv. Amalgamated approach to be developed in respect to media in the form of a Dominican Institute.

v. merger of GIS and FWI reporters so as to increase the efficiency of both operations.

vi. GIS to be approached for 2 technicians to service FWI press, while training locals.

vii. FWI on JEWEL to be published weekly on Wednesday and Saturday.

viii. Systematic planning of religious programmes on GIS starting with overseas programmes.

10. U.C./Social

i. The CC concluded that the U.C. has continued to do fair work but weaknesses continue to be manifested in overall consistent guidance, supervision and control of all areas of work simultaneously. Consequently, the CC directs that further work be done in the areas of building systems to control and guide the work of the work of the Party.

ii. The CC also expressed concern about the present functioning of the Secretariat and directs that further systems be put in place to control the quality of work. In this connection, the CC has directed the U.C. to identify a Proletarian administrator to give key party leadership to the Secretariat.

24/11 SOCIAL
(11) SOCIAL SECTOR

Health:
1. The C.C. noted that some progress had been made in the field of Health care, particularly at the level of the expansion of the Primary Health Care Teams throughout the country.
2. The C.C. noted the existing level of organised mass participation in the delivery of health services and the lack of involvement of the mass organisations and organs of popular democracy in the area of health education.
3. The C.C. directs that consultation begin between the Ministry of Health, mass organisations and the Ministry of National Mobilisation to secure concrete levels of co-ordination in areas such as:
   i. The establishment of village health bridge ed.
   ii. Discussions around the health sector plan.
   iii. The organisation of Health Education Programmes.

Housing:
1. In addressing the work of the Ministry of Housing, the C.C. considered a proposal for expanding and deepening the Housing Minister Programme. In this respect, the C.C. directs the C.C. to secure from the Housing Ministry a concrete proposal to deepen the provision of cement, sand and gravel.
2. C.C. also directs that an attempt be made to secure concessional credit for housing components from Columbia and Czechoslovakia.
3. C.C. further directs the C.C. to find additional comrades for the Non-Tribunal to ensure greater sharing of the workload, and that written evaluation by the Chairpersons of the Tribunal, on whether it is correct to extent the rent ceiling above 300 be done.

Education/Sport/Culture:

In this area, the C.C. noted:
1. Both the CIIE and HN/TBP programmes urgently require stepped up work and attention.
22... ii. The present un-
ii. The present unsatisfactory disposition of the students to the revolution, especially secondary school students.

iii. The continuing poor physical conditions of the school buildings.

iv. The lack of a standardised curriculum for primary and secondary schools.

v. The growing influence of the Church and religion among students.

vi. The drop in discipline among students and teachers and the growing truancy problem.

The CC further noted in the area of Sport, that the organisation and administration are not under firm political control and direction, and have not taken on a mass character.

The CC noted also that the area of culture had seen no significant improvement which can make a meaningful contribution to the political development of the working people.

Consequently:

i. CC directs the Ministry of Education and the Teachers Committee to implement the proposal for political education of the primary, secondary and H.L.T.E.B. teachers.


iii. CC directs the Teachers Committee and the Ministry of Education to implement recommendations to remove unsuitable head teachers.

iv. CC directs the Youth Committee, the Teachers Committee and the Ministry of Education to implement political education for students.

v. School Boards to be reminded that under the Education Act, religious classes are optional in state schools.

vi. The teachers committee in Ministry of Education to find creative ways for example, through rearranging daily time tables, to ensure the reduction of religious education in schools.

vii. The Methodist Church will be notified that Wesley College is now state owned.

viii. The formation of Community School Councils where the balance of forces allows for such to be done, and the political consolidation of existing community school councils.
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23/... ix. The estab...
ix. The establishment of new principal councils to meet monthly.

x. Appropriate amendment to Article 2 of the Education Act to ensure that school political and administrative control be exercised over private schools.

xi. Of the Ministry of Education to begin the programme of 3-yearly curricular review in each 3-week period.

xii. If an institution will be accorded and money will be given to the local administrative and its contribution to the programme.

xiii. In different ways the one in the showings of pornographic and other types of prohibited videos and the circulation of pornographic literature, postage will be held with individual film operators, the dealers and other film operators to give in a formal warning. Failure to comply will result in expulsion or revocation of their licences.

xiv. Members of the T.C. to give us on the Soviet offer to assemble and fill all this.

xv. All members of the T.C. will represent members for the film at the conference.

xvi. The 9th of June, 1981,circa to appropriately redeploy unsuitable film operators and ensure full control of Sport and Culture.

(To be continued)
MINUTES OF EMERGENCY MEETING OF N.J.M CENTRAL COMMITTEE DATED 26TH AUGUST, 1983

COMRADES PRESENT

Maurice Bishop
Hudson Austin
Liam James
Ian St. Bernard
Fitzroy Bain
Chris Deriggs

Selwyn Strachan
Unison Whiteman
Tan Bartholomew
Kamau McBernattee
Leon Cornwall

COMRADE ABSENT

Phyllis Coard – Excuse – ill

AGENDA

1. Concern of Party Membership

Central Committee Chairman and Party Leader Comrade Maurice Bishop called the meeting to order at 8.00 a.m. He asked Comrade Cornwall to summarise the concern expressed by a member of senior party member.

Comrade Leon Cornwall reported that he had held discussions with some senior party Comrades in order to get their views on a number of issues. This, he said was based on his own feelings that we do not have an effective way of assessing the feelings of party membership on key matters.

Comrade Cornwall summarised the feedback as follows:

(i) Some conclusions of the C.C are not correct.

(ii) Some C.C Comrades are not functioning properly, are in a state of rut or performing in a weak manner.

(iii) He had been told by a Comrade from the G.D.R that the state of work is bad.

(iv) Similar allegations were made by Cuban Comrades Carlos Diaz and Fino.

(v) Comrades in the Armed Forces complained that they were not happy with the level of party guidance even after C.C deliberations and the recent general meeting.
There was a feeling among some party Comrades that the C.C did not criticise itself in a serious way before the G.M.

Cde. Cornwall concluded by recommending that C.C look into these concerns.

Other Comrades reported on the feedback that they had received.

Cde. IAN ST. BERNARD: He reported that he had picked up similar responses:

(i) That the C.C performance in guiding the work has been weak.

(ii) There is a general feeling by Comrades who have been abroad that the work has fallen.

(iii) There is concern over the C.C's failure to assign a C.C member to St. Andrew's even after analysing this parish which is the weakest link, also dissatisfaction over the nature of the task force so far selected.

(iv) Party Comrades have noted the lack of a serious approach to the Militia.

(v) Comrades say that they feel intimidated over raising these concerns at the level of G.M's.

(vi) A number of C.C Comrades have been identified as failing to adequately lead and guide the work.

Comrade Tan Bartholomew reported on what had reached him:

(1) Fraternal Comrades had raised certain concerns with him: conversation between Carlos Diaz Komau and himself: special concern over Army/Militia, Youth. - Concern by U.J.C about the quality of regional and International work: NYO. - Concern over the presence of G.D.R Technical delegation down here for a month and having nothing to do, while having to live in unsatisfactory housing at the risk of their
personal security - noting that this can tarnish our image in the eyes of fraternals.

(ii) Comrades in St. Patrick's had expressed concern over:

- Personal problems
- Lack of supervision (implied lack of satisfaction with C.C leadership of work)
- Disciplinary measures taken by O.C against a particular Comrade (Comrade Tan personally agrees with O.C on this)
- Attitude of the Party to the Youth.
- State of work in the Militia
- Party's neglect of work in the Militia. "It does not seem that Imperialism exists anymore".
- A lot of pettyness among soldiers and likely exodus from the Armed Forces next year when 5 (five) years contracts ends.
- Lack of supervision of the work among police, control by RightWing elements, lack of respect for party among police, demoralisation among new recruited police making it difficult for transformation of this section of the Armed Forces to take place.

Comrade Li.Cm.James commented:

(i) We should try to identify the basis for the

(ii) It is clear that little progress has been made in implementing C.C resolutions of five weeks ago.

(iii) We need to convene once more a new wholeassessing by the Central Committee.

(iv), We need to look at this situation in a special way - We are seeing the beginning of the disintegration of the party. This period calls for a full meeting of all members of the C.C - last assessment was not deep enough.
Comrade Strachan:

(i) Sections of the party have begun to rebel against the higher organs of the party. This is serious and dangerous development.

(ii) This silent rebellion will turn into open rebellion and if we do not address it now it will be resolved in a petty bourgeois way.

(iii) At the heart of the matter is the C.C. The situation calls for a more critical review of the C.C - C.C's last assessment of itself was opportunist - C.C did not really criticise itself.

(iv) There is need for a meeting of the full C.C.

(v) Whereas October deliberations held back the party from a social democratic path, the situation now is qualitatively worse. Membership is now attacking Central Committee.

(vi) Comrades are saying that the C.C is being dishonest with them - for example the Dix issue.

Comrade Hudson Austin reported on a meeting he had held with the motorised unit:

- Comrades are in a serious state of demoralisation.
- Good Comrades are asking to leave
- Comrades are saying that at the end of five (5) years they are not materially better off.

Comrade Austin recommended that the C.C must set aside a day to sit down and look at the Armed Forces. He also recommended that he should be in the work of the Armed Forces full time.

Comrade Unison Whiteman:

(i) The reports have series implications.

(ii) Things are pointing in the direction of a breakdown of confidence in the C.C.

(iii) There is need for a round of G.M's to be assess how wide is the discontent.

(iv) These G.M's can prepare for an overall assessment in six (6) weeks.
Cde. Bishop said on the question of the minutes to the membership, that the membership are not at the level. It is ideal and divorced from reality to give C.C minutes to members. Cde. Layne disagree.

Cde. Bishop said that the minutes of the C.C cannot be disturbed to the membership because he has not seen that in any party. He feels that the party is not at the stage to provide all points and argument in the meeting.

Cde. Layne said we have much more to lose if we hold back the minutes of the C.C including the basis for arriving to the conclusions. The lack of frankness have brought the party to a near collapse.

Cde. James said that this is one of the factors that cause the party to reach to this stage. The failure to give the members clear indication of the meeting will lead to further lack of confidence of the membership in the C.C. Cde. Cornwall are not naive, we think they are.

Cde. Cornwall said that the absence of frankness and dealing with things squarely can lead to more turmoil in the party.

Cde. Bishop proposed adjustment of the meeting which was supported by Cde. Whitman.

Cde. Layne then points to the incident when himself and Cde. Cornwall were suspended for serious act of illdisciplined in the army. He felt that the response had strengthen the prestige and standard of the party along with both himself and Cde. Cornwall.

Sister Phyllis Coord then questioned what will the C.C say to Cde. Bernard in the absence of Cde. Bishop. This however, was not addressed.

Cde. James suggested that the C.C meet the following day in the absence of Cde. Maurice to put the decision to Cde. Coard then work out the packages of measures.

Cde. Strachan opposed on the grounds that this will be too exploratory.

It was finally agreed to meet at 1.00 p.m. on Saturday 17th.
MURDAY 17TH SEPTEMBER, 1983

Debs Present
Selwyn Strachan
Hudson Austin
Liam James
Ewart Layne
Chalkie Vantour

Debs Absent
Maurice Bishop — out of country
George Louison — out of country
Enison Whitesman — out of country
Ian Bartholomew — Sick
Hitroy Bein — Sick
Ion St. Bernard — Sick

The meeting started 1.30 p.m. Cde. Bernard Coard attended.

Mrs. Strachan addressing Cde. Coard said that the C.C have been meeting to look at the state of the party and revolution and to look at the way the process has been developing over the past four years. It is quite clear that there are great concerns from the G.M of the party.

Following the emergency C.C all C.C members were called in to discuss ways and means of pulling ourselves out of this rut.

The revolution is in deep crisis, it faces the danger of being turned back, while the C.O is operating in a non-Leninist way. In identifying the root of the problem, it was found that the C.C is not leading the process and has to take the full blame. The reason is the weak leadership exercise by Cde. Maurice Bishop. In trying to find concrete ways of reviving the situation and to pull the party out of a firm M.I base, it was pointed out that while these fundamental weaknesses exist the C.C. has tremendous strength that will be needed ever. But the qualities that are missing are decisive qualities which put us on a correct path. Pointing to the four points referred to by Cdes James and Cornwall and agreeing that these qualities exist in Cde. Bernard Coard the C.C felt that Cde. Coard was the only Cde. who had demonstrated practice these qualities over the years.

As a result of a proposal for merging these two strengths through joint leadership between Cdes Bishop and Coard was put forward by Cde. James and supported by the majority of Cdes. The area of responsibility was outlined for the two Cdes, all C.C meetings will be chaired by Cde. Bishop and P.3 will be chaired by Cde. Coard. C.C meetings will resume monthly. Cdes felt that this model can help Cde. Bishop in building the qualities missing. This proposal was voted with a majority in favour.
The Cdes will write quarterly reports to the C.C. and on all fundamental questions the C.C. will be involved. Other proposals were made but they could not be tackled because of the need to settle the fundamental issue.

Cdes also outlined the operationalization of the mode of joint leadership.

Cde. Cornwall said that they also look at the experienced of other countries and how the question of leadership is based on the objective situation that exist.

Cde. Kamau pointed to the fact that everyone spoke with frankness and openness.

Cdes also agreed that the conclusion of the last plenary must be revoked, because it lack content and dishonest. However, applicable sections will be attached as an appendix to the conclusions of the meeting.

Cde. Ventour pointed that the party was on a right opportunist path.

Cde. Strachan said that it is the first time he has seen U.L position being put forward by the Cdes consistently and supported by the majority.

Cde. Bernard Coard raised four points being:
1. He would like to see the minutes of the meeting.
2. The position of those opposed or abstain and what are the reasons.
3. Were other options examined, what were these options and why were they rejected.
4. Why was not this meeting schedule so that Cde. Bishop could be present.

Cde. Austin said, it was only right that he abstain because he arrived late in the meeting. When he arrived he asked for the agenda.

Cde. Louison told him that it is:
(1) analysis of the state of the party and revolution
(2) feedback from the membership and
(3) the way forward. He pointed out that Cde. Bishop said that he had no problem to joint leadership. Therefore he could not understand why members who were here for the whole meeting and could not have taken a position on this or why it is that members should vote against. He would like to know what were their argument for this.
Cde. De Riggs said that Cde. Louison had problems with the idea of theoretical and operational with joint leadership. He felt these weaknesses cannot be solved by a model of joint leadership. The operationalisation of the proposals will cause difficulties, he do not agree that the minutes should be studied by the membership, he is not clear on how the dialectic will unfold. He said that he has not seen it any where in the science. He supported the idea of tapping the strength of Cdes Bernard Coard, but felt that the joint leadership cannot strengthen Cde. Maurice Bishop's weaknesses, this is not done in any M.L Party. He continued to say that Cde. Whiteman said that we must not seek to shift the blame from oneself collectively and that the objective situation must be considered. Cde. Bain was not clear on how the joint leadership will work he wanted clarity on the operationalisation.

Cde. Leyne said that Cde. Louison said that in some party's that one person heads the state and the other heads the party but the head of state is subordinate to the head of the party.

Cde. Strachan said that Cde. Louison said that if we agree that the quality missing in the Cde. Leader is possessed in another Cde. why not take the M.L line and change the Cde.

Cde. James recalled his proposals saying that we need to find the scientific solution to get us out of the crisis to put us on the way forward. The scientific solution is based on the objective situation that exist.

of Nicaragua

The mine man directorate was also mentioned because of the heated debate.

Cde. Bishop abstained on voting, though in his presentation he agreed to joint leadership. He placed his concern that he needs clarity on before he vote. He also needed a feed back from Cde. Bernard and some time to reflect on the issue.

Cde. Bernard Coard asked whether Cde. Bishop had agreed in principle.

Cde. Austin said that he gathered from Cde. Maurice that he was conscious of the crisis and that did not have the necessary qualities, he also pointed that JLM had joint coordination and made reference to the historic working relation with himself and Cde. Bernard. He said he cannot understand how two senior Cdes in the party opposed and abstain from this decision.

Cde. De Riggs said that Cde. Maurice in his presentation said that the point made amounts to a vote of no confidence in him, he sees this as a moral crisis on which he need to reflect. Cdes pointed that the points was made from a standpoint of love and deep respect for the Cde. Leader.
Cde. Loyne said that this is the first time that Cdes spoke so frankly, which must be encouraged if Cie. Bishop was to see it as a vote of no confidence it will intimidate Cdes and harm the Leninist advancement of the Comrades in the party.

Cde. Cornwall said that conceptually Cde. Bishop had no problem with the concept of the Joint leadership, he even agreed with the proposed responsibilities, however, when he came to the point of no confidence he placed it out of the hands of the C.C by saying that he alone can solved this problem.

Cde. Austings said how he heard Cde. Bishop spoke he felt that some of the criticism was incorrect.

Cde. DeRiggs said that Cde. Bishop said he is not sure that he is the main fetter on the C.C.

Cde. James said that in his criticism he said we are now in a serious crisis, is the last chance for the party.
In pulling the party out of the rut we have to be scientific cold blooded and objective.

All C.C Comrades must be criticised. Some Cdes have failed consistently to the extent that members are calling for the removal of some Cdes, but he felt that this is not and will not strengthen the party. The main fetter is that of leadership provided by the leader of the C.C. He pointed out to the great strength of the Cde. Leader, but said that these strengths cannot carry the struggle forward.
He pointed to the quality lacking in the leader which is critical for carrying the process forward, he said that the Cde. with this quality is Cde. Bernard Coard. On this basis he proposed the marriage of the strengths of the two Cdes to push the struggle forward out of this period of crisis.

Sister Coard said that as a result of the weakness of the leader there have been indecisiveness in solving crisis and we lose confidence in the measure we use to get us out of the crisis and the frequency of the crisis is getting very frequent.

Cde. DeRiggs said that Cde. Maurice response were to thank Cdes for the criticism. That the sense of overwhelming sentiment / what is required for a N.L leader is found in Cde.

difficulties in finding leading materials on how the C.C and P.B should function. He had depended too much on unity and consensus and collective leadership. That he had not prepared himself for that kind of approach because this was not his intention of how the meeting should go.

OTHER RESPONSE WERE: he gave a history of working relation between himself and Cde. Coard.
- he did not agree that he is the main fetter on the C.C.
- his did not agree that he has bad attitude to criticism
- he said his style of leadership may have cutted necessary debate and that he is not clear of strategy and tactics as assigned to Cde. Coard.
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he would like to know Cde. Coard's position on the issue and how it will operationalise and seek clarity on the concerns raised.

Four options for leadership were identified in the earlier proceedings:

1. Remove Cde. Bishop
2. Joint Leadership
3. Have the deputy to play the role that is required.
4. Remove Cdes from the C.C.

On option three (3) Cde. De Rijcke said that his own reading is that Cde. George put forward in a general way is that Cde. James does not oppose the return of Cde. Coard to the P.B and C.C. Though it was not discussed, it was put forward weakly by Cde. Whiteman as part of the response, it was not done as a concrete proposal. He made it as a response to the fundamental proposal.

Cde. James said that these qualities take years to develop in a Cde. therefore, the Cde. Leader will not be able to lead in this area. Cde. Coard have been leading in this area over the years. We are now recognising in formally and that failure to accept will be right opportunism.

He will not be M.L./we have joint leadership in practice and pretend that we have something else.

On point four (4) Cde. Strachan said that the view was expressed that we should take action on the proposals and call in C.C. Cde. Bishop said that given that he needs time to reflect and that he need a position from Cde. Coard he will not be able to participate. He is prepared to do so later. He felt that the meeting should go ahead in his absence. Cdes did not agree with the position, they felt that both Cdes should be present in the meeting. The October '32 crisis was also discussed in this light.

Cde. Austin said one of Cde. Austin said one of Cde. Bishop concern is that he would like to know what is Cde. Bernard's disposition on the issue and whether anyone had spoken to Cde. Bernard on the issue before.

Cde. Strachan said that this meeting should give an indication of Cde. Bernard's position which will be key for his reflection. Cde. Bishop opposed.

Cde. Layne said that another key issue as that the minutes going to the membership.

Cde. Leaders had strongly opposed to it though he abstained in the voting on the issue. He said he did not understand how Cdes cannot see that this is the surest way of breaking unity in the country undermining his authority, pointing to the level of taybayism in the country.
C.C. Coard said that at every single level in the party there are lots of bi-laterals tecting the party for dishonesty even to the extent of the study classes.

Cde. Austin said that he was confuse because the C.C was discussing what members were discussing; he want to know how it is possible to report to C.C.M without submitting the minutes to the meeting.

Cde. Coard said that his feelings of the present situation are that within six months the party will disintegrate totally unless a fundamental package of measures are done. He had thought it would take 12 months but the disintegration of the party masses that the loss of state power is only a few months away. While all of this is happening authoritarianism is on the basis for direct intervention in Central America. They have set up their troops and using the Korean incident as a basis. American troops are meters away from Nicaragua.

This is happening at a time when the militia is dis-integrated. The Army is demoralise, we don't have the capacity of defending the revolution.

The party have never had such weak links and low with the masses. The image of the party has det.riated in the eyes of the masses.

The mood of the party Comrades is at the lowest it has ever been. He used the example of the number of Cdes sick.

He is opposed to solving the problem by replacing members of the C.C. All the C.C Comrades have important qualities and strengths to add to the C.C. Cdes knew their weaknesses which he has been always frank with them about. He pointed to the need for verification using examples of Kmeu, Unison, H.A and Kojo. He also pointed out that it is incorrect to think that Cde in state work is not doing political work. Cdes should not see their state work as non political work. He said that Cde. Strachan in an effort to hold the situation together diverted rationalisation etc. accused him of idealism.

He raised the issue of his resignation from the O.C and C.C and reminded Cdes of his reasons for resigning last year which his hand told Cdes. The C.C had agreed with him for not attending the C.C meeting because he wanted the issue to discuss. He said that he was tired and sick of being the only batchetman and critical. The silence of C.C Comrades was to speak up freely, as a result he concluded that he was the main fetter to the development of the C.C because everyone was depending on him for everything especially in the area of the economy.

In July he left the O.C for many months before he was reflecting in the party, the problem was the total absence of making decisions. Decisions e.g torchlight and the gang of 26, e.g Cde. Layne and Einstein on the Army, on when the position was taken to put 'Löwe' over Einstein.
He said that he had detect a feeling of wanting him to undermine the Comrade Leader's position.

He would not like to return to the C.C and P.B, any tasks given to him he will do it.

He even used the struggle of the formation of the O.C, Cdes Louison, Strachen and himself was accused for organising another seat of power in the party. He felt that when this he reached critical persons on the P.B it was very bad.

He said finally in July last year the C.C unanimously directed the O.C to hold meetings with leaders of committees for rationalisation of Cdes work, as a result - extended O.C meeting was held while this meeting was happening other decisions were taken contrary to the C.C decisions so that the O.C could not have the mandate given.

He was seriously affected by the accusation of wanting to undermine the leadership so he resigned from the O.C. He said the C.C. Leader found himself vacillating between the K.I trend on the party. This, he said, was because what was going on and the situation was slipping, and because Comrades were not thinking, therefore the severe of drift and disintegration was not seen. He said that the party and revolution will disintegrate within 24 months. It had reached to a stage where he realised that his ability to influence the process was no longer possible.

The bureau took a thousand easy decision that the O.C had to implement and failed to take hard decisions. For some periods of time the P.B was not functioning, no agenda, no recording of decisions, he found that he could not take these long meetings: for no reason at all.

He had a system for the Bureau to meet three hours for once a week and O.C three hours per week, so that Cdes can spend more time in the field. But Cdes have to be more prepared before he was asked to form the ideas elaborate these ideas and implement them at the same time he had a number of talks behind his back. He would like to operate as he is presently for ever. He said that it will be an emotional strain for him to be back on the O.C under any mode.

The aspect that he had over the years was that he was an ordinary member he would have manners the Cde. Leader years ago because of his position as deputy Leader and the extent that Cdes will think that he is fighting for leadership, if he comes back and Cde. Bishop falter he would be afraid to criticise him and will resign again. For it to be left for him to make the leader, he is not prepared to deal with this. He admits that this is a P.B conduct.

However, he had tried to give the party his best support in strategy and tactics he would prefer to operate as in the past year. He also is prepared to take all responsibilities that the O.C offers him but off the O.C. He cannot take emotional
conflict situation that says hi energies. He wants this to be clearly put to the party.

Unless the C.C is prepared to manners all petit bourgeois response he will re-draw.

The issue is to start collective leadership of the C.C. The lack of frank and bluntness has seriously affected the party.

The C.C has never been accountable to any one the Bureau had things from the C.C and the C.C hid things from the membershi;

He said that he have problems with any mode of coming back the C.C and P.B unless he is forced to.

There are two trends on the C.C Petit bourgeois Revolutionary Democratic trend and M.L trend. As the struggle gets tougher and the taking of decisions becomes harder the level of vacillation and indecisiveness grows, and the crisis becomes deeper. The contradiction will become greater, his emotional strain will also become greater. He has noted a Petit Bourgeois Revolutionary trend has becoming greater in Cde. Louisian over the last year. He has planned to raised it with him. Whatever the decision the C.C take he will have to ordered because there will be much more taytay behind his back. He also think that the situation will worsened.

There is no signs in the last 1½ years where the C.C has consistently crushed petit bourgeois characteristics and trend as soon as it rise.

Cde. Cornwall said that in this meeting he had seen very firm sought out positions taken, it gives the idea of what the C.C is capable of doing, he thinks that this is important to recognise. However, we have not been consistent in this area. He said that with-out the consistency the party will rot again.

Cde: Bernad proposed that for the next six months the Bureau should meet as a bureau with all members of the C.C as alternate Bureau members so that the monthly meetings will be to take stock. The Bureau meeting will meet to take decisions on the daily organisation of the work. The Bureau should meet three hours once a week.

Cde. Strachan felt that these meetings should take place in the opera ions room of Fort Rupert.

Cde. Coard further proposed that there should be absolutely no interruption in the meeting.

Cde also proposed no smoking in meetings.
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Comrade Coard continued to question whether the points taken on operationalisation will satisfy Cde. Leader concern.
Whether he sees the criticisms as a vote of no confidence of the joint leadership lead to a vote of confidence. If on this basis he refused to accept the decision of the C.C on these models what will be the decision of the C.C.

Cde. Deriggs said that when this situation was dealt with the decision was taken based on strategy. The basic concern is the character of the C.C.

He said that the only way forward is Cde. Bernard's membership on the C.C. We must take no action to sacrifice the revolution and building the revolution and to build the situation. If joint leadership is decided and one Cde. Comrade don't want to comply the leadership will be decided. Cdes have to be firm and resolute.

Cde. Bernard Coard also questioned the time frame and perspective in terms of informing the membership and to start the work internally and hitting the ground scientifically and organisationally.

Cde. Starach said that the hold up is based on Cde. Bishop time for reflection.

Cde. Cornwall felt that this cannot be dragged on indefinitely. Cde. Cornwall felt too that the report should not hold out any longer than next Monday and the C.C should continue to meet with Cde. Coard to work out the package for the way forward. A date was fixed to meet with Cde. Bishop on Friday. In the meantime the G.M will be informed of the meetings.

The C.C agreed that three meetings would be held to discuss the minutes with M's, C.M's and A's, it was agreed to cancel the Fedon's week-end seminar and the members will meet on Sunday 25th in Belunge from 9.00 a.m. - 10.00 p.m. Breakfast will be served from 8-9a.m. The C.M's will meet on Monday 27th in Butler House from 5.00 p.m. - 11.00 p.m. and the following Monday 3rd October from 5.00 p.m. - 8.00 p.m in Butler house for A's. Transportation and a light snack would be provided. On September 30th and October 1st the weekend if Butler seminar the Fedon and Butler group will study the package of measures and the Merrysho group will use their schedule seminar to discuss the package.

Cde. Leyne then suggested that the C.C break to use Sunday to sort out positions, then meet from Monday to Friday from 8.00 a.m. - 12.00 noon to work out the package of measures.

Cde. Phyllis Coard suggested that Cde. Bishop be informed of the meetings that he can join but he should come on Friday to put forward his position. She also suggested a system of Comrades sharing their notes with other Cdes who were absent in the meeting and Cde should assist Cde. Boldeau in preparing the minutes.
Cde. Mc Barnette was asked to assist Cde. Bain
Cde. Cornwall will assist Cde. St. Bernard.
Cde. Leyne will brief Cde. Austin.
Cde. Strachan will brief Cde. Bishop
Cde. Cornwall will supervise the writing of the minutes.
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EXTRAORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF FULL MEMBERS

DATE: SUNDAY 25TH SEPTEMBER, 1983

The meeting began at 9.00 a.m chaired by Cde. Liam James, member of Political Bureau of NJM Central Committee.

AGENDA

1. Distribution of document
2. Chairman's remarks
3. Central Committee report to G.M
4. Discussion
5. Workshops for individual study and discussion
6. Plenary discussion

The documents distributed to the members were:

(a) Minutes of Extra-ordinary meeting of the Central Committee of NJM - Tuesday 12th - Friday 15th October 1982.

(b) Extra-ordinary meeting of the Central Committee NJM 14th - 16th September, 1982.

(c) Central Committee report to membership.

Cde. Liam James as chairman made brief remarks pointing out that this General Meeting is a very serious one and every member must approach the deliberations of the meeting in a spirit of frankness since it has been called resulting from the comments picked up from the party's membership regarding the problems faced by the party and revolution and their disagreement with the conclusions of the regular plenary session of the Central Committee held from July 18 - 23, 1983.

He further emphasised and stressed that all comrades must show a high level of security consciousness with the documents and their contents, emphasising that this is an internal party matter and must not be discussed outside of party bounds.
CENTRAL COMMITTEE REPORT

The Central Committee report to the membership was presented by Cde. Ewart Layne, member of the Political Bureau of NJM Central Committee. The report was characterised with a spirit of frankness, straightforwardness, criticism and self-criticism. It pointed out that the present crisis faced by the party and revolution is the worse ever in 4½ years since we are faced with the reality of the degeneration of the party, its possible disintegration in six months and the resulting overthrow of the revolution that can come in one year's time if we don't take effective measures to remedy the situation. The report gave concrete evidence which testified to the fact that this process is already in train.

It is a spirit of criticism and self-criticism ascertained the reasons for the crisis facing the party and revolution pointing squarely at the Central Committee as the source of the problem. In so doing the views held which stated that the Organising Committee (O.C) and Disciplinary Committee (D.C) were dispelled since as the report pointed out the problems of these committees are symptomatic to the real problem, that of the Central Committee.

The report analysed that the Central Committee's main problem is that of the weak quality of leadership provided by Cde. Maurice Bishop, Chairman of the Central Committee and leader of the party. It frankly pointed out that Cde. Maurice Bishop has tremendous strengths that are necessary for the process but these by themselves cannot carry the party out of its present crisis. The qualities are also needed, these are:

1. A Leninist level of organisation and discipline
2. Great depth in ideological clarity.
3. Brilliance in strategy and tactics
4. The capacity to exercise Leninist supervision, control and guidance of all areas of party work are today not present in the Comrade.
Thus the Central Committee has been making errors for the last 12 months, vacillating and taking a right-opportunist path.

The report also pointed out that the weak functioning of the Central Committee; its vacillatory positions, the undiscipline of its members to study, thinks, take hard decisions and struggle for their implementation have led Cde. Bernard Coard to resign from the Central Committee September 1982.

Continuing in the same spirit of open self criticism the Central Committee report criticised the C.C for not giving policy guidelines on the different areas of party work.

It is based on the above that the Central Committee reported to the G.M its decision to establish a model of joint leadership of the party by marrying together the strengths of Cdes. Bishop and Bernard Coard with the areas of work spelt out for each Cde. As we stated this model of joint leadership is a recognition of reality existing in our party for the last ten years and authority is now being given commensurate with responsibility so as to improve and make more efficient the party's work.

The Central Committee also made six other conclusions and decisions and demanded of every party member that they firmly uphold and struggle at all times for nine principles together with the model of joint leadership and decisive in overcoming this grave crisis and putting the party on a Marxist Leninist path. These nine principles enunciated are:

1. Iron Discipline
2. Firmly uphold and apply the principle of democratic Centralism emphasising criticism and self criticism in collective leadership.
3. Leninist level of organisation.
4. Open warmth and selflessness in dealing with the masses.
5. Sink but don't drown amongst the masses.
6. Kill all arrogance
7. Greater scientific thought and reflection on the problems and difficulties of the party and revolution
8. An end to all vacillation

The Central Committee in its report warned the members against the illusion that the crisis that we are now in can be quickly and easily solved. It instead called on them to wage a long and persistent struggle as the only guarantee to a solution to these ever recurring problems.

After the Central Committee's report Cde. Liam James called on the members to be frank, open, cold-blooded and objective in their deliberations and overcome the tendency to be timid. He then pointed out to the members the reason for Cde. Maurice Bishop's absence stating that since the C.C meeting on Friday 17th September, Cde. Bishop said he needed time to think and reflect on the Central Committee's conclusion and would be able to meet on Friday 24th September in the C.C plenary to put forward his position. However, Cde. Bishop did not turn up on the appointed date and gave the same reason for his absence. Today, he stated, the same is true for his absence at the General Meeting.

Cde. James then read a note from Cde. Bernard Coard which stated that he understood that Cde. Bishop would be absent from the G.M and as such he felt it was not fitting for him to be present since this may inhibit free and frank discussion. However, Cde. Coard pointed out if the G.M requests his presence he would be willing to comply.

Cde. Murie Francois in response called for both Cdes Bishop and Coard to be present at the G.M. Cde. Maureen St. Barbe proposed that both Cdes be sent for. Cde. Lester Reid pointed to the nature of the crisis we are facing and reasoned that both Cdes must be present. Cde. Tessa Stroude joined the other Comrades in stating that both Cdes must be here at the G.M. This also was the position of Cde. Wayne Sandifer.
Cde. Liam James then asked - do you think that both Cdes should be sent for? In reply Cde. Chester Louison said that Cde. Maurice Bishop should be given more time to reflect. In response to Cde. Chester Louison six Comrades reiterated the position that both Cdes must be here. Cde. Nelson Louison said that both Cdes should be here while Cde. Anslem De Burg pointed out that if both Comrades accept that we are faced with a serious crisis then they must be here. Cde. Valdon Boldeau agreed with Cde. DeBourg and further stated that this G.M would assist Cde. Bishop's reflection. Cde. Keith Ventour stated that he is shocked that both Cdes are not here and that they must hear the position of the G.M. Cde. Chester Humphrey reasoned that it is not possible to resolve the questions put forward by the C.C if both Cdes are not present, in so doing he rejected the position taken by Cde. C. Louison. Cde. Lex Mc Bain called for their presence and further stated that it was unprincipled for Cde. Bishop to absent himself from the C.C meeting. Cde. Gordon Raeburn endorsed the views of the above Cdes that both must be present. Cde. Claudette Pitt also endorsed that position, stated that the C.C had not been hearing from the membership and she further questioned the absence of other C.C members.

Cde. Liam James explained that Cde. Chris DeRigas and Cde. George Louison were at present out of the country.

Continuing in the same manner Cde. Chris Stroude said it was important that both Cdes be present. Cde. Rudolph Ogilvie stated that if Cde. Bishop is given more time to reflect in isolation he would sink. Cde. Keith Roberts stated his firm agreement with Cde. R. Ogilvie and called for the presence of both Cdes. Cde. Reginald Fleming stated that the call for both Cdes to come to the G.M is to uphold democratic centralism (applause). Cde. Peter David stated that Cde. Bishop already had a week to reflect and that the views of the members are critical for him to move forward. Cde. Einstein Louison stated that the
that the highest level in the party is here, there is at this time no higher level and if the situation is so terrible he is wondering why both comrades are not here. He called for both to be sent for. Cde. Ronnie Spooner stated his agreement with everyone except Cde. Chester Louison. He further stated that if the C.C and membership accept that the crisis is so bad then both Cdes must be present so that collectively we can analyse the crisis. He called for the G.M to use whatever necessary means to get the Cdes here (applause).

Cde. Faye Thompson asked whether Cde. Bishop would come; she suggested that a letter demanding his presence should be sent signed by all members. Cde. Peter David suggested that a delegation to get Cde. Bishop to come to the G.M. Cde. Chester Humphrey asked for the C.C's view on the matter. Cde. Rudolph Ogilvie stated that Cde. Coard is willing to come therefore we must ensure that Cde. Bishop is coming before requesting Cde. Coard's presence. Cde. Rita Joseph stated her disagreement with Cde. R. Ogilvie and stated that if Cde. Bishop does not come Cde. Coard should still be present. Cde. Keith Ventour stated his agreement with Cde. R. Joseph.

Cde. Ewart Layne said that Cde. Bishop's attitude to the criticism by the C.C and its decision was petit bourgeois in character. He pointed out that the C.C warned Cde. Bishop that if he responds in this way to criticism this could only discourage Cdes from openly criticising him and would only guarantee that we don't come out of the crisis and thus the disintegration of the party and the eventual loss of state power.

Cde. Layne informed the G.M that Cde. Bishop asked for time to reflect which was given to him up until Friday 23rd. He also said he needs to know Cde. Coard's views. This he knew by Monday 19th based on the records of the meeting held by the C.C with Cde. Coard. In addition Cde. Coard held a direct personal talk with Cde. Bishop and reiterated his position on the matter,
namely, that emotionally his preference is to remain outside of the C.C but given the dangers of the revolution at this time he is willing to return to the C.C and P.B at whatever level determined by the C.C.

Cde. Layne went on to state that however, the C.C has no communication from Cde. Bishop on his position although he continues to do his state work normally, operating from his residence while failing to attend the C.U meeting on Friday 23rd. This, Cde. Layne, pointed out could only be seen as contempt for the C.C, contempt for democratic centralism on the part of Cde. Bishop. On this score Cde. Layne stated that the issue we face today is what path would the party take. Will we build a Marxist Leninist party as voted for by the General Membership in 1982 when the line of March was presented? Will we institute democratic centralism for all? Will the Minority submit to the Majority? Will there be one discipline binding on all or will it be for everyone except the leader? Will we practice criticism and self criticism frankly and openly as demanded by Cde. Lenin or will the C.C be intimidated by one man? Are we going to build a petit bourgeois social democratic party with one man above everyone, where people fulfill decisions they like and do not fulfill those they do not like, where there is one discipline for some and a next set for others, where some can be criticized and others are above criticism?

This is the first and most fundamental issue the party membership faces today, which ever decision is taken will determine the future of the party and revolution, Cde. Layne stated. He went on to point out that it is either the building of a Marxist Leninist party and the struggle to build socialism or a petit bourgeois social democratic party and ultimately the degeneration of the party and revolution like in Egypt and Somalia. What faces us is the road of opportunism or Leninist principles.
If the road of opportunism is chosen he said he cannot see any aspiring communist, any aspiring Marxist Leninist, any Comrade who stands for principle remaining a member of the C.C. He informed the G.M that he had spoken to all C.C members who voted for the Majority position, including Cde. Hudson Austin who although late for the C.C plenary but who is a principled Comrade and that all these C.C members have agreed that if the road of opportunism is chosen they shall have no alternative but to resign for the C.C on the ground of principle. The membership is then free to choose a new Central Committee but none of the Cdes would be standing for re-election. However, they are willing to continue functioning as ordinary party members and in order to remove any suspicion or grounds for rumour that they are working to undermine the new C.C, they are all prepared to serve and defend the revolution overseas. Thus the membership must choose which road whether it is opportunism or Marxism Leninism. Cde. Layne then quoted a passage from the material.

Cde. Unison Whiteman on a point of order informed the G.M that Cde. Bishop turned up on Saturday 24th for a C.C meeting at 1.30 p.m but the meeting did not take place.

Cde. Liam James explained to the G.M that the meeting was specifically to discuss and agree on the Central Committee report to the G.M but the document was not yet rolled off. Thus it was not possible for the meeting to be held.

Cde. Tessa Stroude asked whether Cde. Bishop sent an excuse for his non attendance to the Friday 23rd C.C meeting.

Cde. Selwyn Strachan said that Cde. Bishop informed him that he had not finished reflecting and he had nothing new to say, thus he wanted more time to reflect. He also said that he went to bed late on Thursday night. Cde. Strachan stated his firm endorsement of the points made by Cde. Layne. He
stated that the issue is one of democratic centralism. We have analysed the problems, got to the root of the problems and came up with a solution. And this is the first time that the C.C has been so frank and principled. The C.C has to be blamed for covering up and for its right opportunist positions. We have contributed to the crisis. This right opportunism of the C.C is seen in the covering up of Cde. Coard's resignation and Cde. Kenrick Radix removal from the C.C. And he was part of the covering up and bumbling on these issues. But now a clear majority in the C.C has taken decisions and they must be upheld on the principle of democratic centralism.

Cde. Leon Cornwall said that the behaviour and attitude of Cde. Maurice Bishop to the frank, open and comradely criticisms and the C.C decision based on the criticism was petit bourgeoise in nature. Cde. Bishop during the C.C extraordinary plenary accepted, and this is recorded, that the party and revolution face their most dangerous crisis and if things continue we can lose state power. Cde. Bishop also agreed to the fact that the source of the crisis is with the Central Committee, Cde. Cornwall informed the G.M. In fact no C.C member disagreed with this. When Cde. Bishop was criticised everyone including himself spoke in agreement with the criticism. However, on the question of action, what is to be done vacillations begun. Despite this Cde. Bishop said he had no problem with joint leadership and that his conception of his role in the revolution accords with those spelt out in the proposal. Comrades but Cde. Bishop had not shown that consistency in action as his verbal expressions may indicate. Instead he has been in practice resisting the criticism on the majority position. Cde. Cornwall pointed out that this action violates the fundamental principle of a Marxist-Leninist party, that of democratic centralism which is the soul of a Marxist Leninist Party and those aspiring to build such a party. That failure to uphold democratic centralism in this
care would lead to serious problems in the future and the inability of the C.C to apply this to other party Comrades and committees in the future. Cde. Cornwall stated that on Tuesday 20th Cdes Strachan, James, Layne, Austin and himself spoke with Cde. Bishop, at the end Cde. Bishop said "I will definitely come to the C.C meeting on Friday but not before." He also said in the same positive manner words to this effect to Cde. Layne of Thursday at 10.30 p.m. However, he failed to turn up to the C.C meeting and thus joined with Cde. Layne in saying that Cde. Bishop showed contempt to the C.C meetings and democratic centralism. He also reminded the G.M that many party Comrades members, candidates and applicants even Comrades who are not even potential applicants have been seriously criticised by the party, however they continued to work and struggle for the interest of the revolution. He went on to say that we must decide on what type of party we are building. If it is a Marxist Leninist Party then all, not some of the Leninist principles must be applied. But if it is a social democratic party then we must leave the 'people's books' aside. He finally endorsed the position that no aspiring Leninist can remain on the C.C if the party fails to apply this C.C decision on the way forward and therefore is prepared to resign.

Cde. Basil Gahagan call on the G.M to take a decision to call for Cde. Bishop. A vote was taken 46 Cdes for, 1 against and one abstained. A delegation headed by Cde. Ian St. Bernard, member of the NJM Central Committee and consisting of Cdes Basil Gahagan, Murie Francois, Keith Ventour, Chester Humphrey and Wayne Sandiford left to convey to Cde Bishop the will of the G.M.

At 11.10 a.m Cde. Bernard Coard arrived at 12.42 Cde. Ian St. Bernard reported to the G.M on behalf of the delegation. Cde. St. Bernard pointed out that the delegation met Cde. Bishop and explained the position of the G.M after they had heard the C.C report. Cde. Bishop said he preferred for the
delegation to carry to the G.M his position. He said he read the C.C report and had not yet formulated his position on it. He outlined his position on joining leadership saying he has always accepted this and referred to the joint coordinating secretaries established in March 1973 when NJM was formed. He stated that as leader of the party and revolution he accepts the blame for the weakness of the C.C. When asked to come to the G.M to explain his position he was not favourable to this, however, after some insisting by the delegation he said he would reach at 12.30 p.m. Cde. St. Bernard said that in his opinion Cde. Bishop would come.

Cde. Keith Ventour added that the atmosphere in the meeting with Cde. Bishop was emotional. He pointed out that Cde. Bishop said that he got the C.C report late and didn't agree with some aspects in it. Cde. Chester Humphrey added that Cde. Bishop said that there were many things he was trying to think out and do such contribute to the meeting. Cde. Wayne Sandiford added that Cde. Bishop said that there were some concerns he had and wants to raise but does not think it is wise to raise them in the G.M. Cde. Layne interpreted all these as manifestations of violation of democratic centralism by Cde. Bishop.

At 12.52 p.m Cde. Bishop arrived.

Cde. Fitzroy Bain said that one member of the delegation said Cde. Bishop said that there were things in the C.C report he was not in agreement with what were they?

Cde. Murie Francois asked Cde. Unison Whiteman for an explanation about his abstention in the voting. Cde. Whiteman said that Cde. Bishop had some concerns some of which have not been addressed, thus demanding that he comes here is not correct. Cde. Spooner asked - "What were those concerns?" Cde. Whiteman responded that one concern is that he needs to adjust psychologically and secondly there were certain important points not reflected in the minutes. Cde. Peter David said that
the G.M was concerned about Cde. Bishop's absence and thus he should explain.

Cde. Bishop in response said that he assumed that the G.C would explain his position to the G.M. He added that the discussions in the C.C Plenary has raised concerns to him. When stripped bare and until he has completed his reflections then he can face the G.M with a clean conscience. He is now relatively confused and emotional. There are several things that concern him and thus require a lot of nature reflection. He said that he shared the basic C.C conclusion on the crisis in the country, and party and that the source of the crisis lies in the C.C. He added that he firmly believes that the more authority and power one has then the greater the responsibility for failures belongs to that person. He pointed out that the concept of joint leadership does not bother him because of his history of struggle especially from the 1973 merger which gave rise to NJM. He said that many comrades had criticized him in relation to his acceptance of joint leadership in the past in the form of joint coordinating secretaries. However, the masses have their own conception and perception that may not be necessarily like ours who study the science. Our history shows that the masses build up a personality cult around an individual. He admitted that his style of leadership has led to vacillation, indecisiveness in many cases. He confessed that maybe his conception of leadership is idealistic because of the historical cause of power and one man leadership. He and his contemporaries have distaste for one man leadership and he has a strong position on this. He further pointed out that his style of leadership is an error since it calls for consensus, unity at all cost and this cause vacillation. And he is not sure that he has overcome this.

Secondly he said that he feels strongly that the party must have a clear position on areas of demarcation of responsibility and systems of accountability. He is of the view that some comrades held strong reservations and they should have raised them in an open and principled way. He said that if they held them for long and then suddenly spring them then there must be need for reflection.
then in an open and principle way. He said that if they held them for long and then suddenly spring them then there must be need for reflection.

He informed the G.M that in the JULY C.C. plenary there was assessment held and that many points that are now being made about him that were not made then. He stated that he is always open to criticism but he should have been approached first before the meeting so he would be able to work out a clear and cogent response. He went on to say that there is a fine line separating a petit bourgeois and a scientific response. He felt that if he had those conclusions on a member he would have concern then before although this may not be a scientific position.

He also said that he is concerned about the minutes being given to the G.M. If minutes are given which show what each member of the C.C has said it can develop ideas of groupings and fractions and vacillations in the C.C. He is afraid that it would eventually reach the masses and reaction and would thus undermine the revolution and give rise to suspicions that there is a power struggle in the C.C. He said that if we are to rebuild links with the masses then by solving the problem by being frank it would undermine the confidence of the leadership. He sees this clearly and does not understand why other C.C members cannot see this. He pointed out that in the past the C.C has decided on not communicating sensitive matters and for defence. He said that at the emergency C.C meeting a large part of the meeting was spent discussing whether Cde. Valensi Bolde, the C.C recording secretary should be present at the extra-ordinary C.C plenary. At the emergency C.C meeting some Cdes had apprehension but now 2 weeks later they have no apprehension in giving the minutes to the G.M.
He then said that he is concerned about what is the real meaning of the C.C's position. He is having horrors. If it is what he is thinking of then he does not see himself as being on the C.C or on the C.C as a leader. He said that the C.C pointed out that his strengths were the ability to agitate the masses, to articulate the position of the party and government to the masses and to hold high the banner of the revolution in the region and internationally and his weaknesses were lack of Leninist level of organisation and discipline, brilliance in strategy and tactics and all that have been said. But the C.C. said that precisely those qualities he lacks are those required to carry the revolution forward because those he has can't take it further. Thus the strengths of two C.C's are to be married together. He is suspicious that Comrades have concluded that the party must be transformed into a Leninist party and thus he is the wrong person for the leader. He can't accept this compromise, it is unprincipled. He explained that for him to put out his strengths it must be as a result of a deep conviction, love for the poor and working people and out of a feeling of confidence from the C.C. He is not satisfied because the totality of points made is pointing him in a direction he is trying to run from. It is not about leadership but a compromise in the interim. "What is the genuine substantial preference of the Comrades he asked?"

Cde. Bishop went on to say that only he can solve the problem he is now facing because any assistance and talk about this not being a case of no confidence will be seen by him as tactical. He further said that he is considering the option of withdrawing from the P.B and C.C but has not yet resolved this. Therefore the C.C as the vanguard of the vanguard has a duty to meet in his absence and come up with clear conclusions on how to come out of the crisis. He stated that the C.C should not wait for him because supposing after his reflection he decides to withdraw, then many vital works would have been lost. His only concern he stated is about certain areas in the report that concerns him about his role.
in the future but the C.C should go ahead and meet and whatever line is taken can be communicated to him.

Cde. James stated that in his view Cde. Bishop must remain in the G.M and hear from the members. He went on to say that we must distinguish between emotional and psychological reactions from decisions of the C.C. The C.C is the highest body and its decisions are binding on all - this is a fundamental Leninist principle. It must not be for some but for all. He stated that what is at stake given the depth of the crisis is the future of the revolution. The number one priority for everyone must be the interest of the party and revolution. Our whole approach to this question must always be totally cold-blooded, honest and objective. We must ask ourselves what is the correct solution; what is the way forward.

On the question of raising it with him before Cde. James said that there is no Leninist rule that demands this, that the party rule requires this. If a comrade chooses to do this it is out of that comrade's own liking. Cde. James informed the G.M that the C.C has removed Comrades from the P.B and G.M before and no such approach as Cde. Bishop desired in his case was used.

On the question of the minutes going to the G.M Cde. James said that the heart, the essence of the minutes is the position taken on joint leadership and the criticisms of Cde. Bishop. The C.C can't lie anymore unless we decide we are not building a Marxist Leninist party. It is therefore critical that the G.M see the positions taken by everyone since it would help in their assessing C.C members.

Cde. Francis Gill stated that it is necessary for Cde. Bishop to be here and stay at the G.M. He needs to hear the discussions of all the members. Cde. Gill said that the emotionalism...
not surprise him because of the petit bourgeois nature of the party. He further stated that the criticisms he has read in the minutes have been frank and he does not see any petit bourgeois manifestations in them. The main issue is that of settling the leadership question. He agrees that Cde. Bishop does not have the ideological clarity. At first many members thought that the problem rested with the Organising Committee but now he has realised that the Organising Committee cannot have problems if the C.C does not have. Cde. Gill stated that all of us have the weakness of not frankly raising criticisms and Cde. Bishop should appreciate that now comrades are willing to do so. This is a sign of the growing maturity of the C.C and G.M.

Cde. Gill said that he appreciated Cde. Bishop's concern on the minutes going to the G.M but failure to give information holds back the party. For too long the majority of the party has been operating in ignorance. Cde. Gill said that he has always seen leadership in the party as being joint. He had serious concerns when Cde. Co. rd resigned. He further stated that it is necessary for Cde. Bishop to have bilateral and collective discussions with the membership. He said that in his view there is no other way forward except a qualitative change. He referred to Cde. Bishop's statement on page 10 in the minutes where Cde. Bishop said, "We have chosen a path in which we can accomplish the most for mankind, then nobody can know how because they are only sacrifice for everyone. Then we enjoy no poor limited egoistic joy for our happiness belongs to thousands. Our deeds will live on working eternally."

Cde. Anatole Débourgh said that there is a crisis in the party. The C.C is the highest organ, once it is split then no party and no revolution. He said that the question of collective leadership is a fundamental principle of Marxism Leninism. That collective leadership could only advance and push the revolution forward. He referred to Cde. Bishop's statement on page 10 in the minutes where Cde. Bishop said, "he is..."
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by the levels of thought and preparation of Cdes as evident in their various contributions". Cde. Debourg said that this is a hint to there being a conspiracy in the C.C. Cde. Debourg said that he does not think that Cde. Coard's resignation was correct and criticised him for this.

Cde. Lorraine Lewis said that in the past the C.C has not been frankly criticising each other now out of the blues it has been done with Cde. Bishop and if we are to put the party on a Marxist Leninist footing we must not suddenly jump to Sricirise. She also asked how would we bring the question of joint leadership to the masses since there are many people who would not like to see Cde. Coard as leader?

Cde. Liam Jones answered that joint leadership is an internal party matter and is not to be brought to the masses. Cde. Bishop would remain a Prime Minister and Commander in Chief of the Armed Forces. He said that the key to defeating rumour mongering is the proletarian acceptance, attitude and disposition of the two comrades. In the past imperialism and reaction spread rumours about power struggle in the party but this made no headway because the closeness of the two comrades.

Cde. Ewart Layne said that if we strip things of personal feelings and ground them on the organisational principles of a proletarian party and the concrete situation in our country then the C.C conclusions are correct. He said that no Marxist Leninist principle says that you must first privately raise criticism. Cde. Layne referred to the resolution of the C.C plenary of April 1981 when there was a unanimous call for joint chairmanship. He also said that came up in December 1981, October 1982 and July 1983 all being critical of Cde. Bishop's leadership. He also said that the C.C resolution of October 1982 said that there was nothing wrong with Cdes holding bilate als and consultations on party matters however, these matters must then be eventually brought before the party C.C.
On the minutes Cde. Loyne said that we are not fooling anyone. The members know what is going on. We must be frank and honest. He then quoted a passage from the material, 'Democracy and centralism' which all members studied a few weeks ago.

"Lenin considered the development of criticism and self-criticism in every way among the indispensable conditions for strengthening the party and for improving its work. The party of communists criticised itself, and by criticising inevitably strengthens itself. Principled, open criticism was considered by Lenin to be the duty of a revolutionary. He pointed out that it had a place in the arsenal of every party organisation. Lenin warned that the party must critically examine the results of its activity, and should not hide from the party members and the people the shortcomings in its work.

"The party cannot fulfil its role of leader of the working class and all working people if it fails to notice its own shortcomings; if it is unable to expose the negative aspects in its work, if it is afraid to openly and honestly acknowledge mistakes and cannot correct them in time.

"Lenin wrote in this connection: 'A political party's attitude towards its own mistakes is one of the most important and surest ways of judging how earnest the party is and how it fulfills its obligations towards its class and the working people. Frankly acknowledging a mistake, ascertaining the reasons for it, analysing the conditions that have led up to it, and thrashing out the means of its rectification - that is the hallmark of a serious party, that is how it should perform its duties; and how it should educate and train its "class and out of the masses."

"Open criticism of its own defects is not a sign of weakness but of the great strength of a Marxist party, and a means of strengthening it further. Lenin insisted that a party could learn to win and succeed only when it could face the truth, even the worst, squarely."
Cde. Layne further stated that Cde. Bishop is of the opinion that there is a plot and conspiracy to remove him but at this time for tactical reasons we are going half way. This Cde. Layne considers to be gross contempt for the intelligence of the C.C. For him to feel that under every chair, in every window there is a conspiracy going on is nothing but contempt.

Cde. Len Mc Bain said that since April 1981 the resolutions of the C.C has been pointing to weak leadership given the C.C but nothing was being done. Therefore if we met and taken another such resolution and do nothing then soon the party would disintegrate and the revolution overthrown.

Cde. Ronnie Spooner said that since April '81 with all the resolutions it can be said that the C.C has been a talk shop. Information was not being sent down. The C.C was not taking firm measures. He said that a weekend seminar the party membership identified 19 features of a social Democratic Party and it is clear that they all are applicable to our own party. The failure of the C.C to pass on information to the membership shows that the C.C was operating as a clique and did not trust the membership. He stated that Cde. Bishop as the leader of the party should be the first person to abide by and uphold democratic centralism. His failure to do so is nothing but a petit bourgeoisie manifestation. He further pointed out that Marxism Leninism is not a dogma but a guide to creative action and in this regard he cited the nine man joint leadership in Nicaragua. He firmly stated that he supports joint leadership in the party, and further went on to say that it is because of the C.C and party's vacillation we are in this situation. As the old people say 'what happens in the dark must come out to light'. He however, confidently said that today shows that the party is prepared to come forward. Refering to Cde. Bishop he said that one never knows his strengths if he does not know his weaknesses.
Cde. Nelson Louison endorsed Cdes Layne and Gill's position. He said that this is the first time that the C.C is telling the membership the truth. He noted that many Comrades have been seeing the problems and not saying anything. We must decide whether we are building a Marxist Leninist party or a social democratic one. He noted that many National Liberation Movements have failed because of this failure to build a Marxist Leninist party. He pointed out his disagreement with Cde. Bishop's position when he earlier said that the opinions of the members cannot help him and that he alone can help himself. This Cde. N. Louison said is a petit bourgeois position. He then quoted from the document 'Supreme Principle of Party Leadership' which was recently studied by the whole party, "Lenin severely condemned any attempt to ignore the opinions of ordinary party members, to look upon them as merely the execution of the will of leading personalities. For him, a party member was an active, conscientious, political fighter, a master of his party, prepared to bear complete responsibility for its will."

Cde. Wayne Sandiford said that he criticises Cde. Bernard Cord for resigning from the C.C and that he is worried how Cde. Bishop is seeing the criticisms of the C.C and G.M as some kind of conspiracy. He said that he does not want to be part of any conspiracy. He then pointed out that many Comrades have been moved from their original areas of work and placed in others. He gave himself as an example of this saying that one morning he was told that he is moved from the Ministry of Trade and is now a full time Worker Education Tutor. This change although abrupt he tired to take in a proletarian way and has been making his best efforts. He further stated that in Cde. Bishop's speech he sited four concerns, namely a wrong approach, the minutes going to the membership, he needs to reflect and that this maybe a conspiracy. Cde. Sandiford said that these four concerns are not concerns of principle they include reflect Cde. Bishop's petit bourgeois side.

21/............
Cde. Liam James then suggested that the workshops should begin. Cde. Mikey Prime then said that the G.M must decide whether Cde. Bishop leaves the G.M or not.

A vote was taken on the matter 51 Cdes were for Cde. Bishop staying and one (1) abstained (Chester Louison)

Then the Workshop begun.

At 4.00 p.m the plenary session of the G.M begun with workshops reports. Please see the appendix for the composition and reports of workshops.

After the workshops reports Cde. Liam James said that the workshops in their reports sited three (3) areas which need clarification. They are: Cde. George Louison's attitude at the C.C plenary; what is Cde. Austin's role in the armed forces and what problems. Cde. Leon Cornwall experienced as ambassador to Cuba.

Cde. Liam James informed the G.M that Cde. George Louison's behaviour and position at the C.C plenary was right opportunism. He was vulgar and referred to the position taken by one Comrade as 'a load of shit'. He also tried to disrupt the meeting when the majority of the Central Committee was not supportive of his position by taking up his bag and threatening to walk out of the meeting. Cde. James however, said that Cde. Louison should further explain his behaviour to the G.M when he returns from abroad. He then called Cde. L. Cornwall to explain the problems he experienced.

Cde. Cornwall said that when the issue of all C.C members being based in Grenada came up at the C.C plenary there were some Cdes who did not favour this especially on the question of Cde. Layne and himself remaining. Thus he informed the C.C that there were some problems he experienced in Cuba mainly caused by the way in which the party was operating that made it
neatly for a C.O. member to be mantener this time.

Then the question became of how we were to operate it we were
dead with the same net that we were supposed to deal with,
which was to play to by to Canada and indefinitely get a return
conclude - not a member of the C.O. of the German Communist Party
experiences difficulties to get that netting in due time and send a
were asked by Germany to pass on some information to their own
as the presence in Cuba. He also stated an example of which the

unsuccessful. Thus the total can be interpreted as
end a C.O. member introduced the German Communist Party.
In the absence of the C.O. member were instructed or as a substitute to
their duties of the revolution existed to Cuba the same conduct.
was given on special occasion when they
be shown the place located in Cuba when the C.O. deployed.

behind personal expression. Also we were not informed exposed
pertinent to the order of government. Thus became a source of
were provided with the help of newspapers and letters that the result was to be sent to the Spanish
by the C.O. member to pass on some information.

He said the time was drawn to pass in Cuba by our Party and Government with the knowledge
since a lot of information was being transmitted from Germany
necessary for a C.O. member to be passed in Cuba as a measure.
Cde. Hudson Austin pointed out that for more than a year he had been concentrating all of his efforts as Minister of Construction on the many construction projects that have been given to the Armed Forces. He explained that in the Ministry of Construction he works closely with Cde. Mikey Prine the Secretary who is the only Permanent Secretary that is a party member. However, he said all this has been to the expense of the Armed Forces and he is very concerned about this. He said the Armed Forces have a good relationship with the Armed Forces union which unites the 17 points for military and strengthening the Armed Forces can be a slow process. He stated that when the revolution was in danger and there was concern about the situation in the party and society it was only the Armed Forces that were to rescue the situation.

Cde. Unison Whitman explained to the GBH that he had a number of reservations on the question of joint leadership. He had theoretical and practical reasons. He said joint leadership solves the problem of the minutes going to the leadership he felt that instead of this a comprehensive report highlighting all the arguments should have been given.

Cde. Chester Lohsun said he obtained from the voting this morning because he was concerned about Cde. Bishop's emotional state.

Cde. Fitzroy Bain said that there is a split in views in the GBH on the proposals and that whatever the results it must be for the party's survival. He said that he is sure...
He said that we must struggle to be capable of critical thinking. The letter to the committee and the other considerations he had brought up were pertinent to the current situation and that the other branches of the committee had not been considered at all. He said that the letter was not written by anyone who had written the letter. He said that he did not know if the letter had been written by anyone who had written the letter. He said that the letter had been written by someone who had written the letter.
must struggle to be always frank and call a spade a spade. He said that Cde. F. Bain cannot seek to blame other Comrades who have been struggling to raise their ideological level, that he must not feel intimidated by should make all efforts to raise his. He went on to say that we have always been good at taking quick decisions on small issues but vacillate on taking decisions on fundamental issues. He reminded Cde. F. Bain that he himself said that the C.C. Comrades who voted for joint leadership and well though out positions yet he is hinting that there is a conspiracy and this decision can wash up the revolution. Cde. David said that if there were a conspiracy it was a conspiracy to build the party and revolution.

Cde. L. Cornwall said that he was happy to see the level of discussion of the members and it is being carried out at a high ideological level grounded in the theory of Marxism Leninism. He said that this shows that the party is maturing. In relation to the position taken by Cde. F. Bain he said that Cde. Bain pointed out that he had problems with the report given by Cde. Layne. However, when the C.C met to consider the report before it was presented the G.M. Cde. Bain voted in favour of the report being presented as is to the G.M. However, if Cde. Bain is referring to the points made by Cde. Layne in relation to the decision that the majority of C.C members would resign from the C.C if the party membership fails to demand that the Leninist principle of democratic centralism be upheld and adhered to. Cde. Cornwall said that the decision of the majority is one of principle. He said that for too long our C.C has been vacillating and failing to take decisions when one or two C.C members oppose such. He said has made the party work weak and ineffective and is responsible for the deep crisis we now face. He pointed out that failure to take this decision and uphold democratic centralism has grave consequences for the party and revolution. That is to fail to apply democratic centralism, which is the heart and soul of Marxist Leninist party or any party aspiring to be transformed into such, on this is us that we now face, then on other matters ordinary party members would reject C.C decisions that they do not
like. This he claims would mar the party. He went on to say that we have always spoken about the importance of democratic centralism in the party; that on the morning of March 13th 1979 if democratic centralism was not upheld when the Political Bureau took the decision for the attack on True Blue barracks, if at that critical moment the party members said they needed time to reflect then there would have been no revolution and the party leadership would have been wiped out since Gairy left orders to exterminate the entire leadership. This is why if we fail to stand by principles but bend them for one man it would be unprincipled for C.C members with due respect for principles to remain on the C.C.

He went on to say that he finds it very strange that Cde. Bain never raised the issue of postponing the G.M before because Cde. George Louison is out of the country. He stated that the C.C took this decision to hold the G.M since Saturday 17th but between that time and today Cde. Bain never even raised the matter of postponing the G.M, in fact he did not raise it this morning and now it is almost 10.00 p.m. Why is he now raising this? He also said that no one must be intimidated because of differences in ideological levels; instead it is the duty of every C.C member, every party member to struggle hard and struggle so that the ideological level of the entire C.C and party can be raised in order to work out the correct solutions to problems we face now and the even more complex ones we would face in the future.

He went on to say that when the C.C criticised Cde. Bishop it was not done from a position of self-righteousness. We all have weaknesses but it was the opinion of every single one of the C.C that the weaknesses of Cde. Bishop as leader of the C.C were persisting for a long time and causing vacillations in the C.C thus seriously affecting the party work. He then stated that on Cde. B. Coard's resignation the C.C pointed out in October that given the problems in the C.C that it was correct to do so. He informed the G.M that a great deal...
syndrome had developed in the C.C because everybody was expecting Cde. Coard to do all the thinking and preparations for C.C meetings and to ensure that whatever decisions were implemented. This he said only held back the development of the C.C and jeopardised collective leadership. Since Cde. Coard's resignation many C.C members have realised that they must take on their responsibility as a C.C member to think and prepare for meetings. It is this long struggle to take on their responsibilities since Cde. Coard's resignation that has made it possible for the ordinary party members themselves to now boldly speak up. In the past this never happened but now everyone has sensed that they must now shoulder their responsibility. This is the positive effect of Cde. Coard's resignation.

Cde. F. Bain then spoke repeating his position on the statement made by the majority of C.C members to resign if the C.C decision is not carried through. He further said that he got the C.C report late and that he did not see any conspiracy by the C.C.

Cde. F. Gill said he does not see any conspiracy but instead we are breaking new grounds. He said that we all have petit bourgeois weaknesses which can be seen in our style of work. He called for the party to embark on serious ideological training and said that Cde. F. Bain's position reflects a low ideological level and understanding. He said that this issue is one of principle — will we stand by principles we speak of or would we cast them aside for Cde. Bishop's sake? He further said that the bringing of the minutes to the membership was forced on the C.C because the members would not have accepted anything without seeing the minutes.

Cde. Einstein Louison said that some Courdes are trying to justify a serious kind of complacency that frightens him. This matter is one of life or death of the revolution. It was the members who forced this on to the C.C. On Cde. Bishop's concerns he said that what is clear is that Cde. Bishop lost...
touch with the reality around him. He also said that this is true for Cde. F. Bain. He pointed out that Cde. Bishop had been failing to supervise the work and thus he criticises him for this sickness. He said that we are at a point when we must struggle hard to build a Marxist Leninist party which is the only guarantee that we will build socialism. He said that the matters raised concerning Cde. George Louison's position is not a personal attack on him, his position at the C.C meeting was definitely right opportunist. He went on to say that the C.C comrades who did not vote for the decision but instead previously agreed to all the analyses of the crisis and problems reminds him of what Karl Marx said about some philosophers. He quoted, "The philosophers have only interpreted the world, in various ways; the point, however, is to change it."

Cde. U. Whitesman said that his position is clear that Cde. B. Coard should be on the C.C and P.B but he has never heard or read about joint leadership. He said that may be he is not being creative but this is his position. He said that someone told him that the General Hospital is having problems because there is no clearly defined head of the hospital.

Cde. Keith Roberts said he is surprised to hear of the positions of certain C.C members especially those of Cde. Bishop who hint that this is a conspiracy. He said that Cde. Bishop must truly accept the criticism in a principled way. He quoted from another document studied by the entire party 'communist - a title of honour' which said, "Invin considered the conscientious carrying out of collectively taken decisions to be the highest manifestation of unity." He wrote: 'Discussing the problem, expressing and his ring different opinions ascertaining the views of the majority of the organised Marxist, expressing these views in the form of decisions adopted by delegates and carrying out conscientiously—this is what reasonable people all over the world call unity.'
"After a decision has been taken by the relevant bodies Lenin pointed out, all party members must act as one man. A communist's devotion to the party is tested by his ability to uphold the common cause and the way he implements party decision. To be a communist seems, above all, to merge one's own desires and actions with the desires and actions of the party."

Cde. K. Roberts went on to say that Cde. Bishop has by his words and action shown great mistrust in the comrades around him. A high and unbelievable level of individualism. Cde. Roberts stated that we all get wisdom from the collective wisdom of the party and we must all struggle to raise our ideological level in the interest of the revolution.

Cde. Valdon Boldeau said that he is happy with the C.C discussions and conclusions. For sometime now he has been unhappy with how the party was operating. He is now happy to see the C.C openly and frankly discussing its problems and is prepared to solve them. He further stated that the criticism of Cde. Bishop at the C.C plenary was honest and made from the standpoint of genuine respect and to pull the party out of the crisis. He said that he supports the model of joint leadership. He reminded Cde. Bishop that C.C decisions are binding on every party member and as leader he must be more willing to stand firm with the C.C decision. Cde. Boldeau stated that if Cde. Bishop does not fulfil the decision this would lead to disrespect for the C.C and for himself.

Cde. Chris Stroude said that since the formation of HJM the party's policy was one of taking state power and to build socialism and communism even if we ourselves would not be around to see communism. Therefore we can't afford to reach part of the way and mark time. He pointed out that we have to deeply study Marxism Leninism and Lenin's teachings on democratic centralism. He said he was shocked to hear about and to personally hear Cde. Bishop position. He reminded Cde. Bishop that at the first weekend seminar of the party a few weeks ago he himself addressed and explained the Leninist principles of party building and policy.
on all to always uphold them. Cde. Strome pointed out that he agrees with joint leadership and that this is a reality in the party that must be recognised. He said that if the revolution is turned back it would have regional and international implications. He said that he is surprised that Cde. Bishop is trying to hide the truth from the members by withholding one minutes. He said that there is nothing wrong in calling the position taken by someone as being opportunist. When someone is taking a counter revolutionary position we say it is a counter revolutionary position. He said that if opportunism is not struggled against it would stifle the party.

Cde. Basil Carnehan said that we must be critic of positions that are opportunist. He said that he is shocked with Cde. Bishop's position. It reveals a low ideological level and understanding. He further went on to say that the party has lost contact with the masses as the C.C. has lost contact with the party membership. It is this loss of contact that is now causing this paranoia of power struggle and conspiracy.

Cde. Irenes Kanks said the C.C. for its frankness and stated that he is dissatisfied with Cde. Bishop's position. He said that the C.C. lacks these leadership qualities which Cde. Carnehan has therefore he supports joint leadership. He said that over the last year many committees bound away because of lack of C.C. guidance. He said that the party members were not faced. He further stated that Cde. Bishop has not taken the criticism in a frank, wholehearted manner nad if we are to build a Marxist Leninist party it must be based on all the Leninist principles of party building. He further stated that many party members are developing because they have a positive and proletarian attitude to the criticisms that the C.C. always give them. Thus Cde. Bishop must see these criticisms for his own good and the party.

Cde. Chester Humphery said that what is taking place reminds him of what Cde. Coard said we is party celebrated Lenin's birthday. What Cde. Coard said referred to how different comrades respond to criticism i.e. either in a proletarian or a petit bourgeoise way. He said that Cde. Coard said on that
that:

(a) there are those who accept criticism and attempt to correct their way.
(b) there are those who refuse criticism and make no attempt to change.
(c) there are those who accept or say they accept but don't do anything to change.

The latter category he said was by far the most dangerous. He said that Cde. Bishop pointed out both to the C.C and C.M that these two bodies can't do anything to help him and only he himself can help himself. This Cde. Humphrey criticised as petit bourgeois individualism and attempts to find solution for his problem outside of the party. Cde. Humphrey asked what is the main issue? He answered we are trying to rescue a dangerous situation. He asked what is necessary to rescue the situation? He answered that one necessary ingredient is that of strengthening the C.C and party leadership and this is why he firmly supports joint leadership of the party between Cde. Bishop and Cde. Coard, the two most outstanding comrade of the party with different necessary strengths. He further stated that no textbook on Marxism would give you this. We must be creative and always take into account our reality.

Cde. Keith Ventour stated that he firmly agrees with joint leadership. He said that both Cdes. Bishop and Coard must act in a proletarian way always in the interest of the party. He said however, in his view the problem is with Cde. Bishop and his petit bourgeois attitude to the revolution. He said that the only way to solve this is for Cde. Bishop to genuinely accept the criticism. He further stated that no one is president for life. He then quoted at length from the document studied by the whole party 'Supreme Principle of Party Leadership' which said, "The Leninist way of presenting the question of the relation between the masses and their leaders, between the leaders and the led deserves attention. Lenin stressed that if it is the masses themselves who throw up worthy leaders from their ranks. The masses follow their leaders, but..."
same time they guide the latter, and correct them whenever necessary. Genuine leaders of the people not only teach the masses but learn from them, too.

'And for that very reason,' Lenin wrote, 'the whole party must constantly, steadily and systematically train suitable persons for the Central bodies, must see clearly, as in the palm of its hand, all the activities of every candidate for these high posts, must come to know even their personal characteristics, their strong and weak points, their victories and defeats. In this way, and in this way alone, shall we enable the whole body of influential party workers (and not the chance assortment of persons in a circle or grouplets), to know their leaders and to put each of them in his proper category!'

"Drawing in large circles of people in the elaboration and implementation of decisions, assessing the opinion of the majority and expressing its will these are the Leninist principles of party life. They guarantee the 'all-sidedness and correctness of party decisions. Forgetting or violating these principles inevitably brings with it the isolation of the leaders from the masses, and the adoption of thoughtless, erroneous decisions.

"A genuinely scientific, Marxist Leninist approach to the problem of collective leadership presupposes a correct solution of the question of the authority of the leaders of the revolutionary working class movement.

"Marxism Leninism does not deny the important role of the leaders of the working class. While acknowledging the decisive role of the people in the development of society, Marx, Engels and Lenin considered that a leading personality, although he cannot change the course of history to his liking, does nevertheless play an important role in it. A leader can, by his activity accelerate the pace of events, indicate a less difficult path towards a goal, or, on the contrary, slow down the movement forward and make it more difficult. Leading personalities are those who have understood, earlier, more clearly and more deeply than others, a new situation and the needs of social development, and have headed a mass movement."

"Drawing in large circles of people in the elaboration and implementation of decisions, assessing the opinion of the majority and expressing its will these are the Leninist principles of party life. They guarantee the 'all-sidedness and correctness of party decisions. Forgetting or violating these principles inevitably brings with it the isolation of the leaders from the masses, and the adoption of thoughtless, erroneous decisions.

"A genuinely scientific, Marxist Leninist approach to the problem of collective leadership presupposes a correct solution of the question of the authority of the leaders of the revolutionary working class movement.

"Marxism Leninism does not deny the important role of the leaders of the working class. While acknowledging the decisive role of the people in the development of society, Marx, Engels and Lenin considered that a leading personality, although he cannot change the course of history to his liking, does nevertheless play an important role in it. A leader can, by his activity accelerate the pace of events, indicate a less difficult path towards a goal, or, on the contrary, slow down the movement forward and make it more difficult. Leading personalities are those who have understood, earlier, more clearly and more deeply than others, a new situation and the needs of social development, and have headed a mass movement."
Cde. Keith Ventour went on to say that the C.C must
manner all forms of opportunism. He then stated that
Cde. Bishop now seems more relaxed than this morning (applause). He said that this morning Cde. Bishop seemed to be confused, contemptuous and mistrustful of the party membership. Now he has a more relaxed look and he hopes that it is a good sign which shows his willingness to genuinely accept the C.C and G.M criticisms and the decision of the C.C (applause).

Cde. Moses Jeffrey stated his firm agreement with the C.C analysis and resolution. He said that this is the most important day in the life of the party because of the frankness and honesty with which the vast majority of comrades are carrying on the discussion. As a result his confidence in the party is growing and the C.C is showing maturity. He said that Cde. Bishop's position is based on deep jotted emotions, lack of confidence in the ability of the party to assist his non acceptance of the practical application of democratic centralism. He said that there has been for too long a certain link between the C.C and the members. That the continuous failure of the C.C to give the members accurate information has not prepared them to struggle with deep conviction. He said that many members were not convinced of the many lines the C.C gave as to the problems of the party work thus he asked - how can we convince the masses when we ourselves are not convinced? If the C.C has not confidence in the party members how can we effectively work among the masses. He said that the only conspiracy that exists in the party is a conspiracy of the C.C against democratic centralism and among the party rank and file members. He noted that now the masses are maturing and understanding in a better way Marxism Leninism and that the foetus of a Marxist Leninist party is developing. He said that he hopes that Cde. Bishop has learnt the lesson that there are no untouchables in the party.

Cde. Ruggles Ferguson said he endorses the views of the comrades. He said that we must not lose sight of imperialism as our main enemy. That imperialism is becoming more dangerous in several parts of the world peace is at stake in the world because of imperialism. Therefore we must build the Marxist Leninist Party. He said he is surprised with Cde. Bishop's position. That frankness and openness must remain a main feature in party life. He therefore appeals to
reason and proletarian instincts of Cde. Bishop.

Cde. Gellineau James stated that this is a historic meeting and it shows that the party is developing and maturing along a Marxist Leninist path. He said that he is disturbed by Cde. Bishop, Bain and G.Louison's positions. He then referred to the day when he was removed from the party's Central Committee. He said that he had to wage a stout struggle with himself to accept the C.C decision in a proletarian manner. He insisted on sending a letter to the C.C which he wrote on the day to show what was his attitude to the C.C decision. He also referred to the early days of the revolution when he was removed as commander of the militia unit but again made all efforts to accept this in the best spirit. He therefore said that Cde. Bishop has no other alternative than to show he is capable of accepting criticism and moving forward.

Cde. Bertrand Lessey said that he supported the C.C resolution. The frankness here in the C.M and at the C.C meeting shows that the party wants to make a decisive break with the past. Thus all efforts must be made to ensure that we move forward united only on the principles of Marxism Leninism.

Cde. Mikey Prime said he strongly endorses the C.C resolution—it is one of frankness, firmness and decisiveness. He said that he spoke to some C.C members, those who are closer to the membership, just before the extra-ordinary C.C meeting and he told them that if the C.C does not move away from 'dilly-dallying' there won't be any positive change in the conditions of the country. He said that we must learn the lesson of today that decisiveness is always keep in building a Marxist Leninist party.

Cde. Edlyn Lambert said that if the women of the party did not speak the meeting would not have ended. She said that she is shocked and disappointed with Cde. Bishop's attitude at the decisions of the C.C and to democratic centralism and free frank and honest criticism. She said that if Cde. Bishop sees the party and C.C as of no help to him then he does not have a party political problem. She said that the matters in the C.C minutes are of no threat to the revolution since
majority of the party members know of the problems and weaknesses of the C.C! The threat to the revolution she said is continuous failure of the C.C to tell the members the truth and to act to overcome weaknesses and shortcomings. She buttressed this by calling on every party member to do more work and go the extra mile. She then asked how can we walk the extra mile if you do not set the pace for us? She asked him to tell of the many lives that would be lost if the party does not come out this crisis so the revolution can move forward. She then said that it is fitting for Cde. Bishop to now tell us what he intends to do.

Cde. Claudette Pitt said that she is proud to be a member of the NJM. She said that she is happy to see that the junior members of the C.C are standing up for firm Leninist principles. She reminded Cde. Bishop that in a weekend seminar of the C.C
he said that democratic centralism is a norm of party life. She said she is shocked to hear his position today and the unwillingness in practice to accept the C.C decision as final leadership. She reminded him that in the years before the revolution he always singled out the excellent hard work of Cde. Coard and that he said in those days he did not believe tremendous hard work energy and foresight of Cde. Coard. Many of them including himself would have given up the struggle. She said that she strongly supports the C.C decisions.

Cde. Lorianne Lewis stated that on many occasions we all
hot then cold. She sited the March threat as an example. She said that many Comrades have called for firmness in practice, but don't do so in practice. She asked how far have we
in drafting the new party programme and the constitution?

Cde. Peter David said that he was wondering that when
Cde. Bernard Coard is back on the C.C and P.B whether Cde.
will then go back in a rut. However, he said that he can see development of quite a number of C.C Comrades and as a result feels confident that this won't be so. He said that pressure by the C.C to the membership must be constant. He then said that is quite sure that the reflection that Cde. Bishop and his doing in isolation would have led to nothing. He then called on Cde. Bishop to make sure that all his reflections incorporated to be reflections on how we will be marching along the path to build socialism with the full knowledge that only the Leninist Party can lead and direct the people to successfully undertake this task.
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Cde. Faye Thompson said that Cde. Bishop's behaviour was unexpected and rude. From now on he must reflect on his role. The revolution will be moving forward.

Cde. Rudolph Ogilvie said he firmly supported the C.C. report. He said that the session is a firm step towards improving the party. He is now more confident in the party because this shows the willingness of the party to solve its problems. He is led to build socialism according to the time tested principles of scientific socialism. He rejects Cde. Bishop's position that this is a conspiracy and criticises him for taking such a stand which is the stand of the petit bourgeois.

Cde. Maureen St. Bernard said that Cde. Bishop must mingle more with the party membership he is too isolated from the party's rank and file this is why he can't understand the changes taking place in the party.

Cde. Muriel Francois said that Cde. Bishop should accept the criticism. She said she is not surprised at Cde. Bishop's position because this is the same petit bourgeois position he has been taking for a long time on the Rural Workers Committee of the Party.

At this point Cde. Peter David read a resolution unanimously voted for by everyone at the G.M.

The members then called on Cdes Coard and Bishop to speak.

Cde. Bernard Coard said that today is indeed a historic day in the life of the party (applause). He said that at C.C meetings he attended from Monday 19th September onwards, he noticed forward well thought out, clear and reasoned positions being put forward for building the party and transforming it into a genuine Marxist Leninist party. He said that in the past most C.C members would be silent in C.C meetings not even to have ideas on how the party and revolution is to be built. However, now he witness a qualitative difference. He also said that the G.M showed quality and though. He said in his conversations with Cde. Jorge Risset, member of the Political Bureau of the PCC Central Committee. Cde. Risset spoke that some people come, to socialism by their head, others by their heart and still others by
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Cde. Tan Bartholomew:

(i) We cannot wait. We need to urgently address the question.

(ii) G.M's can follow the C.C's deliberations where Cdes can be given frank explanations.

Cde Fitzroy Bain:

(i) We need clarification as regards the depth of the criticism of the C.C.

(ii) There is division between party and masses - Concern over the image of the party before the masses.

(iii) Some responses are genuine while others are petty bourgeois.

Comrade Kojo commented as follows:

(i) It is clear the C.C is facing a confidence crisis.

(ii) The reports tell us that there is grave danger of open rebellion and disintegration of our party.

(iii) The heart of the crisis is the Central Committee.

- at the level of its composition.

- at the level of the assignment of duties both in the party and state.

(iv) We need to do an assessment based on identified prioritized areas of concern rather than a wholistic.

(v) There is need for full membership of C.C to address these issues.

Comrade Leon Cornwall:

(i) We need to begin to think more strategically than tactically - C.C tends to think more tactically.

(ii) We need to decide on measures for arresting the situation now and for looking down the road.

Cde. Kemau Mc Barnette commented on the lack of critical responses to the C.C's conclusions at the G.M. He said we need to ask ourselves to what extent do we provide rank and file party members with the opportunity to speak out and do as frankly?
Other Comrades commented on the need for C.C Comrades to lead discussions at Workshops level in future.

COMRADE OWusu maintained that the basis for timidity in membership is the attitude of some C.C Comrades to criticism and the fear of membership if being labelled revisionist.

DECISION: (i) The full C.C of NJM will meet on the 13th, 14th and 15th of September to examine the issues raised in this meeting and to come up with appropriate steps.

(ii) Cde. Valdon Boldeau will be the recording Secretary for this meeting.

SUMMARY REMARKS BY CDR. MAURICE BISHOP

(i) The meeting in spite of its short duration has been useful.

(ii) In agreement with Owusu that we are faced with the threat of disintegration.

(iii) Agrees also with analysis that Comrades of the party are afraid to raise criticisms.

(iv) Agrees with the postponement because of the need for C.C members to adequately prepare themselves.

(v) By way of preparation C.C members should do the following:

(a) Rap with party members; particularly senior party members on all the critical issues.

(b) Rap with key section of the masses with the following in mind--

-- the increasing disrespect for the party among certain sections of the masses.

(c) Rap with leading mass orgs. activists, leading militia types, consistent participants in zonal councils, leaders of party support groups.

(vi) July C.C resolution should be discussed in work committees and study groups.

(vii) C.C members should research on the history of the party during the last five or six years. Minutes and conclusions will be useful to look at.
(viii) There is reasonable basis to share the concern that many key decisions of the party, if not the majority have been made informally outside of higher organs.

(ix) We should study the history of the C.P.S.U.

(x) We should re-read Standards of Party Life by Fronin.

(xi) We should reflect on the individual strengths and weaknesses of all C.C members. We should also think about the specific responsibilities of C.C Comrades both at the party and state levels - This should be in writing.

The meeting ended around 10.00 a.m.

Minutes taken by
Cde. Chris DeRiggs.
EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF THE CENTRAL COMMITTEE NUMBER

14 - 16 SEPTEMBER, 1983

MEETING STARTED 1.00 P.M

COMRADES PRESENT

Maurice Bishop
Selwyn Strachan
George Louison
Unison Whiteman
Liam James
Chalkie Ventour
Ewart Layne
Phyllis Coard
Leon Cornwall
Kemau Mc Barnette
Tan Bartholomew
Fitzroy Bain
Chris Deriggs

COMRADES ABSENT

Hudson Austin (out of country)
Ian St. Bernard (sick)

The proposed agenda by Cde Maurice Bishop was circulated to Cdes for comments.

PROPOSED AGENDA

14TH SEPTEMBER

1. Minutes (7.00 - 1.10 p.m)
2. Levels of preparation (1.10 - 1.20 p.m)
3. Feed back from members, work Committees and masses (1.20 - 2.30 p.m)
4. Evaluation of Feed back (2.30 - 2.45 p.m)
5. Evaluation of C.C (i) Collective role/collective work (2.45 - 6.00 p.m)
   Break at (4.00 - 4.15 p.m)
SEPTEMBER 15TH

5. Evaluation of C.C
   (ii) Individual assessment
   Break (8.00 a.m - 6.00 p.m)
   Lunch (10.30 a.m - 10.45 p.m)
   Break (1.00 p.m - 2.00 p.m)
   Procedures - main
   Assessment by 13 other Cdes 13 x 2 = 26 minutes
   15 Cdes at 15 minutes per person 465 minutes

6. Key areas of implementation of C.C conclusions
   (i) Regional work/new P.C.B chiefs (5.15 - 6.00 p.m)

SEPTEMBER 16TH

6. Key areas of implementation of C.C Conclusions
   (i) Regional Work/P.C.B Chiefs (8.00 - 9.30 a.m)
   (ii) C.P.E (8.30 - 9.30 a.m)
       Militia (9.30 - 10.30 a.m)
       Break (10.30 - 10.45 a.m)

7. (a) Proposed new responsibilities of C.C Cdes
   (b) Proposed responsibilities of C.C Secretariat
   (c) New decisions of C.C
   (d) Proposed meetings/dates and agenda of C.C
   (e) Report to the Party on this meeting
       a, b, c, d, e (10.45 a.m - 1.00 p.m)

REPORTS AVAILABLE

1. Minutes of Last Emergency C.C
2. Reports from St. George's P.C.B (1)
3. Report from West Coast P.C.B (1)
4. Report from St. Andrew's P.C.B (1)
5. Report from St. David's P.C.B (2)
6. Report from St. Patrick's P.C.B (1)
7. Report from Carriacou P.C.B (1)
8. Report from West Coast G.M (1)
9. Recommendations from Fedon's and Marryshow seminars
10. Document on Internal party development
11. Recommendations from Chris DeBriggs C.M Class
12. Present Political Situation Document
Worker Education class August '83 report


15. Report from Workers Committee on Socialism Classes July - August 4th 1983.

16. Workers Committee analysis of the Working Class for the Month of August.

COMMENTS ON THE AGENDA

Cde. Liam James said the proposed agenda is lacking in focus. It is not consistent with what was agreed in the emergency meeting of the C.C.

Cde. Ventour said that the agenda should be:

(i) Analysis of the present state of the party and revolution

(2) Analysis of the C.C main problems.

(3) The way forward.

Cde. Layne said the main purpose of this meeting is to discuss the state of the party and revolution and the work of the Central Committee.

Cde. Strachan said that item number six (6) can be taken in the overall assessment of the work.

Cde. James said that he would like the meeting to assess the degree of the state of the work, in the party and to look at the C.C and the way forward in that context.

Cde. Strachan said that item one, assessment of the party and revolution (2)/the C.C line formulation will make the analysis look too mechanistic.

Cde. Bishop said he had no problem with charging the agenda, his main concern is the time limit of the analysis.

Cde. Layne however, felt that the C.C should take all the time necessary to do the analysis given the state of the work. When we have exhausted the discussion on the item we can move on.

Sister Coard agreed.

The C.C agreed to follow the approach suggested by Cde. Chalkis Ventour.

(1) Analysis of the party and revolution
(2) Analysis of the C.C (main Problem)
(3) The way forward.
(1) **ANALYSIS OF THE PRESENT STATE OF THE PARTY AND REVOLUTION**

Cde. Erwin Luyne led off in the discussion. He said that he had received minutes of the last C.C plenary, all documents and report from the P.B and C.C. Therefore his assessment is based on these reports and feedback from the ground he had visited during his short trip home.

The situation is that the revolution now faces the greatest danger since 1979. There is great dissatisfied and dissatisfaction among the people. Though not in an open way it can be recognised. The state of the party at present is the lowest it had ever been. The internal prestige of the party and revolution is compromised e.g the C.C delegations to the S.U.

We are faced with the tasks of managing the state sector in great economic difficulties, to build the economy in the face of tremendous pressure from imperialism. Politically, to raise the consciousness of the working class and working people in the face of resistance from imperialism and to build the party into a Marxist Leninist vanguard in a country that is dominantly petty-bourgeois and to carry the proposed constitution to the people in two years time.

Militarily, to organise the defence of the revolution in the face of a qualitatively stepped up aggression from imperialism who for years has attempted to carry out its policy of becoming more and more into a "gun boat" policy. The small Caribbean islands are being drawn into an alliance against Grenada and all the left organisations in the region.

We have to develop an army with more complicated means. Tighten our relations with the World Socialist Movement especially Cuba, S.U., G.D.R, of which relations are becoming more and more complex. To steer off isolation but not at the extent of departing from the correct path, and to carry out all tasks of social development.

In the face of all these tasks the party is crumbling, all mass organisations are to the ground, organs of people's democracy is about to collapse. The internal state of the party is very weak. There is widespread opposition against the leaders, prestige has fallen in the eyes of the party members and the masses. The C.C has proven its inability to give leadership to the process e.g. this time the C.C. cannot determine the stage the revolution is at. The style of the C.C is becoming more and more formalistic. The growth and carrying over of working class elements into the party is low. The style of leadership is carried out by directives (members say that democracy is dead in the party). Decision making is characterised by spontaneity.

The C.C is on a path of right opportunism and is very dishonest to its members. E.g. in the conclusions of the July plenary was reported that C.C has advanced, every single committee mass orgs were criticised except the C.C and P.B. The failure to match up with incentives to the participants in C.P.E was not criticised. When Cdes have failed to accomplish
tasks and accuses others for this it very serious. For soon ideological justifications will be found for these mistakes.

The C.C criticised the NYO for their shortcomings, at the same time Cde. Tan Bertholomew has been given additional responsibility of C.P.E and St. Patrick's P.C.B. He said that the tasks given to the NYO in this period are giant tasks which is impossible to accomplish in the end it will only lead to the frustration of the Cdes in this area.

In his view the main problem is the C.C. The C.C has diverted from the correct path. This will lead to the total disintegraion of the party and the collapse of the revolution; at the same time when the work on the economic, military and international fronts becomes much more difficult.

The C.C needs to take a serious view, frankly criticise itself in order to move out of this serious dangers in the interest of the masses and the revolution.

Cde. Vehtbur supported the points made by Cde. Layne. He said that the party is facing disintegration. Cdes are complaining of the amount of tasks, some are showing signs of resignation, Cdes are afraid to speak up, they show timidity and fear to express their positions. He said that this can make the C.C lose a lot of prestige. Cdes do not agree with the C.C conclusions of July. They also raised that the months have passed and there is no implementation of the conclusions. There is a serious drift away from the party by the key supporters of the revolution, the vocal Cdes are now passive, they are not prepared to fight the reactionary lines on the ground.

Community work is not going as was expected, he graded it as poor to fair. The militia is non existent. In some respects the masses have gone backwards ideologically using the present positions on the Korean plane incident and comparing it with the position of the masses on the Afghan in the early days of the revolution. He said that people are getting their lines from VOA.

Cdes. Leon Cornwall also agreed with the analysis made by Cde. Layne. He said that the honeymoon period of the revolution is over. In the past 4½ years progress was seen in many areas and the masses were on a high, now the work is becoming much more difficult and complex. The C.C has failed to develop a perspective on how the revolution must develop. The C.C has given too much unrealistic tasks and in pushing Cdes to accomplish it they become frustrated. A striking feature in this period is the absence of the masses in the activities of the revolution because of the deep frustrations which exists. He said that there is confusion among the party masses, we do not know how we are going to come out of this situation because the party has not develop a perspective. We tend to push things down Cdes throat and frustrate them.

All areas of mass organisation work has fallen, which is related to our lack of prospective on how to implement solutions. The serious economic difficulties we face is also affecting the people.
He said that Cuba had similar problems in their development but they were able to develop a militant mass base because of the strength of the party in developing a perspective and its work.

There is a lot of confusion structurally in the party. There is no clear system of subordination. Structures are created without having a perspective in them. Too much shifting around of Cdes. We have failed to develop Cdes, we also make too much mistakes in who we send to train abroad e.g the Cdes of the YOUTH Organisations are bitter because training abroad is seen as a passport to transfer them into other areas of work. In some cases we send Cdes to train only to fill the places offered to us.

As a party we are not playing a leading role in the process. Inner party democracy is being down played this is a result of our style of leadership and work, also how we seek to deal with the young Cdes is damaging the dignity of the party and its membership.

He also agreed that the C.C is on a right opportunistic path referring to the conclusions of the July plenary. He continued to say that if the C.C is to gain any respect we must move away from this path. He said that this problem is an as a result of the low ideological level of the C.C and the party in general. Cdes see the conclusions of July as a set of administrative measures. There is no system to ensure that Cdes understand the lines of the party. No perspective on the development of the G.M'S. He thinks that the solution is an ideological discourse and steeling C.C and party which can explain the lines of the party and can implement it in all areas.

Cde. Fitzroy Bain agreed with the position of the Cdes. He said that the strongest supporters of the resolution are democrats as the party has set too much high standards for the people, we had expected social benefits to do work for us. Cdes who leave the army are spreading unfavourable lines which is difficult to fight back, it is affecting the credibility of the army in the eyes of the people. There is presently a very low surface mood among the masses which will soon affect their basic mood.

Party Cdes trample the masses, a small amount of our firmest supporters are leaving the country. The mood of the party is also lower than the masses at this time, there exist a level of mistrust, resentment and frustration among party Cdes. They are not convinced on the lines given by the C.C on Cde. Coard and Cde. Redux. Cdes see double standards in the party e.g when Cdes of the C.C do not do any house to house Cdes do not have any respect for the D.C, they also show a willingness to resign. Cdes of the Workers Committee are saying that their jobs are at stake, they attend too many meetings, etc have no time to visit workplaces and given very little time to address the union work. Cdes refer to the case of Sydn - Francis and Valentine Sawney who were disciplined recently in saying that Cde. Austin committed a similar offence and he was not disciplined. Party Cdes are just going through motions, they lack the spirit to fight on the ground. They criticise the supervision of the C.C, they say that communication from the C.C is weak. They refer to the July Conclusion saying that meetings were held with M's and C.M's no meetings were held with A's but at the
same time A's are expected to carry out the decisions and tasks from the July Plenary.

Cde. Bartholomew agreed with all the points made so far saying that the C.C has not been giving leadership to the process. The party has been dishonest with its members on a number of issues which has shaken the confidence of the party masses. The C.C does not report frankly, the flow or information is a major problem, internal party democracy has been destroyed and decisions are handed down without involving the full members of the party. Cdes are afraid to speak out frankly because when they do they are termed in different ways. Members and C.M do not understand basic norms of party life. Cdes were not consulted when the three Cdes of the C.C was brought onto the P.B. Cdes do not know the members of the Central Committee. The D.C has not played the role it had been assigned to do, it has developed a very arrogant attitude towards Cdes, it has not developed ways of assisting Cdes that come before the D.C. The masses have lost confidence in the party, irregularities takes too much time before it is solved, e.g water and electricity problems police and soldiers who left the army are going to Trinidad. They complain about the condition in the army. The economic problems are not explained to the people and the Church has grabbed a number of people in this situation. He also reported an incident of Militia Cdes guarding a Church in this period. He said, the party has not yet developed a policy for dealing with the Church. The revolution has lost its ability to manner count any who are very active. He referred to the 3CO turn out in the indoor rally in Sauteurs as a very weak turnout in the context of the amount of mobilisation done. Mobilisers were actually chased in some areas.

Cdes complain of the lack of stability in the leadership of the NYO. He accused the party of bleeding the youth movement. The best Cadres are pulled out at a time when the youth movement is in problems. Leading Party Cdes have contributed to the discrediting of the youth Cdes terrorise the youth calling NYO "Not yet organise". We are not yet clear on the relation of the party and the mass organisation. He referred to occasion where the party is guilty of the above as the O.C ordered to run all youth from the Tivoli court. Cdes of the NYO do not get enough support from the party, Cdes complain that the O.C is not giving them adequate guidance. When members of the party are tied up for NYO activities they do not turn up and failed to give any excuse. He continued to say that the international prestige of the NYO is very poor there is the possibility of being sat on by the Bureau of W.P.D.Y. He raised the problems experienced by the G.D.R Cdes now in Grenada. His view is that Cde. Goddard is given too much work as a result he cannot focus on the youth work in St. George's.

He said that the main problem is in the C.C. The C.C need to make frank decisions and communicate to its members frankly,
Cde. Chris De Riggs registering his agreement with the points made referred to in the 10 characteristics of petit bourgeois petit party identified in the weekend of the fedons group seminar highlighting the points on: (1) inconsistency (2) insufficient planning (3) oscillation (4) agreement in principle windbagging (5) inadequate vision of the future (6) crisis management (7) poor attitude towards criticism (8) lack of a perspective of the future. He said that all these points characterise the New Jewel Movement at this time. He pointed to the fact that the C.C has failed to accept the failure for the work which in his view is very dishonest. This attitude discouraged Cdes from criticising the higher organs, the reason being that Cdes were not encouraged to think critically of the higher organs, He also referred to the line of march document pointing out that no criticism were made of the higher organs. He said that there has always been a tendency for the party to pay very little attention to the economy which has relevance to the question of social benefits and the overall development of the revolution. The C.C needs to prepare lines of educating the people on the present situation with I.M.F and the present salaris negotiations. The C.C lack a perspective for the development of the armed forces, the social problem still remains. There is a serious lack of C.P.E and ideological work in the Armed Force.

The work in the geographic regions is handled unsatisfactorily using the St. Andrew's issue as evidence. He also raised his concern over the C.P.E programme. He said that in a context in which the party faces disintegration the C.C has failed miserably. He concluded that if we continue in this way the party will soon lose state power.

He went on to say that he has tremendous faith in the C.C. The C.C is comprised of the best Cdes the party can produce at this time, but we have failed to march up collectively to a growing complex situation.

Cde. McNarnette said that so far this meeting has given a clear indication of how far the C.C is from the rear of the membership of the party. He felt that the issues raised have been bubbling for quite a long time but the C.C had failed to rest its finger on the pulse. The structures are there but the C.C has failed to provide the correct atmosphere for Cdes to perform. The lack of a perspective shows itself in many ways e.g. community work, failure to deal with the spontaneous work of the masses, though the conclusion is that C.P.E is the priority there had been no structures in the party for C.P.E up to a few weeks ago.

The revolution is suffering from a serious fail of its active supporters. The party must seek to consolidate. The church has capitalised on our weaknesses using the tactics and strategies of the party. Cdes at this time show deep fear to criticise the party. The basis must be laid for frank and open criticism in the party.

Sister Phyllis Coed said that we must recognise that the situation is very serious. The mood of the party members can be graded as one (1) or lower. The mood of the masses can be graded as 1.5. The work of the P.C.E can be described as un-successful though there have been slight improvements in St. George's, St. David's and West Coast, it is still very bad. Andrew's and Carriacou. All programmes of the Revolution
The a very weak condition while propaganda work is still very bad! The mass organisations are showing less participation in the political work. During the months May to August strenuous efforts were made to revive the work but only a modest 5% improvement was recorded. She analyse the situation as the failure of leadership of the Committees and failure of the C.C. to exercise leadership.

As a result of the C.C. failure to deal with the problems in NYO there arise a deterioration and petit bourgeois behaviour resulting in the removal of key sisters in the work. The guidance of the Women's Committee work is now in the hands of a non-party member; Sister Claudette Pitt is now considering resigning, (she also know of 8 Cdes who express a willingness to resign from the party at this time). There is no alternative leader to Claudette because Cdes identified expressed their unwillingness to join the party. The C.C has displayed idealism on the question of women in the party, they cannot cope with the demands of the party at this time. She said that she also notice that the older Cdes are getting tired and sick.

There is a need for the party to assist the NYO in long and short term strategy, their role in the state etc.

The militia non-existent, the army demoralise the Cdes have genuine complaints. growth in militarisation and deep economic problems.

No long and short term goals are set for the propaganda Committee and the masses are moving backward politically.

The Poor quality and low moral of the party is communicated to the masses because party Cdes live and work among the masses, they also display a harsh attitude to the masses. The masses are demoralise because of the party's failure to man the committees. Party members cannot beat back the reactionary lines on the ground. There are also varying trends among party members, at the level of committees there is „dog fight“ going on. The petit bourgeois elements are using the situation to excuse themselves from criticism. However, some members have become more proletarian in their approach. Members are demoralise by having to carry out unrealistic tasks and decisions. The C.C has failed to analyse the problems correctly and come up with a long term plan development. Cdes see the July conclusions as being very dishonest.

The question of the ideological development of the C.C is an issue that we need to make a decision on. If this is allowed to continue the party will disintegrate in a matter of 5-6 months with Cdes resigning, applicants cannot make, workers do not want to join the party as a result the few remaining members will become over burdened, frustrated the party will collapse and the revolution cannot continue without the party. The revolution can be turned back within one year. The international support of the working class is lessening. The party need to put the interest of the working people first and foremost.
Cde. Bishop said that in some ways Cde's contributions have began to address tomorrows agenda.

He said that he is struck by the levels of thought and preparation of Cde's as evident in their various contributions. Though some conclusions are a bit premature, they are however, correct he agreed that the main problems lies in the C.C. in his view it have to do with two main factors.

1) Low ideological levels, insufficient knowledge and awareness

3) The lack of perspective as evident in a number of C.C meetings e.g 16th July. However, points are coming out more sharply today.

The lack of proper application or strategy and tactics has led to our party paying no significant attention to the views of the party and the masses; there is clearly no channels for communications which has lead to a breakdown of collective leadership. He said that it was premature to move from monthly meetings to "wholistic plenary." The C.C has not been able to receive oral and written reports from the party. Decision were taken outside of the C.C, we have not set up systems for implementation and verification. The C.C is very adhoc in its approach to the work. The consistent over ruling of decision leads to a lack of clarity regarding the role of the higher organs, and inability for the C.C to provide guidance monitoring and supervision of the work. This is as a result of the increasing complexity of the work.

The C.C has not been able to rise to the challenge of the increasing complexity this has led it to take a number of unrealistic decisions.

The C.C has made a number of mistakes over the past 13 months because of the weak links with the masses we became bureaucratic and too formalistic in our approach. Visits to work places have disappeared, increasing non attendance at zonal councils and parish meetings, visit to communities to meet people at an informal level, decrease in the number of discussion and meetings with people in all areas of work, failure to participate in public activities, village meetings have disappeared. We have not paid sufficient regards to the material base in the country. Changes in the economy, changes in social wages and the predominant P.B character of the masses and society as a whole our propaganda positions have consistently fed economism. We have failed to point out to the masses that this period requires a number of sacrifices and if we are not prepared to build the economy through hard work we will not make it. We have to take the blame for the over economic expectations of the people. We need to develop proper lines on these questions for the people.

He said the mood among the farmers is very low they criticize and attack workers for not producing.
The mood of the agricultural workers is also very low pointing to his last visit to one of the state farms.

His understanding of the criticism and complain from the party has to do with the lack of channels of communications for the membership to raise their complaints and grievances which we need to address. He agreed that a lot of criticisms have developed in leading Cdes in the party. He shares the overall concerns that Cdes have arrived at.

Cde. James agreed that there is a serious crisis in the party. There are signs of the beginning of disintegration, in the party, drop in confidence and prestige of the C.C. If the situation is not rescued there will be no hope for the future of the party and its ability to hold state power.

The work of the party among the masses has been badly affected by the mood of the party masses. At present the mood of the masses is low. We also have to conclude that counter revolution will seek to exploit the situation that we face. It is also clear that our ability to hold state power has also decreased. The problem however, lies in the Central Committee which is the main link in solving the situation. The C.C. will have to take an honest cold blooded and scientific approach to save the party and revolution.

Cde. Louison said that he was shocked on his arrival in the country to see the state of the roads which is in the worst state it has ever been since the revolution. This he said is because of the continued decline of the work of the party. He also pointed out to a split in the P.P.U Executive as evidence of the present situation. He said that having listen to a number of Cdes he agree that there is a lot of problems in the leadership. He also pointed to the low ideological level of the C.C and the continued slow rate of development of a number of Comrades on the C.C. There is also a clear lack of contact with the masses among some of the C.C Comrades. He feels however, that sufficient weight has not been given to the objective situations and the problems in the economy which we have failed to explain to the masses. He also pointed to the fact that while we are losing links with the masses the middle class types have been coming to the revolution for jobs. He said that this is due to the lack of propaganda work to reach the masses. He said that he is still to be convince that the ideological level of the masses have gone backwards. Some Cdes gives a panicky impression in the way they make their points.

He feels that the C.C is still capable of leading what is missing is the ideological level and collective leadership. The main feature of the July plenary is still correct. The revolution is deficient in facing the problems squarely and putting things in place.

Cde. Whiteman said that he is shocked at the mood in the country. He pointed to the fall of the two indoor balls in St. George's as an indication of the present situation. He said that there is not enough two way communications in the party, too much directives is given regardless of Cdes work plans and schedules and unrealistic targets are set especially in C.P.E. The propaganda work has been too idealistic especially on the economy. He has never seen the road in such a bad condition before. We
have not been able to detect how the enemy operates he feels that there must be some counter revolutionary network in the country. Too much time is spent on small issues instead of fundamental issues e.g. the church. He said that we do not fully grasp how the church is working at this time and what tactics and strategy must be employed to counter them. We do not fully know how the masses think and the house to house is not effective in achieving this. His view is that the leadership must spend more time in house to house in order to know what the people are thinking, we also need to think of how to build and sustain the mass organisations in the face of economic difficulties.

He described the directive to remove all party Cdes children to public schools as a wrong approach, he said that the decision is not yet, we have to focus on the major contradictions instead of these minor points. He suggested a second leadership structure that can read and summarise reports, he feels that the leadership spends too much time reading reports.

Cde. Strachan said that the party is now 10 years and 6 months old, though the period we have met several occasions to look at the work, the problem is that there has been a constant struggle to get the work done, he does not know how long this thing would continue for. The situation the party faces is even at the level of applicants. It is clear that a rebellion has started, it can burst out if it is not solved in a serious way. This is because the C.C have not lived up to expectations.

There is a lot of confusion and unclarity, Cdes are complaining that a large percentage of the party is not working, Cdes are complaining of being over burdened. The party do not give any attention to the economic and social problems of the party members, Cdes have not internalised the channels for communications and use them and even when some of their problems are solved the party do not double check to ensure. There is no system to assess the performance of the C.C members. The C.C is very far from the general membership and the D.C is not playing the role, it helps to demoralise Cdes. A hard look must be taken to how the D.C must function.

The weekend seminars have shown that there is potential for building a serious M.L party. The sessions brought out both the positive and negative sides of Cdes. However, the negative side was given too much weight. The last rounds of seminar showed a qualitative difference in Cdes discipline. There was an incredible level of thought and participation, these things are happening at the same time when complaints are coming up.

The level of attention to internal party development is not given as needed. We accept this in principle but in practice we have been different. The problem we face is in the style and method of our work. He said that a very high level of complacency exist at the level of the C.C. He pointed to the October crisis when the C.C met and took a number of decisions to take the party out of the rut. Cdes began to attend studies in time, prepared and attended meetings on time. However, the fundamental issues were placed aside.
CC Cdes have not been taking a global look at the work, instead they only emphasise on their narrow area of work. He said that we are maintaining the revolution and not leading it. Our style of work shows a tendency to platformism as opposed to doing the hard slogging work. He also referred to the points made in the Fedon group seminar to characterise the party. Busy, busy action, temporization and vacillation in taking hard decisions is evident in the C.C and more to Political Bureau e.g. the St. Andrew's task force situation. He said that whenever we postpone a decision we are the ones loose because imperialism is not waiting on us.

He said that in his view a great majority of Cdes love the party and express a willingness to work in the party but are not aware of what they should do, using example of Gloria Hastick who expressed her willingness to remain in the party but she has been having many problems. He said that the C.C has not tried to appreciate the problems that Cdes experienced from time to time. He said that Cdes have used the rules as a form of resistance referring to the no of holiday leave, excuse from community work etc.

He said that if we agree that the role of the party is building the revolution and if we believe that an M.L party can build what we want then we have to put the party on a M.L footing. He questioned whether we want to build socialism or just chanting slogans? Do we have a vision of the future? He is concerned over the fact that the process is without a Leninist Vanguard. He pointed out that in October it was agreed to revise sister Phyl in regards to her membership in the Bureau but it was never raised in the July plenary. The C.C must now find out what are the essential qualities of a M.L party.

**BROAD CONCLUSIONS PROPOSED BY CDE, MAURICE BISHOP**

1. There is a state of deep crisis in the party and revolution.

2. The main reason for these weaknesses is the functioning of the C.C.

3. The crisis has also become a major contributing factor to the crisis in the country and revolution and the low mood of the masses.

4. The crisis have also been compounded by the weakness in the material base, electrical block cuts, bad roads, retrenchments and jobs as an issue.

To correct this situation the following must be done:

1. Find methods of improving the work at individual and collective leadership of the C.C

2. The need to develop a perspective based on M.L criteric to guide the work in the coming period.

3. Urgently find creative ways of deepening the links with and out work among the masses.
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4. To establish meaningful channels of communications between the leadership and the membership and to formally rationalise the work among party Comrades, bearing in mind the ground swell of complaints of overwork and lack of inner party democracy.

5. The C.C need to develop structures for accountability bearing in mind that Cdes are now demanding accounts from the party.

COLLECTIVE AND INDIVIDUAL ANALYSIS OF THE C.C

Cde. Liam James leading off said that this was the last chance for the C.C to pull the party out of this crisis. And on a firm M.L path. This crisis is not only among the masses but in the party membership as well. Over the past few months one could have seen that the party and the C.C was not moving forward. The way forward is to take an honest, cold blooded, objective and scientific approach to the situation.

Within the C.C there are many problems all Cdes must be criticise for the levels of disorganisation, low ideological level and failure to put the party on a firm M.L footing. These weaknesses are so evident that party Cdes are saying that certain Cdes must be chopped from the C.C. It is clear that party Cdes have lost their level of respect for the C.C. The removal of Cdes from the C.C will no way solved the problem in his view all the Cdes of the C.C are by far the best Cdes in the party, what is needed is firm Leninism. He pointed out that the most fundamental problem is the quality of leadership of the Central Committee and the party provided by Cde. Maurice Bishop. In his view the Cdes has great strength, his ability to inspire and develop Cdes, his ability to raise the regional and international respect for the party and revolution; he has the charisma to build the confidence of the people both in and out of the country, and to put forward clearly the positions of the party. Today these strengths alone cannot put the party any further in this period. The qualities he lacks is what is needed to push the revolution forward at this time:

1. A Leninist level of organisation and discipline.

2. Great depth in ideological clarity.

3. Brilliance in strategy and tactics.

These qualities which are essential for M.L leadership has prove to be lacking in the Cde. at this time.

Cde. Lynne said that based on all analysis, discussions and conclusions it is quite clear that the C.C has not been able to give ideological leadership to the process. If we are to be honest and frank throughout the crisis we go through more and more Cdes coming to realise that we face a real possibility of the revolution being turned back.
It is clear that Cde. Bishop lacks these qualities put forward by Cde. James. Despite his strengths, the strengths that he lacks is vital led to steer the revolution off the dangers and to come out of the crisis. The salvation of the revolution calls for us to take a mature proletarian decision to save and carry the revolution forward.

Cde. Ventour agreed with the two Cdes that the type of leadership that is necessary to pull us out of the crisis is lacking in the Cde. Leader. These criticisms were made to him on more than one occasion which he accepted. He shows that he do not have the quality to put the party on a firm MLP footing.

He also criticise the C.C for not criticising the Cdes for areas of work e.g. Armed Forces and Propaganda. However, he thinks that the main fetter is that the Cde. do not have these qualities.

Cde. Cornwall agreeing with all the Cdes who spoke so far. He said that we have studied O.P.P. Seven points were made one of which was a Leninist C.C as a principle for the building of a MLP. party. He agreed that the root cause is the C.C. For quite some time we have been seeing the problem. The chairmanship and leadership of the C.C appears very weak. He said that there are great strength in the Cde. Leader that have carried the process, but as the complexities grow these qualities sublime is proving inadequate. He also added that the Cde. Leader ability to supervise and stay on top of the work is also lacking. These weaknesses creates a fetter on how we organise to carry the work forward. Instead we move from one crisis to another and the frequency of crisis becoming more and more frequent. If we fail to transform the party we will loose state power, lives will be lost, history has placed a great responsibility on our shoulders which we must seek to deal with in the correct and scientific way.

Cde. De Riggs said that he has been giving the work some deep thought over the past months. He agreed that the situation is favourable for counter revolution in this time, state power can be easily over thrown. The low mood of the party and the masses is also very clear to him. He said that the C.C has failed to match up ideologically to the situation. The removal of any Cde. on the C.C will not help this situation.

The main problem is the question of leadership. There is immediate need for the reorganisation of the C.C in order to re build the confidence of the membership and masses in the party. In solving this problem the interest of the party and revolution must be taken first, he pointed that he agreed with all the points made by Cde. James.

Cde. Bartholomew agreed with what he called very frank and open analysis by the Cdes. He said that he had never realised any criticism of the C.C and leadership though he was dissatisfied with the quality of the leadership. He agreed with all the points points made on the strengths of the Cde. Leader. He continued to say that his weaknesses were known all the while, but Cdes were hesitant to raise them. Though he had accepted earlier criticisms of this, he had never fulfil them in practice. The quality of the C.C has deteriorated very badly, there is too much
Cde. Kamau said that since the last C.C meeting he has been giving deep thought to the work of the C.C. Overall the C.C is very weak, disorganised and haphazard in its approach to the work. His personal is still disorganised and weak, which is true to say of most C.C Cdes, there is also a serious absence of deep thought and study. He said that the C.C Leader has shown great strengths and remained in the qualities mentioned by Cde. James. However, the main problem remains the role of Cde. Bishop as the leader of the C.C. He said that the C.C continue to be loose and disorganise and unfocus, he said that the Cde. Leader lacks the quality to lead the C.C as spelled out by Cde. James. He agreed that removal of Cdes from the C.C cannot solve the problem until we deal with the question of leadership of the C.C.

Sister Phyllis Coard commented that when she read the minutes of the C.C in July she was very shocked. From the beginning of the year she had begun to prepare herself more for study though she had not been doing broad reading. However, she feels that the Central Committee cannot move forward unless it involve in more in depth studies. As things began to get more complex the C.C have not met seriously to deal with the fundamental problems and in the absence of the C.C the P.B have not done any better.

She said that she had identified a number of questions for the C.C to deal with in order to move forward, being that we now have to decide what perspective to use and how to develop political education, strategic long term and short term goals for propaganda. The best way to coordinate media propaganda with the propaganda work of the party, how do we develop our ideas quickly to the party members, how to structure the C.P.E programme to encourage more participation, the level of party participation in C.P.E, what amount of programmes can the party sustain and maintain, qualities to look for in the selection of new party Cdes, how do we improve communications from the higher organs to the lower organs, how to structure the Secretariat, should the N.Y.C become a semi vanguard, how to direct political education, how to organise community work successfully, how to overcome militarisation in the army, how to organise militia to ensure maximum participation, what is the long term future of the zonal councils and how do we sacrifice quality for quantity.

She said that neither the P.B nor the C.C have analyse these fundamental questions, that is why these problems exist today, and even if it is done it is limited and vacillation follows. She agreed that the leaders of the party has failed to provide the necessary leadership to the party. She commented that the main problem of the C.C is idealism, volunteerism, failure to face up to hard decisions, illness as a result of psychological pressures in this context the Cde. leader has not taken the responsibility, not given the necessary guidance, even in areas where he is directly in charge of the guidance is not adequate. He is disorganised very often, avoid responsibilities for dealing with critical areas of work e.g. study class. She criticised...
the C.C for not criticising him in the past, some time ago he pointed out that he was not capable but the C.C ignored him. Some Cdes were scared to criticise him because he had been hostile to criticism.

The P.B and C.C leaned on the C.C to take decisions that they should have taken. Cde. Strohen has taken the full responsibility to hold the party together when the C.C and P.B had failed to provide the necessary political guidance under this pressure he had taken broad away decisions, shown hostility to criticisms, though he had seen some improvement of this in the past two weeks, the Cde must be complimented for the proletarian qualities which he display. A number of C.C Cdes are demoralise because their work has fallen. We also need to consider whether the C.C will be stronger or weaker if Cdes are chopped, in her view it will be weaker. She agreed to changes in the composition of the C.C. She concluded that the C.C will have to take the hard decisions that will improve the quality and levels of the C.C in terms of ideological and organisational directions.

Cde. Bain said that the analysis is difficult for him in the given circumstances of problems in work, health and a number of Cdes being out of the country. The ideological levels of the C.C is definitely a great concern e.g we are not sharp in determining the main feature of the period of July plenary, which he was worried about particularly when the revolution is 4½ years old. He said that another feature is that we came up with hasty decision as a result of premature thinking. Cdes are able to identify that the C.C collectively is weak. He agreed with the points made by Cde. James and added that it is greater demanding the leader to give more guidance to the process at this time. ........................
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Cdes are looking to the C.C for this quality, it is dangerous if leading Cdes cannot show these qualities. He said that he would have preferred is the Cdes had named the C.C. Cdes that the membership was doubtful of. He said that if this consensus is wide spread and the party do not take action on it it can lead to more problems, how will these members respond and what will be the C.C's answer to it? He feels that whatever decision must be taken should be given the greatest thought, not only for now but throughout the future.

Cde. Louison said that the main problem is the quality of leadership given the process by Cde. Bishop. He loses focus and spend too much time on details. The points made by Cde. James and Cornwall have really crystallised the problem which we have to find ways and means of solving. He said though that the C.C have not been able to assist the Cdes, in developing these strengths.

He said that the names of C.C Cdes mentioned by the membership as doubtful were "H.A., Uni.Pitz, Kamau and St. B." Though in his view reduction or expansion of the C.C cannot solve the problem. He suggested a cross system for developing the work, how to find a methodology for helping and forcing C.C Cdes to develop ideologically.. This problem is a fundamental problem we have been extremely weak, the criticisms
are correct we have to come up with a solution quick and ensure that it is communicated to the party within hours. He added that we need to spend much more time doing preparation for study and rigorously execute the tasks. We also have to get rid of trivial issues.

Cde. Strachan said that one of the most striking thing is that he never heard any member of the C.C ask for a report from the Political Bureau on its week to week functioning. The P.B is a committee of the C.C and at no point in time was a report summoned. The C.C Cdes do no get any minutes or report from the P.B. This is very serious, because the P.B is left to do their own thing.

He pointed that he is in total agreement with the points made by Cde. James he again referred to the Fedon study seminar making the point that Cdes always wait for someone else to take the lead, which one of the reason for this rut. We now have to take the responsibility of demanding higher qualities from the Cde. Leader. The Cde. should have dealt with him every time he slipped in the past. He recognises the brilliance of the Cde. but agreed that this is not enough to carry the process forward and to build a serious M.L party. He used the example of Cde. Fidel who is always reflecting and thinking saying that these are the qualities that a M.L leader must have. He said this is the time when we have to deal with the main problems of the C.C.

Cde. Unison Whitemen said that the weaknesses mention of the Cde. Leader are correct. Since October there have been some improvements in scheduling any study but these improvements are not fundamental enough. He said that the Cde. Leader had not set enough time to the fundamental but we have to be careful that we don't shift too much blame from the the C.C collectively. We need to have a commitment on the C.C to new norms, prioritisation greater reflection, better style of work, we have to build up Comrades, e.g Cde. Leader, we have to assist on higher standards from him which he is capable of.

Cde. Leader thanked the Cdes for their frankness in their criticisms. He said that Cdes in the past have given serious thought to the question of leadership and failed to raise it for diplomatic reasons which was not good. He is dissatisfied over the fact that C.C Cdes have not raised these points before with him frankly, though a couple non C.C Cdes have done it. He picked up an overwhelming sentiment that the qualities required are not possessed in him. He agreed that the points are correct especially correct application of strategy and tactics which cannot be achieved except the other qualities are fulfil. He had found difficulties of finding a relevant material to study the question of the functioning of the P.B and C.C which reflects a weakness, he don't think that he had given adequate leadership to bodies. He had several problems over the years especially the style that entails consensus, and unity at all costs which can result in blunting class struggle. He had tried to keep a certain kind of relationship with Cdes even though it is not what it used to be before. He also questioned his approach as regards to collective leadership, he said that
there is not enough participation and discussions. Too much decisions are taken by smaller and smaller organs which affects collective leadership.

On the question of crisis and problems it is correct as the maximum leader to take the full responsibilities. He needs time to think of his own role and to give a more precise response to the problem addressed which he thinks is a correct approach.

After lunch Cde. Vantour took the opportunity to explain to the C.C that his illness was to a large extent more physycological than physical. Since he came back the situation had affected him which reflects a P.B character of lack of staunchness. He said that he would try his best to pull himself out of this situation and to serve the party and revolution in the best way he could.

Cde. Bartholomew also pointed to the health Problem he experienced and the question of his low ideological level of which he is not satisfied with. He said that he is not capable of taking the ideological C.M class. Both himself and sister Phyllis Coard had requested to be personally assessed because of the fact that they were not in the July plenary, the C.Cs were told that they were not present at the meeting therefore they could not have been assessed, especially Cde. Tan who was ill and as a result could not have function. C.Cs agreed that it was incorrect to do it now because of the focus.

THE ROLE OF THE C.C.

Cde. Bishop said that on many occasion we have tried to look at the role of the C.C. He referred to the documents of June '81 and subsequent minutes of the C.C that dealt with its role.

He proposed that the C.C move to meetings once per month and to have three wholistic plenary, each year.

He said that the monthly meetings must be seen as a medium of analysis, exchanging information of various sections, and pre-schedules should cover special areas of party and state work. It seems that at the end of October the main topic being C.P.Z. In November the study commission will also have to determine the role of the C.C/A not to forget the role of the C.C. There are a lot of projects which can be used to operationalize the sub-committees in terms of reports from various bodies etc. Also getting the party guidelines on major issues ensuring conclusion and resolutions are drawn up after our deliberation and be circulated to the membership.

To develop and maintain links with the masses the leadership must personally get on the ground among the people, step up participation in zonal and parish councils, visits schools, monitor and push production. The role of the C.C must be worked out in this regard. Develop mechanism for accountability, and to review constant feedback from the membership and to ensure channels of communications with them.
The C.C must make a constant evaluation of the progress by members, receive reports from C.C on the rationalisation of the work, prioritise the work of Cdes on the C.C and set targets to be achieved on a weekly monthly and yearly basis.

Cde. Kamau Mc Berenette said that the C.C needs to explain the criteria for membership on the C.C and by what mechanism are Cdes work on the C.C are judged. Ensure the right atmosphere is convened for free and frank discussions. The party must be honest and frank with its members and for the last time explain the truth about Cde. Radix.

Cde. Leon Cornwall said that the C.C must have a progressive role. We need to work on the framework for the kind of party we would like to build at the moment. We will have to know what structure must be put in place. The commission that is travelling to Cuba and S.U should know what should be done on their return. They should know how we are going to build the party, drawing on the experience of other countries. We also need to start working on a party constitution in a concrete way. We must develop commission for different areas of work. These are concepts that we need to start. The work on how we are going to build people's power. A conference should be set up to look at the submission from the commissions/take decisions and develop the line of march.

Cde. Layne said that he shares the views of Cde. Cornwall. He said the crisis cannot be solved immediately. There are a number of fundamental questions that the party needs to look at over a period of time. The Party has a very unscientific approach to the work, we rush things without deep analysis which further push us into problems. The NJM does not face a problem of commitment. We need to find the root of the contradiction or we will continue to face problems.

Then Cdes are working hard without any rest it leads to frustration and demoralisation. We have taken an incorrect position in terms of what is necessary to build the party. He pointed to the fact that Cde. Strachan commission visit to Cuba was not dealt with seriously. He pointed out that there are committees but no structures, there is no clear role for the function and future of the V.C.B. & we do not have a C.C Secretariat. We have to make the question of supervision a profession. The C.C has been successful in carrying us down, the tasks it faces now is enormous. Cdes quality on the C.C has gone down, Cdes cannot supervise the work effectively, good ideas are accepted in principle. However, he complimented the new approach by the C.C, he said that the C.C has been good but we are asking the Cdes to take too much heavy ideological and political decisions in the absence of the functioning of the C.C and P.B.

He made reference to the Etonian Party and the method they took to develop their party, he said that even though their method cannot be applied immediately so that it can be developed in the future, we need to have a policy for the development of Cdes in the party, we have to develop the professional levels of Cdes in the party, Cdes will make mistakes but they will be helped and pulled forward.
He said that the struggle for socialism is won, lost or divided in the army. The party must now be organise in the work places. Cdes with state post must be prepared to carry out the lines of the party in the work places. We have experience in mobilising the masses but we do not know how to build the party. We have to lay the basis for taking a strategic approach for the building of a U.L party learning from the experience of other parties.

Cde. James agreed with the positions of Cde. Cornwall and Cde. Layne, he made the following proposals:

1. The C.C must become fully accountable to the members, so that Cdes can evaluate the work of the C.C on a whole and the C.C members.

2. All C.C Cdes must be based in the country at this time until the situation is resolved.

3. The C.C and party must study Brutens.

4. Recall the conclusions of the July Plenary.

5. Proposed a mode of joint leadership, marrying the strengths of Cdes Bishop and Coard. He went to define the responsibilities of the two Cdes.

**Cde. MAURICE BISHOP**

(i) Direct work among the masses, focus on production and propaganda.

(ii) Particular attention to the organs of popular democracy, working class; youth masses, visits to urban and rural work places.

(iii) Militia mobilisation

(iv) Regional and International work

**Cde. BERNARD COARD**

(i) Party organisation work Chairman of the C.C

(ii) Party organisational development and formation of Cdes.

(iii) Strategy and tactics.

The C.C must discuss and ratify all proposals and decisions sought by the Cdes.
Cde. Deriggs commented on the brilliance of the contributions of Cdes. Layne, James and Cornwall and supported their position.

He proposed that:

1. Cde. George Louison be placed in the St. Andrew's work while maintaining the teachers, farmers, and the Ministry of Agriculture work.

2. Cde. Strachan to head C.T.B and propaganda work.

3. Cde. Bartholomew responsible for Youth and St. Patrick's


5. Cde. Layne chief of the Armed Forces with Cde. Cornwall chief of political and academic work.


7. Fitzroy Bain - Rural workers work


9. Sis. Phyllis Coord. St. George's PC and NWO.

10. Cde. St. Bernard, West Coast and Carriacou


12. Cde. DeRiggs - Health


Cde. Ventour agreed with Cde. Chris especially with the position on Cde. George Louison. He proposed that Cde. Strachan remain as Deputy chairman of the C.C. He do not agree with the position on H.A. Because he feels that there is great admiration and respect for the Cde. in the Armed Forces. However, he should also retain his position in Construction.

Cde. Mc Barnett agreed with the positions of the Cdes, he complimented Cdes Cornwall, Layne and James for the depth of their contribution. He took the opportunity to put out his interest in propaganda work.

Cde. Layne agreed with the position of Cde. James on the question of Cde. Coard's return to the C.C and P.B. He said that Cde. Bishop qualities are still necessary, but as Cde. James said these qualities cannot push the process forward. The only Cde. who have these qualities is Cde. Bernard Coard.
and if one look at the situation in practice he has been giving ideological and organisational leadership, and elaborating strategy and tactics even outside of the C.C e.g. the land question. The reason why these qualities are lacking on the C.C and F.B is because Cde. Coard has been out of the party's leadership. He sees Cde. James mÖdle as the best hope of pulling the party out of the crisis. He also feels that Cde. Austin should remain in the army because of his qualities and prestige there.

Cde. Louison said that the scope of the issues raised is very thorough because it put the work into perspective. He disagrees with the joint leadership proposal, he feels that this model cannot solve the problem of Cde. Maurice Bishop. He welcomes a position of Cde. Coard being back on the C.C and F.B and Chairman of the O.C. He is also not sure that the theoretical position put forward for joint leadership is correct. He said the qualities missing have two dimensions. The level of effort of personal discipline and struggle that he is able to put in towards making the change, and the level of collective mannersing from the C.C to ensure that he build these qualities, if he fails then it may be necessary to remove the Cde. in the future. He did not agree with the turning of all C.C Comrades in the country. He said that there is need to have a C.C Comrade as Ambassador to Cuba, and Cde. Layne have only five weeks to complete his course of which he should be allowed to, if he complete the training it will bear more fruits in future. He agreed to the monthly meetings of the O.C. He commented that his personal choice is to work in St. Andrew's, but he feels his role in the production sector is key and if he is to be given any additional tasks it should be in this area. He felt that Cdes are underplaying the role of the material base. He also agreed that Cde. Austin should remain in the Armed Forces.

Cde. James said that his proposals is first of all to deal with the problem that we face. His view is that the fullest proletarian type support of the C.C can help the Cde. Leader in developing these qualities, Cde. Bernard is the only Cde. that have the necessary qualities to merge with Cde. leader's strength for leading the process at this time.

On Cde. Layne and Cornwall, we all agree that the Army is in a state of rut and decolourisation along with a serious ideological drift the army needs at this time Leninist leadership in that of Cde. Layne and the political and academic work in that of Cde. Cornwall. He agreed with Cde. DeRijks proposals, in his view there is no other choice, especially with the position on St. Andrew's work, the state enterprise work is too much for Cde. Louison.

Cde. Bartholomew said that he is very frightened of the level of ideological drift in the army, Cdes are saying that we are talking of imperialism too much, when there are so much problems in the camps. Ministers are buying new c... when soldier cannot get food to eat. They are also saying that the army is a bourgeois army making references of
Cde. Cornwall said that we have two outstanding Cdes in our party being Cdes Bishop and Coard, we have suffered because of the absence of Cde Coard in the leadership, what is proposed is joint leadership with specific responsibilities and tasks. He has no problem with the points raised by Cde. George but not as reasons to solve the fundamental problem in the party, therefore he strongly supports the points made by Cde. James.

On the Armed forces he said that no one can deny that Cuba is the most important country to the Grenadian process, but he feels that his time is seriously wasted as Ambassador to Cuba because the work is carried out inspite of him. A good party Cde. can carry out the work while he is used more effectively at home. He suggested that Cde. Mc Phail remain to brief Cde. James Parke who will be going up thire soon. Cde. Mitchell has improved tremenoustly and can do quite a lot of work. Therefore it is quite easy for both himself and Cde. Loyne to sit on top of the Army to ensure that it develops.

Cde. Bain felt that the proposals made by Cde. James is a compromise though it is joining strengths of the two Cdes together. He is confused on how this will work. He would like it to be spelled out clearly, he has problems to conceptionalise it especially when one Cde. will chair the C.C and the other chair the P.B. He will need to give this more thought. He is in agreement that the C.C and P.B have suffered with the absence of Cde. Coard. He also don’t know how Cde. Coard is going to accept the formula, he said that some structure should be put in place to allow Cde. Loyne to complete his course. However, Cde. Cornwall should remain in the Armed Forces. The C.C has shown too much vacillation on the St. Andrew’s issue, Cde. George in his view is the best person for this area. Cde. Austin should not be removed from the Armed Forces. Cde. Chalkie Ventour is the best person to work.
O.C. Layne was shocked by the points raised by Cde. Bartholomew. In the context, he agreed that himself and Cde. Cornwall should remain to begin work in the Army. The explanation to the Cdes in Cuba and S.U can be handled tactically.

O.C. Louis felt that Cde. Cornwall is taking an emotional approach to the question, his purpose in Cuba should be seen in the context of the overall international work for the Revolution. The Cde. approach shows a degree of panic in his view.

Cde. Strachan supported the proposals made by Cde. James in joint leadership. It has been able to recognise the strengths of the two Cdes due to years of experience of working with them. The Cde. Leader can unite all classes and strata which is vitally needed. Cde. Coard has the qualities that he is lacking, therefore a creative way must be used to fuse these qualities to move the process forward. It is clear that Cde. Coard's absence is felt over the years. In order to build a Leninist party we must have a Leninist C.C., therefore he supports the proposals of Cde. James and DeRiggs. He does not feel that Cde. Austin should be removed from the Army, he firmly supports the view that both Cdes Layne and Cornwall should be placed to work in the Armed Forces immediately, a strong position can be put to the Cdes of S.U and Cuba so they will understand the situation. He suggested that Marie Collins or Joe Chartei can function as ambassador to Cuba. The contributions made by Cdes Cornwall, James and Layne impressed him, all spoken openly and frankly. With great clarity, genuine proposals were made for the transformation of the party which will also help to transform the Cde. Leader into a Marxist Leninist and to Leninise the C.C. We also have to see out ideological development as key to the development of the party.

O.C. Whiteman agreed with the position on Cde. Cornwall and Layne. Cde. Coard should return to the F.B and C.C he do not agree with joint leadership, he feels that Cde. Coard should be given specific functions as deputy leader. Agreed that Cde. Louison should function in St. Andrew's temporarily but should return to the economy. Considering the fact that Cde. Austin will remain in the Army, Cde. Layne should be allowed to complete his course. Cde. Cornwall should not be removed from Cuba because it is not correct to remove the three top officials from any country at the same time. The proposal to put Cde. Strachan in F.B is correct.

He is not sure whether he should be made head of the I.R.D of the party because both areas require a great deal of travelling and to do work among the left in the region from a party standpoint can lead to problems at state to state levels. He proposed that his travelling be cut by half.
Sis. Phyllis Coard expressed her agreement with Cde. DeRiggs except on the point of Cde. Austin, Cde. Strachan as Deputy chief of the C.C and responsible for C.P.E. - She is happy to hear that Cde. Hojo has considered health as an area of political work because health is a social programme around which the masses can be mobilised. She predicted that when the international airport is opened there will be serious demoralisation and counter revolution in St. Andrew's as a result she supported the idea of Cde. Louison being placed to work in the parish. She also pointed to the split in the P.P.U Executive in order to emphasise this need and the fact that the revolution is built on the alliance of workers and peasants. She said that there is no Cde in the party who can do what Cde. Louison is capable of in St. Andrew's.

She also agreed that both Cdes Layne and Cornwall should begin to function immediately in the Army. It is clear that the soldiers are dissatisfied. The party should be blamed for what has happened to Cde. Bogo in Cuba.

She suggested that measures should be taken to limit C.C Cdes travelling. Cdes who can adequately represent the party should be identified for the purpose. She understated the situation for Cde. Unison to guide the party's International work and put to implement it. She agrees that the whole party should study Brutens and that the C.C must study the work of the B.B and E.B. She also proposed that this minutes should be studied by the membership because the stage we are at the membership will not believe what they hear from the C.C. She agreed with dual leadership not only for a short time but on a long term basis.

Cde. Louison said that the decision re Cdes Layne and Cornwall will be hard to sell to the Cdes, they will not be convinced and it will give a note of instability, on Cuba he feels it is a mistake that will further strain the relation between the two countries.

He reminded Cdes of his personal choice to do the St. Andrew's work, but in his view the two component parts that develop the revolution is the political work and the rise of the material base of the revolution. On the material base we have made little or no progress over the years. It we do not work to put these things in place the revolution will suffer over the years to come. To him the work in the Ministry of State Enterprise is more important that the St. Andrew's work.

Cde. Strachan reminded/that the long-term plan for St. Andrew's is industrialisation.

Cde. George responded by saying that St. Andrew's requires minimum attention for at least one year to revive the work. The social and economic problems are very deep, he don't think that the Agricultural development work can suffer without him and his work in the Ministry of State Enterprise can have a great impact on the work in St. Andrew's than he being there.
He don't see joint leadership as a solution to the fundamental problem. He can't see the theoretical basis for it, he is not convinced sufficiently that it can work and will help to develop the strengths in the Cde. Leader. If we convinced that he is not developing despite all attempts to help him then we will have to remove him after his test efforts and his best intentions.

Cde. Whitman addressing the army problems said that we have to find a moderate increase of salary for the soldiers and a food aid package at the end of every month for the soldiers. He believes that no amount of political work can move them without the material aids.

Cde. DeRiggs reflecting on the points made by Cde. Louison said that St. Andrews represent a multiplicity of problems all programmes there are the weakest in the country, it is possible that the people of St. Andrew's can be a constant resistant to the revolution, the extent of the rut in deep pointing to the problems of youth and marijuana smoking in the parish. He is not arguing that the state enterprises work is more important than St. Andrew's but at this point time it is critical and urgent for the party to resume control of the situation.

The proposals of joint leadership is to solve the problem that exist the collective manners and the Cde. Leader's personal efforts will solve his problem collective leadership is important and critical.

Cde. Ventour said that since the July Plenary he had the impression that Cde. Louison was the best person to head the St. Andrew's work. The work in the parish requires P.B guidance if we do not report this in the G.M. Cadres will raise concern. In his view, Cde. Louison cannot do the state Enterprise work at this time along with Teachers and P.F.U.

Cde. Liam James for the purpose of Cde. Hudson Austin who attended the meeting on the 16th because of flight problems, went on to explain all his reasons for his proposals on joint leadership basically making all the points he had made earlier in the meeting saying that if we fail to take these measures we will be guilty of right opportunism. .... He do not see why C.C members should run away from reality he also gave examples of countries where there are more than one leader of the process e.g. Nicaragua.

Cde. Louison questioned whether Cdes are saying that he cannot do the Ministry of State Enterprise work or whether St. Andrew's work is more important or a long term strategy or is it tactical for him to be in St. Andrew's for a period then move into the State Enterprise.

Cde. Cornwall in response said that he do not see the party work in St. Andrew's as a question of tactics, he thinks that this is of strategic importance to the revolution. He is firm that Cde. Louison should go to St. Andrew's because he thinks the Cde have the necessary tools and confidence to do the work.
On the question of joint leadership he also remain very firm because of the situation that we face. He referred to Nicaragua saying that a situation of joint leadership came about because of the objective situation they faced. Our situation requires tactical objective strategy.

He also addressed the Cuban Ambassador problems saying that because of the problem we face. He said that we must explain our problems to our fraternal parties because they already know the problems that we experience now, if not they will see us as jokers. The problem of them accusing us of instability will be solved if we explain things to these Comrades.

On the question of going back to Cuba, he said that there is a relation between the internal work and the international work. The key responsibility for him is to build and strengthen our process.

He supported the view Cde. Whiteman should lead the IRD of the party.

Sister Phyllis view is that we have to decide whether George Louison will be in the economy or in politics. She felt that the Cde. cannot do the state enterprise along, agriculture, F.P.U and teachers. The present split in P.F.U is because of the lack of political work among the farmers, she also pointed to his recent failure in O.C tasks as a sign of over work. The St. Andrew's work is both tactically and strategically important, it will also facilitate his teachers and P.F.U work. She recommended that someone should assist him in the technical work in the Ministry and Cde. George should focus on political work for next few years.

Cde. Leyne highlighted Sister Phyllis point on the problems that will develop in St. Andrew's after the International Airport is completed. The work in this parish is a strategic question from all points of view. He went on to say that all the problems in the country cannot be solved immediately. The strength of our country is in the economy our international prestige is because of our economic performance. Therefore St. Andrew's work is both strategic and immediate because according to Sis. Phyl we can face a problem of counter revolution anytime.

On the question of joint leadership, he said that the starting point is the concrete situation that we face. We do not have a M.l party or a Leninist C.C. The situation existed when Cde. Coard lead in ideology, organisation and strategy and tactics for years. All parties must be able to work out their political lines. This is absent in our party because of the absence of Cde. Coard. We have to be able to organise the party and masses in order to develop a political line. Cde. Bishop is the best person to inspire the masses on the line of the party. In this situation the C.C is called upon to accept what is the reality.
Cde. Bishop complimented Cdes Layne and Cornwall for their contributions which reflected ideological growth. The party must utilise his honest view is that all strengths and talents of Cdes in the party. The greater the strength, the greater the responsibility. This must be given to them. Leadership, power, authority and prestige that goes with leadership goes with rights. He has never had any problem with sharing power, or even a bad attitude to criticisms. He worked very well with Cde. Bernard. Bishop. Bernard was accused for aggressiveness and wanting to grab power, he had defended him. His position is that he or anybody has the right to be leader for life, he favours cooperation over competition. He feels that Bernard can come back to the P.B. because of his skills and intelligence. Cde. James breakdown: responsibility is very useful, however, his concerns is the operationalisation of strategy and tactics. His own idea of his role falls into what Cde. James had outlined. He feels that school visits should have been included under his responsibilities.

He would like to know what is Cde. Bernard's view of the situation and response if he does not agree what will be the views of the C.C. He need to get some answers on the operationalisation. We have to decide how we will articulate this to the party and masses. Of which a clear position must be drawn up. His personal concerns are; image of leadership, power struggle, imminent collapse of the revolution.

The formulation of Cdes criticisms have indicated a clear note of no confidence. He said that there is a two way flow he cannot inspire the masses when he has to look over his back or feel that he does not have the full confidence of the Comrades.

He agreed that Cde. Louison should go to St. Andrew's then to experiment in the State Enterprise Ministry. Cde. Austin must remain in the Army. He agreed with Cde. Whiteman's position on the linking of state and party IRD work. He felt that Cde. Coard should be called in to discuss the position before the final decision is taken.

Cdes felt however, that the C.C should conclude on the decision before Cde. Bernard is spoken to.

Cde. Louison continued to raise his concern in that how will the joint leadership develop the four points in Cde. Bishop.

Cde. James said this proposal will pull us out of the peril of crisis and push the party forward along with the full support of the C.C and the best efforts of the Cde. Leader will develop P/Qualities.
Cde. Louison was not satisfied with the answer.

Cde. James "in the close working together of Cde. Bishop and Gocard, the Leader will learn from a working experience. Cde. James brought to the attention of the C.C that Cde. Louison is seeking to distort the proceedings of the meeting for opportunist reasons.

Cde. George also expressed a willingness to leave the meeting.

Cde. James went on to address the point saying that Cde. Bishop will be Prime Minister and Commander in Chief, he will sign all documents of the C.C. We also have to take a look at how we announce this matter. His view is that this matter must be put forward in a firm and principle way, we also have to decide how we are going to formulate. The key factor however, is how these two Cdes relate.

On the point of strategy and tactics, is that over the years Cde. Bernard Gocard has been able to give guidance to the C.C, this does not mean that he will decide strategy and tactics all by himself. However, he will chair all commissions to determine strategy and tactics. The C.C will discuss and ratify all proposals brought forward. Also in real life the Cde. has developed strategy and tactics for the party.

CDE. Layne addressing the point on vote of no confidence made by Cde. Bishop said he do not agree with this position he felt that the criticisms were made by all Comrades in the spirit of love for the party, ideological clarity and wanting to build a genuine M.L party, and to build the working class. He sees this as the best way to build the party. Cdes were very frank, the criticised themselves for not being brave enough to do so before. This frankness is critical for the development of an M.L party. It will be said if the meeting concluded that this was a vote of no confidence. This was done in the interest of the party and revolution what we are solving here is a problem that we experienced for years.

He used the example of the joining of two parties in G.D.R and their difficulties to say that it is only joining the strengths of two Cdes in the same party. It is our historical duty to solve all problems in our party. He also suggests that the minutes of this discussions should be studied by members of the party.

Cde. Louison opposed the fact that he was putting his position for narrow opportunist reasons, it is genuine seeking clarity on the issue.

Cde. James said that he had spoken of Cde. Louison behaviour and he maintain that position.
Sister Phyllis Coard said the most striking thing about this meeting is that Cdes have spoken in an open and frank way if there was any thought of removing the leader Cdes would have said so frankly. The Cde. Leader will have to accept the spirit of the criticisms. She would not like to feel that it was taken by him as a vote of no confidence, this will just discourage Cdes and make them hold back their frankness.

Cde. DeRiggs "the meeting was one of unprecedented frankness and boldness by all Cdes, it was done through the spirit of great love for the revolution and Cde. Leader."

Cornwall. "He had spoken frankly and put forward his position bluntly. If this is the impression, it will hold back Cdes from speaking frankly. They have spotted the weaknesses and strengths and sought to identify a creative solution. The C.C is disposed to assist the Cde. Leader in all areas, if we fail to do so it will hinder the development of the process.

Cde. Strachan said that while he respect the Cde. Leader's position he feels that the points made were frank, open and genuine. All Cdes spoke with deep sincerity and profound feelings. Cdes have given a lot of thought to the situation and genuinely wants to come out of the crisis. These points cannot be seen as a vote of no confidence in the leadership. He also complimented the J.C for their frankness. It is extremely important to address the concern raised by the Cde. Leader. He agreed that Cde. Bishop must remain Prime Minister and sign all party and state documents. We also have to ensure that the messes and the counselors understand that the party is a united party and there must be no signs of inner fighting. He agreed that we should conclude on the issue."

Cde. Mc Barnett said that the exercise has brought to the floor two questions (i) How we are willing to accept our weaknesses and deal with them. (ii) how much we are willing to move forward.

He agreed that the minutes must be brought to full membership. He pointed that the criticisms have not shattered his confidence in the Cde. Leader. He said that we need to ensure that this type of criticism is applied to every one of the C.C if the idea of no confidence is carried it will degenerate the C.C.

Ian Bartholomew said that it took him a lot of guts to make his points. He think that the C.C have very great respect for Cde. Bishop, there is no doubt that the Cde. will remain Prime Minister in the country. He agreed that the minutes should be studied by the membership. We cannot convince the impression that there is a leadership problem, the most important thing is how the Cdes get along.

"..."
This viewpoint agreed with the positions of Layle and James. The view is joint leadership can work and will work. It is important to give the minutes to the membership because they have accused the C.C. or being dishonest. Agreed with points made by Cdes on the vote of no confidence, both the Cde's strength and weakness were pointed out. He pointed to a clear way forward. He pointed that Pan and Kamau spoke openly for the first time an attitude like this can make them become timid.

Sister Phyllis suggested two separate meetings to announce to the membership one for M's and C.M's and one for applicant.

Cde. Louison said that the more the discussions is stretched out at theoretical and tactical levels he becomes more worried. He said that Cdes of the party were analysed into three sections; those who can be moulded into communist, those who have to further develop and those who are weak or this basis he cannot see the minutes into the hands of all cross section of the party. He proposed that a summary of the main points should be brought before the membership.

On the question of joint leadership he said that he would like to know what is the intention of the C.C. if they will like to build the qualities or make a leader through joint leadership and at what stage will the prop be taken off. As an aspiring M.I. he cannot accept joint leadership, he don't know of any situation of such. He cannot see the dialectics unfold, he is not sure of the evolution of this thing, he cannot see joint leadership helping us. He sees a clear leadership in once embodying. He had never understood the science to mean anything different, he is not convinced that the joint leadership will remain for any length of time without causing any problem.

Cde. Cornwall felt that the Cde is confuse because he is posing in his mind the wrong question. We are saying that fundamentally the revolution is at stake and that there is a model that will help to strengthen the process, or will it hamper the Revolution. He pointed to a situation where a Cde. use to be Minister and deputy Minister. This was tactically correct because of the objective situation. He feels that Cde. Louison is posing the wrong question, as a result will come up with wrong conclusion.

Cde. Louison said that the joint leadership would not strengthen the Revolution. How will it evolve? What would it evolve to? Is it a temporary feature or permanent feature.

Cde. De Riggs disagreed with the context and spirit in which Cde. Louison made his contribution. He feels the scientific and theoretical basis as put forward by Cde. James has been established. We are seeking to find creative ways to solve the question of leadership of the C.C. and the building of a M.I. party for building Socialism. The C.C in its present form cannot take the country to socialism which is the long term strategy. We have to safeguard.
one Revolution - build socialism... the question of leadership

Cde. Layne said this is a question of fundamental importance.

Cde. George objected. "He has the right to put forward his

position no one can accuse him of opportunism in his struggle

over the years in the party. He raises his points seeking

clarity in a genuine way. He regarded Cde. Laynes comments

as "shut".

Sister Phyllis Coard said that it is unfair of Cde. Louison
to think that there have been demagogy in the meeting. She

feels that Cdes have been frank. There has been less

demagogy than ever before.

Cde. Layne said that he had been frank and fair. The Cde.
is trying to provoke the C.C into a discussion that no Cde.
has raised. Cde. George object to the point that this has

never been his intention.

Cde. Bishop pointed to the struggles and difficulties of the
RSDLP to point to the fact that Cdes are overbending too
quickly to band labels to each other in the discussion, which
we have to be very careful about, because he cannot see how the
meeting can proceed. He raises his concerns, that if the
minutes of the C.C is given to the membership to study, it will
be the best way to create disunity and turn back the Revoluti-

He said that the response to his no confidence point, he is
not interested in the Cdes comment. The point is that he
alone can solve the problem. He expressed his difficulty in
chaining the meeting because of the flying of brands etc.

Cde. Liam James said that he can hold the meeting if he speak
up and reign in more on Comrades contributions, and to list
all the concerns raised and ask Comrades to comment.

Sister Phyllis Coard said that the other three concerns raised
can be taken one by one in order to move forward.

Cde. Layne said that if we take each concern. Cdes speak on
them if they have opposing positions of anything to add may
do so.
The following positions were voted on:

1. On Cde. James proposals
   - For 9
   - Observe 2
   - Against 2

2. Formalisation of Joint Leadership:
   - For 9
   - Opposed 1
   - Abstain 3

Cde. Austin abstained because he was not present for the full discussion for the greater part of the meeting.

3. How to inform the Membership:
   (A) Tell members only through minutes
       - For 10
       - Against 1
       - Abstain 2

   (B) Tell all three categories in one meeting:
       - Against 11
       - Abstain 2

   (C) Tell all categories in two meetings M's and C.M's the A.'s
       - For 9
       - Against 2
       - Abstain 2

   (d) Informing the masses:
       - Against 9
       - Abstain 3

Cde. George · Louison - non participate.
Cde. Kemau moved a motion that these notes should be taken as a decision. It was agreed to put it to a vote.

(4) On Cde. Kemau's Proposals

For : 9
Against : 0
Abstain : 3
Non participate 1

Cde. Layne proposed a formal vote on the conclusion of the July Plenary. Cde.

Cde. Louison said that there are aspects of the conclusion that can applied to the way forward. We can criticise certain aspects e.g the section on C.C and P.B was incorrect. The conclusion failed on these particular issues.

Cde. Layne said that he has further problems with the overall decision of the July Plenary is not inkeeping with the reality therefore he agree with Cde. James to revoke the document and include the correct conclusion in the new document.

Sister Coard agreed with the revoking of the document. She said for the purpose for the history of the party, we have to disassociate ourselves from the document. She suggested a committee to extract the correct conclusions from the document.

Cde. Louison said that a complete job must be brought before the members. Revoke the document and at the same time put correct position forward we cannot take a propaganda approach.

Cde. James supported Sister Phyllis, he had difficulty in understanding Cde. Louison position.

Cde. Louison said that if we withdraw the document and promise to give the Cdes the position later it will give the membership clarity.

Cde. Strachan said that if we are not able to extract the correct conclusions for the G.M, it is correct to tell the G.M that the conclusion will come to them in the future.

Cde. James fully supported Cde. Strachan's position, he don't see how the membership will not accept the position. They will be happy to hear that the document is revoked.

Cde. Louison said the July conclusions had a multitude of administrative decision, if we say that we revoke the conclusions without putting forward a clear positions at the same time, it can lead to further ridicule from the membership. He felt that we should point to the good and bad aspect of the document and put forward a new document, including the conclusions of July that is relevant to the building of the Revolution.
He felt that we should go to the membership with a full document - giving the Committee a task to extract the essential conclusion within a certain time in order to present the new document.

The sub committee agreed on ware Cdes Cornwall, James and Layne. The time is to be taken at the end of the meeting.

Cde. Mc Barnette proposed that we use a break to ask Cde, Coard to come to the meeting for decision to be put to him.

This was seconded by Cdes Cornwall and Layne. Cde. Maurice opposed it because of the fact that he has to make a personal reflection on the issue. He proposed that the C.C. meet with Cde. Coard in his absence. He felt that mode would be counter productive. He suggested that the C.C meet Cde. Bernard tomorrow while he will be leaving for St. Kitts. He think that this will be in the interest of the C.C.

Cde. James had difficulties with the position, he felt that Cde. Bishop should stand up and face the situation because he is part of the Central Committee, he thinks that it can and will affect Cde. Bernard’s position on the issue.

Cde. Bishop said that it is difficult for him to understand the question of joint leadership and his own role and function in this mode.

Cde. Layne pointed out that he also had difficulties with Cde. Bishop position on the ground that will be difficult to put this to Cde. Bernard when Cde. Bishop is not present; because the conclusion will be quite natural as to reasons why he was not present. A pre condition for this thing to work is a correct attitude on both sides.

Cde. Bishop said that it will be purely artificial based on his personal position to sit down for productive discussion, it will have no usefulness; it will be counter productive and mechanistic.

Cde. Strachan asked if after the reflection, he will attend the meeting with Bernard. He said that he has no problem with that. He said that he do not think that the meeting will be useful with Bernard when he is present. He said that two things that is critical for him is time for him to reflect and Bernard’s position at this time. This will even help him in his own reflection.

Cde. Layne said that he agree that the Cde. Leader would need time to reflect. However, if he is absent, conceptually the Cde. will think that he opposed. He felt that it is the right of the C.C to demand of Cde. Bishop that he be present for the sake of the future of the revolution.